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**INTRODUCTION**

*Streptococcus suis* ranks among the five most important porcine pathogens worldwide causing mainly meningitis, but also other pathologies such as septicaemia, arthritis, sepsis and endocarditis (Gottschalk, 2011). The pathogen is characterized by a great diversity of serotypes. Serotype 2 is worldwide the most prevalent among invasive isolates (Wei et al., 2009; Wisselink et al., 2000). *Strep. suis* meningitis can cause high rates of mortality in affected herds.

Furthermore, *Strep. suis* serotype 2 is also an important zoonotic pathogen causing meningitis and septicaemia in humans working with pigs or pork (Arends & Zanen, 1988; Gottschalk et al., 2010). Two *Strep. suis* outbreaks in China attracted substantial scientific interest as they were associated with streptococcal toxic shock-like syndrome and a high rate of mortality in humans (Tang et al., 2006). Further epidemiological studies in south-east Asia revealed that *Strep. suis* is one of the three most important causative agents of bacterial meningitis in adults in countries such as Vietnam and Thailand (Mai et al., 2008; Wangkaew et al., 2006).

Meningitis lesions in pigs (or humans) infected with *Strep. suis* are typically characterized by infiltrations with large numbers of neutrophils (Beineke et al., 2008; Lun et al., 2007; Vasconcelos et al., 1994; Williams & Blakemore, 1990). Neutrophils mediate the first line of innate immune defence against invading bacterial pathogens. The antimicrobial activity of these cells includes a combination of intracellular and extracellular killing mechanisms, i.e.
phagocytosis and degranulation of antimicrobial peptides, respectively. More recently, neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) were characterized as a novel important defence mechanism of neutrophils against various bacterial pathogens (Brinkmann et al., 2004). These NETs consist of a DNA backbone associated with antimicrobial peptides, histones and proteases and can be released by activated neutrophils in response to infection and inflammation (reviewed by von Köckritz-Blickwede & Nizet, 2009). Two main functions of NETs can be differentiated: entrapment of bacteria in NETs to avoid subsequent spread into the surrounding tissue, and killing of bacteria within the NETs. It is still unclear whether NETs contribute to entrapment and/or killing of Strep. suis by activated neutrophils.

Importantly, in the absence of opsonizing antibodies, virulent Strep. suis serotype 2 strains are not efficiently killed by porcine neutrophils (Baums et al., 2009; Chabot-Roy et al., 2006). However, Scapinello et al. (2011) investigated the bactericidal activity of porcine neutrophil secretions against different bacteria including Strep. suis. The bactericidal effect of secretions of phorbol-myristate-acetate (PMA)-stimulated porcine neutrophils was significantly lower against Strep. suis than against Escherichia coli, indicating that Strep. suis has evolved efficient strategies to evade both intra- and extracellular antimicrobial activity of neutrophils. Several virulence-associated factors of Strep. suis have already been identified (Baums & Valentín-Weigand, 2009). One of the major phagocytosis evasion factors is the capsule (Charland et al., 1998; Smith et al., 1999). Isogenic unencapsulated mutants are highly attenuated in neutrophil killing assays. Furthermore, Strep. suis exhibits D-alanylation of lipoteichoic acid, which is known to protect streptococci against cationic antimicrobial peptides released by neutrophils (Fittipaldi et al., 2008).

In this study we characterized the interaction of Strep. suis with NETs to unravel the strategies of Strep. suis to avoid extracellular NET-mediated entrapment and killing. We finally demonstrate that Strep. suis serotype 2 exhibits induction of NET formation, entrapment in NETs, resistance against antimicrobial activity of NETs and finally degradation of NETs by expression of the previously described DNase SsnA.

METHODS

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. Strep. suis strain 10 is a virulent serotype 2 strain that has been used by different groups for mutagenesis and experimental infections of pigs (Baums et al., 2006, 2009; Smith et al., 1999). The capsule-deficient isogenic mutant 10cpsAEF was kindly provided by Hilde Smith (DLO-Lelystad) (Smith et al., 1999). Streptococci were grown on Columbia agar plates with 6% sheep blood or in Todd–Hewitt broth (THB; Bacto). In appropriate cases, antibiotics were added at the following concentrations for Strep. suis: 3.5 μg chloramphenicol mL\(^{-1}\), 2 μg erythromycin mL\(^{-1}\) and 100 μg spectinomycin mL\(^{-1}\).

Staphylococcus aureus nuc mutant (Berends et al., 2010) was grown in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) medium at 37 °C with shaking. Fresh overnight cultures were diluted 1:100 in BH and then grown to mid-exponential growth phase (OD\(_{600}\) of 0.7) until usage.

Purification of porcine neutrophils. Collection of heparinized blood from healthy pigs in our institute is registered at the Lower Saxonian State Office for Consumer Protection and Food Safety (Niedersächsisches Landesamt für Verbraucherschutz und Lebensmittelsicherheit) under no. 33.9-42502-05-11A137, and was conducted in line with the recommendations of the German Society for Laboratory Animal Science (Gesellschaft für Versuchstierkunde) and the German Veterinary Association for the Protection of Animals (Tierarztlche Vereinigung für Tierschutz e.V.) (http://www.gv-solas.de).

Porcine neutrophils were purified using Ficoll Hypaque 1077 (Biochrom) and hypotonic lysis of erythrocytes as previously described (Benga et al., 2008).

Purification of human neutrophils. Neutrophils were isolated from fresh blood of healthy donors with the PolymorphPrep system (Axis Shield) as previously described (von Köckritz-Blickwede et al., 2010) and resuspended in RPMI 1640 (without phenol red; PAA).

NET induction and entrapment assays. Freshly isolated porcine neutrophils were seeded on poly-l-lysine-coated (0.001% solution for 20 min; Sigma Aldrich) coverslides (8 mm; Thermo Scientific) at a concentration of 2 × 10^6 cells mL\(^{-1}\) (5 × 10^7 cells per well in a 24-well plate) after centrifugation at 370 g for 5 min. Stacks of frozen bacterial suspensions including 15% glycerol were thawed, diluted in RPMI to infect neutrophils at an m.o.i. of 1:2 at 37 °C and 5% CO\(_2\). At indicated time points, samples were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and NET formation or entrapment was visualized as described below.

NET degradation assays. Porcine or human neutrophils were stimulated with 25 nM phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA), seeded for 20 min on poly-l-lysine-coated (0.001% solution, Sigma Aldrich) coverslides (8 mm; Thermo Scientific) at a concentration of 2 × 10^6 cells mL\(^{-1}\), centrifuged (370 g, 5 min) and incubated for 4 h at 37 °C and 5% CO\(_2\) to induce NET formation. Stacks of frozen bacterial suspensions including 15% glycerol were thawed, then diluted in RPMI to infect neutrophils at an m.o.i. of 1:2 for an additional 1 h at 37 °C and 5% CO\(_2\). Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. Alternatively, NETs were treated with filtered bacterial supernatants (Rotilabo 0.45 μm; Roth) of overnight cultures as indicated (leading to a final dilution of supernatants of 1:2). Micrococcal nuclease (0.01 U mL\(^{-1}\); Worthington) was used as a positive control to verify efficient NET degradation.

Visualization of NETs and entrapment of bacteria by NETs. NETs were stained with a monoclonal antibody against a histone H2A-H2B-DNA complex as previously described (Berends et al., 2010). Briefly, after blocking and permeabilization, neutrophils were incubated with a mouse monoclonal antibody against H2A-H2B-DNA complex (PL2-6) (Losman et al., 1992; 2.65 mg mL\(^{-1}\), diluted 1:2000 in PBS containing 2% BSA, 0.2% Triton X-100) overnight at 4 °C. Finally, an Alexa 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse antibody (Dy Light 488 conjugated highly cross-absorbed, Thermo Scientific; diluted 1:1000 in PBS containing 2% BSA, 0.2% Triton X-100) was used as the secondary antibody. To label Strep. suis in red (entrapment assays), samples were additionally incubated with a polyclonal rabbit anti-Strep. suis antibody (diluted 1:500) (Beineke et al., 2008) followed by a goat anti-rabbit Alexa 633-conjugated secondary antibody (1:500; Invitrogen). After washing, the glass slides were embedded in ProLong Gold antifade reagent with DAPI (Invitrogen). Samples were recorded using a Leica TCS SP5 confocal inverted-base fluorescence microscope with a HCX PL APO 40 × 0.75–1.25 oil immersion objective. Settings were adjusted with control preparations using an isotype control antibody. For each sample, a
Determination of antimicrobial activity of porcine NETs through comparison to growth controls not exposed to neutrophils. Porcine neutrophils were plated in non-treated tissue culture plates as previously described (Berends et al., 2010) and treated with 25 mM PMA for 4 h to induce NETs, and then with 10 µM cytochalasin D for 20 min to block residual phagocytosis. Then, after centrifugation at 370 g for 5 min and removing the supernatant, the neutrophils were infected with bacteria in RPMI at an m.o.i. of 2, centrifuged again and incubated for 90 min at 37 °C in 5 % CO₂. Serial dilutions in sterile PBS were plated on agar plates for enumeration of surviving bacteria. The percentage of surviving bacteria was calculated in comparison to bacterial growth control. 

Preparation of murein-associated proteins (MAPs). The MAP fractions of Strep. suis wt (strain 10) and its isogenic mutant 10 ΔsnaA were essentially prepared as previously described (Baums et al., 2009). Briefly, bacteria grown to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.7 at 37 °C were centrifuged and resuspended in a buffer containing 30 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.5, 25 % (w/v) sucrose, 0.01 M NaEDTA and 0.2 mg ml⁻¹ lysozyme. After incubation at 37 °C for 1 h, the resulting protoplasts were centrifuged. The supernatant was recovered, and MAPs were resuspended in PBS after precipitation.

SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis. Separation of proteins was conducted in SDS-PAGE with 10 % separating and 4 % stacking gels. For Western blot analysis, proteins were transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane and probed with antibodies against SsnA (3 mM MgCl₂, 3 mM CaCl₂, 300 mM Tris; pH 7.4) and a goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1 : 2000) with 1 % dry milk powder. Blots were washed thoroughly four times. After labelling with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antibody (1 : 7500; Cell Signaling Technology) signals were developed using Super Signal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific) as recommended by the manufacturer.

Nuclease assay. Nuclease activity of bacterial supernatants and pelleted bacteria was determined by testing different conditions. Bacteria were grown overnight and pelleted via centrifugation (2600 g, 5 min). The supernatants were transferred to new tubes after filtration (Rothlab, 0.45 µm; Roth) and mixed with either RPMI 1640 or THB supplemented with 50 % DNase buffer (3 mM MgCl₂, 3 mM CaCl₂, 300 mM Tris; pH 7.4) in ratios of 1:1 and 1:2, respectively. Two µg calf thymus DNA (Sigma) was added to 70 µl and 105 µl supernatants diluted with RPMI 1640 and THB supplemented with DNase buffer, respectively. Samples were incubated for 4.5 h at 37 °C. Visual examination of DNase activity was conducted after 1 % agarose gel electrophoresis and staining of DNA with RotiSafe (Gelstain ready-to-use, Roth).

Alternatively, bacterial pellets of overnight cultures were washed in PBS, adjusted to an OD₆₀₀ of 1.8, and 20 µl of these suspensions were incubated in 100 µl THB supplemented with 40 µl DNase buffer (3 mM MgCl₂, 3 mM CaCl₂, 300 mM Tris; pH 7.4) and 4 µg calf thymus DNA.

Determination of survival in porcine and human blood. Killing of Strep. suis in human or porcine blood was investigated using freshly drawn heparinized blood. Stocks of frozen bacterial suspensions...
**Fig. 1.** *Strep. suis* induces NETs in vitro and is entrapped but not killed in those NETs. (a) Entrapment of *Strep. suis* wt (strain 10) in NETs after infection of purified porcine neutrophils was visualized by immunofluorescence microscopy using DAPI staining of DNA (blue), Alexa 488-conjugated antibodies against histone H2A-H2B-DNA complexes to label NETs (green) and Alexa 653-conjugated antibodies against *Strep. suis* serotype 2 (red, arrows). (b) Formation of NETs after infection of porcine neutrophils with *Strep. suis* wt (strain 10) at an m.o.i. of 1:2 for 30 to 120 min. (c) NET-survival capacity of *Strep. suis* wt and its unencapsulated mutant 10cpsΔEF (Δcps). Prior to infection, neutrophils were treated with 25 nM PMA for 4 h to induce NETs and with 10 μM cytochalasin D for 20 min to block phagocytosis. Survival of bacteria was compared to their respective growth control (determination of c.f.u.). A *Staphylococcus aureus* nuclease-deficient strain (Δ) was used as control to verify antimicrobial activity of porcine NETs, as it is a known NET-susceptible strain under these experimental conditions. All data are shown as mean ± SEM of three independent experiments; *P* < 0.05; **P** < 0.005; ***P** < 0.001; n.s., not significant by one-tailed Mann–Whitney test (b) or paired one-tailed t-test (c).
including 15% glycerol were thawed prior to the bactericidal assay and $1.5 \times 10^5$ c.f.u. were mixed with 500 µl of heparinized blood. The specific bacterial contents (c.f.u. ml$^{-1}$) were determined after 0 min, 60 min and 120 min of incubation on a rotator at 37 °C.

**Statistical analysis.** Data were analysed using Excel 2010 (Microsoft) and GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software). Normal distribution of data was verified by Kolmogorov–Smirnov normality test (GraphPad software) prior to statistical analysis. Differences between two groups were analysed using a one-tailed paired (when all individual samples were performed within one individual experiment) or unpaired Student’s $t$-test in the case of normal distributed data, or by using a one-tailed Mann–Whitney test (non-parametric data). Probabilities lower than or equal to 0.05 were considered significant, lower than or equal to 0.1 as statistically noticeable.

---

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Strep. suis induces NETs and is entrapped in NETs**

As neutrophils are the dominating immune cells infiltrating lesions of acute *Strep. suis* infection, we investigated whether interaction of *Strep. suis* with neutrophils might result in NET formation and subsequent entrapment and/or killing of bacteria by NETs. As shown in Fig. 1(a, b), a significant (*P*=0.014) increase in NET formation (12.3±6.0%) was observed in porcine blood-derived neutrophils after 30 min of infection with *Strep. suis* wt compared with uninfected neutrophils (4.7±2.0%). Although it is well known that NET formation can be

---

**Fig. 2.** The isogenic *Strep. suis* mutant 10ΔssnA (ΔssnA) is neither attenuated in growth in vitro nor in survival in porcine or human blood. (a) α-SsnA Western blot analysis of culture supernatants and murein-associated proteins (MAPs) of *Strep. suis* wt (strain 10) and its isogenic mutant 10ΔssnA. SsnA has a theoretical molecular mass of 114 kDa. (b) Demonstration of DNase activity in *Strep. suis* wt (strain 10) but not in 10ΔssnA by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis of calf thymus DNA incubated with bacterial supernatants in the presence of RPMI (medium in NET assays) or THB containing DNase buffer with Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ for 270 min at 37 °C. Controls were incubated with PBS rather than bacterial supernatants. (c) Growth of *Strep. suis* wt (strain 10) and 10ΔssnA in THB at 37 °C. (d) Survival factors of *Strep. suis* wt and 10ΔssnA in porcine and human blood *ex vivo* (freshly drawn heparinized blood). C.f.u. were determined at 0 min, 60 min and 120 min. No significant differences between the survival factors of *Strep. suis* wt (strain 10) and 10ΔssnA were found. All data are shown as mean±SEM of three independent experiments; *P<0.05; **P<0.005; ***P<0.001 by paired one-tailed $t$-test.
Fig. 3. The isogenic mutant 10ΔssnA (ΔssnA) is attenuated in NET degradation. (a) Comparative evaluation of NET degradation by *Strep. suis* wt (strain 10) and 10ΔssnA (OD₆₀₀=1.3). PMA-stimulated human neutrophils were infected for 1 h either with *Strep. suis* wt (strain 10) or 10ΔssnA (OD₆₀₀=1.3) at an m.o.i. of 1:2. (b) Comparative evaluation of NET degradation in PMA-stimulated human neutrophils incubated with supernatants of overnight cultures of *Strep. suis* wt (strain 10) and 10ΔssnA. (c) Degradation of NETs formed by PMA-stimulated human neutrophils was visualized by immunofluorescence microscopy using DAPI staining of the DNA (blue) and Alexa 488-conjugated antibodies against histone H2A-H2B-DNA complexes to label NETs (green). PMA-stimulated human neutrophils were infected for 1 h either with *Strep. suis* wt (strain 10) or with 10ΔssnA (OD₆₀₀ of 1.3) at an m.o.i. of 1:2 or treated with micrococcal nuclease (MN) as positive control.
trigged by different pathogens, substantial differences exist in NET induction between different bacterial species (Pilsczek et al., 2010). Further studies are anticipated to comparatively evaluate NET formation in porcine neutrophils after infection with different porcine pathogens and to characterize Strep. suis NET-induction factors.

Importantly, using immunofluorescence microscopy, we were able to detect efficient entrapment of Strep. suis in those porcine NETs (Fig. 1a and Fig. S2). However, assays determining the antimicrobial activity of NETs revealed that both Strep. suis wt (strain 10) and its isogenic phagocytosis-sensitive unencapsulated mutant (10cpsΔEF) can resist these antimicrobial effects (Fig. 1c). The nuclease mutant nuc of Staph. aureus was used as a positive control in this assay, since it was demonstrated to be susceptible to NET-mediated killing (Berends et al., 2010). Both Strep. suis strains, wt and its unencapsulated mutant (10cpsΔEF), showed a significant survival capacity in the presence of NETs compared with the control Staph. aureus nuc mutant (Fig. 1c). Thus, entrapment of Strep. suis in NETs without killing is reminiscent of results obtained for Streptococcus pneumoniae (Beiter et al., 2006) or Neisseria meningitidis (Lappann et al., 2013). Pneumococci as well as meningococci are trapped but, unlike other pathogens (e.g. Streptococcus pyogenes (Buchanan et al., 2006) and Staph. aureus) not efficiently killed by NETs (Berends et al., 2010; Brinkmann et al., 2004; Chow et al., 2010).

Interestingly, when investigating the NET formation of porcine neutrophils in response to Strep. suis infection over time, the amount of NETs significantly decreased again at 90 min of co-incubation of Strep. suis wt with porcine neutrophils (Fig. 1b). These data lead to the suggestion that Strep. suis wt is able to degrade NETs in vitro, and thereby possibly joins other leading Gram-positive pathogens such as Staph. aureus (Berends et al., 2010), Strep. pneumoniae (Beiter et al., 2006), Strep. pyogenes (Buchanan et al., 2006) and Streptococcus agalactiae (Derré-Bobillot et al., 2013) by evading NET-entrapment through NET degradation by nucleases.

**Expression of the extracellular nuclease SsnA by Strep. suis is not crucial for in vitro growth and survival in porcine and human blood**

As our data lead to the hypothesis that Strep. suis wt is able to evade NET-mediated entrapment and antimicrobial activity by the production of a NET-degrading nuclease, we performed a mutagenesis study with a previously described extracellular nuclease, designated SsnA (Fontaine et al., 2004). We constructed a new in-frame ssnA deletion mutant to exclude polar effects through insertion of foreign DNA. qRT-PCR showed that ssnA was not expressed in the new isogenic ssnA mutant, designated 10ΔssnA. Numerous attempts failed to construct an ssnA complementation vector on the basis of pGA14. It was noted that expression of active nucleases in *E. coli* might be toxic (Midon et al., 2011). However, transcript levels of two randomly selected virulence-associated genes (*sly* and *ofs*) and the four neighbouring genes were not different between Strep. suis wt and 10ΔssnA, confirming that in-frame deletion mutagenesis did not result in polar effects (Fig. S3). Western blot analysis of culture supernatants of wt and 10ΔssnA revealed lack of four bands between 50 and 100 kDa recognized by a polyclonal rabbit serum raised against rSsnA (Gómez-Gascón et al., 2012). A likely explanation for multiple zSsnA-reactive bands is rapid degradation of SsnA in *in vitro*. Accordingly, numerous bands between 50 and 100 kDa showed DNase activity in Strep. suis wt but not in the previously investigated ssnA mutant (Fontaine et al., 2004). Furthermore, SsnA was detected in the murine-associated protein fraction (Fig. 2a). This was expected, as the ORF encodes a typical C-terminal cell wall anchoring domain (Fontaine et al., 2004). Thus, detection of SsnA in the culture supernatant suggested partial release of SsnA and not secretion, as is known for other bacterial nucleases such as Nuc of *Staph. aureus* (Berends et al., 2010). Attenuation in degradation of eukaryotic DNA was demonstrated for the new in-frame 10ΔssnA deletion mutant in the presence of Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ (Fig. 2b) in accordance with previously published results (Fontaine et al., 2004). It is noteworthy that DNA was also degraded within 4.5 h by Strep. suis wt (strain 10) in the presence of RPMI included in our previous NET assay, but distinctly less DNA degradation was recorded for the mutant 10ΔssnA under these conditions (Fig. 2b). Next, we investigated whether expression of SsnA is crucial for growth *in vitro* and for survival in blood. Importantly, the 10ΔssnA mutant is neither attenuated in growth in THB (Fig. 2c) nor in survival in freshly drawn human or porcine blood (Fig. 2d). This is in contrast to results obtained for the cell wall-attached nuclease SpnA of *Strep. pyogenes* that is crucial for survival in human blood *ex vivo* (Chang et al., 2011). In summary, SsnA was found to be dispensable for growth in THB and for survival in human and porcine blood *ex vivo*. The latter indicates that SsnA is not crucial for protection against opsonophagocytosis.

**SsnA is involved in NET degradation by Strep. suis**

An important objective of this study was to investigate putative SsnA-dependent NET degradation of Strep. suis. We conducted these studies with human and porcine neutrophils, because Strep. suis is an important pathogen in both species. However, our results indicated that 90–100 % NET formation after 4 h stimulation with 25 nM PMA,
Fig. 4. Determination of porcine NET degradation by Strep. suis wt and the isogenic mutant 10ΔssnA (ΔssnA). (a) Comparative evaluation of NET degradation of Strep. suis wt (strain 10) and 10ΔssnA (OD$_{600}$ of 1.3). PMA-stimulated porcine neutrophils were infected for 1 h either with Strep. suis wt (strain 10) or 10ΔssnA (OD$_{600}$ of 1.3) at an m.o.i. of 1:2. (b) Comparative
which is best suited for these assays (Fuchs et al., 2007), is inducible in human but not in porcine neutrophils (compare controls in Figs 3 and 4). Reasons for these differences are unclear, but a lower sensitivity of porcine neutrophils to PMA has already been described (Brea et al., 2012). High levels of NETs were induced with PMA in human neutrophils and afterwards incubated with Strep. suis wt and 10ΔssnA. As depicted in Fig. 3(a, c), the area covered with NETs was significantly reduced to less than 60 % after incubation with Strep. suis wt in comparison with the control (THB medium alone, P=0.002). In contrast, incubation of NETs with the Strep. suis mutant 10ΔssnA did not result in a significant reduction of the area of NETs in comparison with the control (Fig. 3a, c). Differences between Strep. suis wt and 10ΔssnA in this NET degradation were significant (P=0.0116; Fig. 3a). Furthermore, incubation of NETs with supernatants of Strep. suis wt but not of 10ΔssnA resulted in significant NET degradation (P=0.037, Fig. 3b), in accordance with the partial release of SsnA in the supernatant (Fig. 2a). As mentioned above, NET induction by PMA in porcine neutrophils was restricted to less than 10 % of neutrophils after 4h PMA stimulation (controls in Fig. 4a–c). However, incubation of these PMA-treated porcine neutrophils with Strep. suis wt led to a statistically noticeable reduction in the area of NETs in comparison with the control (P=0.057), which was not observed to the same degree after incubation with 10ΔssnA bacteria (P=0.24; Fig. 4a, c). Though differences between porcine NET degradation of wt and 10ΔssnA bacteria were not significant (P=0.17), the tendency is in accordance with the significant attenuated phenotype of 10ΔssnA obtained with human NETs. Furthermore, substantial differences in porcine NET degradation were observed between supernatants of wt and 10ΔssnA bacteria (Fig. 4b, P=0.1), suggesting that SsnA is also responsible for degradation of porcine NETs by Strep. suis wt, though involvement of an additional nuclease cannot be ruled out.

**SsnA is involved in protection of Strep. suis against antimicrobial activity mediated by human NETs**

As SsnA was found to be crucial for NET degradation exhibited by Strep. suis, we investigated whether SsnA mediates protection against NET-mediated antimicrobial activity. Thirty minutes of coincubation with PMA-stimulated human neutrophils did not result in significant differences between the survival factors of Strep. suis wt (mean=0.89, sd=0.17) and 10ΔssnA (mean=0.87, sd=0.08). However, after 90 min the survival factor of Strep. suis wt (mean=0.69, sd=0.15) was significantly higher than the survival factor of 10ΔssnA (mean=0.43, sd=0.13, P=0.05; Fig. 5a), indicating that SsnA is involved in protection against NET-mediated antimicrobial activity. Attenuation in survival after 90 min but not 30 min co-incubation is reminiscent of the phenotype shown by the nuc mutant of Staph. aureus (Berends et al., 2010).

![Fig. 4](http://mic.sgmjournals.org) (cont.) evaluation of NET degradation in PMA-stimulated porcine neutrophils incubated with supernatants of overnight cultures of Strep. suis wt (strain 10) and 10ΔssnA. (c) Degradation of NETs formed by PMA-stimulated porcine neutrophils was visualized by immunofluorescence microscopy using DAPI staining of the DNA (blue) and Alexa 488-conjugated antibodies against histone H2A-H2B-DNA complexes to label NETs (green). PMA-stimulated porcine neutrophils were infected for 1 h either with Strep. suis wt (strain 10) or 10ΔssnA (OD<sub>600</sub> of 1.3) at an m.o.i. of 1:2 or treated with micrococcal nuclease (MN) as positive control. All data are shown as mean±SEM of three independent experiments; *P-values were determined by one-tailed Mann–Whitney test.

![Fig. 5](http://mic.sgmjournals.org) The isogenic mutant 10ΔssnA (ΔssnA) is less protected against antimicrobial activity mediated by human NETs. Survival of Strep. suis wt (strain 10) and 10ΔssnA in the presence of human (a) and porcine (b) NETs. Prior to infection, neutrophils were treated with 25 nM PMA for 20 min to induce NET formation and 10 μg ml<sup>−1</sup> cytochalasin D to avoid phagocytosis. Note that NET induction was very low in PMA-stimulated porcine neutrophils (see text and Fig. 4). The specific bacterial content (c.f.u. ml<sup>−1</sup>) was determined at 0 min, 30 min and 90 min. The survival factor was calculated by dividing the specific bacterial content at 30 min (or 90 min) by the starting content (c.f.u. ml<sup>−1</sup> at 0 min). All data are shown as mean±SD of three (a) or five (b) independent experiments; * P≤0.05 by one-tailed Mann–Whitney test (n.s., not significant).
In contrast to the results obtained for human neutrophils, incubation of *Strep. suis* with porcine neutrophils treated with PMA and cytochalasin D did not result in efficient reduction of surviving bacteria of *Strep. suis* wt or 10ΔssnA, but in bacterial proliferation. *Strep. suis* wt and 10ΔssnA showed mean survival factors of 5.61 (SD = 2.57) and 5.19 (SD = 2.42), respectively, after 90 min incubation and differences between both strains were not significant (P = 0.35; Fig. 5b). One plausible explanation for the differences between the results of the assays with human and porcine neutrophils is variation in the degree of NETosis after PMA stimulation (see above). To the best of our knowledge, experiments leading to NET induction in porcine neutrophils comparable to assays with PMA-stimulated human neutrophils have not been described. Thus, it is currently very challenging to test the NET-mediated antimicrobial activity of porcine neutrophils.

In conclusion, we identified SsnA as the first factor of *Strep. suis* to be involved in interactions with NETs in *vitro*. SsnA mediates degradation of NETs and thereby resistance against NET-mediated antimicrobial activity of the bacteria, at least in interaction of NETs formed by human neutrophils. Similar functions have been shown for other nuclease expressed by other Gram-positive pathogens. However, it might be hypothesized that *Strep. suis* produces additional virulence factors that mediate a high resistance against NET-mediated antimicrobial activity of the wt strain. A further candidate as a factor involved in NET evasion is DltA, as it protects *Strep. suis* against antimicrobial peptides by D-alanylation of lipoteichoic acid (Fittipaldi et al., 2008). Resistance against antimicrobial peptides has been shown for other pathogens to be associated with a resistance against antimicrobial activity of NETs (Lauth et al., 2009; Wartha et al., 2007). Future studies are anticipated to reveal further insights into NET evasion of this important pathogen and to demonstrate its impact on pathogenesis.
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