The *hanR/hanI* quorum-sensing system of *Halomonas anticariensis*, a moderately halophilic bacterium
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Quorumsensing is a cell density-dependent gene expression mechanism found in many Gram-negative bacteria which involves the production of signal molecules such as N-acylhomoserine lactones (AHLs). One significant group of microorganisms in which quorumsensing has not been previously studied, however, are the moderate halophiles. We describe here the results of our studies of the quorum-sensing system in *Halomonas anticariensis* FP35\(^1\), which is composed of *luxR/lux* homologues: *hanR* (the putative transcriptional regulator gene) and *hanI* (the autoinducer synthase gene). To understand how the *hanR/hanI* system is organized and regulated we conducted RT-PCR and quantitative real-time PCR assays. Transcriptional analysis indicated that the *hanR* and *hanI* genes are on the same transcript and that their transcription is growth phase-dependent. HanI seems to be the only autoinducer synthase responsible for the synthesis of AHLs by the bacterium, since the inactivation of *hanI* resulted in the complete loss of its AHLs. We also found that the *hanI* gene appears to be transcribed from its own promoter and that its expression does not depend upon HanR. This finding was supported by the fact that the FP35*hann* mutant showed AHL-producing activity and *hanI* expression similar to that of the wild-type strain, the latter being measured by RT-PCR. Moreover, *hanR* is expressed from its own promoter and appears to be independent of the AHL signalling molecules produced by HanI.

**INTRODUCTION**

Over the past few years it has become increasingly evident that numerous bacteria can establish cell-to-cell communication within their communities by producing small signal molecules that either diffuse freely across the cell membranes or are actively transported out of the cell. These signals, known as autoinducers, control gene expression in response to bacterial cell density in a process called quorum sensing (reviewed by González & Marketon, 2003; Ng & Bassler, 2009; Parker & Sperandio, 2009).

The most thoroughly characterized Gram-negative, bacterial, intraspecific autoinducers are N-acylhomoserine lactones (AHLs), which have been reported to be synthesized by a member of the LuxI protein family in over 70 genera belonging to the phylum Proteobacteria. AHL molecules differ in the length and substitution of their respective acyl side chains, conferring upon them signal specificity. As the density of the population increases AHL molecules accumulate in the growth medium, and on reaching a critical concentration threshold they bind and activate an AHL receptor protein belonging to the LuxR family of transcriptional regulators. The activated LuxR/AHL complex then binds to a specific palindromic sequence on the DNA, known as the ‘*lux* box’, located upstream of the genes regulated by quorumsensing. This results in the activation or repression of target genes, including in many cases the activation of the autoinducer synthase, which leads in turn to the production of more AHLs and consequently a positive feedback loop (Eberhard et al., 1991; Fuqua et al., 1994).

This phenomenon has usually been found in bacteria that live in pathogenic or symbiotic associations in which quorum-sensing systems regulate genes involved in the expression of virulence factors and exoenzymes (de Kievit & Iglewski, 2000; Song et al., 2005; von Bodman et al., 2003), conjugal DNA transfer and plasmid copy number control (Farrand, 1998; Fuqua et al., 2001, 1994; Marketon & González, 2002), production of and susceptibility to antibiotics (Horng et al., 2002; Mc Clean et al., 1997; Pumbwe et al., 2008; Van Houdt et al., 2007), biofilm formation (Davies et al., 1998; McNab et al., 2003; Niu

Abbreviations: AHL, N-acylhomoserine lactone; C\(_4\)-HL, N-butanoyl homoserine lactone; C\(_6\)-HL, N-hexanoyl homoserine lactone; C\(_8\)-HL, N-octanoyl homoserine lactone; C\(_{12}\)-HL, N-dodecanoyl homoserine lactone; 3-oxo-C\(_6\)-HL, N-(\(\beta\)-ketocaproyl)-L-homoserine lactone; RT-qPCR, reverse transcription quantitative real-time PCR.

The GenBank/EMBL/DDJ accession number for the *hanR–hanI* DNA sequence of *H. anticariensis* is HM236058.

Three supplementary figures and two supplementary tables are available with the online version of this paper.
et al., 2008) and exopolysaccharide production (Marketon et al., 2003; von Bodman et al., 1998).

In addition to AHLs, other quorum-sensing autoinducer molecules recently identified in Gram-negative bacteria are autoinducer 2 (AI-2) (Chen et al., 2002), which has been described as a global signal molecule for interspecific communication, 2-alkyl-4-quinolones (AQs), such as PQS in Pseudomonas (Diggle et al., 2007), long-chain fatty acids, such as the ‘diffusible signal factor’ (DSF) in Xanthomonas campestris (Wang et al., 2004), p-coumaroyl-HSL in Rhodopseudomonas palustris (Schaefer et al., 2008) and others (González & Keshavan, 2006; Ng & Bassler, 2009).

It has been reported that in complex environments bacterial signalling does not accumulate at a constant rate (Boyer & Wisniewski-Dyé, 2009). The diffusion and perception of AHLs in and around cells can be influenced by abiotic environmental factors such as pH, temperature and medium composition, and by other members of the bacterial community in a process known as ‘quorum quenching’ (Defoirdt et al., 2008; Taga & Bassler, 2003). A variety of bacterial biosensor strains have been constructed to detect autoinducer molecules (Llamas et al., 2004; McClean et al., 1997; Shaw et al., 1997; Winson et al., 1998). These strains do not produce any AHL signal molecules but can detect exogenous AHLs by the activation of a reporter gene such as lacZ or lux or, in Chromobacterium violaceum, by the production or inhibition of a purple pigment. The use of these biosensors in a previous study led us to observe that the exopolysaccharide-producing Halomonas anticariensis FP35\(^5\) synthesizes quorum-sensing molecules in a growth phase-dependent manner and that its maximum activity is reached by the end of the exponential phase. In addition, using electrospray ionization MS (ESI MS/MS), we have identified some of the AHL molecular structures in this bacterium, such as N-butanoyl homoserine lactone (C\(_4\)-HL), N-hexanoyl homoserine lactone (C\(_6\)-HL), N-octanoyl homoserine lactone (C\(_8\)-HL) and N-dodecanoyl homoserine lactone (C\(_{12}\)-HL) (Llamas et al., 2005).

Cell density-dependent gene regulation has not been studied to date in extreme environments such as those inhabited by Halomonas species. The genus Halomonas currently comprises more than 70 species of halophilic bacteria, most of which have been isolated from saline environments such as salterns, saline soils, seawater and marshes (Euzéby, 2010). Its members are extremely versatile from the ecological, physiological and metabolic point of view. The interest in Halomonas species has centred on their ability to degrade aromatic compounds and produce compatible solutes, halophilic enzymes and exopolysaccharides with potential applications in biotechnology (Sutherland, 2002).

In this study we have genetically characterized the quorum-sensing system involved in the production of AHLs in H. anticariensis FP35\(^5\), which is composed of a putative transcriptional regulator HanR (LuxR homologue) and an AHL synthase HanI (LuxI homologue). Gene expression analysis demonstrates that the hanR/hanI genes are organized in the same operon and that their expression is cell density-dependent. The expression of hanR appears to be independent of the AHL signalling molecules produced by HanI, an unusual characteristic compared with other LuxRI-like systems already characterized (González & Marketon, 2003).

**METHODS**

**Bacterial strains, plasmids, media and culture conditions.** The bacterial strains and plasmids used are listed in Table 1. H. anticariensis strains were routinely cultured at 32 \(\degree\)C in SWYE (Nieto et al., 1989) or MY medium (Moraine & Rogovin, 1966) modified with a balanced mixture of sea salts (Rodríguez-Valera et al., 1981). Escherichia coli strains were grown at 37 \(\degree\)C in LB medium (Sambrook & Russell, 2001). Antibiotics were added at the following final concentrations: rifampicin, 50 \(\mu\)g ml\(^{-1}\); kanamycin, 50 \(\mu\)g ml\(^{-1}\); gentamicin, 25 \(\mu\)g ml\(^{-1}\); tetracycline, 15 \(\mu\)g ml\(^{-1}\); and ampicillin, 100 \(\mu\)g ml\(^{-1}\). Agrobacterium tumefaciens NTL4 (pZLR4) was cultured at 30 \(\degree\)C in LB medium supplemented with 2.5 mM CaCl\(_2\) and 2.5 mM MgSO\(_4\) (LB/MC) and in MGM minimal medium (per litre: 11 g Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\), 3 g KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 0.5 mM NaCl, 1 g glutamate, 10 \(\mu\)g mannitol, 1 mg biotin, 27.8 mg CaCl\(_2\), 246 mg MgSO\(_4\)) containing 50 \(\mu\)g gentamicin ml\(^{-1}\). C. violaceum CV026 was grown at 30 \(\degree\)C in LB medium.

**Transposon mutagenesis and isolation of the FP35-11I (FP35::hanR::mini-Tn5 Km2) mutant.** Transposon mutagenesis was carried out via conjugation by biparental mating, as described in a previous publication (Llamas et al., 2000). The donor strain was E. coli S17-1 pZip, harbouring the suicide vector pUT mini-Tn5 Km2 (de Lorenzo et al., 1990). The recipient strain was H. anticariensis FP35-R, a spontaneous rifampicin-resistant mutant of H. anticariensis FP35\(^5\). The transconjugants were isolated on SWYE medium containing 2% (w/v) salts, rifampicin (50 \(\mu\)g ml\(^{-1}\)) and kanamycin (50 \(\mu\)g ml\(^{-1}\)). Selected clones were replicated onto both the same isolating medium and trypticase soy agar (TSA) (per litre: 15 g trypticase peptone, 5 g phytone peptones, 5 g NaCl, 15 g agar) and incubated overnight at 32 \(\degree\)C. The halophilic clones that did not grow in TSA medium due to its low salt content were then streaked onto LB/MC or MGM media, where the indicator strains C. violaceum CV026 or A. tumefaciens NTL4 (pZLR4) had been previously streaked in order to isolate AHL-deficient strains. They were incubated overnight at 32 \(\degree\)C to allow the indicator organisms to grow and surround the clone growths with either purple or blue haloes.

**Cloning of the hanRI genes.** To isolate the DNA fragment carrying the mini-Tn5 insertion, which encodes the kanamycin-resistance gene, genomic DNA from H. anticariensis FP35-11I was completely digested with enzymes that do not cleave within the minitransposon region. DNA fragments were separated on an agarose gel and transferred onto a nylon filter by standard techniques (Sambrook & Russell, 2001). Fragments containing the mini-Tn5 Km2 insertion were analysed by Southern hybridization using a digoxigenin DNA labelling and detection kit (Boehringer Mannheim) as described in previous publications (Llamas et al., 1997, 2003). Chromosomal DNA fragments digested with PstI were selected and ligated into pGEM-T to create pP11I. The cloned fragments were sequenced directly by primer walking using a BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing kit in an ABI 3100 DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems). The genomic sequence located upstream of the known nucleotide sequence was obtained by inverse PCR (Ochman et al., 1988; Llamas et al., 2003). One plasmid, pBP35, was isolated and sequenced. The DNA
Table 1. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study

Abbreviations: Ap<sup>r</sup>, ampicillin resistance; Gm<sup>r</sup>, gentamicin resistance; Km<sup>r</sup>, kanamycin resistance; Rif<sup>r</sup>, rifampicin resistance; Tc<sup>r</sup>, tetracycline resistance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain or plasmid</th>
<th>Genotype or description</th>
<th>Reference or source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>H. anticariensis strains:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP35&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (=CECT 5854&lt;sup&gt;T&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Wild-type isolate, mucoid</td>
<td>Martinez-Cánovas et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP35-R</td>
<td>Spontaneous rifampicin-resistant mutant of FP35, Rif&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP35-11I</td>
<td>FP35-R containing &lt;i&gt;hanR&lt;/i&gt;:::mini-Tn5 Km&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;, Rif&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;, Km&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP35hanR</td>
<td>FP35-R containing &lt;i&gt;hanR&lt;/i&gt;:::lacZ, Rif&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;, Km&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP35panhanR</td>
<td>FP35-R containing pMP-phanR, Rif&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;, Tc&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP35panI</td>
<td>FP35-R containing pMP-panI, Rif&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;, Tc&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP35panI[p-N-HanR]</td>
<td>FP35-R containing &lt;i&gt;hanR&lt;/i&gt;:mini-Tn5 Km&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; and pJN-HanR, Rif&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;, Km&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP35panI[p-J-NHanR]</td>
<td>FP35-R containing &lt;i&gt;hanR&lt;/i&gt;:::lacZ and pJN-HanR, Rif&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;, Km&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;, Gm&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. tumefaciens NTL4(pZLR4)</td>
<td>NT1 derivative carrying a &lt;i&gt;traG&lt;/i&gt;:::lacZ reporter fusion, Gm&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Shaw et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. violaceum CVO26</td>
<td>CV017 derivative containing &lt;i&gt;cviI&lt;/i&gt;:::Tn5xylE, Km&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>McClean et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E. coli strains:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH5&lt;sup&gt;x&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>F&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt; 80d lacZ&lt;sup&gt;ΔM15&lt;/sup&gt; (ΔlacZY-argF)U169 deor recA1 endA1 hsdR17(rK&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt; mK&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;) supE44 thi-1 gyrA96 relA1</td>
<td>Laboratory collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S17-1::&lt;i&gt;Δpir&lt;/i&gt;</td>
<td>RK2 tra regulon, pir, host for &lt;i&gt;pir&lt;/i&gt;-dependent plasmids</td>
<td>Miller &amp; Mekalanos (1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasmids:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEM-T</td>
<td>High-copy-number cloning vector, Ap&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;, bla, lacZ</td>
<td>Promega</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pUT mini-Tn5 Km2</td>
<td>Ap&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;, Km&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;, ori R6K, ori&lt;sup&gt;T&lt;/sup&gt; RP4</td>
<td>de Lorenzo et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pF111</td>
<td>pGEM-T with a 5 kb &lt;i&gt;PsI&lt;/i&gt; fragment carrying mini-Tn5 insertion, Ap&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;, Km&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBF35</td>
<td>pGEM-T with a 1.6 kb inverse PCR product amplified from a 2.2 kb fragment from strain FP35, Ap&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pVIK112</td>
<td>lacZY for disruption and transcriptional fusion, Km&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Kalogeraki &amp; Winans (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pVIKHanl</td>
<td>pVIK112 containing an internal &lt;i&gt;hanl&lt;/i&gt; fragment, transcriptional fusion, Km&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pVIKHanR</td>
<td>pVIK112 containing an internal &lt;i&gt;hanR&lt;/i&gt; fragment, transcriptional fusion, Km&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMP220</td>
<td>Promoter–probe vector, ‘lacZ’, IncP; Tc&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Spanik et al. (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMP-phanl</td>
<td>pMP220 containing &lt;i&gt;hanl&lt;/i&gt; promoter</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMP-phanR</td>
<td>pMP220 containing &lt;i&gt;hanR&lt;/i&gt; promoter</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pJN105</td>
<td>araC-F&lt;sub&gt;BAD&lt;/sub&gt; cassette cloned in pBBR1MCS5</td>
<td>Newman &amp; Fuqua (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pJN-Hanl</td>
<td>pJN105 containing intact &lt;i&gt;hanl&lt;/i&gt;, Gm&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pJN-HanR</td>
<td>pJN105 containing intact &lt;i&gt;hanR&lt;/i&gt;, Gm&lt;sup&gt;r&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

sequences thus obtained were analysed using a BLAST search of the GenBank database (National Center for Biotechnology Information; NCBI; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Promoter regions were predicted with the BPROM software (Softberry; http://linux1.softberry.com/berry.phtml). The conserved domains of the LuxR-type transcriptional regulator were identified using the SMART program (Letunic et al., 2006).

**DNA manipulations.** All molecular techniques were performed using standard protocols (Sambrook & Russell, 2001). The primers used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

**Construction of the hanR and hanl gene mutations.** An internal fragment lacking both the 5′ and 3′ ends of the <i>hanR</i> and <i>hanl</i> genes was cloned separately into the suicide plasmid pVIK112, containing the promoterless <i>lacZ</i> gene. Chromosomal gene disruptions were then effected in a single step by Campbell-type integration of the entire plasmid by homologous recombination (Kalogeraki & Winans, 1997).

Internal segments of 301 and 356 bp of the <i>hanR</i> and <i>hanl</i> genes, respectively, were amplified from <i>H. anticariensis</i> FP35<sup>+</sup> chromosomal DNA by using the primers hanRsub-EcoRI-F and hanRsub-XbaI-R for <i>hanR</i>, and hanlsub-EcoRI-F and hanlsub-XbaI-R for <i>hanl</i>, which contain EcoRI and XbaI restriction sites (underlined) at their respective 5′ ends (Supplementary Table S1). PCR entailed 30 cycles of 30 s at 95 ℃, 30 s at 66 ℃ and 30 s at 72 ℃. The PCR fragments were purified, cloned into pGEM-T, and digested with EcoRI and XbaI. The purified fragments were then cloned into the suicide plasmid pVIK112. The resulting plasmids, pVIKHanR and pVIKHanl, were subsequently transformed separately into <i>E. coli</i> S17-1::<i>Δpir</i> and transferred into a rifampicin-resistant FP35 derivative (FP35-R) by biparental mating, where the promoterless reporter <i>lacZ</i> gene simultaneously disrupted and created fusions to the <i>hanR</i> and <i>hanl</i> genes by single recombination. The <i>H. anticariensis</i> transconjugants, FP35hanl and FP35hanR, were selected by plating them on SWYE medium containing 2% (w/v) salts, rifampicin (50 µg ml<sup>−1</sup>) and kanamycin (50 µg ml<sup>−1</sup>) (Kalogeraki & Winans, 1997; Llamas et al., 2004) (Table 1).

**Cloning of the hanR and hanl genes in the expression vector pJN105.** The ORFs of <i>hanR</i> and <i>hanl</i> were amplified from <i>H. anticariensis</i> FP35<sup>+</sup> chromosomal DNA by using the primers hanR-EcoRI-F and hanR-EcoRI-R and hanl-EcoRI-F and hanl-EcoRI-R, respectively, which contain EcoRI and XbaI restriction sites at their respective 5′ ends (Supplementary Table S1). PCR entailed 30 cycles...
of 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 60 °C and 1 min at 72 °C. The PCR fragment was purified, digested with EcoRI and XhoI, and cloned into the broad-host-range expression vector pN105, which carries the l-arabinose-inducible E. coli araBAD promoter and the araC regulator (araC-ParaBAD) (Newman & Fuqua, 1999) to create pN-HanR and pN-HanI. The pN-HanR and pN-HanI plasmids were then transferred separately into E. coli S17-1 &pir and transferred by biparental mating into the AHL-deficient strains FP35-11I and FP35-I. The pJN-HanR and pJN-HanI plasmids were then transformed separately into E. coli S17-1 &pir and transferred by biparental mating into H. anticariensis FP35-R (Table 1). Promoter activity was analysed by measuring β-galactosidase activity (Miller, 1972).

**RNA extraction and RT-PCR assays.** Total bacterial RNA was isolated using the RNA II Nucleospin kit (Macherey-Nagel), followed by rigorous treatment with Turbo DNA-free RNAse (Ambion) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. All RNA samples were visualized on the gel and the concentrations were measured with a NanoDrop ND-2000c spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). RT-PCR was conducted with 0.05–0.5 μg total RNA at a final volume of 50 μl using the SuperScript III One-Step RT-PCR System with PlatinumTaq according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen). cDNA was synthesized at 55 °C for 30 min. Denaturation was performed for 2 min at 94 °C, followed by 35 cycles of PCR, as suggested by the manufacturer. A final elongation step was conducted at 68 °C for 7 min. The annealing temperature was calculated for each reaction on the basis of the melting temperatures of the pairs of primers used (Supplementary Table S1). Positive and negative controls were included in all the assays. 16S rRNA was used as a control for normalization. RT-PCR products were visualized by electrophoresis.

**Reverse transcription quantitative real-time PCR analysis (RT-qPCR).** Total RNA from bacterial cultures growing at the exponential and stationary phases (OD600 values of 0.4 and 1.4, respectively) was extracted as described above. All RNA samples were prepared from two independent cultures. RNA preparations (10 μg) were treated with Turbo DNA-free RNAse (Ambion) and visualized on the gel. A NanoDrop ND-2000c spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) was used to determine the concentration of RNA. RT-PCR was performed with a final volume of 20 μl containing 1 μl of the target cDNA or a dilution (1:10 000, for amplification of the 16S rRNA gene), 100 nM of each of the forward and reverse primers, 10 μl 6× iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) and nuclease-free water to a final volume of 20 μl. All reactions were repeated in triplicate. PCRs were also carried out with the RNA samples untreated with reverse transcriptase to confirm the absence of contaminating genomic DNA. The oligonucleotide sequences used for RT-qPCR analysis are listed in Supplementary Table S1. The amplification conditions consisted of an initial 3 min denaturation step at 95 °C, followed by 40 cycles each of denaturation at 95 °C for 10 s, annealing at 62 °C for 30 s, and extension at 72 °C for 30 s. Negative controls without cDNA for each primer set were included in each run. At the end of the real-time PCR cycles, a melting curve was generated and analysed to confirm product specificity. For all genes, melting-curve analysis showed clear melting peaks without non-specific products or artefacts. Standard curves were obtained using recombinant plasmids containing a fragment of the gene of interest. The relative expression of each gene was normalized to that of 16S rRNA and evaluated during the early exponential phase versus the stationary phase using the Relative Expression Software Tool (REST2009) (Pfaffl et al., 2002).

**Analysis of hanR and hanI promoter activity.** The promoter regions of the hanR and hanI genes (phanR and phani respectively) were amplified by PCR with primers incorporating BglII and XhoI linkers for phanR, and EcoRI and XhoI linkers for phani, respectively (Supplementary Table S1). The promoter region of each gene was fused to the lacZ gene in the promoterless low-copy-number pMP220 vector (Spaink et al., 1987). Upon amplification, DNA was cloned into the pGEM-T vector, digested with the appropriate restriction enzymes, and ligated to pMP220 for the construction of pMP-phanR and pMP-phanI. The constructions were confirmed by DNA sequencing. The pMP220, pMP-phanR and pMP-phanI plasmids were then transformed separately into E. coli S17-1 &pir and transferred by biparental mating into H. anticariensis FP35-R (Table 1). Promoter activity was analysed by measuring β-galactosidase activity (Miller, 1972).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Isolation and characterization of the AHL-deficient strain FP35-11I**

In a previous paper we reported that the exopolysaccharide-producing H. anticariensis FP35T synthesizes at least four AHLs (C4-HL, C6-HL, C8-HL and C12-HL) (Llamas et al., 2005). To detect AHLs, an overnight culture of the AHL indicator strain C. violaceum CV026 was diluted 1:100 in 5 ml LB medium and poured onto LB agar. Once the plates were dried, paper disks 5 mm in diameter were placed on an agar plate and the AHL samples were applied. The assay plates were incubated overnight at 32 °C and the appearance of pigment around the filter was determined. To characterize the AHLs, the samples were subjected to analytical and preparative TLC. AHL samples and standards were spotted onto a TLC plate and developed with 70% (v/v) methanol in water. The plate was air-dried and overlaid with top agar containing the A. tumefaciens NTL4 (pZLR4) indicator strain before being incubated at 32 °C (Llamas et al., 2005).

AHL standards were obtained from Sigma [N-(β-ketocaproyl)-l-homoserine lactone (3-oxo-C6-HL)] and Fluka [C8-HL and C12-HL].

**Cloning and sequencing of luxR and luxI homologues from H. anticariensis FP35T**

The nucleotide sequences of the chromosomal DNA in the two recombinant plasmids, pP11I and pBFP35 (see Methods), revealed the presence of two complete ORFs (Fig. 2a). The transposon insertion site within the FP35-11I genome was located after base pair 394 from the translation start.
start of ORF1. A Southern blot analysis confirmed that a single copy of the transposable element had been integrated into the chromosome of this mutant (data not shown).

The results of a homology search for the nucleotide sequence of the two ORFs in the NCBI database showed 26 to 31% similarity to the luxR and luxI family genes. ORF1, called hanR (for H. anticariensis luxR), contained 759 bp and encoded a putative protein of 252 aa with a predicted molecular mass of 28.9 kDa. HanR shared about 31% identity with LuxR from Burkholderia glumae (Devescovi et al., 2007) and 30% identity with PhzR from Pseudomonas chlororaphis strain 30-84 (Khan et al., 2007). Genetic and biochemical studies have identified two conserved domains in LuxR-type proteins: the autoinducer-binding N-terminal domain and the DNA-binding C-terminal domain (Whitehead et al., 2001). Analysis of the putative protein HanR in H. anticariensis FP35T with the SMART program revealed that it contained both domains: an autoinducer-binding domain (amino acids 30–178) and a DNA-binding domain with a helix–turn–helix motif, which is characteristic of the LuxR family (amino acids 189–246) (Letunic et al., 2006) (Supplementary Fig. S1).

The quorum-sensing hanR and hanI genes identified are organized in tandem. A similar genetic arrangement has recently been reported in other micro-organisms, such as R. palustris (Schaefer et al., 2008), Gluconacetobacter intermedius (Iida et al., 2008), Pseudomonas corrugata (Licciardello et al., 2007), Mesorhizobium tianshanense (Zheng et al., 2006) and Burkholderia cenocepacia (Malott et al., 2005). Nevertheless, in other bacteria, such as Aliivibrio fischeri (Urbanczyk et al., 2007) (formerly Vibrio fischeri), the luxI and luxR genes are transcribed divergently (Engerbracht & Silverman, 1984).

**The hanRI genes are co-transcribed**

Given that the hanR and the hanI genes are aligned in the same direction and only 76 bp of intergenic region separates them (Fig. 2a), they may well constitute an operon. To ascertain whether these two genes were part of the same transcriptional unit we carried out RT-PCR analysis using primers based on their intergenic region sequence. Fig. 2(b) shows the predicted fragment corresponding to the intergenic region.

Two possible σ70 promoters were identified upstream of the hanR and hanI regions (Fig. 2c) using the promoter...
prediction software BPROM. We then constructed fusions of the putative promoter regions of each gene to a 'lacZ' gene in the low-copy-number pMP220 vector and transferred it into *H. anticariensis* (see Methods and Table 1). In both cases, promoter activity was detected and measured by β-galactosidase assays: the FP35(pMP-phanR) strain gave a value of 451.49 ± 21.61 Miller units, whereas the FP35(pMP-phanI) strain gave a value of 72.40 ± 8.21 Miller units. Thus our results suggest that the *hanR* and *hanI* genes constitute the same transcriptional unit, although there are promoter activities upstream of each gene.

A putative *lux* box-like sequence, a 20 bp inverted repeat sequence, was found between positions –78 and –98 upstream of the *hanR* start translational site (Fig. 2c). This sequence is homologous to other known *lux* box sequences (Supplementary Table S2). The *lux* box sequences are thought to represent binding sites of LuxR homologues (Gray *et al.*, 1994) and are located within the promoter regions of many genes regulated by the quorum-sensing system.

**The expression of hanRI genes is growth phase-dependent**

In a previous work we demonstrated that the synthesis of AHLs in *H. anticariensis* FP35<sup>T</sup> is growth phase-dependent and that maximum production is reached during the late exponential to stationary phases (Llamas *et al.*, 2005). The relative expression of the *hanR* and *hanI* genes, which was measured by using RT-qPCR, increased 83.6- and 45.1-fold, respectively, by the end of the exponential growth phase. This finding was to be expected and it confirms that
the expression of the \textit{hanR}/\textit{hanI} system is cell density-dependent, as it is in most quorum-sensing systems (González & Marketon, 2003).

\textbf{\textit{hanI} gene function}

AHL production by the FP35\textit{hanI} mutant was revealed on an agar plate (Fig. 1a, panel 4) and its pattern was analysed by TLC (Fig. 1b, lane 4), which indicated that it did not produce any detectable levels of AHLs. The slight induction of the NTL4 (pZLR4) indicator strain corresponding to this strain, as shown by TLC, is the same as the signal obtained from a sample prepared from 5 ml uninoculated MY broth medium (Llamas et al., 2005). Therefore, according to these results, HanI would seem to be the sole autoinducer synthase responsible for the production of AHLs in \textit{H. anticariensis} FP35\textsuperscript{7}. The fact that \textit{H. anticariensis} produces at least four different AHLs is not surprising, as the same has been reported in other bacteria such as \textit{M. tianshanense} (Zheng et al., 2006). It has been suggested that the variability in the acyl chain length of AHLs is not only a function of acyl chain specificity but may also be influenced by the cellular pool of acyl ACPs available (Watson et al., 2002). Moreover, AHL production was almost completely restored in the FP35\textit{hanI} mutant when it was complemented with the \textit{hanI} gene cloned into the pJN105 expression vector (Fig. 1a, panel 5 and b, lane 5). Although the pJN105 plasmid is a broad-host-range expression vector, it has not been used before in studies with moderate halophiles and so it may be that AHLs were not fully produced because the vector was not properly expressed.

The transcription of \textit{hanI} synthase from the \textit{hanI} promoter was measured in the FP35\textit{hanI} mutant by \textit{β}-galactosidase assays, which indicated that it was unaffected by adding exogenous AHLs to the culture (Supplementary Fig. S2). Since we were unable to detect autoinduction in the synthesis of AHLs in \textit{H. anticariensis} FP35\textsuperscript{7}, we suggest that the classic \textit{A. fischeri} autoinduction of the \textit{hanI} gene does not take place in this strain in the same way as has been described in other bacteria (Andersson et al., 2000; Christensen et al., 2003; Nasser et al., 1998; Throup et al., 1995). Moreover, No lux box-like regulatory element could be identified within the region upstream of the translational start codon of the \textit{hanI} gene.

\textbf{\textit{hanR} gene function}

The FP35\textit{hanR} mutant produced quantities of signal molecules similar to those of the wild-type (Fig. 1a, panel 6 and b, lane 6). This result was unexpected, since the majority of \textit{luxR} mutants described in other bacteria produce fewer or no AHLs. Since the FP35\textit{hanR} mutant was completely defective in \textit{hanR} expression (Supplementary Fig. S3a) and the level of expression of the \textit{hanI} gene was similar to that of the wild-type strain (Supplementary Fig. S3a), it would seem that the HanR protein is not essential for AHL production in \textit{H. anticariensis} FP35\textsuperscript{7}. In contrast, the FP35\textit{hanR} mutant synthesized higher quantities of AHLs than FP35-11I (mini-Tn5::\textit{hanR}) (Fig. 1b, lanes 2 and 6), another mutant in which the \textit{hanR} gene is not transcribed (Supplementary Fig. S3a). This result may well be due to a polar effect caused by the transposon on the \textit{hanI} gene downstream, since the \textit{hanI} gene expression level is lower than that of FP35\textsuperscript{5} or FP35\textit{hanR} (Supplementary Fig. S3a). In fact, AHL production was not restored in the FP35-11I mutant when it was complemented with the pJN-HanR plasmid (Fig. 1a, panel 3 and b, lane 3).

The presence of a putative lux box-like sequence upstream of the \textit{hanR} start codon suggested that the expression of \textit{hanR} was AHL-dependent. Nevertheless, we found that transcription of the \textit{hanR} gene was induced in the AHL-deficient strain FP35\textit{hanI} to a degree similar to that of the wild-type strain even when crude extracts of AHLs were added to the bacterial culture (Supplementary Fig. S3b). This result suggests that \textit{hanR} is expressed from its own promoter but does not seem to be modulated by the AHL signalling molecules under our assay conditions. One explanation is that HanR might have the capacity to act as a regulator of its own expression even without binding to the AHLs. Another explanation is that there might be one or more additional transcriptional LuxR regulators in \textit{H. anticariensis} FP35\textsuperscript{7}. These unpaired LuxR family proteins have been well characterized and shown to be integrated with the resident complete AHL quorum-sensing regulatory network (Patankar & González, 2009; Subramoni & Venturi, 2009). This has been reported in the SinRI quorum-sensing system of \textit{Sinorhizobium melliloti}, where the ExpR regulator, an unpaired LuxR homologue, binds to a site in the \textit{sinR–sinI} intergenic region, which affects the transcription of the \textit{sinR} synthase gene (Hoang et al., 2004; McIntosh et al., 2009). More studies must be undertaken in \textit{H. anticariensis} FP35\textsuperscript{7} to test these hypotheses.

Having identified and characterized the quorum-sensing \textit{hanR}/\textit{hanI} system involved in the synthesis of AHLs in \textit{H. anticariensis} FP35\textsuperscript{7}, we are now turning our attention to the role of this type of cell density-dependent gene regulation in the extreme environments in which \textit{Halomonas} species live and in which they are ubiquitous. Within this context, \textit{Halomonas} species are in general considered to be free-living bacteria, and many of them produce exopolysaccharides that allow them to form biofilms; cell-to-cell communication may then be necessary to regulate the bacterial community in any given niche. To our knowledge this is the first report describing genetically the quorum-sensing system in a moderately halophilic bacterium, and it confirms previous evidence for cell-to-cell communication amongst micro-organisms in an extreme environment (Llamas et al., 2005).
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