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**SUMMARY**

A method is described for the isolation of biologically active DNA from *Proteus mirabilis* strain 13 which could transform auxotrophic mutants of *P. mirabilis* to prototrophy. This DNA preparation did not transform similar mutants of *P. vulgaris*. Optimal conditions for transformation were pH 8.5 to 9.5 for the lysate during DNA extraction, DNA concentration of 16 μg./ml. and growth of recipient cells for 5 h. before exposure to DNA.

**INTRODUCTION**

Attempts to transform Enterobacteriaceae have met with mixed success. Restoration of prototrophy in spheroplasts obtained from auxotrophic *Escherichia coli* strains has been reported by Chargaff, Schulman & Shapiro (1957) and Rudchenko (1968). Transformation of gal− strains of *E. coli* by λdg was obtained in the presence of helper phage (Kaiser & Hogness, 1960). Mehta, Rege & Sreenivasan (1962) and Avadhani, Mehta & Rege (1969) used wild-type DNA to transform an auxotrophic mutant of *E. coli* strain 113-3 to nutritional independence.

Transformation of organisms belonging to the Proteus–Providence group has not been reported. Van Rensburg (1969) demonstrated that spheroplasts prepared from *P. mirabilis* in the early (but not late) growth phase could be transfected. In the light of this knowledge it was decided to re-investigate previous transformation attempts. For this purpose, strains from *P. hauseri* were used. This group of serologically related Gram-negative bacteria is subdivided into two biochemically distinct subgenera, *P. mirabilis* and *P. vulgaris* (Kauffmann, 1966).

**METHODS**


*Media.* The minimal medium (Prozesky, 1967) was supplemented with amino acids (50 μg./ml.) or uracil (2.5 μg./ml.) when necessary.

*Isolation and purification of transforming DNA.* DNA extraction was performed at 0 to 4° except where stated otherwise. A modification of the method of Avadhani et al. (1969) was used. Packed cells (4 g. dry wt) were washed twice with citrate + saline (SSC) (0.15 M-NaCl + 0.015 M sodium citrate, pH 7.0) and resuspended in 100 ml. of this buffer. The pH of the suspension was adjusted to 9.0. Five ml. 10% sodium dodecyl sulphate solution were added to the suspension, which was kept at room temperature for 15 min. to facilitate lysis. To the lysate 33 ml. chloroform + 10 ml. isoamyl alcohol, chilled to −20°, were
added and the mixture then shaken at 60 cyc./min. on a reciprocal shaker. After 30 min.,
the milky solution was centrifuged at 5000g for 15 min. The supernatant was removed and
the chloroform + amyl alcohol treatment repeated until it was clear. A double volume of
chilled 95%, ethanol was added to the solution to precipitate DNA. The precipitate was
collected with a glass rod and redissolved in 0·1 M-SSC. Lipids were removed by shaking
10 ml. DNA solution with 0·2 ml. 10%, sodium deoxycholate. After precipitation with
ethanol the precipitate was again dissolved in 0·1 M-SSC and the detergent treatment
repeated to remove all lipids in the solution. RNA was removed by incubation with 0·2%
RNase at 15° for 20 min. The RNase was first incubated at 80° and a pH of 5·0 for 10 min.
to destroy DNase activity which may be present in the enzyme preparations. DNA was
precipitated by addition of a double volume of chilled isopropanol to the solution. The
fibrous DNA precipitate was stored under 95% ethanol in the cold. When required for use
the DNA precipitate was dissolved in sterile isotonic saline to a concentration of about
300 µg./ml. Protein was determined by the method of Lowry, Rosebrough, Farr &
Randall (1951), DNA by the method of Burton (1956) and RNA by the method of Harry &
Umbreit (1947).

Transformation procedure. This was done according to the method of Avadhani et al.
(1969). About 104 mutant cells were inoculated into 5 ml. supplemented minimal media
and incubated. After incubation for 18 h. inocula of about 106 cells/ml. were transferred to
tubes containing 5 ml. of the same supplemented medium. The cells were incubated for 5 h.,
harvested by centrifugation and washed twice with unsupplemented medium. Tubes con-
taining 5 ml. unsupplemented minimal medium were inoculated with 106 cells/ml. DNA
(10 µg./ml.) was added to the cultures and the mixtures incubated for 120 min. The DNA
reaction was terminated by addition of 2 µg. DNase/ml. in 0·03 M-MgSO₄. Dilutions of the
suspending were plated on minimal agar and the number of transformed cells determined
by colony counts after 48 h. incubation. Experimental controls were suspensions of mutant
cells incubated without addition of DNA. Sterility of the DNA preparations was determined
by incubation in nutrient broth.

Effect of preconditioning on recipient cells. The strain 13 mutant tyr-1 leu-1 met-1 was
grown for 18 h. in minimal medium supplemented with the required amino acids. Twenty
ml. fresh supplemented medium was inoculated with about 104 cells/ml. and incubated. At
hourly intervals samples were removed and cells collected by centrifugation. After
washing twice with unsupplemented medium about 106 cells/ml. were transferred to tubes
containing 5 ml. unsupplemented minimal medium. DNA (10 µg./ml.) was added to the
cultures and transformation performed as above.

Effect of concentration of DNA on transforming frequency. DNA at concentrations of
0·5 to 20 µg./ml. was employed in transformation experiments using the triple mutant
tyr-1 leu-1 met-1 as recipient. Techniques were as described above.

RESULTS

Composition of the transforming principle. The DNA extraction procedure yielded a pre-
paration with a E₂₆₀/₂₅₀ value of 1·4 ± 0·1. This preparation contained 95·0% DNA, 1·7%
protein and 2·3% RNA (w/w).

Transformation assays. Using wild-type strain 13 as donor of DNA, 35 out of 40 inde-
dependent preparations of DNA produced transformation of auxotrophs. Average yields from
these experiments are listed in Table 1.

Extraction and purification of transforming DNA. Biologically active DNA was only
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obtained when it was extracted at a pH between 8.5 and 9.5. Chilled conditions were also essential for biological activity. DNA prepared at room temperature did not possess transforming activity.

Table 1. Transformation of mutant cells of Proteus mirabilis and P. vulgaris with DNA from wild-type P. mirabilis strain 13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Supplemented medium</th>
<th>Unsupplemented medium</th>
<th>Transformation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>tyr-I leu-I met-I</td>
<td>(1.40 \times 10^8)</td>
<td>(3.4 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>tyr-I ade-I met-I</td>
<td>(1.80 \times 10^8)</td>
<td>(4.6 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>leu-I met-I his-I</td>
<td>(1.40 \times 10^8)</td>
<td>(5.1 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5006</td>
<td>ade-I</td>
<td>(1.50 \times 10^8)</td>
<td>(7.2 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5006</td>
<td>arg-I</td>
<td>(1.45 \times 10^8)</td>
<td>(6.5 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>4.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>ura-I (P. vulgaris)</td>
<td>(1.54 \times 10^8)</td>
<td>(3.0 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Effect of growth of recipient cells of strain 13 in supplemented medium on the number of transformants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of incubation (h.)</th>
<th>Supplemented medium</th>
<th>Unsupplemented medium</th>
<th>Transformation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(2.0 \times 10^6)</td>
<td>(3.0 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(3.4 \times 10^6)</td>
<td>(4.0 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(6.0 \times 10^6)</td>
<td>(3.6 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(3.0 \times 10^6)</td>
<td>(6.3 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(3.2 \times 10^6)</td>
<td>(9.8 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>3.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(2.2 \times 10^6)</td>
<td>(3.3 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(5.4 \times 10^6)</td>
<td>(1.0 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(8.3 \times 10^6)</td>
<td>(4.3 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>(8.6 \times 10^6)</td>
<td>(4.0 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Transformation with DNA isolated from mutant 13 tyr-I leu-I met-I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Supplemented medium</th>
<th>Unsupplemented medium</th>
<th>Transformation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>tyr-I leu-I met-I</td>
<td>(1.74 \times 10^8)</td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>tyr-I ade-I met-I</td>
<td>(1.83 \times 10^8)</td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>leu-I met-I his-I</td>
<td>(1.45 \times 10^8)</td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5006</td>
<td>ade-I</td>
<td>(1.55 \times 10^8)</td>
<td>(7.8 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5006</td>
<td>arg-I</td>
<td>(1.37 \times 10^8)</td>
<td>(5.2 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Competence of recipient cells. Preconditioning of the recipient cells was important for transformation. Maximal transformation was obtained in cells of strain 13 tyr-I leu-I met-I incubated for 5 h. in supplemented minimal medium before exposure to DNA (Table 2).

Transformation of mutants with DNA extracted from auxotrophic cells. DNA extracted from the triple mutant tyr-I leu-I met-I failed to transform the mutants tyr-I ade-I his-I and leu-I met-I his-I. The mutants ade-I and arg-I were converted to amino acid independence by this DNA with the same efficiency as was obtained with DNA isolated from the wild strain (Table 3).
Transformation and concentration of DNA. Fig. 1 demonstrates the effect of DNA concentration on the number of transformants obtained. The number of transformants increased with DNA concentration to an optimum at 16 µg./ml.
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Fig. 1. The effect of DNA concentration on transformation in Proteus mirabilis. Cells from mutant tyr-1 leu-1 met-1 were subjected to wild-type DNA at different concentrations (0.5 to 20 µg./ml.). Number of transformed colonies were counted at each concentration.

DISCUSSION

Despite numerous publications on transformation in bacteria only a few deal with this phenomenon in Enterobacteriaceae (Chargaff et al. 1957; Mehta et al. 1962; Avadhani et al. 1969). The transformation described here was dependent on a number of factors. First, the method of DNA preparation was important as no biological activity was observed with DNA obtained by phenol extraction. The temperature and pH of lysates during DNA extraction and subsequent RNase treatment were also critical. Inactivation by residual DNase present in the lysate may account for these results. The number of transformants was also dependent on the growth conditions of recipient cells preceding and during exposure to DNA. A similar phenomenon was encountered with transfection in these strains (van Rensburg, 1969) and may be related to the phenomenon of competence observed with pneumococci (McCarty, Taylor & Avery, 1946). Mutants of Proteus mirabilis strain 5006 (the only other tested) yielded transformants with the heterologous DNA at about the same efficiency. Reasons for the failure to transform the P. vulgaris strain are being investigated at present. Non-specific stimulation of growth of auxotrophic cells by DNA was excluded as a factor in these results because DNA extracted from mutant tyr-1 leu-1 met-1 failed to transform the isogenic strain. We failed to demonstrate phage development in spheroplasts from auxotrophic strains simultaneously exposed to DNA from prototrophic cells (van Rensburg, 1970). Rudchenko (1968) succeeded with this latter type of experiment in an Escherichia coli system. An explanation for this failure may be that
transformation rates are low and transfection rates even lower (van Rensburg, 1969). Chargaff et al. (1957) stated: 'The complete isolation of DNA from Escherichia coli is not easy. It is possible that the unsatisfactory quality of many of the specimens isolated by previous workers is one of the reasons for the scarcity of information on bacterial transformation in this genus.' This statement can be confirmed because not only had experimental techniques to be precise but transformation was only achieved with DNA extracted in the cold and at a pH above 8.5.

Genetic analysis of Proteus has been dependent on transduction (Coetzee & Sacks, 1960), conjugation (Falkow, Wohlhieter, Citarella & Baron, 1964) and transfection (van Rensburg, 1969). These techniques have now been complemented by those of transformation. Because of the efficiency of DNA transfer in the latter procedure it may serve as an ideal tool for fine structure analysis. The Proteus system now compares favourably with those of Escherichia coli and Bacillus subtilis and awaits exploitation.
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