Proline utilization system is required for infection by the pathogenic α-proteobacterium Brucella abortus
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Abstract

Proline utilization (Put) systems have been described in a number of bacteria; however, the importance and functionality of the Put system in the intracellular pathogen Brucella abortus has not been explored. Generally, bacterial Put systems are composed of the bifunctional enzyme proline dehydrogenase PutA and its transcriptional activator PutR. Here, we demonstrate that the genes bab2_0518 and bab2_0517 are critical for the chronic infection of mice by B. abortus, but bab2_0518 and bab2_0517 are not required for the survival and replication of the bacteria in naive macrophages. Additionally, in vitro experiments revealed that putR is necessary for the ability of the bacteria to withstand oxidative stress, as a ΔputR deletion strain is hypersensitive to hydrogen peroxide exposure. Quantitative reverse transcription-PCR and putA-lacZ transcriptional reporter studies revealed that PutR acts as a transcriptional activator of putA in Brucella, and electrophoretic mobility shift assays confirmed that PutR binds directly to the putA promoter region. Biochemical analyses demonstrated that a purified recombinant B. abortus PutA protein possesses quintessential proline dehydrogenase activity, as PutA is capable of catalysing the conversion of proline to glutamate. Altogether, these data are the first to reveal that the Put system plays a significant role in the ability of B. abortus to replicate and survive within its host, as well as to describe the genetic regulation and biochemical activity of the Put system in Brucella.

INTRODUCTION

Brucella spp. are intracellular pathogens primarily residing within host macrophages and dendritic cells, and are able to establish replication cycles in a bacterially modified, host-derived vacuole [1]. This vacuole, termed the Brucella-containing vacuole (BCV), aids Brucella in evading the host immune system and leads to brucellosis, a chronic disease characterized most prominently by a waning and waxing fever in humans. Brucella infections in livestock are a major cause of economic losses from Brucella-induced abortions and sterility, and in humans brucellosis is a leading zoonotic disease globally [2]. While Brucella spp. have evolved numerous strategies to cope with host-generated stresses during infection, many of the genetic mechanisms employed by Brucella to cause disease are still poorly characterized [3]. Given the essential roles that amino acids play in bacterial physiology and pathogenesis [4–8], our group became interested in defining and characterizing the mechanisms of amino acid acquisition and utilization in the brucellae.

In culture, Brucella abortus is capable of surviving by utilizing glutamate as the only amino acid available to serve as a source of energy, carbon and nitrogen [9]. Furthermore, it is presumed that the brucellae possess de novo biosynthetic pathways for the 20 standard proteinogenic amino acids. Disruption of several different amino acid metabolic pathways and transport systems results in the attenuation of B. abortus, Brucella melitensis and Brucella suis in cellular and animal models of infection [10]. In addition, strains linked to nitrogen utilization, including glnA, glnD and nrtII, are essential for virulent infection, leading to the suggestion that there is a relative paucity of nitrogen and carbon sources available in the BCV, and that efficient use of resources is necessary for Brucella to colonize the host [11]. Amino acids are a likely source of the essential carbon and nitrogen that the bacteria require while in the host, but to date, little is known about specific amino acids that may be available to and utilized by the brucellae during infection.

In several bacteria, a proline utilization (Put) system is responsible for the enzymatically driven conversion of
proline to glutamate, and the Put system is composed of two prominent proteins: PutA and PutR [12]. The putA gene encodes the proline utilization protein PutA, which catalyses the conversion of proline to glutamate through two sequential enzymatic steps [13], while the putR gene encodes an Lrp-family transcriptional regulatory protein that controls the expression of putA [14, 15]. In the α-proteobacteria, putR and putA are often transcribed divergently from one another, and PutR is normally a transcriptional activator of putA expression that binds directly to the putA promoter region to control gene expression [15–18]. While the Put system has been well described in a number of bacterial species, there is currently no information available about a Put system in Brucella spp.

In the present work, we characterize the Put system of B. abortus, and demonstrate that isogenic deletion strains of putA and putR are attenuated in a mouse model of infection, while deletion of putR renders the bacteria more sensitive to oxidative stress compared to the parental strain. Furthermore, in B. abortus, PutR acts as a direct transcriptional activator of putA, and importantly, we demonstrate that PutA from B. abortus is a proline dehydrogenase that is capable of converting proline to glutamate. Overall, these data provide important information about the potential nutrients available to the brucellae during host colonization.

METHODS

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

B. abortus 2308 and derivative strains were routinely grown on Schaedler blood agar (SBA), which is Schaedler agar (Acumedia Neogen) containing 5% defibrinated bovine blood (Quad Five), or in Brucella broth (BD). For cloning and recombinant protein production, Escherichia coli strains (DH5α and BL21) were grown routinely on tryptic soy agar and in Luria–Bertani broth. When appropriate, the growth media were supplemented with kanamycin (45 µg ml⁻¹) or carbenicillin (100 µg ml⁻¹; E. coli strains only).

Construction of ΔputR and Δ putA deletion strains, and reconstruction of ΔputR

The putR locus (bab2_0517; bab_rs28810) in B. abortus 2308 was deleted using a non-polar, unmarked gene excision strategy as described previously [19]. An approximately 1 kb fragment representing the region upstream of the gene extending to the second codon of the coding region was amplified by PCR using the primers ΔputR-Up-For and ΔputR-Up-Rev (Table 1), genomic DNA from B. abortus 2308 as a template, and Pfx polymerase (Invitrogen). Similarly, a fragment containing the last two codons of the coding region extending to approximately 1 kb downstream of putR was amplified with the primers ΔputR-Down-For and ΔputR-Down-Rev (Table 1). The upstream fragment was digested with BamHI, while the downstream fragment was digested with PstI, and both fragments were treated with polynucleotide kinase in the presence of ATP. Both of the DNA fragments were included in a single ligation mix with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Sequence (5′→3′)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ΔputR-Up-For</td>
<td>GCAGATCTTCGCGGCTCCTGCAGAAGTTCACCCATGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔputR-Up-Rev</td>
<td>GTCAGGGCTCTTACTCCGGGCGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔputR-Down-For</td>
<td>TGGCATTCTATAGCTTCCTGATAGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔputR-Down-Rev</td>
<td>GCGTGCAGAAGGATGCTTCCACCCATGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔputA-Up-For</td>
<td>GGTGACGTGTCGACAGGAGGAAGGACGGAAGGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔputA-DN-For</td>
<td>TTCTTGGACATATGGAGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔputA-DN-Rev</td>
<td>CTGACGTTTCTAGATGGAAGAGGATGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔputA-Up-For</td>
<td>GGATCCGGGAGGGAGGAGGACGGAAGGAGGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔputA-DN-Rev</td>
<td>TTACCAGGCCGAGAGGAGGAGGAGGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>putA-DN-Rev</td>
<td>GCAGATCTCGGCGATGCGGAAAGATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>putR-Up-For</td>
<td>GGTGACTCTATATCTACGACAAGGGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>putR-Rev</td>
<td>AGATCCAGGAGGATGCTTCCACCCATGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>putR-A-For</td>
<td>CCTACGAGGGGCCCTACTCCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>putR-A-For</td>
<td>GCGAGAACGGCGGCTCTTCTCGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>putR-A-Rev</td>
<td>CTCAGGATCGACAGGAGGAGGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>putR-A-Rev</td>
<td>TGTTACGGGAGGGGCCTACTCCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>putR-A-Rev</td>
<td>GGATCCGGGAGGGAGGAGGAGGAGGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>putR-A-Rev</td>
<td>CCCAGGATCGACAGGAGGAGGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>putR-lacZ-For</td>
<td>TCTACGGGAGGGGCCTACTCCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>putR-lacZ-Rev</td>
<td>TAAAGCTTCTAGATAGGAGGAGGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>putA-lacZ-For</td>
<td>TAAAGCTTCTAGATAGGAGGAGGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>putA-lacZ-Rev</td>
<td>TAAAGCTTCTAGATAGGAGGAGGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>putR-lacZ-Rev</td>
<td>TAAAGCTTCTAGATAGGAGGAGGAGG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Underlined sections indicate restriction endonuclease recognition sequences.

| Table 1. Oligonucleotide primers used in this study |

The putA locus (bab2_0518; bab_rs28815) was deleted using the strategy described above, but for the generation of the putA deletion construct, the primers ΔputA-Up-For and ΔputA-Up-Rev, and ΔputA-Down-For and ΔputA-Down-Rev were used to amplify the upstream and downstream flanking regions, respectively. The resulting plasmid (pLS010) was introduced into B. abortus 2308, and selection was performed as described above. The isogenic putA mutant derived from B. abortus 2308 was named CC129. The putR mutation in this strain was verified by DNA sequence analysis and Southern hybridization.

The putA locus (bab2_0518; bab_rs28815) was deleted using the strategy described above, but for the generation of the putA deletion construct, the primers ΔputA-Up-For and ΔputA-Up-Rev, and ΔputA-Down-For and ΔputA-Down-Rev were used to amplify the upstream and downstream flanking regions, respectively. The resulting plasmid (pLS010) was introduced into B. abortus 2308, and selection was performed as described above. The isogenic putA mutant derived from B. abortus 2308 was named LS014.

Genetic complementation of the putR was performed by reconstruction of the deleted loci. For this, the putR locus

BamHI/PstI-digested pNPTS138 (M. R. K. Alley, unpublished), which contains a kanamycin resistance marker and sacB gene for counter-selection with sucrose. The resulting plasmid (pC040) was introduced into B. abortus 2308, and merodiploid transformants were obtained by selection on SBA + kanamycin (Table 2). A single kanamycin-resistant clone was grown for ~6 h in Brucella broth and then plated onto SBA containing 10% sucrose. Genomic DNA from sucrose-resistant, kanamycin-sensitive colonies was isolated and screened by PCR for loss of the putR gene, and an isogenic putR mutant derived from B. abortus 2308 was named CC129. The putR mutation in this strain was verified by DNA sequence analysis and Southern hybridization.
Table 1. Plasmids used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pNPTS138</td>
<td>Cloning vector; contains sacB gene; Kan^R</td>
<td>(M. R. K. Alley, unpublished)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMR15</td>
<td>Broad-host range vector containing a promoterless lacZ gene; Kan^R</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pC^O^040</td>
<td>In-frame deletion of putR plus 1 kb of each flanking region in pNPTS138</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pLS010</td>
<td>In-frame deletion of puta plus 1 kb of each flanking region in pNPTS138</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pLS011</td>
<td>Intact putR gene plus 1 kb of each flanking region in pNPTS138</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pLS019</td>
<td>putR coding region in pASK-IBA7</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prPutA</td>
<td>puta coding region in pASK-IBA7</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pLS020</td>
<td>puta-lacZ transcriptional fusion in pMR15</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pLS021</td>
<td>putR-lacZ transcriptional fusion in pMR15</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

was amplified and isolated using the primers 0517-Dn-Rev and 0517-Up-For, digested with PstI and BamHI, and ligated and transformed into a sacB vector, as described above. The resulting complementation plasmid was named pLS011, and introduced into B. abortus 2308 using the previously described selection process. The resulting complemented putR strain was named LS015.

Construction of transcriptional puta-lacZ and putR-lacZ promoter fusions and β-galactosidase assays

The promoter region of the B. abortus 2308 puta gene was fused to a lacZ reporter as a transcriptional fusion. Approximately 170 bp of the putR–A intergenic region was amplified by PCR using the primers puta-lacZ-For and puta-lacZ-Rev (Table 1) and B. abortus 2308 genomic DNA as a template. For the putR-lacZ promoter fusion, the puta–A intergenic region was amplified using the primers putR-lacZ-For and putR-lacZ-Rev (Table 1). The amplified DNA fragments were sequentially digested with BamHI and HindIII and subsequently ligated into BamHI/HindIII-digested pMR15 [20], which contains a promoterless lacZ gene. The puta-lacZ promoter fusion plasmid (pLS020) or the putR-lacZ promoter fusion plasmid (pLS021) was then electroporated into B. abortus 2308 and its derivative strains. B. abortus strains harbouring the puta-lacZ or the putR-lacZ fusion construct were grown in Brucella broth, and β-galactosidase assays were performed as described previously [21].

Quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR)

Quantitative RT-PCR was performed as described previously [19]. Briefly, total Brucella RNA was isolated as above and treated with RNase-free DNase I (Ambion) to remove genomic DNA. cDNA was generated from the final RNA preparation using the SuperScript III cDNA synthesis system (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s protocol, and this cDNA was used for real-time PCR, employing a SYBR green PCR supermix (Roche). For these experiments, primers for 16S RNA were used as a control, while gene-specific primers were used for evaluating relative mRNA levels (Table 1). The parameters for PCR included a single denaturing step for 5 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles (denature for 15 s at 95°C, anneal for 15 s at 50°C and extend for 15 s at 72°C) of amplification. Fluorescence from SYBR green incorporation into double-stranded DNA was measured with an iCycler machine (Bio-Rad), and the relative abundance of mRNA was determined using the Pfaffl equation [22].

Purification of recombinant PutR and PutA proteins

The Strep-tag II system (IBA) was used to produce recombinant Brucella PutR and PutA in E. coli strain BL21. The coding region of the putR gene (bab2_0517; bab_rs28810) was amplified using the primers rPutR-For and rPutR-Rev (Table 1). B. abortus 2308 chromosomal DNA was used as a template, and Taq polymerase (Monserate Biotechnology Group). The amplified DNA fragment was digested with BsaI and ligated into BsaI-digested pASK-IBA7, which encodes an amino-terminal Strep-tag II on the protein of interest, resulting in the plasmid prPutR. Similarly, the coding region of puta (bab2_0518; bab_rs28815) was amplified with the rPutA-For and rPutA-Rev primers (Table 1) from B. abortus 2308 genomic DNA and Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (New England). The fragment and pASK-IBA7 were digested with EcoRI and SfiI and then ligated, creating plasmid prPutA.

The resulting plasmids, prPutR and prPutA, were each transformed into E. coli strain BL21, and the strains harbouring these respective plasmids were grown to an OD_{600 nm} of approximately 0.6 before recombinant gene expression was induced by the addition of anhydrotetracycline (200 µg ml^{-1} final concentration). Following 3 h of incubation at 37°C, the cells were collected by centrifugation (4200 g for 10 min at 4°C) and lysed by treatment with cCellLytic B cell lysis reagent (Sigma) in the presence of the protease inhibitor phenylmethanesulfonylfluoride. The resulting lysed cell suspension was then passed through a French press at 600 p.s.i. and the supernatant from the suspension of lysed cells was collected by centrifugation (14,000 g for 10 min at 4°C). The collected supernatant was passed through an affinity column packed with Strep-Tactin sepharose. The column was washed extensively with buffer W (100 mM Tris-HCl and 150 mM NaCl), and the recombinant protein was eluted with 2.5 mM desthiobiotin in buffer W. The degree of purity of the recombinant PutR and PutA was high as judged by SDS-PAGE.
**Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs)**

ESMAs with recombinant *Brucella* proteins were performed as described previously [23, 24]. All rPutR EMSA experiments were carried out in a 20 µl total reaction volume containing binding buffer composed of 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 50 mM KCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 6 % glycerol, 50 µg ml⁻¹ bovine serum albumin and 50 µg ml⁻¹ salmon sperm DNA. A DNA fragment including the *putR–putA* intergenic region was amplified by PCR from *B. abortus* 2308 chromosomal DNA using a specific primer set (Table 1). The amplified DNA fragment was purified by agarose gel electrophoresis, and the fragments were end-labelled with [γ-³²P]ATP (Perki-nElmer) and polynucleotide kinase (Monserate Biotechnology Group). Increasing amounts of recombinant PutR were mixed with the radiolabelled DNA fragments in binding buffer, and the reactions were incubated at room temperature for 20 min. As controls, ×50 molar concentrations of non-radiolabelled specific DNA (specific competitor) or non-radiolabelled non-specific DNA (i.e. *abcR2* promoter) were added to some reactions. The binding reactions were subjected to electrophoresis on 6 % native polyacrylamide gels in 0.5 × TBE running buffer for approximately 1 h. Following electrophoresis, gels were dried onto 3 mm Whatman paper using a vacuum gel drier system and visualized by autoradiography.

**Assessment of the enzymatic activity of PutA**

The l-proline dehydrogenase activity of rPutA was determined as described previously, but with modifications [25, 26]. The reaction was carried out at room temperature (22 °C) in a solution containing 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5), 25 mM NaCl (pH 7.5), 200 µM NAD⁺, 240 µM coenzyme Q1 (CoQ1), 20 mM l-proline, 1 mM 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), 1 mM 5-methylphenazinium methyl sulfate (PMS) and 0.072 µM rPutA. The reaction was initiated by the addition of rPutA and monitored spectrophotometrically at 568 nm. l-Proline dehydrogenase reaction generated NADH [26], which reduced PMS, which in turn reduced MTT, producing formazan and causing a rise in absorbance at 568 nm [25].

To confirm that l-glutamate was the reaction product of the rPutA reaction, the assay mixture was stored at 4 °C overnight and then centrifuged at 14 000 g for 5 min to remove the precipitated formazan. Subsequently, two units of l-glutamic dehydrogenase (Sigma-Aldrich) were added to the supernatant, and the reduction of NAD⁺ was monitored spectrophotometrically via the subsequent formation of formazan as described above.

**Virulence studies**

Experiments to test the intracellular survival and replication of *Brucella* strains in primary, peritoneal murine macrophages were carried out as described previously [27]. Briefly, resident peritoneal macrophages were isolated from BALB/c mice and seeded in 96-well plates in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium with 5 % foetal bovine serum, and the following day the macrophages were infected with opsonized brucellae at an m.o.i. of 50:1. After 2 h of infection, extracellular bacteria were killed by treatment with gentamicin (50 µg ml⁻¹). In some wells, the macrophages were then lysed with 0.1 % deoxycholate in PBS, and serial dilutions were plated on SBA. In other wells, the macrophages were washed with PBS following gentamicin treatment, and fresh cell-culture medium containing gentamicin (20 µg ml⁻¹) was added to the monolayer. After 24 and 48 h of infection with *Brucella* strains, the macrophages were lysed, and serial dilutions were plated on SBA. Triplicate wells were used for each *Brucella* strain tested.

The experimental methods for assessing the chronic infection of mice by *Brucella* strains were described previously [27]. BALB/c mice (five per *Brucella* strain per time point) were infected intraperitoneally with ~1 × 10⁵ c.f.u. of each *Brucella* strain in sterile PBS. The mice were sacrificed at 1, 4 and 8 weeks post-infection, and serial dilutions of spleen homogenates were plated onto SBA.

**RESULTS**

*B. abortus ΔputR and ΔputA deletion strains are attenuated in a mouse model of infection*

Given that little information is currently available about the role of the proline utilization system in *Brucella* spp., we sought to begin to define the function of *putR* and *putA* by assessing the requirement of these genes for *Brucella* virulence. Specifically, we tested the capacity of the deletion strains to survive and replicate in murine macrophages (Fig. 1a), and for the ability of the strains to colonize experimentally infected mice (Fig. 1b). Peritoneal-derived macrophages from BALB/c mice were infected with *B. abortus* 2308 or the ΔputR and ΔputA strains, and the number of viable intracellular brucellae was determined at 2, 24 and 48 h post-infection. All of the strains exhibited similar levels of intracellular bacteria at all of the tested time points, indicating that PutR and PutA are dispensable for the ability of *B. abortus* to survive and replicate in naïve murine macrophages.

The ΔputR and ΔputA strains were also evaluated in a mouse model of chronic *Brucella* infection, and for this mice were infected intraperitoneally with ~1 × 10⁵ c.f.u. Following 1, 4 and 8 weeks of infection, the mice were euthanized, and bacterial colonization was determined by measuring the number of brucellae present in the spleens of the mice. The ΔputR deletion strain exhibited significantly reduced spleen colonization at 8 weeks post-infection compared to the parental strain 2308, and the ΔputA mutant was significantly attenuated at 1, 4 and 8 weeks post-infection. Altogether, these data indicate that PutR and PutA are required for the full virulence of *B. abortus* in an animal model of infection.

*B. abortus ΔputR is hypersensitive to oxidative stress in vitro*

It has been shown previously that bacterial Put systems are linked to oxidative stress [28–30]. To test the hypothesis that *putR* and *putA* in *B. abortus* are required for the ability
of the bacteria to cope with oxidative stress, we cultivated the bacteria in liquid medium, and then the cultures were treated with hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) at a final concentration of 10 mM. It was observed that the ∆putR strain was killed more rapidly than the parental strain 2308, but the ∆putA strain did not deviate significantly from strain 2308 (Fig. 2a). It is important to note that other stresses were also evaluated in these experiments, including exposure to paraquat, polymyxin B and high concentrations of NaCl, but no significant differences were observed between the ∆putR, ∆putA or 2308 strains (data not shown). To ensure the genetic link between putR and the phenotype observed with H$_2$O$_2$ stress, the putR locus was reconstructed in the ∆putR strain, and the ∆putR-RC strain was tested in the H$_2$O$_2$ exposure assay (Fig. 2b). As expected, reconstruction of putR rescued the deletion strain from the increased sensitivity to H$_2$O$_2$-mediated killing. These in vitro experiments demonstrate that the B. abortus ∆putR deletion strain, but not the ∆putA deletion strain, is hypersensitive to H$_2$O$_2$ stress.

**PutR is a transcriptional activator of putA and putR gene expression**

The putR and putA genes of B. abortus 2308 are located on chromosome II and are designated bab2_0517 (also known as bab_rs28810) and bab2_0518 (also known as bab_rs28815) in the genome sequence, respectively (Fig. 3a). Since the regulatory aspects of the Put system vary across bacterial species, the ability of PutR to regulate the bacteria in liquid medium, and then the cultures were transformed into B. abortus 2308, and the putA and putR genes of B. abortus 2308 are located on B. abortus 2308, indicating that PutR is an activator of putA gene expression. To further examine the regulation of putA by PutR, qRT-PCR was conducted with RNA isolated from B. abortus 2308, ∆putR and ∆putR-RC, and the β-galactosidase activity from each strain was measured (Fig. 3b). The ∆putR deletion strain showed significantly lower levels of β-galactosidase activity compared to the parental strain 2308, and the ∆putR-RC strain exhibited similar levels of β-galactosidase activity to those observed in 2308, indicating that PutR is an activator of putA gene expression. To further examine the regulation of putA by PutR, qRT-PCR was conducted with RNA isolated from B. abortus 2308, ∆putR and ∆putR-RC (Fig. 3d). It was observed that β-galactosidase activity from the putR-lacZ fusion was significantly reduced in the ∆putR strain compared to the parental strain 2308 and, importantly, reconstruction of the putR locus in the ∆putR strain restored β-galactosidase activity from the putR-lacZ fusion to the levels observed in the parental strain 2308. These results indicate that PutR autoregulates its own expression in a positive manner, and altogether these data demonstrate that PutR is a transcriptional activator of putA and putR gene expression in B. abortus 2308.
PutR binds directly to the putR–putA intergenic region

Given that PutR was determined to be a transcriptional activator of putA expression (Fig. 3), we hypothesized that PutR binds directly to the DNA region encompassing the putA promoter, as PutR proteins in other bacteria have been demonstrated to bind directly to DNA to control gene expression [15, 16]. To test this hypothesis, a recombinant PutR (rPutR) protein was produced and purified from E. coli using affinity chromatography, and subsequently the rPutR protein was used in an EMSA with 32P-labelled DNA corresponding to the B. abortus putR–putA intergenic region (Fig. 4). The EMSAs demonstrated concentration-dependent binding of rPutR to the intergenic region and, importantly, interactions between rPutR and the putR–putA intergenic region were observed at nanomolar concentrations. To ensure the specificity of the rPutR–DNA interactions, competition experiments were performed where excess non-labelled putR–putA intergenic region DNA was added to some reactions, and non-labelled DNA from an unrelated promoter (i.e. abcR2 promoter) was included in other binding reactions. Overall, these experiments determined that PutR from B. abortus binds directly and specifically to the putR–putA intergenic region.

PutA is an L-proline dehydrogenase that converts L-proline to L-glutamate

Many bacterial PutA proteins are bifunctional enzymes that catalyse the conversion of proline to glutamate with two sequential enzymatic steps [12, 31, 32], and thus we hypothesized that PutA from B. abortus is an authentic proline dehydrogenase. To test this hypothesis, we produced and purified a recombinant version of PutA (rPutA) to a high degree of homogeneity (Fig. 5a) and determined its enzymatic activity. The conversion of proline to glutamate by PutA requires the reduction of NAD⁺ to NADH [31, 32], and to avoid inhibition of the enzyme by NADH and increase the sensitivity of detection we coupled the reaction to the reduction of MTT with PMS as the intermediate electron carrier [25]. The product of MTT reaction (formazan) was detected at 568 nm. In this assay, we recorded an increase in absorbance at 568 nm, which did not occur if rPutA was not included. This observation demonstrated that NAD⁺ was reduced by rPutA with L-proline as the substrate (Fig. 5b). To determine if this reaction culminated in the generation of L-glutamate, the respective supernatant was assayed with L-glutamic dehydrogenase, which reduces NAD⁺ in the presence of L-glutamate. Indeed, the addition of L-glutamate dehydrogenase to the supernatant of the reaction mixture with rPutA caused a rise in the absorbance at 568 nm; the reaction mixture without rPutA did not lead to such an observation (Fig. 5c). Taken together, these data demonstrated that the PutA protein is an L-proline dehydrogenase that catalyses the conversion of L-proline to L-glutamate in B. abortus.

Interestingly, PutA proteins in some bacteria, such as Salmonella, are trifunctional, as they possess the ability to bind DNA and regulate gene expression in addition to proline dehydrogenase activity [33, 34]. However, the B. abortus PutA protein does not appear to be capable of binding DNA, as it lacks the known DNA-binding motif found in the amino-terminus of trifunctional PutA proteins, and in a direct test PutA did not exhibit DNA-binding activity (data not shown). Taken together, these data demonstrate that the PutA protein is a bifunctional enzyme that catalyses the conversion of proline to glutamate in B. abortus.
mRNA in PutR is a transcriptional activator of Fig. 3. Genetic organization of putR and putA on chromosome II in B. abortus 2308. putR (bab2_0517) is divergently oriented to putA (bab2_0518). β-Galactosidase activity of a putA-lacZ transcriptional fusion. The indicated Brucella strains carrying a plasmid containing the putA-lacZ transcriptional fusion were grown in Brucella broth to the late exponential phase, and the β-galactosidase activity from each strain was measured. The bar graph shows the average number of Miller units from each strain, and a significant difference between the parental strain 2308 and the ΔputR strain was determined using a t-test (P<0.05) and is indicated by an asterisk (*).

**DISCUSSION**

The data point towards a model in which PutR acts as the transcriptional activator of putA, with PutA acting as a functional proline dehydrogenase in *Brucella*. Furthermore, it shows that putR and putA are both required for a virulent infection, and may be necessary to help mitigate host-generated stresses in the infection processes, specifically oxidative stress.

Both putA and putR have been described in several α-proteobacteria that are closely related to *Brucella*. In *Rhodobacter capsulatus*, the Lrp-type transcriptional protein PutR acts as a transcriptional activator of putA [16]. For *Rhodobacter*, the Put system is inducible by proline, the addition of which leads to the transcriptional activation of putA via PutR, with PutR subsequently suppressing putR, and PutA being further capable of auto-regulating putA. In contrast, PutR in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* is capable of auto-regulation, but PutA is non-essential for its own regulation [15, 17]. In *Sinorhizobium meliloti*, PutA acts as an autogenous repressor but is non-inducible by proline [18].
The Put system has also been linked to the ability of various pathogens to colonize and infect hosts. In *S. melliloti*, a ΔputA strain has a weakened ability to form root colonies [35] and, moreover, strains complemented with additional copies of *putA* are more competitive at establishing a symbiotic relationship with alfalfa [36, 37]. The Put system has also been linked to infectivity in both *Helicobacter hepaticus* and *Helicobacter pylori* [28, 29, 38], and the Put system plays a role in regulating the cell cycle within *Ehrlichia chaffeeensis* [39]. Our data demonstrate that PutA and PutR are also required for *B. abortus* to infect animals (Fig. 1), and thus, it is possible that proline is available to the brucellae during host colonization; however, further work is needed to empirically determine whether proline is an essential host-supplied amino acid for *Brucella* spp.

There are two likely reasons for the Put system to contribute to virulence: it may provide an efficient respiratory pathway and/or it may aid in inducing anti-oxidative pathways. PutA acts in a two-step process to generate glutamate from proline [12, 31, 32]. In the first step, proline is oxidized to Δ1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate, which is then non-enzymatically hydrolysed to glutamate-γ-semialdehyde. This step leads to the reduction of FAD and the regeneration of ubiquinone in the TCA cycle. In the second step, glutamate-γ-semialdehyde is oxidized to glutamate, generating NADH. From glutamate, α-ketoglutarate can be generated by *Brucella* to enter into the TCA cycle [40]. Thus, this mechanism represents a potentially efficient system for generating cellular energy products and for using proline as a respiratory metabolite, and given that PutA is an active proline dehydrogenase in *Brucella* (Fig. 5), this mechanism is highly plausible.

It has also been shown that the PutA acts to induce anti-oxidative stress genes in *E. coli* through production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [28, 30]. The exact mechanism for this ROS production was not explored, but it was suggested that ROS were generated through the increased flux through the electron transport chain, leading to the formation of superoxide species and production of *H₂O₂*. This rise of reaction containing rPutA, and the negative control reaction that contains no rPutA is illustrated with the red line. (c) Confirmation of L-glutamate production by rPutA. The reaction mixtures containing rPutA (black line) and the negative control reaction (no rPutA; red line) mixture were subjected to centrifugation at 14,000 g for 5 min to remove previously formed formazan precipitate. The supernatants were then collected and their absorbance was tracked via a spectrophotometer at 568 nm. The baseline of the reaction was recorded for 5 min before the addition of two units of L-glutamic dehydrogenase to the reaction mixture to confirm the presence of L-glutamate produced from the previous reaction. The black arrow indicates the time when L-glutamic dehydrogenase was added.

**Fig. 5.** The *B. abortus* PutA protein is an L-proline dehydrogenase. (a) Purification of a recombinant *B. abortus* PutA protein (rPutA). The rPutA protein was produced and purified in *E. coli* using the pASK-IBA7 expression system, and the rPutA protein was purified to a high degree of homogeneity. (b) Enzymatic conversion of L-proline to L-glutamate by rPutA. The reaction was tracked by measuring the increase in absorbance at 568 nm via a spectrophotometer, which monitored the consumption of proline by measuring the reduction of MTT to formazan. The reaction was conducted at room temperature in 50 mM potassium phosphate and 25 mM NaCl (pH 7.5), 20 mM L-proline, 200 µM NAD, 240 µM CoQ1, 1 mM MTT, 1 mM PMS and 0.072 µM rPutA. The baseline of the reaction was recorded for 5 min before the addition of rPutA (indicated by the arrow). The black line depicts the non-conservation of regulatory mechanisms fits with the picture that the Put systems have adapted in response to the individual host–pathogen or environmental conditions, as well as the interactions of specific ecological niches.
endogenous H$_2$O$_2$ would then be sufficient to induce antioxidative systems, and the higher basal level of anti-oxidative systems could result in oxidative stress resistance. In Brucella, the two-component system NtrX/NtrY was shown to be a redox sensor, and essential for virulent infection [41], and intriguingly, this system regulates putA in Ehr. chaffeensis [39]. While no connection between the NtrX/NtrY system and the Put system is known of in Brucella, this tentatively shows that the antioxidative functions of PutA may be important in Brucella. Interestingly, we did not observe a defect in the ability of the B. abortus ΔputA strain to cope with oxidative stress, but rather, the ΔputR strain exhibited increased sensitivity to H$_2$O$_2$ stress (Fig. 2). This indicates that PutR is involved in the appropriate response of the B. abortus to H$_2$O$_2$ stress, but it is possible that PutR controls the expression of genes other than putA to mediate this oxidative stress response function. This possibility is currently being investigated by our group.

Given that PutR positively regulates the expression of putA in B. abortus (Fig. 3), the observation that the B. abortus ΔputA strain exhibited a more robust attenuation compared to the ΔputR strain was surprising (Fig. 1), because it might be expected that both the putA and putR deletion strains should exhibit similar levels of colonization in the animal model. However, our results show that while putA expression is significantly decreased in the ΔputR strain, the expression of putA is not completely abolished, and thus the ΔputA and ΔputR strains should not display equivalent levels of virulence in the mouse model of infection. Additionally, as postulated in the previous paragraph, it is likely that PutR controls the expression of other genes in B. abortus, and therefore it is not surprising that the ΔputR and ΔputA strains exhibit dissimilar virulence phenotypes.

Ultimately, the findings presented show that the Put system is essential for virulent infection of Brucella, but future work is needed to further characterize the exact roles that proline and the Put system play in establishing infection. Specifically, there is currently no proline-specific uptake system described in the brucellae, and it will be important to identify and characterize such a transport system in order to test the hypothesis that proline is a host-supplied nutrient available to the bacteria during infection. Additionally, a putative proline sensor–regulator system, PrlS/PrlR, has been described previously [42], but it remains to be elucidated if there is a link between PrlS/PrlR, the Put system and Brucella virulence. While these critical areas remain to be investigated, the present work clearly demonstrates the importance of the proline utilization system for B. abortus in vivo.
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