**Pseudomonas aeruginosa** secreted factors impair biofilm development in *Candida albicans*
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Signal-mediated interactions between the human opportunistic pathogens *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Candida albicans* affect virulence traits in both organisms. Phenotypic studies revealed that bacterial supernatant from four *P. aeruginosa* strains strongly reduced the ability of *C. albicans* to form biofilms on silicone. This was largely a consequence of inhibition of biofilm maturation, a phenomenon also observed with supernatant prepared from non-clinical bacterial species. The effects of supernatant on biofilm formation were not mediated via interference with the yeast–hyphal morphological switch and occurred regardless of the level of homoserine lactone (HSL) produced, indicating that the effect is HSL-independent. A transcriptome analysis to dissect the effects of the *P. aeruginosa* supernatants on gene expression in the early stages of *C. albicans* biofilm formation identified 238 genes that exhibited reproducible changes in expression in response to all four supernatants. In particular, there was a strong increase in the expression of genes related to drug or toxin efflux and a decrease in expression of genes associated with adhesion and biofilm formation. Furthermore, expression of YWP1, which encodes a protein known to inhibit biofilm formation, was significantly increased. Biofilm formation is a key aspect of *C. albicans* infections, therefore the capacity of *P. aeruginosa* to antagonize this has clear biomedical implications.

**INTRODUCTION**

Although the bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and the yeast *Candida albicans* are benign members of the mucosal flora of some healthy individuals, they are also serious opportunistic pathogens of susceptible individuals. *P. aeruginosa*, for example, is the leading cause of mortality in cystic fibrosis (CF) patients and *C. albicans* is the most common hospital-acquired fungal infection. In some cases, *Candida* and *Pseudomonas* have been co-isolated from the CF lung or serious burn wounds (Bakare et al., 2003; Gupta et al., 2005; Valenza et al., 2008) but the degree to which they communicate *in vivo* remains largely unknown. A number of recent studies, however, found that these organisms can interact (El-Azizi et al., 2004; Kerr, 1994; Nseir et al., 2007) and that these complex interactions are mediated, at least in part, by small signal molecules (Cugini et al., 2007; De Sordi & Mühlschlegel, 2009; Hogan & Kolter, 2002; Hogan et al., 2004; McAlester et al., 2008; Storey et al., 1998; Wargo & Hogan, 2006). The *Pseudomonas* quorum sensing signal 3-oxo-C12-homoserine lactone (3OC12HSL), which is a regulator of bacterial virulence factor production (Shiner et al., 2005), inhibits hyphal development in *C. albicans* when present in culture supernatants or added exogenously (McAlester et al., 2008). This morphological effect is likely to reduce the virulence of *C. albicans* as the ability to switch between yeast and hyphae is an important virulence trait (Gow et al., 2002; Whiteway & Oberholzer, 2004). Conversely,
farnesol, which is a quorum sensing (QS) molecule produced by C. albicans to control hyphal development (Hornby et al., 2001), reduces Pseudomonas quinolone signal production and swarming motility, two traits important for virulence in P. aeruginosa (McAlester et al., 2008).

The effects of Pseudomonas signals on Candida biofilm formation are of particular interest as many C. albicans infections are the result of drug-resistant biofilm formation on surfaces of indwelling medical devices, such as catheters and heart valves (d’Enfert, 2006; Kojic & Darouiche, 2004; Nobile & Mitchell, 2006). Mature biofilm formation in C. albicans requires the ability to transform from yeast to hyphal growth (Baillie & Douglas, 1999; Ramage et al., 2002a, b); therefore, microbial interactions that interfere with this process may also modulate biofilm formation and aid in the identification of molecular targets for therapeutic intervention.

Our previous work established that supernatants from some clinical isolates of P. aeruginosa inhibit morphological development in C. albicans in a 3OC12HSL-dependent fashion (McAlester et al., 2008). In this study, we used targeted phenotypic assays to specifically assess the effects of bacterial supernatants on Candida adhesion and biofilm formation. Following this, global gene expression was examined to establish the range of effects that P. aeruginosa supernatants/signals have on C. albicans developmental processes.

**METHODS**

**Strains and culture conditions.** All strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1, available with the online version of this paper. The P. aeruginosa CF isolates (CF144 and CF177) have been genetically characterized previously by our group and shown to be genotypically distinct (Adams et al., 1998; Finnan et al, 2004). C. albicans DAY185, BWP17 and BCA2-10 were kind gifts from A. Mitchell, B. Granger and A. Johnson, respectively. All strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1, available with the online version of this paper.

**Bacterial supernatant preparation.** All bacterial supernatants were prepared essentially as described previously (McAlester et al., 2008). Briefly, after 16 h growth in LB medium, cells were harvested by centrifugation and the resulting supernatant was sterilized by filtration. The sterile supernatant was immediately lyophilized and resuspended at a concentration of 20 x in sterile pure water. Supernatants were used immediately or stored for short periods of time at −80 °C. A control, sterile LB media was filtered, lyophilized and resuspended to a concentration of 20 x in the same manner as the bacterial samples.

**Treatment of C. albicans with bacterial supernatants.** C. albicans cells were grown as yeast cultures overnight at 30 °C in YNB medium. Yeast cells were diluted in YNBNP filament-inducing medium to OD600 0.05 and incubated at 37 °C. Upon inoculation from YNB to filament-inducing medium, sterile concentrated bacterial supernatant was added at a final concentration of 2 x from a 20 x stock. Incubation was then continued for biofilm or transcriptome analysis experiments, as described below.

**Yeast biofilm analysis.** Biofilms were grown on silicone squares essentially as described previously (Ding & Butler, 2007; Nobile et al., 2006). Briefly, silicone squares (1.5 x 1.5 cm) were cut from sheets (Cardiovascular Instruments) and autoclaved. The squares were then pre-treated with fetal calf serum (FCS) in a 12-well plate and incubated with shaking at 37 °C overnight. The silicone was washed with PBS buffer to remove the residual FCS and moved to a new 12-well plate. C. albicans cells were treated with bacterial supernatant in YNBNP as described above and 3 ml cultures were added to the 12-well plate containing the pre-treated silicone. The plate was incubated on a rotator at 37 °C for 2 h (initial adhesion phase) with gentle shaking. The medium was removed, and the silicone was gently washed with PBS buffer and 3 ml fresh YNBNP plus supernatant was added. Plates were then incubated with gentle agitation on a rotary shaker at 37 °C for 36 h. Biofilms were washed and stained with 25 μg ml⁻¹ concanavalin A–Alexa Fluor 594 conjugate (C-11253; Bioscience) for 45 min at 37 °C and observed with a Zeiss LSM510 confocal scanning microscope equipped with Plan-Neofluar ×20-magnification/0.3-numerical aperture (for measuring depth) and ×40-magnification/1.3-numerical aperture (for image acquisition) oil objectives. A HeNe1 laser was used to excite at a 543 nm wavelength. All images were captured using a Zeiss LSM Image Browser.

**Microtitre plate biofilm assay.** Biofilm formation in C. albicans was measured in 96-well polystyrene plates (Sarstedt) essentially as described previously (Ramage et al., 2001). Cells were treated with supernatant as described above and 100 μl cultures were placed in the wells of the plate for an initial incubation period of 1 h at 37 °C. The media and non-adherent cells were removed and the wells were washed twice with 100 μl fresh growth medium to remove non-adherent cells. Fresh growth medium (100 μl) plus supernatant was added to the wells, and the plates were reincubated statically for 24 h. Biofilm formation was measured using a semiquantitative XTT (Sigma) reduction assay. Cultures with no added supernatant were used as controls. To investigate the effects of metal availability, FeCl₃ was added to wells at a final concentration of 0.1 mM and EDTA was added at a final concentration of 0.2 mM. Each experiment was repeated in triplicate with three technical replicates for each condition and control. Changes in pH during the assay were monitored using Panpeha pH-indicator strips (Sigma). Means were compared using pairwise t-tests or, in the case of multiple treatments, by one-way ANOVA followed by least significant difference (LSD) analysis (P = 0.05).

**RNA isolation and transcript profiling.** Cultures from which RNA was extracted were grown in the presence of supernatant for 6 h in YNBNP, after which time, cells were harvested by centrifugation (4000 g for 5 min) at room temperature, washed in sterile water and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C. Cells were sheared mechanically with a Micro-Disemembrator (Braun) and RNA was extracted using Trizol Reagent (GibcoBRL), as described previously (Hawser et al., 1998). Cyt-3- and Cyt-5-labeled cDNA were prepared from total RNA, and the probes were hybridized with almost whole genome microarrays containing approximately 6000 C. albicans genes (Eurogentec). Slides were scanned using a ScanArray Lite scanner (PerkinElmer Life Sciences) and quantified using QuantiArray software. Data normalization and analysis were per-
formed using GeneSpring software (Silicon Genetics) and statistical analysis was performed using significance analysis of microarrays (SAM) (Tusher et al., 2001). Expression ratios were calculated by comparing cells treated with supernatants with their untreated hyphal control. For the analysis of changes in gene expression, the transcription profiles of each test condition were compared against a reference profile generated by comparing expression in untreated yeast (YNB 30 °C) and hyphal (YNBPN 37 °C) cells. Data from three independent biological replicates were used for each analysis and genes which showed a differential expression of twofold or greater at an SAM false discovery rate of ≤ 5% were considered significant. Complete expression data are lodged in the EBI database under accession number E-MEXP-1614.

**RT-PCR amplification.** For RT-PCR, treated RNA (10–15 µg) was used to create cDNA using random primers (Promega) and Superscript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. PCRs were carried out using primer pairs designed to have the same melting temperature (Supplementary Table S2). The following conditions were used for PCR amplification: 94 °C for 4 min, 94 °C for 1 min, 59 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 2 min and a final elongation step of 72 °C for 10 min for 26–30 cycles depending on the primer set.

**RESULTS**

**P. aeruginosa supernatants impair C. albicans biofilm development**

Microbial biofilm formation follows conserved patterns, initiating with growth and attachment of a basal layer of cells to a surface followed by development of the biofilm ultrastructure (Yeater et al., 2007). In C. albicans, this initial basal layer consists predominantly of yeast cells, with upper layers formed chiefly of hyphal cells, with some pseudohyphal and yeast cells also visible in much lesser numbers (Blankenship & Mitchell, 2006). We tested the ability of C. albicans to adhere to and form biofilms on solid surfaces following treatment with P. aeruginosa supernatants. C. albicans was incubated with pieces of silicone under biofilm-permissive conditions in the presence and absence of supernatants from two homoserine lactone (HSL)-producing (CF144 and PAO1) and two HSL-non-producing (CF177 and PAO1ΔQS) isolates (Finnan et al., 2004; McAlester et al., 2008). Biofilms were examined by confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM), allowing both visualization of biofilms and measurement of the biofilm depth (Fig. 1). This revealed that all supernatants dramatically reduced C. albicans biofilm formation on silicone, clearly highlighted by the biofilm depth measurements (Fig. 1). The effect was most severe with supernatants that prevent the switch to hyphal growth (CF144 and PAO1) but was also observed with CF177 and PAO1ΔQS supernatants, where both yeast and hyphal cells were visible. To investigate this phenomenon further, we examined C. albicans biofilm formation on polystyrene in the presence or absence of the supernatants using a semiquantitative assay (Ramage et al., 2001). Cells were grown in microtitre wells and, as previously reported, supernatants did not cause any reduction in total cellular growth. There was, however, a dramatic reduction in the ability of C. albicans to form biofilms in the presence of each of the four supernatants when used at a final concentration of either 2 × (Fig. 2a) or 1 × (data not shown). This phenomenon was observed using YNBPN (Fig. 2) or RPMI media (data not shown), both by quantifying cells (Fig. 2a) and by microscopic examination of the polystyrene surface, where there was a lower density of adhered cells compared with the control treatment (data not shown). There was no significant difference between the effects of supernatants from high HSL-producing strains and those from low HSL-producing strains. To determine whether this effect was specific to P. aeruginosa, we prepared supernatants from Escherichia coli and the environmental Pseudomonas species P. fluorescens. Supernatant from both of these non-clinical bacterial species reduced C. albicans biofilms to a similar extent as the P. aeruginosa supernatants (Fig. 2b). In addition, the C. albicans response is not strain specific, as two further wild-type strains BWP17 and DAY185 also showed the same response as SC5314 with reduced biofilm formation in the presence of P. aeruginosa CF177 supernatant (Fig. 2c). Interestingly, the BWP17 strain appears to have considerably reduced biofilm formation ability compared with the other wild-type strains, even in the absence of supernatant.

---

![Fig. 1. CLSM images of C. albicans biofilms formed in the presence or absence of supernatant from P. aeruginosa strains. C. albicans biofilms were allowed to form on silicone squares in YNBPN (37 °C) for 36 h with/without supernatant from the indicated strain of P. aeruginosa and were examined by CLSM. Control refers to untreated, wild-type C. albicans (SC5314). (a) CLSM image of biofilms. (b) Silicone squares in wells prior to imaging. Biofilm depths on the surface of the silicone are shown to the right.](image-url)

---

**Supplementary Material**

**Table S2**. The following conditions were used for PCR amplification: 94 °C for 4 min, 94 °C for 1 min, 59 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 2 min and a final elongation step of 72 °C for 10 min for 26–30 cycles depending on the primer set.
One possible reason for the observed reduction in \textit{C. albicans} adhesion may be that addition of bacterial supernatants perturbs the fungal environment making it less optimal for biofilm formation. Initially, we investigated whether supernatants were in any way modulating pH, as acidification of the culture media is known to negatively affect biofilm formation. Both the hyphal-inducing media YNBNP and the LB in which supernatants are prepared have a pH of 6.5. Even after incubation of the supernatant with cells in the polystyrene wells for 1 h, there was no alteration in pH, establishing that pH changes do not play a role here (data not shown). Another factor that is believed to play a role in hyphal development and effective biofilm formation in \textit{C. albicans} is nutrient availability, in particular the availability of cationic compounds such as iron (Almeida \textit{et al.}, 2009; Baillie & Douglas, 1998; Hameed \textit{et al.}, 2008; Ramage \textit{et al.}, 2007). To determine if iron deficiency was involved in limiting biofilm formation we repeated the assay with an excess of iron in the media (Fig. 3). We also added the cation chelator EDTA into supernatant to give an indication of whether excess metal availability was inhibiting the development of healthy biofilms (Fig. 3). Under both conditions, \textit{C. albicans} biofilm formation remained inhibited in the presence of bacterial supernatants, indicating that neither iron limitation nor excess of cationic metal compounds in the media is responsible for the effect of bacterial supernatant on biofilms.

The observed effects of supernatant could be a consequence of impaired \textit{C. albicans} adhesion to solid surfaces or of impaired biofilm progression and development. To dissect the stage of adhesion/biofilm development at which supernatants have their primary effect, we modified the microtitre assay so that supernatant was only present either during the initial adhesion phase (0–1 h; condition 2) or during the biofilm development phase (1–24 h; condition 3) (Fig. 4). After 24 h of incubation, cells were washed and the XTT reduction assay was performed as described above. We compared these treatments to the conditions tested previously: supernatant present during the entire process (condition 1) or not present at all (condition 4). When PAO1 supernatant was only present during initial adhesion (condition 2) the biofilms formed were comparable to those in the no supernatant control (condition 4) (Fig. 4). In contrast, when supernatant was only present in the later developmental stage (condition 3) we observed a reduction in biofilm formation similar to that seen when supernatant was present throughout the

\textbf{Fig. 2.} Biofilm formation is reduced by the addition of bacterial supernatant. \textit{C. albicans} was incubated in YNBNP medium with/without (open/filled bars) bacterial supernatant at 37 °C in polystyrene microtitre wells. Biofilm formation was calculated after 24 h by using the XTT reductase assay. (a) Supernatants from both HSL-producing (CF144 and PAO1) and HSL-non-producing (CF177 and PAO1\textsubscript{ΔQS}) \textit{P. aeruginosa} strains reduce the ability of \textit{C. albicans} SC5314 to form biofilms in the wells. (b) Supernatants from \textit{P. aeruginosa} strain PAO1, \textit{P. fluorescens} PFO-1 and \textit{E. coli} DH5\textsubscript{α} are also able to inhibit biofilm formation. (c) Different \textit{C. albicans} wild-type strains exhibit reduced biofilm formation in response to supernatant from \textit{P. aeruginosa} PAO1. Error bars show SD.

\textbf{Fig. 3.} \textit{P. aeruginosa} supernatants do not influence biofilm formation by altering ion availability. \textit{C. albicans} biofilm formation in polystyrene microtitre plate wells in the presence or absence of \textit{P. aeruginosa} PAO1 supernatant was measured as described in the legend to Fig. 2. To test if an iron deficiency was limiting biofilm formation, FeCl\textsubscript{3} was added to a final concentration of 0.1 mM. To chelate any excess ions present in the supernatant, EDTA was added to a final concentration of 0.2 mM. Error bars show SD.
experiment (condition 1). Similar results were obtained with PAO1ΔQS supernatant (data not shown). One-way ANOVA and LSD post-hoc analyses indicate that there is no significant difference between mean levels of expression in conditions 1 and 3 ($P=0.171$) or conditions 2 and 4 ($P=0.272$). Therefore, controlling the stage of supernatant addition reveals that inhibition by secreted factors occurs primarily during the process of biofilm maturation.

**Supernatants do not impair biofilm development via interference with the yeast–hyphal morphological switch**

While the data in Fig. 4 indicate that supernatants do not mediate their effect by targeting yeast adherence, it is not clear whether they impair biofilm formation by interfering with the yeast–hyphal transition. Because *P. aeruginosa* supernatants are already known to influence the *C. albicans* morphological switch (McAlester et al., 2008), we investigated the possibility that this critical developmental stage is the target during inhibition of biofilm formation. We initially looked at supernatant effects in non-inducing YNB media. Under these conditions, cells will remain predominantly in the yeast form and have reduced capacity to form biofilms. When supernatant was added, we observed no effect on biofilm formation (Fig. 5a), consistent with our findings that yeast adherence is not being targeted (Fig. 4). In contrast, when a constitutively filamenting tup1/tup1 strain was used, supernatant addition resulted in severe inhibition of biofilm formation (Fig. 5b). Thus, even in the absence of a yeast–hypha transition, it appears that supernatant can still impair biofilm formation in a filamenting strain. This was evident when using supernatant from either PAO1 (Fig. 5b) or the HSL-non-producing strain PAO1ΔQS (data not shown), indicating that the effect is HSL-independent. Further analysis confirmed that addition of supernatant without HSL did not have any inhibitory effect on hyphal growth in either the wild-type SC5314 or tup1/tup1 strain (data not shown).

**Supernatants affect *C. albicans* gene expression**

To investigate whether the phenotypic effects of supernatants on *C. albicans* were reflected in changes in gene expression, we employed a transcriptome profiling approach. This may provide insights into the mechanism(s) by which bacteria are able to modulate fungal physiology and function. As supernatants impair biofilm formation but do not appear to do this via specific targeting of yeast adherence or the morphological switch, we chose an early time point in biofilm maturation (6 h) at which to study gene expression. Using the microtitre assay, we confirmed that supernatants have already begun to mediate their effect on the nascent biofilm at this time. To do this, we again used the biofilm assay but shortened the second phase of supernatant incubation from 24 to 6 h. Although biofilm development is still at an early stage after 6 h, PAO1 supernatant had a clear effect (Fig. 6). Consequently, a 6 h time point was used for all transcript profiling experiments. Supernatants from all four *P. aeruginosa* strains were analysed to investigate their effects on global gene expression in *C. albicans* under hyphal-inducing conditions (YNBNP at 37 °C) compared with an untreated hyphal control. In these experiments, the morphology of *C. albicans* treated with supernatant from low HSL-producing strains, CF177 and PAO1ΔQS, was largely hyphal (H), and those treated with supernatant from strains CF144 and PAO1 was almost 100% yeast (Y). Hierarchical cluster analysis revealed many changes in gene expression but two clear patterns were apparent (Fig. 7). First, when compared with the reference (expression in yeast versus hyphae), the expression profiles following treatment with the four supernatants clustered together, indicating that there were many common changes as a result of addition of the bacterial supernatants. Second, within the four profiles obtained following supernatant treatment, those from the high HSL producers (CF144 and PAO1) paired together, as did those from the low producers (CF177 and PAO1ΔQS). This is consistent with

---

**Fig. 4.** *P. aeruginosa* supernatants specifically inhibit biofilm development and not initial adhesion. (a) Flow diagram indicating the experimental stages at which PAO1 supernatant was added. Condition 1 represents the typical plus supernatant incubation, with supernatant present during both adhesion (0–1 h) and biofilm formation (1–24 h) stages. In condition 2, *C. albicans* cells were only exposed to supernatant during the initial adhesion step (0–1 h). For condition 3, cells were only exposed to supernatant during biofilm development (1–24 h). Condition 4 is the standard control with no supernatant. (b) *C. albicans* SC5314 biofilm formation in polystyrene microtitre plate wells was measured as described in the legend to Fig. 2 under the four conditions described above. Statistical analysis indicated no significant difference between conditions 1 and 3 or between conditions 2 and 4 (one-way ANOVA followed by LSD test, $P=0.05$). Error bars show SD. s/n, Supernatant.
the idea of specific changes in gene expression being caused by the presence of HSLs in CF144/PAO1 supernatants but also with an HSL-independent effect of all supernatants.

**Alterations in biofilm-linked genes**

We previously showed that supernatants containing HSLs affected the *C. albicans* morphological transition (McAlester et al., 2008), and these data were supported by a similar pattern of expression induced by supernatants from strains CF144 and PAO1. Furthermore, our observation of a general phenotypic effect was reflected by the large number of genes which exhibit altered expression in response to all *P. aeruginosa* supernatants. Looking at this response to supernatants from all four strains, and applying a twofold cut-off, 109 genes showed increased expression and 129 showed decreased expression relative to the reference (Supplementary Tables S3 and S4). These genes were classified using gene ontology resources on the Candida Genome Database (CGD) website and additional information where available. Many of the differentially regulated genes were involved in nucleic acid binding, ribosome activity and transport, suggesting a cellular response to changing environmental conditions but there was no evidence of global stress-related signal transduction pathways being activated. This is consistent with our previous report that these *P. aeruginosa* supernatants do not have any negative impact on growth rates (McAlester et al., 2008). The most striking class of upregulated genes encode proteins that are believed to be involved in drug export, for example SNQ2 and CDR11, which suggests that the supernatants contain metabolites perceived as detrimental by Candida (Supplementary Table S3). With respect to downregulated genes, the expression of *C. albicans* genes associated with adhesion or biofilm properties was reduced (Supplementary Table S4). To explore this in more detail, we compiled expression data for three classes of genes: those reported in various studies to regulate or be involved in biofilm formation (Nobile & Mitchell, 2006), the ALS gene family that encodes surface proteins involved in adhesion (Hoyer et al., 2008) and the RBT genes, which have been defined as ‘repressed by TUP1’ (Braun et al., 2000) (Table 1). Important regulators such as CHK1 and TEC1, which have also been shown to affect biofilm formation, showed decreased expression across all treatments. In contrast with the downregulated expression of these biofilm formation genes, there was a strong increase in expression of YWP1, which encodes a protein that is associated with biofilm dispersal (Granger et al., 2005). The pattern of expression of the ALS genes, in particular the reduced expression of ALS1, ALS2 and ALS3, suggests that *Pseudomonas* supernatants may in fact be reducing adherence in *C. albicans*. Note that the revised annotation of the *C. albicans* genome sequence means that both CA0448 and CA0519 on the microarray represent ALS3 (Hoyer et al., 2008). Furthermore, the consistent downregulation of RBT genes is also notable, especially knowing that RBT1, RBT5 and RBT8 encode cell wall/surface proteins that are typically induced during the switch from yeast to hyphal growth. To verify array data, semiquantitative RT-PCR was performed on genes with the highest differential expression or with functions related to biofilm formation, adhesion and morphological state (Fig. 8). This confirmed the increase in expression in drug

**Fig. 5.** Effect of *P. aeruginosa* supernatant on biofilm development is not linked to the yeast–hyphal transition. *C. albicans* biofilm formation in polystyrene microtitre plate wells after treatment with *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 supernatant was measured as described in the legend to Fig. 2. (a) *C. albicans* SC5314 was incubated at 37 °C in non-inducing YNB media with/without supernatant. (b) The constitutively filamenting *C. albicans* tup1 tup1 strain BCa2-10 was incubated with or without addition of supernatant in YNBNP media at 37 °C. Error bars show SD.

**Fig. 6.** Inhibition by supernatant can be observed at early stages of *C. albicans* biofilm development. *C. albicans* SC5314 biofilm formation with or without addition of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 supernatant was measured as described in the legend for Fig. 2, except the XTT reductase assay was performed after only 6 h incubation. Error bars show SD.
efflux genes such as SNQ2 and CDR2 and the decrease in expression of the key genes RBT1, RBT4 and ALS3. As expected, HWP1 is only expressed in cells growing as hyphae in both the control experiment and after supernatant treatment. However, the ‘yeast-specific’ gene YWP1 shows an interesting, morphology-independent increase in expression in response to bacterial supernatants. RBT1 and RBT4 fail to show the expected degree of increase in expression in hyphae. Interestingly, the modest increase in expression of the hyphal repressors TUP1 and NRG1 that was seen in the array data for some treatments was confirmed. Increased expression of these morphogenetic repressors, and of the biofilm repressor, YWP1, is predicted to have a significant negative impact on the capacity of C. albicans to form mature biofilms.

**DISCUSSION**

*Pseudomonas–Candida* interactions are emerging as a complex theme with possible implications for human health. Based on previous work, it is clear that some of these interactions are mediated by secreted signal molecules, namely bacterial HSLs and the *Candida* molecule farnesol. Previously, we showed that addition of supernatants from HSL-producing *P. aeruginosa* strains (CF144, PAO1) to *C. albicans* inhibited hyphal development, whereas addition of supernatant from non-HSL-producing strains (PAO1ΔQS and CF177) had no morphological effect (McAlester et al., 2008). These effects were not accompanied by an impact on growth. Although the mechanism remains unknown, recent data suggest that this effect could operate via the RAS–cAMP protein kinase A signal transduction pathway (Davis-Hanna et al., 2008). Our focus in this study, however, was on other virulence-related effects of *P. aeruginosa* supernatants on *C. albicans*. Because we analysed supernatants from three independent *P. aeruginosa* strains – two clinical isolates (CF144 and CF177) and the laboratory strain PAO1 – and included two HSL-producing (PAO1 and CF144) and two non-HSL-producing (PAO1ΔQS and CF177) strains, we are confident that the observed effects are HSL-independent and of general significance.

It was striking from our phenotypic studies that supernatants from all four *P. aeruginosa* strains negatively
affected biofilm formation. Further dissection revealed that it is biofilm maturation which is targeted by supernatants and not adhesion or the yeast–hyphal morphological transition. Although the role of Tup1 in morphogenesis has not yet been elucidated fully, the discovery that supernatant from the non-HSL-producing PAO1\(\Delta QS\) strain could severely inhibit biofilm development in the constitutively filamenting \(\text{tup1}^{+}\) strain supports the idea that we are seeing an additional effect on \(C.\ albicans\) morphology which is unrelated to that of HSLs.

Our microarray analysis, which was performed in the early stages of the interaction, provides a broad view of how supernatants influence fungal physiology and may also give indirect insights into mechanisms involved in biofilm formation. Concurrent with our phenotypic study, the microarray revealed two distinct patterns. Firstly, the profiles of the HSL-producing strains clustered together, as did those from the non-HSL-producing strains, revealing a clear HSL-related effect on gene expression. More importantly, we saw a dramatic global effect, with all supernatants affecting expression of a common set of 238 genes, irrespective of whether the \(Candida\) was growing as yeast (CF144/PAO1) or hyphae (CF177/PAO1\(\Delta QS\)). Most of these affected genes were related to growth or metabolism but the two key observations were the increase in expression of drug export-type genes and the alterations predicted to negatively affect adhesion and biofilm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene name (CGD)*</th>
<th>Accession no.</th>
<th>Average ratios†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genes relevant to biofilm formation:</td>
<td></td>
<td>PAO1 (Y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFG1</td>
<td>CA2787</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEM1</td>
<td>CA0767</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDS3</td>
<td>CA5937</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV3</td>
<td>CA2908</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR1</td>
<td>CA3685</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEC1</td>
<td>CA6138</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YWP1</td>
<td>CA1678</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDR1</td>
<td>CA2968</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR1</td>
<td>CA6066</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR2</td>
<td>CA6099</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUP85</td>
<td>CA6152</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHK1</td>
<td>CA4676</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPH1</td>
<td>CA0154</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAP1</td>
<td>CA3173</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MKC1</td>
<td>CA5865</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCN4</td>
<td>CA3126</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBT genes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBT1</td>
<td>CA2830</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBT2</td>
<td>CA3957</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBT4</td>
<td>CA0104</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBT5</td>
<td>CA2558</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBT7</td>
<td>CA0169</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBT8</td>
<td>CA4381</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS gene family:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS1</td>
<td>CA0316</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS2</td>
<td>CA0413</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS3</td>
<td>CA0509</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS4</td>
<td>CA0448</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS5</td>
<td>CA5173</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS6</td>
<td>CA2852</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS7</td>
<td>CA5713</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS9</td>
<td>CA5699</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Genes exhibiting a significant change in expression in response to all supernatants are given in bold type.

†Significant changes in gene expression given in bold type (twofold cut off; false discovery rate \(\leq 5\%\)).
formation. This latter group included genes that are required for biofilm formation, adhesins and negative regulators of hyphal development, including NRG1 and the YWPI gene.

Although it is not possible at this stage to establish the relative importance of each individual change in gene expression, genetic studies with YWPI suggest that the morphology-independent increase in expression of this gene may be significant. *C. albicans* strains lacking YWPI have been shown to display greater adhesion and biofilm formation, implying a role for Ywp1p in biofilm dispersal. However, analysis of adhesion/biofilm formation in a *C. albicans ywp1* null strain revealed that deletion of this gene alone was not sufficient to ameliorate the responses to *P. aeruginosa* supernatants (data not shown). The upregulation of the hyphal repressors NRG1 and TUP1 may also be of significance as these genes are both components of a pathway controlling expression of downstream targets involved in hyphal formation (Braun et al., 2001). Interestingly, supernatants were still able to severely impair biofilm development in a *tup1/tup1* mutant, indicating that this gene is not the key target. An alternative explanation is that reduced expression of other key genes in biofilm formation, namely the ALS adhesins (Hoyer et al., 2008) or the regulators of biofilm formation CHK1 and TEC1, is responsible for the phenomenon. It is most likely that changes in *C. albicans* adhesion and biofilm formation are, not unsurprisingly, the result of a co-ordinated alteration in the expression of a cohort of genes. Although the effects of *P. aeruginosa* supernatants on *Candida* biofilm formation are independent of HSLs, it remains to be determined whether induced alterations in *Candida* farnesol levels play some role in the adherence and biofilm defect. This is an attractive hypothesis as farnesol is known to inhibit biofilm formation. However, as a counter-argument, farnesol is believed to operate via Chk1p (Kruppa et al., 2004) and CHK1 expression is strongly reduced by supernatants, suggesting that a role for this pathway is less likely.

Several plausible explanations can be put forward for the observed phenomena and identification of the component(s) of the *P. aeruginosa* supernatant that reduces biofilm formation will be crucial in dissecting the mechanistic basis of this interaction. The main possibilities are either production of a secreted metabolite or alterations in the levels of particular nutrients or macromolecules. Either scenario is equally relevant because the external factors that reduce *C. albicans* biofilm maturation are not currently known. As a possible corollary, synergistic interactions between the bacterium *Pseudomonas putida* and the yeast *S. cerevisiae* have also been reported (Romano & Kolter, 2005). In the case of the *P. aeruginosa–C. albicans* interaction, changes in pH or routine media components have been discounted. We also ruled out the possibility that the observed phenotype was due to a limitation in the availability of iron, such as that which may occur as a result of scavenging by siderophores potentially present in bacterial supernatants. Interestingly, supernatants from an *E. coli* and a *P. fluorescens* strain were also able to reduce adhesion to polystyrene, indicating that the component(s) responsible may be common in bacterial supernatants. A possible contender for this role could be bacterial lipo/exopolysaccharide or other lipid molecules. A recent study in *P. aeruginosa* has identified an unsaturated fatty acid (cis-2-decenolic acid) that has the capacity to disperse the biofilms formed by a range of microbes (Davies & Marques, 2009). It will be interesting to identify whether this small lipid messenger is also present in supernatants from other bacterial species and if it has a role in the cross-species communications observed here.

The importance of bacteria–*Candida* interactions in medical contexts has been recognized previously (El-Azizi et al., 2004; Nseir et al., 2007; Wargo & Hogan, 2006). *Candida* can colonize epithelia causing problems such as thrush and several studies have examined effects of lactobacilli on *C. albicans*. Results are conflicting, with some studies reporting general antifungal activity of lactobacilli and others finding that antifungal activity is uncommon (Falagas et al., 2006; Strus et al., 2005a, b). These studies generally focused on growth inhibition, which is distinct from the phenomenon reported here that occurs without any negative impact on growth. In addition, however, it was found that some lactobacilli (Osset et al., 2001), and a single probiotic strain of *E. coli* (Trautner et al., 2003), could reduce *Candida* adherence to epithelial cells and the surface of a catheter, respectively. Recently, secreted molecules from *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium have been shown to reduce *C. albicans* viability, filamentation and biofilm formation in *vitro* and to inhibit filamentation in a *Caenorhabditis elegans* co-infection model (Tampakakis et al., 2009). Inhibition of *C. albicans* growth by *P. aeruginosa* secondary metabolites such as pyrrolnitrin or phenazines has also been reported previously. When the direct effects of *P. aeruginosa* on *C. albicans* were assessed, it was found that *P. aeruginosa* bacteria adhere to and inhibit the growth of *C. albicans* hyphal (but not yeast) cells (Hogan & Kolter, 2002), and that *C. albicans* hyphal growth and biofilm formation is inhibited in co-culture with *P. aeruginosa* (Thein et al., 2006). The strategy in this study of using culture supernatants that lack growth inhibitory metabolites facilitated the identification of a new class of interaction, namely specific inhibition of biofilm formation.

The interaction between *C. albicans* and *P. aeruginosa* reported here may have implications for *in vivo* interactions of these microbes during infections of burn wounds or in the CF lung. In addition, the ability to prevent or disrupt *C. albicans* biofilm formation on catheters and medical implants could have useful clinical applications. The key challenges now are to identify the bacterial factor responsible for reducing biofilm formation and the fungal pathway that is targeted to mediate this effect. As this is clearly a multi-faceted interaction, it is likely that a systems approach will be required to achieve these goals.
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