Synthesis of N-acetyl-d-quinovosamine in Rhizobium etli CE3 is completed after its 4-keto-precursor is linked to a carrier lipid
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Abstract
Bacterial O-antigens are synthesized on lipid carriers before being transferred to lipopolysaccharide core structures. Rhizobium etli CE3 lipopolysaccharide is a model for understanding O-antigen biological function. CE3 O-antigen structure and genetics are known. However, proposed enzymology for CE3 O-antigen synthesis has been examined very little in vitro, and even the sugar added to begin the synthesis is uncertain. A model based on mutagenesis studies predicts that 2-acetamido-2,6-dideoxy-d-glucose (QuiNAc) is the first O-antigen sugar and that genes wreV, wreQ and wreU direct QuiNAc synthesis and O-antigen initiation. Previously, synthesis of UDP-QuiNAc was shown to occur in vitro with a WreV orthologue (4,6-hexose dehydratase) and WreQ (4-reductase), but the WreQ catalysis in this conventional deoxyhexose-synthesis pathway was very slow. This seeming deficiency was explained in the present study after WreU transferase activity was examined in vitro. Results fit the prediction that WreU transfers sugar-1-phosphate to bactoprenyl phosphate (BpP) to initiate O-antigen synthesis. Interestingly, WreU demonstrated much higher activity using the product of the WreV catalysis [UDP-4-keto-6-deoxy-GlcNAc (UDP-KdgNAc)] as the sugar-phosphate donor than using UDP-QuiNAc. Furthermore, the WreQ catalysis with WreU-generated BpPP-KdgNAc as the substrate was orders of magnitude faster than with UDP-KdgNAc. The inferred product BpPP-QuiNAc reacted as an acceptor substrate in an in vitro assay for addition of the second O-antigen sugar, mannose. These results imply a novel pathway for 6-deoxyhexose synthesis that may be commonly utilized by bacteria when QuiNAc is the first sugar of a polysaccharide or oligosaccharide repeat unit: UDP-GlcNAc → UDP-KdgNAc → BpPP-KdgNAc → BpPP-QuiNAc.

INTRODUCTION
O polysaccharide, or O-antigen, is the outermost component of the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) that is the major constituent of the outer leaflet of the outer membrane in bacteria [1]. Bacterial mutants lacking O-antigen have deficiencies that reveal the profound physiological and ecological importance of this portion of LPS [2–8]. For instance, complete and abundant O-antigen (Fig. 1a) of the model bacterium of this study, Rhizobium etli strain CE3, is indispensable for infection and development of nitrogen-fixing root nodules on its legume host, Phaseolus vulgaris [9–11]. The CE3 O-antigen is also an intriguing model for studying polysaccharide biosynthesis. It has features, such as its precisely controlled number of repeat units [12], which are not readily explained by known mechanisms. Making it attractive is also the fact that all 29 genes considered necessary specifically for its synthesis have been mutated. For instance, it has been possible to identify nine genes encoding glycosyltransferases (GTs), and, by biochemical analysis of truncated LPS from each GT mutant, to explain which sugar linkages are catalysed by each of the nine GTs [13] (Fig. 1b). However, these assignments have not been confirmed by investigation in vitro with purified enzymes and defined substrates. The initial step of the biosynthesis is a logical first reaction to investigate.

The biosynthesis of all characterized O-antigens is believed to share a conserved initial type of reaction catalysed by a family of GTs that are integral membrane proteins. The initiating GTs catalyse transfer of a sugar-1-phosphate moiety from a nucleotide-sugar donor to the membrane lipid
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Fig. 1. (a) Structure of *Rhizobium etli* CE3 O-antigen. The O-antigen structure of *R. etli* LPS is shown linked to the lipid A core. Abbreviations for the sugars: QuiNAc, N-acetyl-D-quinovosamine; Man, mannose; Fuc, fucose; MeGlcA, methyl-glucuronate; 3OMe6dTal, 3-O-methyl-6-deoxytalose; terminal residue, TOMFuc, 2,3,4-tri-O-methylfucose or DOMFuc, 2,3-di-O-methylfucose. The proposed first O-antigen sugar, QuiNAc, is highlighted. (b) *R. etli* CE3 O-antigen genetic clusters. Upper panel: the chromosomal wre gene cluster (previously called lps region a) spanning nucleotides 784 527 to 812 262 of the genome sequence consists of 25 predicted ORFs. Another chromosomal ORF (wreQ) spanning nucleotides 2 969 313 to 2 970 242 is required for QuiNAc synthesis [27]. Lower panel: a 4-kilobase cluster on plasmid pCFN42b consists of three predicted ORFs. In each panel the predicted GTase-encoding genes are in grey. Genes encoding enzymes studied in the current work, wreG, wreQ, wreU and wreV, are specifically labelled. (c) Two hypotheses of O-antigen initiation in *R. etli* CE3. Reactions in each hypothesis and the enzyme that catalyses each reaction are indicated. In both hypotheses, the first reaction (1) is the same, the conversion of UDP-GlcNAc to UDP-KdgNAc catalysed by the predicted 4,6-dehydratase WreV. The two hypotheses differ in reactions (2) and (3). In hypothesis 1, KdgNAc is reduced to QuiNAc on the UDP linkage by WreQ and then QuiNAc-1-P is transferred by WreU. In hypothesis 2, KdgNAc-1-P is transferred by WreU first and then KdgNAc is reduced to QuiNAc by WreQ on the Und-PP linkage.
carrier bactoprenyl phosphate (BpP), resulting in bactoprenyl-phosphoryl-sugar (BpPP-sugar) [14, 15]. Due to the difficulty in obtaining purified enzymes and the limited availability of substrates, this initial step in the synthesis of an O-antigen has been demonstrated in only a few cases [16–18]. In *R. etli* CE3 O-antigen (Fig. 1a), the proposed first sugar is 2-acetamido-2,6-dideoxy-d-glucose (d-QuiNAc, hereafter referred to as QuiNAc) [12, 13, 19]. Although QuiNAc is found in a number of bacterial polysaccharides, the mechanism of its incorporation into a polysaccharide, in particular as the initiating sugar, has not been reported. The predicted initiating GT for *R. etli* CE3 O-antigen synthesis is encoded by the *wreU* gene (Fig. 1b) [13]. The LPS of a *wreU* null mutant lacks all O-antigen-specific sugars including QuiNAc [13].

QuiNAc is derived from the central metabolite UDP-GlcNAc (UDP-N-acetyl-d-glucosamine [UDP-2-acetamido-2,6-dideoxy-d-glucose]) [19, 20]. Besides WreU, two additional enzyme activities are expected in a pathway from UDP-GlcNAc to BpPP-QuiNAc (Fig. 1c). The first is a 4,6-dehydratase that catalyses conversion of UDP-GlcNAc to UDP-2-acetamido-2,6-dideoxy-d-xylo-4-hexulose (also known as UDP-4-keto-6-deoxyGlcNAc and hereafter referred to as UDP-KdgNAc). *R. etli* gene *wreV* (Fig. 1b) encodes a protein whose predicted sequence aligns with enzymes known to catalyse this reaction in *vitro* [19, 21–25]. The gene for one of these characterized enzymes, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* *wbpM*, complements *R. etli* *wreV* mutants [19].

The other expected enzyme activity is a 4-reductase that catalyses the reduction of the KdgNAc moiety to QuiNAc (Fig. 1c). Analysis of the *R. etli* CFN42 total nucleotide sequence assigns this type of activity to the protein encoded by *wreQ* (Fig. 1b), and mutation of this gene has previously been shown to cause the absence of QuiNAc from the *R. etli* CE3 LPS [26]. Recently, it was shown that WreQ catalyses the conversion of UDP-KdgNAc to UDP-QuiNAc in *vitro* [19]. However, the catalysis by WreQ was relatively very slow, raising the question of whether UDP-KdgNAc is the natural substrate of WreQ in *vivo*. Also relevant is the fact that in the small amount of LPS O-antigen produced by a *wreQ* null mutant, the QuiNAc residue is replaced by KdgNAc [27]. This result raises the possibility that WreU acts on either the QuiNAc or KdgNAc moiety, or, considering the observed slow WreQ catalysis with UDP-KdgNAc as the substrate, the normal route to QuiNAc in *vivo* may be the one shown in Fig. 1(c) as hypothesis 2.

In the present study, the alternative hypothetical pathways of Fig. 1(c) were tested by an *in vitro* biochemical approach using enzymes expressed from hybrid cloned genes in *Escherichia coli*. In addition, BpPP-QuiNAc was shown to be a functional acceptor substrate for the next step in CE3 O-antigen synthesis in an assay *in vitro* using the predicted sugar donor and the predicted transferase encoded by gene *wreG*.

**RESULTS**

**Recombinant *R. etli* WreU was expressed in *E. coli***

The *wreU* gene of *R. etli* CE3 was cloned into a pET15b vector, yielding a genetic construct from which the expressed WreU protein included an amino-terminal six-histidine (His6) tag. When this ORF was subcloned into a vector that replicates in *E. coli*, its expression complemented the LPS-deficient phenotype of *R. etli* *wreU*-null mutant strain CE566 (Fig. S1, available with the online version of this article). After overexpression in *E. coli*, His6-WreU was found exclusively in the cell membrane fraction. Attempts to purify His6-WreU free of membrane were not successful despite trying various detergents, various expression conditions, and making other types of WreU constructs. Thus, the *E. coli* membrane fraction containing His6-WreU was used in the *in vitro* studies of WreU.

**WreU possessed GT activity with preference for UDP-KdgNAc as the nucleotide-sugar substrate**

For testing WreU enzymatic activity, the lipid carrier substrate, undecaprenyl phosphate (Und-P), was synthesized in *situ* from undecaprenol and ATP with an enzyme having polyrenol kinase activity as described by [28]. UDP-KdgNAc or UDP-QuiNAc, each enzymatically synthesized as described previously [19], or UDP-GlcNAc was added as a possible nucleotide-sugar substrate. The reactions were started by adding WreU-containing membranes, or control membranes lacking WreU, and terminated by chloroform-methanol extraction. Und-PP-sugars, such as the predicted products of WreU catalysis, partition into the organic phase in this type of extraction thereby separating them from the nucleotide-sugar substrates [29, 30]. To facilitate visualization of the product after TLC separation, the lipid substrate Und-P was labelled with 32P by using ATP (γ-32P) in its synthesis. The result of the WreU assay is shown in Fig. 2. An abundant product corresponding to an undecaprenyl pyrophosphate-linked sugar (Und-PP-sugar) candidate (compound I) was detected in the reaction only with UDP-KdgNAc as the nucleotide-sugar substrate (Fig. 2, lane 4). In the reaction with an equal concentration of UDP-QuiNAc, a faint spot representing a different Und-PP-sugar candidate (compound II) was observed (Fig. 2, lane 5), and no product was detected in the reaction with UDP-GlcNAc (Fig. 2, lane 3). When quantified with a phosphorimager, compound I in lane 4 had a 30-fold higher intensity than compound II in lane 5. Importantly, the production of compounds I and II required both the lipid substrate Und-P and the enzyme WreU (Fig. 2, lane 1, 2). These results provided evidence that WreU is an initiating GT and UDP-KdgNAc is the preferred sugar-P-donor substrate. UDP-QuiNAc was much less favoured, and UDP-GlcNAc led to no detectable reaction.
The foregoing results with WreU were consistent with the second step of hypothesis 2 (Fig. 1c). Hence, the next step of this hypothesis was tested: does WreQ catalyse the reduction of Und-PP-KdgNAc to Und-PP-QuiNAc? His{	extsuperscript{6}}-WreQ had been produced and purified in a previous study, in which it had been shown to catalyse the reduction of D-KdgNAc stereospecifically to D-QuiNAc [19].

A WreU-WreQ-coupled assay was carried out with $^{32}$P-radiolabelling (Fig. 3). The Und-$^{32}$P-KdgNAc (compound I) produced in the WreU reaction (Fig. 3, lane 1) served as a substrate for WreQ. NADH was chosen as the reducing substrate. When both WreQ and NADH were added to the WreU reaction mixture, compound I was completely converted to a faster-moving compound (compound II) (Fig. 3, lane 4). When NADH alone was added without WreQ, no conversion occurred (Fig. 3, lane 3). When WreQ was added but NADH was omitted, a very small amount of compound II was produced (Fig. 3, lane 2), possibly due to contaminating NADH from the crude WreU enzyme (membrane).

**WreQ catalysed the reduction of KdgNAc to QuiNAc on Und-PP linkage**

The result of the WreU-WreQ-coupled assay suggested that WreQ has Und-PP-KdgNAc (compound I) reductase activity, leading to Und-PP-QuiNAc (compound II) as the product. WreQ can also catalyse UDP-KdgNAc reduction to UDP-QuiNAc in vitro, but that reaction is relatively slow [19]. The rates of catalysis with Und-PP-KdgNAc as the substrate versus UDP-KdgNAc as the substrate were compared by TLC and autoradiography in the following experiments.

To provide conditions for estimating the rate of a WreQ-catalysed reduction of the lipidated substrate Und-PP-KdgNAc, the WreU-WreQ-coupled reactions were performed with serially diluted WreQ concentrations, and the
WreQ reaction was allowed to proceed for only 1 min (instead of 1 h in the experiment shown in Fig. 3). The conversion of Und-PP-KdgNAc (compound I) to Und-PP-QuiNAc (compound II) gradually increased with decreasing dilution of WreQ (from $10^{-6}$ to $10^{-2}$) (Fig. 4a, lanes 2–6). The negative control (0 min) indicated that the method to stop the reaction was effective (Fig. 4a, lane 1). At $10^{-2}$ dilution, the conversion was almost complete in 1 min (Fig. 4a, lane 6), whereas the reactions with $10^{-3}$ and $10^{-4}$ diluted WreQ enzyme were slow enough that reaction rates/enzyme concentration ($V/[E]$) could be estimated (Table 1).

For visual comparison, the WreQ catalysis with the nucleotide substrate UDP-KdgNAc was carried out with a single (much higher) WreQ concentration and terminated at different time points (Fig. 4b). The reaction showed near linear progression and the data obtained at 30 min reaction time (Fig. 4b, lane 2) was used for calculation of the reaction rate (Table 1). The $V/[E]$ calculated from the results in Fig. 4 indicated that the WreQ catalysis was at least two orders of magnitude faster when KdgNAc was linked to Und-PP rather than UDP (Table 1), even though the lipidated substrate was presented at 1000-fold lower concentration.

**WreG catalysed the addition of the second O-antigen sugar (mannose) to Und-PP-QuiNAc**

A previous study based on mutant phenotypes proposed that WreG is the GT that transfers the second O-antigen sugar mannose (Man) to QuiNAc [13]. The in vitro assay system developed in the present study provided a means to obtain biochemical evidence for the role of WreG and to confirm that Und-PP-QuiNAc is the precursor for further
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Table 1. Comparing the estimated enzymatic activities of WreQ with the two substrates, UDP-KdgNac and Und-PP-KdgNac

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Substrate concentration (µM)</th>
<th>WreQ concentration (µM)</th>
<th>Substrate conversion (%)</th>
<th>Product concentration (µM)</th>
<th>Reaction time (min)</th>
<th>Reaction rate V</th>
<th>Substrate conversion per active site per min V/E (µM min⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UDP-KdgNac</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Und-PP-KdgNac</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Und-PP-QuinNac</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.00028</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

O-antigen synthesis. As a first step, the wreG gene of *R. etli* CE3 was cloned into vector pET21b such that the expressed WreG protein in *E. coli* included a carboxy-terminal His₆ tag. When this ORF was subcloned into a vector that replicates in *R. etli*, its expression complemented the LPS-deficient phenotype of *R. etli* wreG-null mutant strain CE358 (Fig. S2). To test the enzymatic activity of WreG-His₆, two potential acceptor substrates, Und-PP-KdgNac (compound I) and Und-PP-QuinNac (compound II), were produced by the WreU reaction and the WreU-WreQ-coupled reaction, respectively (Fig. 5, lanes 2 and 3). GDP-Man was added as the donor of Man, and WreG was added as a crude membrane fraction (Fig. 5, lanes 4 and 5) or as purified enzyme (Fig. 5, lane 6).

Und-PP-KdgNac (compound I) remained almost unchanged when crude WreG and GDP-Man were included in the WreU reaction (compare lane 4 to lane 2 in Fig. 5). In contrast, the Und-PP-QuinNac (compound II) produced when WreG was added to the WreU reaction mixture was converted to a slower-moving compound (compound III) when WreG and GDP-Man were also added (as shown by lanes 5 and 6 compared to lane 3 in Fig. 5). When WreG was added as a crude membrane preparation, compound II was almost completely replaced by compound III (Fig. 5, lane 5), whereas the purified WreG was less active, as revealed by partial conversion of compound II to compound III in lane 6 of Fig. 5.

Based on the substrate requirements for its formation, compound III was inferred to be Und-PP-QuinNac-Man, the predicted lipid-linked disaccharide resulting from the GT activity of WreG. Because compound III migration was nearly identical to Und-P in TLC solvent A (Fig. 5a), a different solvent (solvent B) was also used. This second solvent system separated compound III from Und-P and other radiolabeled compounds (Fig. 5b).

The result of this assay provided *in vitro* evidence that WreG is the mannosyltransferase for adding the second O-antigen sugar (Man) in *R. etli* CE3. Furthermore, Und-PP-QuinNac was utilized much more readily than Und-PP-KdgNac as the acceptor of Man in *in vitro*, suggesting that normally the reduction of KdgNac to QuiNac by WreQ would occur before Man addition.

**DISCUSSION**

Results in this study lead to the following inferences regarding QuiNac and O-antigen synthesis in *R. etli*, as discussed further in succeeding paragraphs: (1) the conversion of D-GleNac to D-QuinNac in *R. etli* occurs in an unconventional manner compared with other characterized deoxy sugars (i.e. it follows hypothesis 2 of Fig. 1c). As with biosynthesis of other 6-deoxysugars, it proceeds with formation of a 4-keto-6-deoxyhexose intermediate (KdgNac). However, the KdgNac-P intermediate is first transferred from nucleotide linkage to a bactoprenyl phosphate carrier before undergoing 4-reduction to the 6-deoxy product, QuiNac. (2) The switch from nucleotide linkage to lipid carrier is directed by WreU, whose reaction requirements confirm the prediction that it is the initiating GT for *R. etli* O-antigen biosynthesis. The specificity of WreU for KdgNac dictates that bactoprenyl-PP-KdgNac is the first lipid-linked O-antigen intermediate. (3) The unconventional lipidated-substrate specificity of 4-reductase WreQ is responsible for delaying conversion of KdgNac to QuiNac until KdgNac is attached to bactoprenol-PP. Without WreQ, KdgNac would be the predicted proximal sugar of the final O-antigen, and, in fact such is the case in the small amount of O-antigen produced in *wreQ*-null mutant strain CE166 [27]. (4) The acceptor-substrate specificity of mannosyltransferase WreG ensures that QuiNac predominately replaces KdgNac before O-antigen synthesis can proceed. WreG operates fastest with bactoprenyl-PP-QuinNac and thereby dictates that QuiNac and WreQ are needed for efficient synthesis of the *R. etli* CE3 O-antigen.

WreU is a UDP-KdgNac:bactoprenyl-P KdgNac-1-P transferase (reaction 2 of hypothesis 2 in Fig. 1c). This conclusion is based on (1) the substrate requirements of the reaction it catalyses, (2) physical and chemical properties of the inferred product, and (3) the degree of sequence alignment with other characterized initiating GTs. The lipid substrate of WreU in the *in vitro* reactions was undecaprenyl phosphate (Und-P or C₅₅-P). However, the exact form of bactoprenol lipid carrier in *R. etli* CE3 is not known. It is likely dodecaprenol-P (C₆₀-P) as reported for *Rhizobium leguminosarum* 3841 and *Sinorhizobium meliloti* 1021 [31]. Of the two hypothetical sugar-donor substrates (Fig. 1c, hypothesis 1 vs hypothesis 2), UDP-KdgNac yielded 30-fold greater activity than UDP-QuinNac *in vitro*.
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The lack of activity with UDP-GlcNAc as the donor substrate indicates that WreU requires the 6-deoxy moiety for activity. The products, compounds I (from UDP-KdgNAc) and II (from UDP-QuiNAc), behaved in solvent extraction and relative TLC migration as would be predicted. They also carried the input $^{32}$P of the lipid substrate. The WreU enzyme assay was also carried out with different radiolabels and the $^{3}H$-labelled product showed the same relative migration on TLC as the $^{32}P$-compound I (data not shown). Hence, radiolabelling provided additional evidence of both the input lipid and the sugar being present in the product.

A final argument for the enzymatic identity of WreU is based on the predicted amino-acid sequence of translated wreU. The predicted topology and sequence alignment of WreU (Fig. S3) places it within a large subgroup of the superfamily of initiating GTs represented by the active carboxy-terminal portion of Salmonella WbaP [18], WecP from Aeromonas hydrophila AH-3 [17], and PglC from Campylobacter jejuni NCTC 11168 [32]. Like other members of this subgroup, WreU is predicted to have a single transmembrane segment near the amino-terminus followed by a cytoplasmic catalytic domain that constitutes the rest of the polypeptide of these ‘small’ phospho-GTs [33].

WreQ catalysed the inferred 4-reduction of KdgNAc to QuiNAc orders of magnitude faster when KdgNAc was attached to Und-PP than when it was attached to UDP. The very slow reduction of UDP-KdgNAc by the WreQ catalysis reported in a previous study [19] is thereby explained. The study of that slower reaction, however, had the advantage that it was chemically very clean and allowed definitive demonstration that the QuiNAc produced by the WreQ catalysis has the $\delta$-stereo configuration [19].

Although this may be the first report of 6-deoxyhexose biosynthesis in this way, a conceptually analogous precedent is N-acetylglactosamine (GalNAc) synthesis in E. coli by the Gnu pathway, in which the WecA-initiating GT first transfers GlcNAc-1-P to Und-P and the Und-PP-GlcNAc product is converted to Und-PP-GalNAc via a Gnu epimerase [34, 35]. The overall outcome is to generate a primer for synthesis of a polysaccharide or oligosaccharide repeat that will have GalNAc at its reducing terminus. This is exactly analogous to the apparent metabolic role of the WreV-WreU-WreQ pathway and its product bactoprenyl-PP-QuiNAc in R. etli.

Another pathway for d-QuiNAc synthesis was reported recently [20]. It proceeds by the first two steps as outlined in hypothesis I of Fig. 1c, i.e. the path not followed by WreV-WreU-WreQ in R. etli. Discovered in Bacillus cereus strain ATCC 14579, it is catalysed by a 4,6-dehydratase and a 4-reductase that are not homologous with WreV and WreQ [20]. Whereas the bactoprenyl-P-coupled pathway of the Proteobacteria seems suited to provide QuiNAc only to begin polysaccharides and oligosaccharide repeat units, this pathway in the bacilli conceivably could be used to provide QuiNAc either for interior glycosyl positions or the initial position in a growing chain. Surprisingly, though, this more conventional pathway may be very limited phylogenetically. The 4-reductase, Preq, shows high sequence similarity only with proteins in other bacilli and perhaps certain closely related firmicutes. An extensive database search did not find it in Proteobacteria, where the bactoprenyl-P-coupled
pathway catalysed by WreV-WreU-WreQ orthologues is widely distributed (Table S1).

Results obtained with WreG validated the functionality of the in vitro product of WreU and WreQ activity for CE3 O-antigen synthesis. Based on its structure and the responsible wre genes, the CE3 O-antigen synthesis has been deduced [13] to follow the lesser known of the two common overall mechanisms of O-antigen synthesis [1], in which the complete O-antigen with all repeat units is made on the cytoplasmic face of the inner membrane and then transported across the membrane. The model for CE3 O-antigen [13] proposes that QuiNAc is the primer residue [1, 36] for the remainder of O-antigen synthesis, with Man being the next ‘adaptor’ sugar added (Fig. 1a). WreG is the predicted transferase that catalyses this addition and GDP-Man is the donor substrate for Man addition. The results of the in vitro assay of WreG activity (Fig. 5) supported both predictions of the model and Und-PP-QuiNAc as the product from the WreU and WreQ catalysis. The existence of WreQ and the specificity of WreG are coupled. Selectivity for the 4-OH of QuiNAc by WreG [i.e. much slower catalysis with bactoprenyl-PP-KdgNAc (Fig. 5)] is the key reason that WreQ-null mutants have low abundance of O-antigen [26]. However, WreG in vivo apparently has enough activity with KdgNAc as the Man acceptor that such mutants have a low amount of O-antigen that is identical to the normal O-antigen except for substitution of KdgNAc for QuiNAc [27]. A faint spot visible in lane 4 of Fig. 5, (circled in Fig. S4a) may be due to this lower activity of WreG with Und-PP-KdgNAc as the acceptor substrate in vitro. This logic leads to the prediction that greatly increasing the specific concentration of just the WreG enzyme will lead to higher O-antigen production in a wreQ-minus genetic background. Fig. S4(b) shows results that confirm this prediction. This result explains the basis of the genetic suppression of the WreQ-minus phenotype by multiple copies of the main wre cluster [26]. Importantly, it also supports hypothesis 2 over hypothesis 1 of Fig. 1(c) by means of in vivo results that are independent of the in vitro assays.

The phylogenetic distribution of this pathway of QuiNAc synthesis was investigated by BLAST searches of the sequenced protein database (Table S1). At least 40 genera had at least one strain that carried orthologues of all three genes—wreV, wreU and wreQ. Two genera of green-sulfur bacteria had strong matches, but almost all of the rest were in the Proteobacteriaceae, with all its subphyla being represented (Table S1). BLAST e-values were less than e−30 for all three homologues in all strains.

It should be noted that WreQ orthologues are the genes needed specifically for QuiNAc synthesis by the bactoprenyl pathway. As stated above, WreV-WreQ are often linked with WreU orthologues, but, at lower frequency, they are found with orthologues of WbpL, another initiating GT. In a limited search of WreQ hits with e-values below e−89, 211 were linked to a WreU homologue (Table S1) and 63 to a WbpL homologue (Table S2). WreU and WbpL are not homologous; they represent the two very different types of initiating GT structures. It is reasonable to suppose that other initiating GT subtypes are coupled with WreVQ in a strain, depending on how the genetic cluster has evolved.

Recently, Colwellia psychrerythaeca 34 h, was found to make an ‘antifreeze’ polysaccharide that has a repeat unit containing QuiNAc [37]. Its ability to synthesize QuiNAc had been predicted the previous year [19] because it had WreV and WreQ sequence matches with very low e-values. A gene whose encoded protein has a significant match with WreU is adjacent to the wreQ orthologue on the genome, and the wreV orthologue is separated by three genes (Fig. S5).

In summary, results in this study strongly support the second of the two alternative hypotheses of Fig. 1(c). A main conclusion is that biosynthesis of QuiNAc in R. etli CE3 (and probably in many other bacteria) is tightly coupled to initiation of the synthesis of a polysaccharide on which it is ultimately the first (reducing-end) sugar. Fig. 6 depicts this coupling, the steps in the pathway, and its association with the membrane. Phylogenetic searches suggest that this pathway is distributed widely among the Proteobacteria. The outcome is Bp-PP-QuiNAc, which in R. etli CE3 becomes the platform for the rest of O-antigen synthesis, the next step of which was also demonstrated in this study and is depicted in Fig. 6 as well.

METHODS

Bacterial strains and growth conditions
Rhizobium etli CE3 was derived from R. etli wild-type strain CFN42 by a spontaneous mutation conferring resistance to streptomycin [38]. As in almost all past studies of the LPS of R. etli CFN42, strain CE3 was the wild-type source of DNA and genotype for strain constructions. All R. etli strains were grown to stationary phase at 30 °C in TY liquid medium [0.5 % tryptone (Difco Laboratories), 0.3 % yeast extract (Difco) and 10 mM CaCl2]. All Escherichia coli strains were grown to stationary phase at 37 °C in Luria–Bertani (LB) liquid medium (1.0 % tryptone, 0.5 % yeast extract and 0.5 % NaCl). Agar medium contained 1.5 % Bacto Agar (Difco).

DNA techniques
Genomic DNA was isolated using GenElute Bacterial Genomic DNA Kit (Sigma-Aldrich) and plasmid DNA was isolated using QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen). DNA extraction from agarose gels was performed using Gel/PCR DNA Fragments Extraction Kit (IBI Scientific). DNA amplification by PCR was performed using Expand High Fidelity PCR System (Roche Applied Science). Restriction enzymes and T4 DNA ligase were purchased from New England Biolabs (NEB).

Cloning of R. etli wreU and wreG for overexpression
The R. etli CE3 wreU gene was amplified from R. etli CE3 genomic DNA using primers 5’-CCGGCATATGGGC...
TTGAAACGCGGCCG-3’ (forward) and 5’-GCGCCGGATCCC TAGTCTTTATTCC-3’ (reverse). The PCR product was cloned into the pET15b vector (Novagen) using NdeI and BamHI sites, generating plasmid pLS22. It encodes the WreU protein with amino-terminal additional amino acids at the amino-terminus, MGSSHHHHHHHSSGLVPRGSH (the 6xHis tag is underlined). This WreU construct is referred to as WreU-His in this work.

The R. etli CE3 wrgE gene was amplified from R. etli CE3 genomic DNA using primers 5’-GGCGTAGCATGAGAG TCCTTCATTT-3’ (forward) and 5’-TTCTGAGGGCGG-GAACCGGCCACGT-3’ (reverse). The PCR product was cloned into the pET21b vector (Novagen) using Nhel and BamHI sites, generating plasmid pTL59. It encodes the WreG protein with amino-terminal additional amino acids, generating plasmid pTL59. It encodes the WreU to the bactoprenyl phosphate (Bp-P) lipid carrier (phase 2); third and last, the KdgNac moiety is reduced to QuiNAc by WreQ (phase 3). The final product of QuiNAc synthesis serves as the platform for further O-antigen synthesis to which a Man was transferred by WreG. Compounds: I, Bp-PP-KdgNAc; II, Bp-PP-QuiNAc; III, Bp-PP-QuiNAc-Man.

**Fig. 6.** Model of QuiNAc synthesis coordinated with O-antigen initiation. The three phases of QuiNAc synthesis in R. etli CE3 are indicated with numbers: first, UDP-GlcNAc is converted to intermediate UDP-KdgNAc by the dehydratase WreV (phase 1); second, KdgNac-1-P is transferred by WreU to the bactoprenyl phosphate (BpP) lipid carrier (phase 2); third and last, the KdgNac moiety is reduced to QuiNAc by WreQ (phase 3). The final product of QuiNAc synthesis serves as the platform for further O-antigen synthesis to which a Man was transferred by WreG. Compounds: I, Bp-PP-KdgNAc; II, Bp-PP-QuiNAc; III, Bp-PP-QuiNAc-Man.

Overexpression of His6-WreU, WreG-His6 and the polyprenyl kinase (DGK)

Plasmid pLS5 (His6-WreU) and pTL59 (WreG-His6) were separately transformed into E. coli BL21(DE3) cells by electroporation. The polyprenol kinase used in these studies is expressed from cloned dgk DNA from Streptococcus mutans. Although homologous to E. coli dgk, the protein encoded by Streptococcus mutans dgk has higher [28], or much higher [39], kinase activity with undecaprenol as the substrate than with diacylglycerol. BL21 cells carrying a pET vector construct encoding this protein with a carboxy-terminal His6-tag [28] was provided by Dr Barbara Imperi-ali, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA. Hereafter in this section, it will be referred to as DGK, to conform with the extant abbreviation in the literature.

Expression of His6-WreU, WreG-His6 and DGK followed the same procedure: a flask of 1 l LB medium containing appropriate antibiotics (ampicillin 100 µg ml⁻¹ for His6-WreU and WreG-His6, kanamycin 50 µg ml⁻¹ for DGK) was inoculated with a 5 ml overnight start culture and shaken at 37 °C until an optical density between 0.6 and 0.8 was reached. Then the flask was chilled for 1 h. Protein expression was induced by adding IPTG to the culture (1 mM for DGK, 0.01 mM for His6-WreU and 0.1 mM for WreG-His6), and the culture was shaken for a further 20 h at 16 °C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5000g for 15 min at 4 °C, and the pellets was stored at −80 °C until needed.

Complementation of R. etli CE3 mutants with the respective His-tagged constructs

The DNA sequence-encoding His6-WreU together with the RBS sequence was amplified from plasmid pLS5 with primers 5’-GCCGAATTCTCATACCCAGGCGAACAAG-3’ (forward) and 5’-GCCGGTACCATCTCCTTTCTCAGC-3’ (reverse). The PCR product was cloned into plasmid pFAJ1708 [40] with EcoRI and Kpn1 sites, generating plasmid pLS22.

The DNA sequence-encoding WreG-His6 together with the RBS sequence was amplified with primers 5’-GCCGAA TTTCATTCCACAGGCGAAACAAG-3’ (forward) and 5’-
GCGGTACCAGTTCCCTCCTTCAAGGAAA-3’ (reverse). The PCR product was cloned into plasmid pFAJ1708 [40] with XbaI and KpnI sites, generating plasmid pTL63.

Separately, pLS22 (His₆-WreU) was transferred into CE566 (wreU::Km) and pTL63 (wreG-His₆) was transferred into CE358 (wreG::Tn5) by triparental mating [41] with plasmid-mobilizer strain MT616 [42], as described previously [13]. Strains containing these constructs were selected on TY agar plates supplemented with 200 µg of streptomycin ml⁻¹, 30 µg of nalidixic acid ml⁻¹, 5 µg tetracycline ml⁻¹, 30 µg of kanamycin ml⁻¹. Single colonies were purified and analysed by SDS-PAGE.

Preparation of membrane fractions

Membrane fractions were prepared from E. coli cells expressing DGK, His₆-WreU and WreG-His₆ for use as crude enzyme or for purification of membrane-located proteins. Frozen cell pellets from 500 ml culture were thawed with lysis buffer (buffer A for DGK, 50 mM Tris, 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; buffer B for His₆-WreU, 20 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl, pH 8.5; buffer C for WreG-His₆, 20 mM sodium phosphate, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, pH=7.0, with 14.3 mM 2-mercaptoethanol), and lysed by sonication. The lysate was centrifuged first at a low speed (6000 g, 20 min at 4 °C) to remove most of the cellular debris and then followed by a high speed spin (65 000 g, 120 min at 4 °C) to pellet the cell membrane fraction (stored at −80 °C if not used). The pellet of His₆-WreU and WreG-His₆ was homogenized in the respective lysis buffer and aliquoted into 100 µl fractions for storage at −80 °C.

Purification of DGK from membrane fractions

Frozen cell membrane was thawed and resuspended in 0.5 ml buffer D (20 mM sodium phosphate, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, pH 8.0) and incubated with 1% CHAPS for 1 h at 4 °C to solubilize membrane proteins. Then the sample was incubated with 250 µl Ni²⁺-profinity IMAC resin (Bio-Rad) for 30 min at 4 °C. The resin was placed on a 0.2 µm filter in a microcentrifuge tube for the subsequent wash and elution steps. The resin was washed twice with 375 µl of buffer D containing 1% CHAPS, and twice with 375 µl of the same buffer with 45 mM imidazole. The protein was eluted three times in 200 µl of the same buffer containing 300 mM imidazole. The combined elution fraction was dialysed and concentrated with an Amicon Ultra-0.5 (nominal molecular weight limit: 10 kDa) filter device. The concentrated protein was aliquoted into smaller fractions for storage at −80 °C.

Purification of WreG-His₆ from membrane fractions

One tube of 0.5 ml frozen cell membrane fraction was thawed and incubated with 1% Triton X-100 for 2.5 h at 4 °C. Then the sample was incubated with 200 µl Ni²⁺-profinity IMAC resin (Bio-Rad) for 30 min at 4 °C. The resin was placed in a 0.2 µm filter in a microcentrifuge tube for the subsequent wash and elution steps. The resin was washed twice with 250 µl of buffer C containing 0.1% Triton X-100, and twice with 250 µl of the same buffer with 20 mM imidazole. The protein was eluted twice in 250 µl of the same buffer containing 300 mM imidazole. Protein sample dialysis and concentration were performed exactly as described for DGK above.

In vitro enzyme assays

WreU GT assay – the lipid substrate Und-P was prepared according to [28] with modification. Briefly, 3 µl DMSO and 10 µl 10 % Triton X-100 were mixed with 13 nmol of dried undecaprenol (American Radiolabeled Chemicals). The tube was vortexed to ensure solubilization of the lipid. To the same tube, 5 µM [γ-³²P]-ATP (2000 mCi mmol⁻¹) (PerkinElmer), 1 µl of purified DGK (~50 ng), 50 mM Tris buffer, pH 8.0, 40 mM MgCl₂ were added to a total volume of 100 µl. The DGK reaction was incubated at 30 °C for 1 h. To start the WreU enzyme assay, 1 µl (~2 µg) His₆-WreU membrane fraction was added to the DGK reaction. In the [³²P]-WreU assay, nucleotide sugar substrates tested were: UDP-GlcNAc (Sigma), purified UDP-KdgNac and UDP-GalNAcC. The concentration of each nucleotide sugar substrate was 0.05 mM.

The WreU reactions were incubated at 30 °C for 1 h, then quenched into 500 µl of solvent I (chloroform-methanol/ 3:2) and extracted with 400 µl PSUP (chloroform-methanol-1M MgCl₂-water:18294:293:1) [43]. The organic layers were dried with lyophilization and re-dissolved in 20 µl solvent I. 1 µl of each sample was spotted on an aluminum-backed precoated Silica gel 60 plate (EMD Chemicals) and developed in TLC solvent A (2-propanol/ammonium hydroxide/water, 6:3:1). Dried TLC plates were exposed to films or photostimulatable phosphor (PSP) plates and viewed by autoradiogram.

WreU-WreQ-coupled assay – the WreU reactions with UDP-KdgNac as the substrate were incubated for 1 h at 30 °C. To the WreU reactions, 0.1 mM NADH and 1 µl (~10 ng) WreQ enzyme were added. The reactions were allowed to proceed for 1 h at 30 °C after WreQ addition. Then they were quenched and prepared for analysis as described above for WreU enzyme assay.

WreU-WreQ-WreG-coupled reaction – in reactions that aimed to test the GT activity of WreG, 10 µl crude (~10 µg) or purified WreG enzyme (~40 µg) and 0.1 mM GDP-Man were added to [³²P]-WreU reactions together with (or without) 10 µg WreQ and 0.1 mM NADH. Reactions were allowed for 1 h after adding WreG and then quenched and prepared for TLC analysis. For analysis of the reaction products, two TLC solvents were used: solvent A and solvent B (chloroform/methanol/water, 65:25:4).

Rate comparison of WreQ-catalysed reaction with different substrates

To estimate the rate of the WreQ reaction with nucleotide sugar substrate, 10 µg His₆-WreQ protein and 1 mM NADH were added to the WbpM reaction which was incubated for 30 min to generate product UDP-KdgNac [19] and the WreQ reactions were quenched at 1, 5, and 30 min.
Reducing the WreQ enzyme concentration by a factor of 10 was attempted which led to a very slow reaction, thus only one concentration of WreQ was used for this reaction.

To estimate the rate of the WreQ reaction with the lipidated substrate, firstly [32P] WreU transferase reactions with the UDP-KdgNac substrate for 1 h. Then 0.1 mM NADH and 1 µl serially diluted WreQ enzyme (10–6, 10–5, 10–4, 10–3, 10–2, 10–1 and undiluted) was added and the WreQ reactions were allowed for only 1 min. In one reaction, solvent I was added before the addition of the WreQ enzyme as a 0 min control, to show that the method of quenching the reactions was effective. The organic phases of these reactions were analysed by TLC. Radioactive (32P) spots were quantified by phosphorimager and used for estimating reaction kinetics.
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