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SUMMARY: Eleven strains regarded as moraxellas were studied; nine were characterized by moderate growth on the usual media, a positive oxidase reaction and sensitivity to penicillin, and six of these preferred or required a humid atmosphere when incubated at 37°C. The classification of these strains was considered correct. Two strains did not show any of these characteristics, and it was concluded that they should not properly be classified as moraxellas.

A positive oxidase reaction, sensitivity to penicillin, and preference for a humid atmosphere at 37°C may be useful criteria in the classification of Moraxella. It is suggested that Moraxella may be closely related to Neisseria, and that the description of the genus and its taxonomic position should be revised in accordance with Lwoff's proposals.

Mima polymorpha var. oxidans (De Bord) is believed to belong to Moraxella, whereas other members of the tribe Mimeae (De Bord) and Bacterium anitratum (Schaub & Hauber) are probably not closely related to Moraxella.

Lwoff (1939) proposed that the organism classified as Haemophilus duplex and some related organisms should be gathered in a new genus, Moraxella, and that this genus should be separated from the Haemophileae, as its species neither resembled the Haemophileae morphologically nor required haematin or phosphopyridinenucleotide as growth factors. These proposals were approved by Audureau (1940), who added a new species, M. lwoffi.

Bergey's Manual (1948) accepted Lwoff's proposal to create the genus Moraxella, but put it in the Haemophileae. The vague description of the genus—mainly morphological, which might fit almost any Gram-negative rod—indicates a lack of specific characters to differentiate it from other genera.

The present study was made to evaluate additional characters, which, added to the description, would clarify the taxonomy of the genus and show its relationship to organisms such as Mimeae (De Bord, 1939), Bacterium anitratum (Schaub & Hauber, 1948) and Neisseria, all of which appeared to resemble Moraxella in some respects.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Of the strains isolated in this laboratory, one (3452/51) was from sputum (Henriksen, 1951), three from urine (283/49, 6758/51, 7146/51) and two (possibly the same strain) from throat cultures of a husband and wife (OT/51, AT/51). Type strains of some Moraxella species were received from the Institut Pasteur, Paris, through the courtesy of Dr A. Lwoff and Dr M. Pibchaud (M. lacunata 4238, Diplobacillus of Morax 260, Diplobacillus of Petit, M. lwoffi var. bacteroides and M. pericardite).

For comparison five strains of Neisseria pharyngis, four of the pigmented, carbohydrate-fermenting variety, and one non-pigmented, non-fermenting (N. catarrhalis), were studied (OT 2/51, OTJ 1/51, OTJ 2/51, TT/51, 26051/51).
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Customary methods were used in the study of morphological and biochemical properties of the strains. For the study of sensitivity to antibiotics, three different methods were used.

(1) A filter-paper disk method (Jensen & Kiaer, 1948). Antibiotic solutions used to moisten the disks were: penicillin 175 units/ml, streptomycin 2800 µg/ml, sulphathiazole 6-6 mg/ml, chloromycetin and aureomycin 1000 µg/ml each. Incubation at 28° for 48 hr.

(2) An antibiotic tablet method (Lund, Funder-Schmidt, Christensen & Dupont, 1951). The tablets (Roskilde Medical Co. Ltd., Roskilde, Denmark), which contain the above antibiotics and also terramycin, are deposited on peptone-free 10% horse blood agar plates, which have been inoculated with a suspension of the organism to be tested. Inhibition zones are measured after incubation for 24 hr. at 37° in a closed jar containing some water.

(3) Titration in 10% (v/v) serum broth containing twofold dilutions of the antibiotics. Incubation at 28° for 48 hr. Readings were made after 24 and 48 hr.

RESULTS

Morphology

The following characteristics (illustrated in Pl. 1, figs. 1–9) were observed in varying degree:

A preference for arrangement in pairs. In some strains nearly all organisms were arranged in pairs, as diplococci (283/49, fig. 1) or as short diplobacilli (3452/51, fig. 2 and a dissociant strain of 283/49); in others both diplo-forms and single cells were found; short chains were seen occasionally.

Plumpness of the cells. With the exception of two (M. lwofi var. bacteroides and M. pericardite) all strains had plump, broad cells, usually with obtuse or nearly square-cut ends. The breadth of the cells varied between 1 and 1-5 µ, although larger cells were frequent in some strains. The length varied from neisseria-like coccus-forms to long filaments. The two exceptions had small coccoid or coccobacillary cells.

Pleomorphism. Considerable variations in size and shape were frequent, although not equally marked in all strains, nor under all conditions of growth. Large swollen cells could be found in all strains: there were spherical or oval bodies, club-shaped or sausage-shaped cells or coarse filaments with fusiform swellings. The strains M. lwofi var. bacteroides and M. pericardite were less pleomorphic than most other strains.

Irregular staining. The majority of the cells were Gram-negative, but some cells with very sharp outlines were strongly stained by the counter-stain, whereas others were pale. Other cells, particularly the swollen elements, showed a tendency to be Gram-positive and were purplish to violet, or contained bluish black granules after decolorization with ethanol. Thorough treatment with ethanol removed all the purple stain. On the other hand, some of the swollen cells appeared as pale ‘ghost cells’.

The individual strains showed considerable variations. One (283/49) produced diplococci nearly exclusively (figs. 1 and 6) and showed a striking resemblance to N. gonorrhoeae; only occasional rods could be found. A dissociant, split off by this strain in the course of the work, and also strain
3452/51 almost exclusively produced short plump, nearly square, diplobacilli (fig. 2). Strains 6768/51 (figs. 5, 9), 7146/51, OT/51 (fig. 4) and AT/51 produced mixtures of diplobacilli, diplococci and single cells, with rods predominating, whereas *M. pericardite* and *M. lwofi* var. *bacteroides* generally produced very small coccolid and coccobicacular cells, frequently in pairs (fig. 3). Finally, strains *M. lacunata* 4288, Diplobacillus of Morax 260 (fig. 8) and Diplobacillus of Petit appeared to be in the R-form and grew as chains of elongated cells or as filaments.

**Growth characteristics**

The strains *M. lwofi* var. *bacteroides* and *M. pericardite* grew vigorously on all media. The colonies were large and butyrous, resembling those of the Enterobacteriaceae, e.g. *Escherichia coli*. The strain *M. lwofi* var. *bacteroides* produced slightly spreading colonies. Cultures of *M. pericardite* were characterized by a marked odour, resembling that of certain pseudomonas cultures.

The other strains showed a much less vigorous and slower growth. The colonies were smaller (c. 1 mm. or less after 24 hr.), grey or yellowish, raised, and friable or slightly viscous, like the colonies of some strains of *N. pharyngis*. A dissociant of 283/49 mentioned above, produced tiny, delicate, colourless, translucent colonies, resembling gonococcus colonies. One strain (3452/51) grew with mucoid colonies, resembling those of *Klebsiella ozaeae*. Finally the strains *M. lacunata* 4288, Diplobacillus of Morax 260, and Diplobacillus of Petit grew with very small, delicate, greyish colonies of a distinctly rough appearance.

**Effect of temperature and humidity**

Some of the strains (*M. lacunata* 4288, Diplobacillus of Morax 260, Diplobacillus of Petit, 283/49, 3452/51 and 6768/51) grew very poorly or not at all on blood agar plates incubated in an ordinary incubator at 37°. Growth was greatly improved by incubation in a closed jar containing some water. Growth at 28° was good regardless of the humidity and all strains showed growth at a room temperature of about 20°, although in some cases this was extremely poor and slow. Thus this group of strains was very sensitive to a dry atmosphere at 37°.

Strains 7146/51, OT/51, AT/51 and *M. pericardite* grew well under all these conditions, but *M. lwofi* var. *bacteroides* did not grow at 37°, regardless of the degree of humidity; it grew vigorously at lower temperatures, including room temperature.

**Growth requirements**

Growth was studied on simple and enriched media and in the defined medium containing ethanol, citrate, ammonia and inorganic salts described by Audureau (1940). The strains could be divided in several groups according to growth requirements:

1. Grow only on media containing body fluids: *M. lacunata* 4288, Diplobacillus of Morax 260.

2. Grow on simple meat-infusion + peptone media but not in the defined medium: Diplobacillus of Petit, 3452/51, OT/51, AT/51.
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(3) Grow on simple media; very slight but continuous growth (five transfers) in the defined medium, perceptible only as a very slight precipitate: 283/49.

(4) Moderate, slow growth in the defined medium: 6768/51, 7146/51.

(5) Vigorous, rapid growth in the defined medium: M. pericardite, M. lwofi var. bacteroides.

Some biochemical reactions

The strains M. lacunata 4238, Diplobacillus of Morax 260, and Diplobacillus of Petit liquefied coagulated serum and, to some extent, coagulated egg; the others did not. Otherwise the biochemical reactions were the same as previously reported (Lwoff, 1989; Audureau, 1940; Henriksen, 1947; Picchaud, Picchaud & Second, 1951). None of the strains isolated in this laboratory fermented any of the usual carbohydrates.

All strains except M. pericardite and M. lwofi var. bacteroides gave a positive oxidase reaction with tetramethyl-p-phenylenediamine. In some cases the reaction was as strong and rapid as in N. gonorrhoeae, in others it was weaker and slower. Young delicate colonies as a rule gave stronger and more rapid reactions than older and larger ones, possibly because the latter were not as wettable or as easily penetrated by the reagent.

Sensitivity to antibiotics

The results of the tests are shown in Table 1. The strains M. lacunata 4238, Diplobacillus of Morax 260 and Diplobacillus of Petit, due to poor and slow growth, did not give very sharp end-points in the serial dilution tests, nor very sharp zones in the other tests. In these cases the inhibition zones were recorded as averages of several measurements, and the results were checked after additional incubation, which brought out the limits of the zones better, apparently without appreciably changing their sizes.

Table 1. Sensitivity of Moraxella and Neisseria strains to antibiotics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Penicillin</th>
<th>Streptomycin</th>
<th>Sulphathiazole</th>
<th>Chloromycetin</th>
<th>Aureomycin</th>
<th>Terramycin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. lacunata 4238</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplobacillus of Morax 260</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplobacillus of Petit</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283/49</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3452/51</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.0125</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6768/51</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7146/51</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. lwofi</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. pericardite</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT/51</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT/51</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. pharyngis OT2/51</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. pharyngis OT1/51</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. pharyngis OT3/51</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. pharyngis TT/51</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. pharyngis 2605/51</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staph. aureus 1565</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Column I: filter-paper disk method, diameter of inhibition zones in mm.
Column II: antibiotic tablet method, diameter of inhibition zones in mm.
Column III: titration in 10% serum broth. Lowest inhibitory concentration in µg./ml. (penicillin:units/ml.).
Figures in brackets indicate zones of only partial inhibition.
The most interesting results are those obtained with penicillin. These separate the strains into two groups; *M. pericardite* and *M. lwofi* var. *bacteroides*, which were highly resistant to penicillin, in one group, and the other strains with great or moderate sensitivity, in the second. All strains were inhibited by concentrations within, or very close to, the usual therapeutic range, and all strains in the second group showed penicillin sensitivities within the range shown by various species of *Neisseria*.

With the strains of *N. pharyngis* there was a discrepancy between the results obtained by titration in a fluid medium and by the antibiotic tablet method. By the tablet method the strains appeared to be very sensitive to penicillin, but by the dilution method the sensitivity appeared to be only moderate. The reason for this discrepancy was not studied.

In my experience the antibiotic tablet method has shown some peculiarities, possibly due to different solubility and diffusion rates of the different antibiotics. The results obtained with penicillin, streptomycin, chloromycetin and sulphathiazole have usually been in good agreement with those obtained by other methods, but the inhibition zones obtained with terramycin and particularly with aureomycin have frequently been narrower than expected. This method should probably be considered to yield rough approximations rather than exact quantitative data.

**DISCUSSION**

The strains can be separated into two groups. Those of the first group are characterized by moderate growth on the usual media, colonies resembling species of *Neisseria*, a positive oxidase reaction, and strong to moderate sensitivity to penicillin. Several strains showed a marked preference for a humid atmosphere when cultivated at 37°. The individual cells are plump and broad and in some cases show a marked resemblance to *Neisseria* spp.

The second group, apart from some morphological and biochemical similarities, did not present these characteristics. It is doubtful whether these two groups should be classified in the same genus.

It seems reasonable to consider the oxidase-positive group, which agrees with the representatives of the type species, as moraxella strains, whereas the classification of the two remaining strains is an open question.

The description of the genus *Moraxella* given in *Bergey's Manual*, 1948, p. 590, does not allow the recognition of these two groups as none of the criteria used in separating them occurs in the description. It is difficult to assess the biological significance of the proposed new criteria, namely, oxidase reaction, penicillin sensitivity and sensitivity to a dry atmosphere, but they may be expressions of fundamental biological properties which might profitably be used in classification.

The oxidase reaction is not a common reaction and, apart from *Neisseria* and *Moraxella*, none of the recognized bacterial genera is known to give consistently positive reactions. Only occasional, often ill-defined, species outside these genera have been reported to give the reaction. In *Moraxella* the reaction has been reported to be positive by several authors (Oeding, 1946; Henriksen, 1947; Steen & Berdal, 1950).
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It is commonly recognized that penicillin sensitivity is unusual in Gram-negative organisms, most of which are strongly or moderately resistant. The only important exceptions are *N. gonorrhoeae* and *N. intracellularis*. Little is known about the sensitivity of other species of *Neisseria*, but it seems that the non-pathogenic species are the less sensitive (Florey *et al.* 1949). My few strains appeared to be very sensitive when tested on a solid medium and moderately sensitive in a fluid medium, and were more sensitive than the majority of Gram-negative organisms.

The following statement by Dubos (1949) apparently might apply equally well to *Moraxella*: ‘Although gonococci and meningococci are susceptible to both penicillin and gramicidin, this exception is correlated with the fact that the pathogenic Gram-negative cocci occupy an intermediate position between the Gram-positive organisms and the Gram-negative bacilli.’

Strains of *M. duplex* var. *liquefaciens* (Lwoff) isolated by Oeding (1946) and by Steen & Berdal (1950) were sensitive to 0.01 and 0.03 unit penicillin/ml. respectively. On the other hand, Cashell (1944) reported strains of Petit’s bacillus and Morax-Axenfeld’s bacillus to be insensitive *in vitro*, although eye inflammations were cured by local penicillin treatment. Milner (1944) and Sorsby (1945) successfully treated eye infections caused by these organisms, with penicillin.

The inability to grow in a dry atmosphere at 37°C does not appear to be an equally constant property, since three of nine strains were exceptions, and therefore it may not be very significant in classification. It is interesting, however, that this characteristic is a further point of resemblance to the pathogenic neisseria.

The number of strains studied is too small for far-reaching conclusions; additional strains may show a wider range of variation. If, in future work, the main points should be corroborated, the description of the genus *Moraxella* might perhaps be revised along the following lines:

‘Plump short rods or cocci, frequently with considerable variation of the size of the cells and a tendency to produce large swollen “involution forms”, predominantly occurring in pairs and occasionally short chains. Some strains show striking resemblance to neisseria. Non-motile. Gram-negative, but some cells may show a tendency to retain some of the purple stain. Aerobic. Some strains prefer or require a humid atmosphere when cultivated at 37°C. Oxidase reaction positive. Most strains are strongly or moderately sensitive to penicillin. Parasitic.’

Such a description would keep the genus reasonably homogeneous and prevent inclusion of organisms that, apart from morphological similarity, have little relationship to the original species. Some of the strains studied by Piéchaud *et al.* (1951) would have to be excluded, since one of their strains, which is probably included in this study (*M. pericardite*), did not belong to the oxidase-positive group; others were strains of the species *Bacterium anitratum* or the B5W group of Stuart, Formal & McGann (1949).

It is suggested that the division of the genus might follow the proposals of Lwoff (1989), by which one would recognize the species *lacunata* and *duplex*.
(with the variety *nonliquefaciens*). It has been shown in this study that at least some strains of these species possess the additional characteristics referred to before. The position of the strains isolated from eye inflammations in cattle (*M. bovis* in *Berger's Manual*, 1948) is not clear. There is little evidence to show whether these strains differ sufficiently from the other species to deserve recognition as a separate species. In contrast to the other species these strains are reported to be haemolytic.

As for the species *lwoffi*, proposed by Audureau (1940), the fact that the only strain of this species that was available for examination (*M. lwoffi* var. *bacteroides*) differed from the oxidase-positive group, makes it desirable to re-examine other strains of the species. Of the strains isolated in this laboratory, two (6768/51 and 7146/51) grew fairly well in Audureau's defined medium, and thus might be classified as *M. lwoffi*, if this species is considered valid. One strain showed only a trace of growth in this medium and three strains refused to grow. Thus it seems that there may be gradual transitions between positive and negative strains. In other respects these six strains appeared to be similar, and it seems questionable whether differences in this single characteristic would justify the establishment of separate species. The significance of this characteristic, as well as the validity of the species *lwoffi*, should be reconsidered after further studies have been carried out.

Not only the description of the genus but also its taxonomic position may need revision, as suggested by Lwoff (1939). If need for either the V or the X factor is considered essential for inclusion in the Haemophileae, *Moraxella* has no natural place in this tribe. Only one of the species requires media enriched with body fluids, but is independent of the V and X factors, and the other species grow on simple media and even on a defined medium containing only citrate, ethanol, ammonia and salts. Therefore there seems to be little reason to retain *Moraxella* in the Haemophileae. Furthermore, all strains were characterized by comparatively plump, broad cells, coarser than those found in the Parvobacteriaceae (when the involution forms produced by some of the latter are disregarded). There appears to be no other strong argument for the inclusion of *Moraxella* in this family.

Two courses of action might be followed. If the evidence for inclusion of *Moraxella* in some other family be found insufficient, the genus might be placed temporarily in the Bacteriaceae until its final place could be agreed upon. Or one might try to find another family to which *Moraxella* shows relationship; in this case Neisseriaceae might be the best choice, as the following comparison shows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shape of cells</th>
<th>Neisseria</th>
<th>Moraxella</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coffee-bean shaped diplococci</td>
<td>Short diplobacilli or coffee-bean shaped diplococci</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often plump, but with considerable variation in many strains. Large swollen cells occur</td>
<td>Plump with considerable variation. Large swollen cells frequent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram-negative. Often irregular with strongly stained and pale cells</td>
<td>Gram-negative. Often irregular with strongly stained and pale cells. Some cells tend to resist decolorization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Neisseria</th>
<th>Moraxella</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colonies</td>
<td>In some species soft, colourless. In others friable or viscous, grey or greyish white or pigmented. Small or moderate size.</td>
<td>In some strains colourless, soft, in others friable or viscous, grey to yellowish grey. Small or moderate size.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>Some species require body fluids; others grow in simple media. Some species prefer a humid atmosphere at 37°C.</td>
<td>One species requires body fluids, others grow on simple or defined media. Some strains prefer or require a humid atmosphere at 37°C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidase reaction</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin sensitivity</td>
<td>Some species strongly sensitive, others moderately sensitive or slightly resistant.</td>
<td>Strongly or moderately sensitive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitat</td>
<td>Parasites in the respiratory or genito-urinary tract.</td>
<td>Parasites in the respiratory or genito-urinary tract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathogenicity</td>
<td>Some species cause inflammations on mucous membranes.</td>
<td>Some species cause inflammations on mucous membranes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is not likely that these similarities are entirely the result of coincidence, although the significance of all the characters given in the list may not be equally high. The only significant difference between the two genera is that one exclusively consists of cocci, and the other mostly of rods. Some strains of Moraxella, however, show such close resemblance to Neisseria that great care has to be exercised to avoid mistakes. Objection might be raised to the inclusion of rod-shaped and coccus-shaped organisms in the same family, but there is precedence for such an arrangement in the Lactobacteriaceae. It seems that the relationship between Moraxella and Neisseria may perhaps be as close as that between the tribes Streptococceae and Lactobacilleae. Before this proposal can be accepted the results reported here will have to be corroborated and extended by others, but it is suggested that the relationship between the two genera should be kept in mind.

The relationship of Moraxella to certain other organisms remains to be discussed. De Bord (1939, 1942, 1943, 1948) proposed a new tribe, Mimeae, composed of organisms showing morphological resemblance to neisseria. They were further characterized by pleomorphism, a tendency to retain the Gram stain and encapsulation. The genus Mima does not ferment carbohydrates, Herellea produces acid, and Colloides acid and gas from various sugars. Apart from these biochemical differences the three genera and their species (Mima polymorpha with the variety oxidans, Herellea vaginicola and Colloides anoxydana) are described with the same words, indicating that the other characters are identical. An analysis of these descriptions suggests the following considerations:

(i) The oxidase-positive variety of Mima polymorpha may be indistinguishable from Moraxella duplex var. nonliquefaciens (Lwoff, 1939). If so, the name suggested by De Bord would be invalid, as the relationship of this organism to the Morax-Axenfeld group had been recognized long before De Bord's paper appeared, even though De Bord's and Lwoff's papers both appeared in the same year.
(ii) The description of *Mima polymorpha* is the same as that of the variety *oxidans*, apart from the negative oxidase reaction. It may be suggested that more differences might have been found if the characters reported in this paper had been studied. It is impossible at present to decide where *M. polymorpha* belongs, and further studies are necessary. It is suggested that this species should not be included in the genus *Moraxella* until its properties have been re-examined.

(iii) *Herellea* shows considerable resemblance to *Bacterium anitratum* Schaub & Hauber (1948) or the B 5 W group described by Stuart, Formal & McGann (1949) and by Ferguson & Roberts (1950). Some strains isolated by Deacon (1945) and believed to be *Herellea* species, showed even greater resemblance to these organisms, as they fermented the same carbohydrates, whereas De Bord's strains showed different fermentation reactions. This led Ewing (1949) to suggest a relationship between Mimeae, *Herellea* in particular, and *Bacterium anitratum*. Apart from morphological similarity there is no evidence to show that these organisms should be included in *Moraxella* as defined in this paper. *Bact. anitratum* is known to be resistant to penicillin (Schaub & Hauber, 1948) and has not been reported to be oxidase-positive. Piéchaud *et al.* (1951) have expressed a different opinion, as they consider the B5 W group belongs to *M. lwoffi*.

(iv) *Colloides* gives exactly the same biochemical reactions as *Esch. freundii* (*Bergey's Manual*, 1948), and the unusual morphology appears to be the only reason for separating it from the latter species. Diplococcus-like cells in strains of various Enterobacteriaceae are not uncommon, and the grounds for establishing the genus *Colloides* may, therefore, be weak.

It is concluded that *Mima polymorpha* var. *oxidans* may belong to *Moraxella*, but the relationship of the other organisms mentioned will have to be decided when better evidence becomes available. It is felt that whereas morphological characters are very useful in bacterial classification in distinguishing between the larger taxonomic groups, over-emphasis on morphological details may lead to erroneous conclusions. This is particularly true of morphological characters which may be found more or less frequently in a number of widely separated taxonomic groups.

I am indebted to Dr A. Lwoff and Dr M. Piéchaud for strains of *Moraxella* and for friendly advice.
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EXPLANATION OF PLATE

Figs. 1–5 are living cells examined by phase contrast microscopy. Figs. 6–9 are cells stained by Gram's method with safrain as counter stain.

Fig. 1. M. duplex var. nonliquefaciens, strain 283/49. Nearly exclusively cocci, measuring c. 1.3–1.5 μm. × 1.3–1.7 μm. × 3600.

Fig. 2. M. duplex var. nonliquefaciens, strain 3452/51 (mucoid). Rods measuring c. 1.1–1.2 μm. × 2–3.5 μm. × 2800.

Fig. 3. M. lwoffi var. bacteroides. Cocci and short rods measuring c. 0.6–1. μm. × 0.8–2. μm. × 2500.
Fig. 4. *M. duplex* var. *nonliquefaciens*, strain OT 1/51. Short plump rods measuring c. 1·2–1·6 μ. × 1·4–2·6 μ. × 2500.

Fig. 5. *M. duplex* var. *nonliquefaciens*, strain 6768/51. Short diplobacilli measuring c. 1·1·1 μ. × 1·4–2·2 μ. × 2500.

Fig. 6. *M. duplex* var. *nonliquefaciens*, strain 283/49. × 1250.

Fig. 7. *Neisseria catarrhalis*, strain TT/51. Note striking similarity to fig. 6. × 1250.

Fig. 8. Diplobaccillus of Morax, strain 260. × 1250.

Fig. 9. *M. duplex* var. *nonliquefaciens*, strain 676851. × 1250.
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