Expression of disulphide-bridge-dependent conformational epitopes and immunogenicity of the carboxy-terminal 19 kDa domain of *Plasmodium yoelii* merozoite surface protein-1 in live attenuated *Salmonella* vaccine strains


The 19 kDa carboxy-terminal domain of *Plasmodium yoelii* merozoite surface protein-1 (MSPI19) was expressed in *Salmonella* vaccine strains as a carboxy-terminal fusion to fragment C of tetanus toxin (TetC). This study demonstrates that antibodies that recognize disulphide-dependent conformational epitopes in native MSPI react with the TetC-MSPI19 fusion protein expressed in *Salmonella*. The proper folding of MSPI19 polypeptide is dependent on both the *Salmonella* host strain and the protein to which the MSPI19 polypeptide is fused. Serum from mice immunized with *Salmonella typhimurium* C5aroD expressing TetC-MSPI19 recognized native MSPI as shown by immunofluorescence with *P. yoelii*-infected erythrocytes. Antibody levels to MSPI19 were highest in out-bred mice immunized with *S. typhimurium* C5aroD carrying pTECH2-MSPI19 and antibody was mostly directed against reduction-sensitive conformational epitopes. However, antibody levels were lower than in BALB/c mice immunized with a glutathione S-transferase (GST)-MSPI19 fusion protein in Freund's adjuvant, and which were protected against *P. yoelii* challenge infection. In challenge experiments with *P. yoelii* the *Salmonella*-immunized mice were not protected, probably reflecting the magnitude of the antibody response. The results of this study have important implications in the design of live multivalent bacterial vaccines against eukaryotic pathogens.
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INTRODUCTION

The development of multivalent vaccines for human and veterinary use, based on the expression of heterologous antigens in live attenuated *Salmonella*, has received considerable attention in the last decade (Chatfield et al., 1995; Hormaeche & Khan, 1996; Hormaeche et al., 1995). Attenuated bacteria carrying precise deletions in their genome can be delivered to the immune system to establish a self-limiting infection. During that time they can evoke humoral, secretory and cell-mediated immune responses to their own antigens as well as to recombinant heterologous antigens.

Malaria remains the most prevalent and devastating parasitic disease worldwide. Vaccination against the disease is an approach that will complement other strategies for prevention and control. Previous studies using *Salmonella* as the vaccine vector for malaria parasite antigens have focused primarily on the pre-erythrocytic stage (Aggarwal et al., 1990; Flynn et al., 1990; Gonzalez et al., 1994). However, vaccines against the asexual blood stage that gives rise to the clinical symptoms of the disease will probably have the greatest...
impact on morbidity and mortality in malaria-endemic areas. Surface proteins of merozoites, the form of the parasite that invades red blood cells, are important vaccine candidates. Merozoite surface protein-1 (MSPl), a protein found in all Plasmodium species, has been extensively studied as a target of protective immunity. It has been used to vaccinate in animal models against parasite challenge, including that of Plasmodium falciparum, the major human malaria (Etlinger et al., 1991; Siddiqui et al., 1987).

The 19 kDa carboxy-terminal domain of MSPl (MSPl19) is of particular interest as a vaccine candidate. Studies with the human malaria P. falciparum in vitro (Blackman et al., 1990; Cooper et al., 1992; Pirson & Perkins, 1985) and the rodent malaria Plasmodium yoelii in vivo (Majarian et al., 1984; Spencer Valero et al., 1998) have shown that monoclonal antibodies to reduction-sensitive epitopes in this domain are effective at inhibiting invasion of erythrocytes. The cysteine-rich sequence of MSPl19 contains at least five highly conserved disulphide bonds which generate a folded structure composed of two epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like modules (Blackman et al., 1991). MSPl19 has been expressed in Escherichia coli in the correctly folded state as judged by antibody binding (Burghaus & Holder, 1994; Chappel & Holder, 1993). Mice immunized with the E. coli-derived recombinant P. yoelii protein were protected against challenge infection (Daly & Long, 1993; Ling et al., 1994), but the protection was abolished if the protein structure was destroyed by reduction and alkylation prior to use for immunization. This demonstrated that a recombinant MSPl19 suitable for vaccine trials has to be correctly folded (Ling et al., 1994). More recently, it has also been shown that both EGF-like motifs present in MSPl19 are required for the induction of protective immunity (Ling et al., 1995). Protection is predominantly mediated by antibodies (Daly & Long, 1995).

We have previously described a system using the plasmid pTECH2 for the expression of guest antigens in Salmonella as C-terminal fusions to tetanus toxin fragment C (TetC) under the control of the anaerobically inducible promoter nirB (Chabalgoity et al., 1996; Khan et al., 1994a, b). However, the expression of a recombinant eukaryotic protein, in which formation of disulphide bridges produces a properly folded antigenic and immunogenic molecule, has not been demonstrated previously in Salmonella.

In the present study we have used pTECH2 to express MSPl19 from P. yoelii, in a panel of different, live, attenuated Salmonella vaccine strains. We have evaluated the antigenicity and the immunogenicity of this recombinant protein and describe the delivery of MSPl19 to the mouse immune system by a live Aro Salmonella vaccine strain.

METHODS

Plasmids, oligonucleotides and bacterial strains. Plasmid pTECH2 has been described (Khan et al., 1994a). The DNA sequence of the MSPl19 EGF-like modules, corresponding to amino acids 1649–1754 in the P. yoelii YM MSPl sequence (Lewis, 1989), was amplified by PCR from the E. coli expression vector pGEX-3X containing the P. yoelii sequence (Ling et al., 1994). Oligonucleotide primers containing sites for BamHI and SpeI cohesive ends (forward primer, 5'-CACGGATCGCTCGACATGGATGTTAGTTATATT-3'; reverse primer, 5'-CACAATGTCGCGGCTGGAAGACTACAGATAC 3') were used to amplify the DNA, allowing directional cloning of the MSPl19 EGF-like modules into pTECH2 that had been previously digested with BamHI and SpeI.

The bacterial strains used were E. coli Topp 10 (Stratagene), E. coli TG2 (recA) (Sambrook et al., 1989), Salmonella typhimurium SL338 (galE r- m+) (Brown et al., 1987) and the S. typhimurium vaccine strains: SL3261(aroA) (Hoiseth & Stocker, 1981), BRD726 (∆htrA) (Chatfield et al., 1992), CSaroD (Miller et al., 1989) and CS046 (∆htrA::TnphoA) (Johnson et al., 1991) (referred to in this study as C5htrA). Bacteria were grown aerobically in Luria–Bertani (LB) broth supplemented with 50 µg ampicillin ml-1 as required. Salmonella and E. coli Topp 10 cells harbouring pGEX-3X-MSPl19 (Ling et al., 1994) were treated with 1 mM IPTG to induce expression of glutathione S-transferase-MSPl19 (GST-MSPl19). Plasmid DNA prepared in E. coli TG2 was first modified by transformation into SL338 and then electroporated into the different vaccine strains.

Preparation of proteins. Glutathione-agarose (Sigma) was used to purify GST-MSPl19, and prepare recombinant MSPl19 (rMSPl19) as previously described, and referred to as GST-MSPl19EGF and MSPl19EGF, respectively (Ling et al., 1994). When necessary, proteins were reduced or reduced and alkylated. Protein (75 µg ml-1) was reduced with 50 mM iodoacetamide, in a solution adjusted to pH 8.5 with NaOH, and incubated for 1 h at 37°C as described in the section on Western blotting assays.

Western blotting assays. Expression of the recombinant proteins was assessed by Western blotting. Overnight cultures of the different Salmonella constructs were diluted 1:100 and grown to mid-exponential phase (OD600 approx. 0.6), harvested by centrifugation, and resuspended in one-tenth of the original culture volume of PBS-1% (v/v) Triton X-100. Samples were sonicated on ice with a Soniprep 150, M5E sonicator (Sanyo) set at 15 µm amplitude for 20 s. Aliquots (50 µl) of the sonicated samples were then mixed with an equal volume of twice concentrated loading buffer in the presence of 50 mM dithiothreitol (reduced) (two aliquots) or absence of dithiothreitol (non-reduced) and boiled for 5 min. One of the aliquots containing reduced protein was then carbamylated by addition of 150 mM sodium iodoacetate, in a solution adjusted to pH 8.5 with NaOH, and incubated for 1 h at 37°C. At the end of this incubation period, the pH of the sample was readjusted back to pH 7 for electrophoresis. Aliquots of the non-reduced, reduced or reduced and alkylated samples (20 µl) were resolved by SDS-PAGE (12.5% acrylamide) according to the method of Laemmli (1970). Proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Towbin et al., 1979) and probed with either polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) or immune mouse serum. The polyclonal probes were rabbit anti-TetC serum (Khan et al., 1994a, b) and mouse anti-MSPl19 serum (Ling et al., 1993). Blots were subsequently probed with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mouse immunoglobulin, respectively (Dako), and developed with 4-chloro-1-naphthol (Sigma). The mAb probes were F5.
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(1.2 μg ml<sup>-1</sup>) and B10 (3.9 μg ml<sup>-1</sup>) which recognize conformational epitopes either within the first single EGF-like module (F5) or spanning the two EGF-like modules (B10) of P. yoelii MSP1<sub>19</sub> (Spencer Valero et al., 1998). Blots were subsequently probed with anti-mouse immunoglobulin (Amersham) and developed by enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham).

**Animals and immunization protocols.** Either inbred female BALB/c or outbred female NIH Swiss mice were used for all immunization studies. Mice were purchased from Harlan Olac (Blackthorn, Bicester, UK) and used when at least 8 weeks old. Groups of 8–22 mice were injected intravenously (i.v.) in a lateral tail vein with 1×10<sup>8</sup> c.f.u. per mouse of the different recombinant *Salmonella*, and boosted with the same dose of *Salmonella* 4 and 9 weeks later. Serum samples were obtained at weeks 3, 5, 7, 11, 13 and 15 following the initial injection, and sera were stored individually at −20 °C. Viable counts in organ homogenates were measured for mice injected with *Salmonella* 7 and 15 d earlier as described (Khan et al., 1994a, b). Groups of animals were challenged i.v. with 10<sup>8</sup> P. yoelii YM-parasitized erythrocytes 3 d after the final 15 week bleed. The groups were: non-immunized mice, mice immunized with *Salmonella* strain CSaroD alone, mice immunized with CSaroD(pTECH2) and mice immunized with CSaroD(pTECH2-MSPI<sub>19</sub>). Parasitaemia was assessed daily on blood films stained with Giemsa’s reagent. Alternatively, female BALB/c mice were immunized intraperitoneally with the GST-MSPI<sub>19</sub> fusion protein administered with Freund’s adjuvant, and serum obtained, as previously described (Ling et al., 1994).

**Antibody responses.** Antibody responses were assessed by ELISA or by indirect immunofluorescence. Antibody responses were measured by ELISA as previously described (Khan et al., 1994a, b). Briefly, each well of a 96-well microtitre plate (Nunc, Gibco-BRL, Life Technologies) was coated overnight at 4 °C with either 0.1 μg recombinant TetC (rTetC) (Boehringer Mannheim) or 0.1 μg recombinant MSP1<sub>19</sub> (rMSP1<sub>19</sub>) diluted in 0.1 M carbonate buffer, pH 9.6. For the ELISA under reducing conditions, plates were coated with rMSP1<sub>19</sub> that had been reduced with 0.05 M dithiothreitol at 37 °C for 1 h before dilution into 0.1 M carbonate buffer, pH 9.6, containing 0.05 M dithiothreitol; the PBS solutions contained 0.01 M dithiothreitol. Individual serum or pooled serum samples were added at dilutions between 1/100 and 1/638,400 in PBS-1% BSA, and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. Serial dilutions of positive control sera were included on all plates. Rabbit anti-mouse total IgG HRP conjugate (Dako) was added diluted 1/1000, and incubated for another hour at 37 °C. A solution of o-phenylenediamine (OPD, Sigma), prepared according to the manufacturer’s instructions, was added for 15 min at 37 °C. Development of the colour reaction was stopped with 3 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and the absorbance was measured at 490 nm.

**Indirect immunofluorescence assay.** Antibody determination by indirect immunofluorescence was carried out as previously described (Holder & Freeman, 1984). Mouse serum was added to acetone-fixed *P. yoelii* YM blood stages and bound antibody was detected with FITC-labelled anti-mouse IgG.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Expression of TetC-MSPI<sub>19</sub> fusion protein in Salmonella vaccine strains**

The recombinant plasmid pTECH2-MSPI<sub>19</sub> was used to transform several attenuated *S. typhimurium* vaccine strains: SL3261, BRD726, CSaroD and C5htrA, and the level of expression of the fusion protein was assessed by Western blotting using polyclonal mouse anti-GST-MSP1<sub>19</sub> serum (Fig. 1a) and polyclonal rabbit anti-TetC serum (Fig. 1b) as probes. Lysates from each bacterial strain transformed with pTECH2-MSPI<sub>19</sub> (lanes 4–7) contained a polypeptide of the approximate size expected for the TetC-MSPI<sub>19</sub> fusion (approx. 55–60 kDa). Expression of the fusion protein appears to be considerably less in SL3261 relative to the other three vaccine strains, as evidenced by a fainter 55–60 kDa band (lane 4 compared to lanes 5–7). The lower level of expression in SL3261 (lane 4) is accompanied by increased amounts of a diffuse, doublet band with a slightly faster mobility than the fusion protein, relative to the other three strains. This may represent breakdown products derived from the fusion, or the expression of truncated products. In Fig. 1(a), lane 2, the ~40 kDa GST-MSP1<sub>19</sub> is detected in a lysate of bacteria transformed with pGEX-3X-MSPI<sub>19</sub> and in Fig. 1(b), lane 3, the ~50 kDa TetC is detected in a lysate of bacteria
Fig. 2. Effect of reduction on electrophoretic mobility and antibody recognition of recombinant *P. yoelii* MSP1. Samples (either 2 μg pure protein or 20 μl bacterial lysates) were untreated (NR, non-reduced), reduced with dithiothreitol (R, reduced) or reduced with dithiothreitol and carboxymethylated with iodoacetate (RA, reduced and alkylated), separated by 12.5% SDS-PAGE and analysed by Western blotting. Blots were probed with (a) mAb F5, which recognizes a conformational epitope within the first EGF-like module of *P. yoelii* MSP1, (b) mAb B10, which recognizes a conformational epitope spanning the two EGF-like modules of *P. yoelii* MSP1, or (c) polyclonal rabbit anti-TetC antiserum. The blots in panels (a) and (b) were developed by enhanced chemiluminescence and the blot in panel (c) was developed with 4-chloro-1-naphthol. The constructs are in C5aroD (i) or BRD726htrA (ii). Shown are rMSP1 purified from *E. coli* (lane 1), and lysates from cells containing pTECH2 (lane 2), cells containing pGEX-3X-MSP1 (lane 3), or cells containing pTECH2-MSP1 (lane 4). The various recombinant proteins are indicated: @, rMSP1; +, GST-MSP1; △, TetC-MSP1; □, TetC. The sizes of molecular mass markers are indicated by dashes between the panels, corresponding to 97, 66, 46 and 30 kDa from top to bottom, respectively.

transformed with pTECH2. Some other polypeptides were detected non-specifically in all lanes.

These results demonstrate that a TetC–MSP1 fusion protein can be expressed in *Salmonella*. Since protection with a recombinant *P. yoelii* MSP1 is dependent upon correct conformation and disulphide bridge formation (Ling et al., 1994), we then assessed whether or not the recombinant MSP1 expressed in *Salmonella* was capable of forming these conformational epitopes, as judged by reactivity to specific monoclonal antibodies.

**Folding and disulphide-bond-dependent conformation of MSP1 in *Salmonella* vaccine strains**

Folding and formation of disulphide-bond-dependent epitopes in TetC–MSP1 was evaluated for all four *Salmonella* vaccine strains by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. The Western blots of lysates from strains C5aroD and BRD726 are shown in Fig. 2; the other two strains gave identical profiles to that of C5aroD. Lysates were prepared from vaccine strains harbouring either pTECH2, pGEX-3X-MSP1, or pTECH2-MSP1. The blots were probed with the mAbs F5 or B10 [panels (a) and (b) respectively], which recognize different conformational epitopes, and are capable of suppressing parasitaemia when used to passively immunize mice (Spencer Valero et al., 1998). These mAbs recognize native MSP1 in the parasite, and properly folded recombinant MSP1 in which the appropriate conformational epitopes are formed, but not protein that is unfolded by reduction and alkylated to prevent refolding and reformation of conformational epitopes (Spencer Valero et al., 1998). Alternatively, the blots were probed with polyclonal anti-TetC antibodies [panel (c)] that recognize non-reduced, reduced, and reduced and alkylated TetC containing polypeptides.

Both the mAbs [F5, panel (a) or B10, panel (b)] recognized a non-reduced recombinant MSP1 produced in *E. coli* (rMSP1) [lane 1, non-reduced (NR)]. The band representing a protein with the greatest mobility in lane 1 is the monomeric rMSP1 and the additional slower-migrating bands in this lane reflect the
ability of the protein to form intermolecular disulphide bonds in the non-reduced state. The reduced and alkylated rMSP119 (lane 1, RA) was not recognized by either mAbs, as expected, since the protein had been unfolded and prevented from refolding so that the conformational epitopes were permanently destroyed. A similar result was obtained if the protein was not alkylated but kept in the continued presence of reducing agent during Western blotting (data not shown). The rMSP119 in the sample reduced prior to electrophoresis (lane 1, R) did react with both mAbs, presumably as a result of refolding after electrophoresis, perhaps as a result of oxidation during transfer from gel to nitrocellulose. The reduced rMSP119 had a greater mobility than the non-reduced protein, in close agreement with the results of others (Burghaus & Holder, 1994).

Both the mAbs tested (F5, panel (a) or B10, panel (b)) recognized the ~40 kDa, GST-MSP119 as indicated by a very heavy band in lanes containing non-reduced bacterial lysates from either C5aroD (ii) or BRD726btrA (ii) harbouring the recombinant plasmid pGEX-3X-MSP119 (lane 3, NR). The additional band at ~80 kDa in these non-reduced samples may be a dimer resulting from intermolecular disulphide bond formation. The reduced protein had a lower mobility than the non-reduced protein (lane 3, R). This recognition by antibody and change in mobility are indicative of the production of a properly folded GST-MSP119 fusion protein in these two attenuated Salmonella strains, as has been previously reported for both the P. yoelii and the P. falciparum GST-MSP119 fusion proteins expressed in E. coli (Ling et al., 1995; Burghaus & Holder, 1994).

Both the mAbs tested (F5, panel (a) or B10, panel (b)) recognized a ~55-60 kDa protein in lanes containing non-reduced bacterial lysates from C5aroD (ii), upper part of figure) harbouring the recombinant plasmid pTECH2-MSP119 (lane 4, NR), indicating the expression of a properly folded TetC-MSP119 fusion protein in this Salmonella strain. Reduction and alkylation completely abolished recognition of the protein (lane 4, RA), whilst the protein in the sample reduced prior to electrophoresis (lane 4, R) did react with both mAbs, presumably as a result of refolding after electrophoresis.

By contrast, in the other attenuated Salmonella strain BRD 726 (iii), lower part of figure), mAb B10 (panel (b)) only marginally recognized the TetC–MSP119 fusion (lane 4, NR), suggesting that this fusion protein is not folded correctly in BRD726btrA.

Polyclonal anti-TetC serum (panel (c)) recognized the ~50 kDa TetC in lanes containing bacterial lysate from either C5aroD (i) or BRD726btrA (ii) transformed with pTECH2 (lane 2), and TetC–MSP119 (~55–70 kDa) in lanes containing bacterial lysate from either strain transformed with pTECH2-MSP119. The mobility of TetC does not change after reduction whilst the mobility of the fusion protein is dependent on its reduction state [panel (c), lane 4, NR, R, RA]: non-reduced > reduced > reduced and alkylated. Different mobilities of the reduced and non-reduced forms of the recombinant MSP119 indicate that structural changes such as the cleavage of disulphide bonds have occurred in the protein as a result of reduction.

Further evidence for the disulphide-bonded conformation of MSP119 in Salmonella and production of a properly folded protein that appears antigenically similar to the natural antigen was obtained by probing blots similar to those in Fig. 2 with hyperimmune serum generated by infection of mice with P. yoelii parasites; this antibody is therefore generated against the natural antigen (Ling et al., 1995). The recognition pattern of this serum was identical to the mAb recognition patterns with both C5aroD and BRD726btrA lysates (data not shown), suggesting that the hyperimmune serum only reacted with disulphide-dependent conformational epitopes in the purified rMSP119 and the different Salmonella constructs.

These results together clearly demonstrate that MSP119 can be expressed in C5aroD as a fusion with either GST or TetC, and contains epitopes that are reduction sensitive. We have shown that conformational epitopes within either the first EGF-like module or spanning the two EGF-like modules of MSP119 are formed in Salmonella.

It is particularly noteworthy that the proper folding of MSP119 appears dependent upon both the Salmonella strain (parental strain and attenuating mutation) and the protein to which the MSP119 is fused. It is not clear why BRD726 (SL1344 AbtrA), with an attenuating mutation within a heat-shock protein gene (Chatfield et al., 1992; Johnson et al., 1991), is only able to allow the production of a properly folded MSP119 when it is fused to GST whereas C5aroD, C5htrA and SL3261 (SL1344 aroA) all allow proper folding of the protein fused to either GST or TetC. Since BRD726 has the same parental background as SL3261 and a mutation in the same gene as C5htrA, it is possible that the combination of parental background and attenuating mutation in BRD726 is responsible. The results here demonstrate the importance of evaluating the antigenicity of vaccine strain panels when expressing different host antigens in Salmonella.

To investigate the immunogenicity of MSP119 delivered by immunization with recombinant Salmonella we next determined the antibody response induced to the protein.

The influence of mouse strain and Salmonella vaccine strain on antibody responses

Groups of eight BALB/c or NIH Swiss mice were immunized with different recombinant Salmonella vaccine strains containing either pTECH2 or pTECH2-MSP119. Bacteria were recovered from mouse liver and spleen 7 or 15 d after i.v. immunization and grown on media with and without ampicillin to determine how many had retained the plasmid. More than 95% of
Table 1. Comparison of antibody responses against rMSP1\textsubscript{19} and rTetC as detected by ELISA analysis of sera from NIH Swiss and BALB/c mice immunized i.v. with various S. typhimurium strains

Mice were immunized with \(1 \times 10^6\) c.f.u. per mouse of the recombinant Salmonella and boosted by repeat immunization with the same dose on weeks 4 and 9. Results are expressed as the geometric mean (GM) of \(A_{190}\) values for week 13 sera, diluted 1/100, from groups of four or five mice. Standard deviations (SD) are given. Antibody responses were measured against rMSP1\textsubscript{19} (a) or rTetC (b). Pooled sera from five non-immunized mice were included in each experiment; they had \(A_{190}\) values of <0.06. ELISA measurements were made in duplicate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salmonella strain and plasmid construct</th>
<th>SL 3261 pTECH2</th>
<th>SL 3261 pTECH2-MSPI\textsubscript{19}</th>
<th>BRD726 pTECH2-MSPI\textsubscript{19}</th>
<th>C5aroD pTECH2-MSPI\textsubscript{19}</th>
<th>C5ktrA pTECH2-MSPI\textsubscript{19}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swiss mice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) MSP1\textsubscript{19}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Tet C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALB/c mice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) MSP1\textsubscript{19}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Tet C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

bacteria in all cases had retained the plasmid. Table 1 shows the geometric means and standard deviations of the antibody responses against rMSP1\textsubscript{19} (a) and rTetC (b) in groups of BALB/c or NIH Swiss mice. There was considerable variation in response against rMSP1\textsubscript{19} within the individual groups of both inbred and outbred mice, but the reason for this is unclear. The Swiss mice produced an overall greater response against rMSP1\textsubscript{19} than the BALB/c mice but the difference was not significant. Swiss mice immunized with C5aroD carrying pTECH2-MSPI\textsubscript{19} elicited the greatest response, achieving high antibody responses in 4/5 mice. These data indicate that attenuated recombinant Salmonella induce antibodies against rMSP1\textsubscript{19}.

Both strains of mice developed high anti-TetC responses when immunized with recombinant Salmonella strains carrying either pTECH2 alone (column 2) or carrying pTECH2-MSPI\textsubscript{19} (columns 3–6), with slightly higher responses observed overall with the Swiss mice. The level of antibody response to TetC was apparently higher and less variable than that to MSP1\textsubscript{19}, suggesting that the TetC part of the molecule was immuno-dominant.

It was important next to establish that the antibodies against recombinant MSP1\textsubscript{19} react with the native protein in the parasite and recognize conformational epitopes.

Antibody responses induced by C5aroD(pTECH2-MSPI\textsubscript{19}) compared to those induced by purified GST-MSP1\textsubscript{19}

Antiserum from Swiss mice immunized with C5aroD (pTECH2-MSPI\textsubscript{19}) was evaluated for its ability to recognize MSP1 expressed by P. yoelii parasites. Fig. 3 shows the reactivity of the anti-TetC–MSP1\textsubscript{19} serum with acetone-fixed P. yoelii-infected erythrocytes as determined by immunofluorescence. Negative control serum from non-immunized mice or mice immunized with a Salmonella vaccine strain containing pTECH2 did not exhibit any specific reactivity (data not shown), while the positive serum gave a ‘bunch of grapes like’ fluorescence pattern corresponding to detection of MSP1 on the surface of budding merozoites in mature schizonts.

We then sought to establish the proportion of anti-MSP1\textsubscript{19} antibody from mice immunized with C5aroD (pTECH2-MSPI\textsubscript{19}) that is directed against conformational epitopes, using an ELISA. Pooled serum from four mice immunized with C5aroD(pTECH2-MSPI\textsubscript{19}) contained a very high level of antibody reacting with non-
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Fig. 3. Immunofluorescence staining of P. yoelii parasites by immune antiserum against recombinant Salmonella. Acetone-fixed thin blood smears prepared from P. yoelii-infected erythrocytes were examined by indirect immunofluorescence assay. Shown is a typical fluorescence pattern observed for serum (diluted 1:100) from NIH Swiss mice immunized with S. typhimurium CsaroD harbouring the recombinant plasmid pTECH2-MSP1\textsubscript{19}. The reaction of the antibodies with MSP1 on the surface of three schizont-stage parasites within erythrocytes is shown (bunch of grapes pattern). No specific reaction was observed with serum from non-immunized mice or those immunized with a vaccine strain containing the pTECH2 plasmid. Magnification × 800.

Reduced rMSP1\textsubscript{19} (A\textsubscript{490} reading off the scale at 1/100 serum dilution) but a much lower level of antibody against the reduced, unfolded protein (A\textsubscript{490} 0.53), representing less than 20\% of the total MSP1-specific antibody. Serum from mice immunized with GST-MSP1\textsubscript{19} in the presence of Freund’s adjuvant, and known to be protected against challenge infection with P. yoelii (Ling et al., 1994), had a very high level of antibody reacting with non-reduced rMSP1\textsubscript{19} when measured at a 1/5000 dilution. These antibodies did not react with reduced MSP1\textsubscript{19}, demonstrating that this method of immunization induces antibodies directed against conformational epitopes within the protein which is similar to the situation for protective antibodies following immunization with a recombinant GST-MSP1\textsubscript{19} in a strong adjuvant.

The magnitude of the antibody response against MSP1\textsubscript{19} is also a critical factor in determining the level of protection against challenge infection with P. yoelii parasites (Ling et al., 1994). Therefore, the antibody titres of 12 of a group of 22 mice immunized with CsaroD(pTECH2-MSP1\textsubscript{19}) were determined by ELISA against rMSP1\textsubscript{19} and rTetC. These 12 mice were a representative sample from the group. Fig. 4 shows the titration curves of the individual sera measured against rMSP1\textsubscript{19} (a) and rTetC (b). Each of the mice immunized with the CsaroD(pTECH2-MSP1\textsubscript{19}) had a much lower level of antibody to rMSP1\textsubscript{19} than mice immunized with GST-MSP1\textsubscript{19}, administered with Freund’s adjuvant, which had a titre at least twofold greater (Fig. 4a, dotted line). By indirect immunofluorescence an even greater difference was observed between serum from mice immunized with the recombinant Salmonella (titres 1/25–1/800) and the serum from the mice immunized with GST-MSP1\textsubscript{19} (titre approximately 1/10000) and protected against challenge infection.

ELISA analysis of the IgG subclass distribution of the anti-MSP1\textsubscript{19} antibody response in mice immunized i.v. with CsaroD(pTECH2-MSP1\textsubscript{19}) showed that the mice developed specific IgG1 and IgG2b together with IgG2a, a similar subclass distribution to that observed with antiserum from mice immunized with the GST-MSP1\textsubscript{19} (data not shown).

Despite the quantitative differences in the antibody levels in mice immunized by Salmonella infection and those immunized with purified recombinant protein administered with adjuvant, we determined whether or
not the response induced by *Salmonella* immunization was sufficient to protect against parasite challenge.

**Parasite challenge**

Groups of Swiss mice were challenged by inoculation of 10⁶ *P. yoelii*-infected erythrocytes and monitored daily. Immunization with CSaroD(pTECH2-MSPl₁₉) slightly delayed (by 1 d) the onset of patent parasitaemia and prolonged the survival of 4/12 mice compared to control groups (from 10 to 11 d), but did not protect the mice (data not shown). There was no correlation between antibody titre and onset of parasitaemia or survival time.

Overall, these data suggest that the reason for the lack of protection observed in the challenge study is likely to be based on the magnitude of the antibody response. The amount of antigen delivered to the mouse immune system by infection with recombinant *Salmonella* is unknown but may be less than that delivered by immunization with purified recombinant GST-MSPl₁₉ and this may be responsible for the lower antibody response. The correctly folded TetC-MSPl₁₉ fusion protein expressed in CSaroD appears to induce antibody that is primarily directed against conformational epitopes within the recombinant protein, suggesting that the fine specificity of the immune response may not be responsible for the lack of protection we observed. However, it is possible that the humoral response is directed to irrelevant epitopes, which are conformational but are not important in protection. Previous workers have demonstrated that the route of inoculation, and the adjuvant employed, have a profound effect on the fine specificity of the antibodies elicited against *P. yoelii* (Daly & Long, 1996). Furthermore, others have shown that mAbs, specific for *P. falciparum* MSP1 and able to competitively inhibit the binding of each other have dramatically differing abilities to inhibit parasite growth in *vitro*. Some of these mAbs effectively inhibit invasion of red blood cells whilst others that can block this inhibition do not prevent invasion (Blackman et al., 1994). It is possible that the carboxy-terminus of *P. yoelii* MSP1 also induces the production of antibodies that exhibit similar properties, although this has not been demonstrated.

In summary, the data show that antigenic MSP1₁₉ can be expressed in *Salmonella* in a form that reacts with protective mAbs. In addition, infection with an attenuated strain expressing TetC–MSPl₁₉ induces antibodies that recognize the native parasite protein and are directed against conformational epitopes shown to be important targets of a protective immune response. However, the magnitude of the antibody response was lower than that achieved by immunization with a recombinant protein and adjuvant. Therefore, the balance of evidence suggests that the magnitude of the antibody response is the most likely reason for the lack of protection against challenge infection (Daly & Long, 1995; Ling et al., 1994). Further studies are now required to improve the immunogenicity of this antigen in the attenuated bacterial delivery system.
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