Attacin – an insect immune protein – binds LPS and triggers the specific inhibition of bacterial outer-membrane protein synthesis
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Attacin is a 20 kDa antibacterial protein, originally isolated from the immune haemolymph of *Hyalophora cecropia*. It has been demonstrated previously that attacin causes increased permeability of the outer membrane of *Escherichia coli* and inhibition of outer-membrane protein synthesis at the transcriptional level. This is accompanied by inhibition of growth. Here, LPS is shown to serve as the receptor for attacin and evidence is presented that attacin does not need to enter the cell to exert its activity. The increase in outer-membrane permeability precedes any increase in inner-membrane permeability by at least one generation time (~45 min), and the inhibiting effect of attacin on synthesis of outer-membrane proteins is detectable after only 10 min. It is also shown that attacin causes induction of several stress proteins and increased synthesis of LPS within, respectively, 25 and 60 min of treatment. Based on the results presented, it is proposed that attacin has the unique ability to specifically interfere with synthesis of outer-membrane proteins without entering the inner membrane or cytoplasm.
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INTRODUCTION

Attacin is a 20 kDa immune protein produced in pupae of *Hyalophora* spp. (Lepidoptera) in response to bacterial infection (Hultmark et al., 1983). We have previously shown that there are two forms of attacin, one neutral (pI 7) and one basic (pI 9) (Engström et al., 1984a). The primary sequences of the two forms are 80% identical. The difference in pl is explained by a difference in aspartic acid content (Engström et al., 1984a; Kockum et al., 1984). Both forms contain three hydrophobic regions in the amino-terminal part (Engström et al., 1984a). Attacin-like proteins have been described in other insect species, such as *Drosophila melanogaster* (Flyg et al., 1986) and *Sarcophaga peregrina* (Ando et al., 1987). Attacin inhibits growth of Gram-negative bacteria and increases the permeability of the outer membrane (OM) (Engström et al., 1984b) – a structure unique to Gram-negative cells serving as a permeability barrier to the passage of hydrophobic and large (>800 Da) hydrophilic substances. The synthesis of several OM proteins (Omps) is inhibited by attacin, and this occurs at least partly at the level of transcription (Carlsson et al., 1991).

In the present study we wanted to investigate further the mechanisms involved in this inhibition of Omp synthesis. Our main questions were: (a) is binding of attacin to the cell surface sufficient for the subsequent effects, or does it have to enter into the cell; (b) are there any other specific effects on protein synthesis; and (c) is LPS synthesis affected?

We show that LPS on the cell surface serves as the receptor for attacin and we suggest that the effects on Omp biosynthesis are achieved by an ‘indirect mechanism’, i.e. attacin does not need to enter the inner membrane (IM) or cytoplasm to turn off the synthesis of Omps.

METHODS

Preparation of attacin. Diapausing pupae of *Hyalophora gloveri* or *Hyalophora cecropia* were injected with 10⁶ live *Enterobacter cloacae* p12 and the haemolymph was collected after 6–8 d as described by Hultmark et al. (1980) and stored at −20 °C. Neutral and basic attacins were purified by salt

---

**Abbreviations:** BPI, bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein; IM, inner membrane; OM, outer membrane; Omp, outer-membrane protein.
Table 1. Bacterial strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics</th>
<th>Reference or source*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. coli K-12</td>
<td>LPS Ra</td>
<td>Casabalan(1976)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC4100</td>
<td></td>
<td>Boman et al. (1968)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21</td>
<td>rfa, LPS Ra</td>
<td>Eriksson-Grennberg et al. (1971)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21e7</td>
<td>rfa, LPS Rc</td>
<td>Boman &amp; Monner (1975)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21f1</td>
<td>rfa, LPS Rd</td>
<td>Boman &amp; Monner (1975)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21f2</td>
<td>rfa, LPS Re</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE1124</td>
<td>ompR, LPS Ra</td>
<td>Tommassen et al. (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE1448</td>
<td>CE1124 Δ(ompT degP)</td>
<td>C. Jansen^1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JM105</td>
<td>pldA^+</td>
<td>Yanisch-Penson et al. (1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE1349</td>
<td>JM105 ΔpldA</td>
<td>R. Brok^1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KP1229</td>
<td>W3110 Δ(ana-tonB-trp)</td>
<td>Ahmer et al. (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO/prZ540</td>
<td>tonB^+</td>
<td>Postle &amp; Reznikoff (1979)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC1989</td>
<td>tolA</td>
<td>Phabagen Collection^1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC1990</td>
<td>tolB</td>
<td>Phabagen Collection^1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB1157</td>
<td>tol^+</td>
<td>Tommassen et al. (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF633</td>
<td>MCA100 ΔospB-lacZ</td>
<td>A. Farewell &amp; T. Nyström^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF634</td>
<td>MCA100 Δosp-lacZ</td>
<td>Farewell et al. (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN 21512</td>
<td>MCA100 ΔbolA-lacZ</td>
<td>A. Farewell &amp; T. Nyström^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. mirabilis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EH193</td>
<td>rfa, LPS Re</td>
<td>Kotelko (1986)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1. Utrecht University, The Netherlands; 2. Lund University, Sweden.

precipitation (ammonium sulphate) followed by ion exchange chromatography as previously described (Engström et al., 1984a).

**Bacterial strains and growth conditions.** The bacterial strains used are listed in Table 1. Cells were grown at 37 °C in LB medium or in M9 minimal medium supplemented with 0.4% (w/v) glycerol and the amino acids required for the respective strains as indicated. Growth experiments were performed in microtitre plates, with a culture volume of 200 µl. Attacin and/or other substances (e.g. MgCl₂) to be tested were added to the growth medium and the medium was inoculated with 5 x 10⁶ cells in mid-exponential phase. The cultures were incubated at 37 °C on a rotary shaker and growth was recorded every 30 min by a Multiskan spectrophotometer. The formula 

\[ A_{	ext{assay}} \times \left( \text{time} \times \text{OD}_{	ext{cell}} \times \text{volume} \right)^{-1} \times 1000 \]

was used to calculate relative enzyme activities. (A_{	ext{assay}} = absorbance in enzyme assay; OD_{	ext{cell}} = optical density of cell culture at time of sample withdrawal; time = assay time).

**Radioactive pulse-labelling of cellular proteins.** Cells were grown in M9 minimal medium. Attacin was added at mid-exponential phase and samples were withdrawn at different times and transferred to tubes containing 30 µCi ml⁻¹ (1 x 10⁶ Bq ml⁻¹) L-[³⁵S]methionine (> 37 TBq mmol⁻¹; Amersham) and non-radioactive methionine to a final concentration of 65 nM (to ensure linear incorporation during the labelling period). Labelling was stopped after 30 s by the addition of 1 vol. 20% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid (TCA), or chased after 30 s with non-radioactive methionine (final concn 2 µM) for 3 min and then stopped by the addition of 1 vol. 20% TCA.

**Radioactive labelling of LPS.** Cells were grown in LB medium to mid-exponential phase. Attacin was added and samples were withdrawn at different times and transferred to tubes containing 20 µCi ml⁻¹ (7.4 x 10⁷ Bq ml⁻¹) of D-[U-¹⁴C]galactose (10-9 GBq mmol⁻¹) or N-acetyl-D-[¹⁴C]glucosamine (1-96 GBq mmol⁻¹) or [²⁴C]acetate (2-07 MBq
time from the effect on IM integrity. The effect of attacin on OM integrity is separate from detectable LPS, the protocol for coupling of attacin to agarose beads was judged to be satisfactory.

**Gel electrophoresis, autoradiography and fluorography.** SDS-PAGE was performed according to Laemmli (1970) with the modifications described by Randall & Hardy (1977). Gels containing protein samples labelled with 95S were dried after staining with Coomassie brilliant blue, overlaid with Fuji film and exposed for 1–3 d at −70°C for autoradiography.

Gels containing LPS samples labelled with 14C were stained with silver (Tsai & Frasch, 1982) and incubated for 30 min in 1 M sodium salicylate (Chamberlain, 1979) before being dried and overlaid with Fuji film at −70°C for fluorography.

**Resolution of proteins by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis.** Culture samples were processed to produce extracts for resolution by two-dimensional SDS-PAGE as described by O’Farrell (1975) with modifications (VanBogelen & Neidhardt, 1990). Alphanumeric designations were assigned to protein spots after matching them to the reference two-dimensional images of the gene protein database of *E. coli* (VanBogelen et al., 1990).

**Coupling of attacin to agarose beads.** Attacin was covalently coupled to agarose beads (Affi-Gel10, Bio-Rad) as described by LaPorte et al. (1977). Attacin (12 mg) in 0.1 M NaHCO3, pH 7.5, was coupled to 0.5 ml Affi-Gel. Underivatized agarose beads, i.e. beads treated as above but without attacin, were used as controls.

There are practical problems with this procedure: it requires a large amount of material and uncertainty of coupling efficiency makes it difficult to estimate the effective concentration of coupled attacin. To test LPS-binding ability we incubated agarose-coupled attacin, underivatized agarose and free attacin with solubilized LPS Rd for 30 min at 37°C. The samples were analysed by SDS-PAGE followed by silver staining for LPS (Tsai & Frasch, 1982). Since the results demonstrated that LPS was present in samples with agarose-coupled attacin as well as in those containing free attacin, whilst samples with underivatized agarose contained no detectable LPS, the protocol for coupling of attacin to agarose beads was judged to be satisfactory.

**Assay of induction of stress proteins.** Strains containing transcriptional fusions of various stress promoters with lacZ were incubated with or without attacin (20 μM) in LB medium. Samples were withdrawn after various times and frozen. β-Galactosidase assays of the thawed culture samples were performed as described for the permeability assay, but using cell culture samples instead of supernatants. In addition to the strains listed in Table 1, we assayed strains from a commercial kit, the Pro-Tox (C) assay from Xenometrix, containing various stress-related gene promoters fused with lacZ.

**RESULTS**

The effect of attacin on OM integrity is separate in time from the effect on IM integrity

We previously concluded (Engström et al., 1984b) that the primary target for interaction with attacin is the OM, and that this interaction causes the subsequent effect on protein synthesis. Possible damage to the IM appears to be a secondary effect, not essential for attacin action. These conclusions are reinforced here by the demonstration that when strain D21 was treated with attacin, leakage of β-lactamase from the periplasm into the culture supernatant occurred early and increased rapidly (approx. 40% had leaked out after 40 min). This damage to the OM barrier precedes the leakage of β-galactosidase from the cytoplasm by at least 40 min (Fig. 1). Less than 10% of the total content of β-galactosidase had leaked out by 2 h. These results confirm our previous conclusion that the primary target of attacin is the OM.

The LPS chemotype of the cell affects its sensitivity to attacin

To determine if the LPS chemotype is important for the effect of attacin on the cell, we compared the growth-inhibiting effect exerted by attacin on four isogenic *E. coli* K-12 strains of different chemotypes, i.e. differing only in the length of the LPS core (Fig. 2). The deep rough, heptose-less strain D21f2 was the most sensitive and there was a step-wise decrease in sensitivity of the strains as the length of the LPS core increased. The effect on growth of strain D21 was very low at the concentration of attacin used (10 μM), but nevertheless there was a significant effect on OM integrity (Fig. 1). These observations suggest that attacin interacts with the inner regions of the LPS moiety, since these parts are more exposed on the surface of cells having short LPS cores.

**Binding of attacin to LPS in solution inhibits its antibacterial activity**

To test if the activity of attacin is inhibited by free LPS, attacin was incubated with varying amounts of solubilized LPS of chemotype Rd at 37°C for 30 min,
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Fig. 2. Effect of attacin on growth of cells with different LPS chemotypes. Four E. coli strains were incubated in LB medium in the absence or presence of 10 μM attacin. The control curve shown represents D21f2; results obtained with the other controls consisting of the other three strains were similar. A schematic representation of the polysaccharide chain length of the different LPS chemotypes is included.

whereafter the mixture was added to the cell culture (Fig. 3). Preincubation of attacin with a 5 × molar excess of LPS totally abolished the growth-inhibiting effect of attacin. Using mono- and diphosphoryl lipid A instead of LPS also resulted in a decreased effect of attacin, but only partially. This indicates that an interaction of attacin with the inner core might be necessary for efficient binding.

Polymyxin-resistant cells are sensitive to attacin

Proteus mirabilis and other Proteus strains are inherently resistant to antibacterial cationic substances such as the insect immune peptide cecropin (Hultmark et al., 1980) and the lipopeptide polymyxin (Storm et al., 1977). For P. mirabilis (Sidebarczyk et al., 1983), this resistance is suggested to be due to the high content of phosphate-linked 4-aminoarabinose in the LPS, which interferes with binding of cationic agents by making the LPS moiety less acidic (Vaara & Viljanen, 1985). To investigate further the nature of binding of attacin to LPS, we tested the sensitivity of a deep rough, heptose-less P. mirabilis strain (EH193). We found that this strain was very sensitive to the neutral form of attacin, in fact as sensitive as would be expected from its Re chemotype, but was resistant to a 100-fold higher concentration of polymyxin than that which affected an E. coli strain of the same chemotype. These results indicate that the mechanism for binding of attacin to LPS is different from that of polymyxin and cecropin.

Basic attacin is only half as active as the neutral form, but P. mirabilis is clearly also sensitive to the basic form. This is in contrast to the situation in E. coli, where basic attacin is approximately twice as active as the neutral form.

Mg²⁺ inhibits the bacteriostatic effect of attacin

Divalent cations stabilize the OM. The addition of 40–50 mM Mg²⁺ has been shown to abolish the antibacterial effects of polymyxin (Newton, 1953) and of the bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein (BPI) from mammalian neutrophils (Weiss et al., 1976). By adding Mg²⁺ at different concentrations together with attacin to growing cells, the effect on growth was inhibited in a dose-to-response fashion. Addition of 80 mM Mg²⁺ completely abolished the effect of attacin. These results strengthen the suggestion that the negative charges in LPS are required for binding to attacin.

Immobilized attacin can affect growing cells

When added to cultures of strain D21f2, underivatized agarose beads had a minor effect on cell growth, whilst the agarose-coupled attacin caused a distinct effect, the growth curve having the shape that is characteristic for
Attacin activity is not dependent on ton or tol

To rule out two possible ways for attacin to enter the cell, we tested its effect on tonB, tolA and tolB mutant strains. TonB, an IM/periplasmic protein, is part of the Ton system, which is involved in uptake of large molecules, such as vitamin B₁₂, ferric siderophores and group B colicins (Braun, 1995). TolA and TolB are part of the Tol system, which is also involved in uptake of macromolecules, such as group A colicins (Webster, 1991). In addition, they are believed to be important for structural integrity of the cell. A tonB strain treated with attacin displayed the same effects on growth and on OM synthesis as a wild-type strain. The finding that growth of strains lacking either of the Tol proteins was more inhibited as compared to wild-type cells when incubated with attacin is likely to be due to changes in OM integrity. Thus, attacin does not depend on ton or tol for its activity.

Attacin specifically inhibits the synthesis of Omps

Our previous conclusion (Carlsson et al., 1991) that attacin inhibits the synthesis of OmpF, OmpC and OmpA was confirmed here by two-dimensional SDS-PAGE analysis of the protein content of attacin-treated cells (Fig. 5, Table 2). In addition, there was a decrease in two other Omps: FepA, a receptor for ferric enterochelin (Fiss et al., 1982) and CirA, a colicin I receptor and iron transporter (Konisky, 1979; Nikaido & Rosenberg, 1990). The synthesis of several other, as yet identified proteins was also inhibited. All identified proteins that decreased in relative quantity were found to be Omps, which suggests a specific effect on their synthesis. No cytoplasmic precursors of Omps were detected on the two-dimensional gels, which indicates that attacin treatment does not lead to accumulation of export-defective proteins.

Attacin induces synthesis of stress proteins

We have previously observed induced synthesis of several unidentified proteins within 30 min of incubation with attacin and we suspected that they represent stress proteins. Use of two-dimensional SDS-PAGE analysis made it possible to identify two of the induced proteins as UspA and SspA (Fig. 5, Table 2). The UspA (universal stress protein A) is induced by growth arrest (Nyström & Neidhardt, 1992). Expression of the SspA (stringent starvation protein A) is growth-regulated and induced by starvation for glucose, nitrogen, phosphate or amino acids (Williams et al., 1994).

We have also tested whether attacin induces β-galactosidase activity in E. coli strains containing transcriptional fusions of the promoters of bolA, uspA or uspB with lacZ. BolA is growth-rate- and σ⁰-dependent and required for the expression of the penicillin-binding protein PBP6, which plays a role in cell wall synthesis at the septum (Aldea et al., 1989). UspB is also regulated by σ⁰ and is induced by all starvation conditions so far tested (A. Farewell & T. Nyström, unpublished results). All these gene fusions were induced as a result of the attacin treatment. In addition, we observed a clear induction of β-galactosidase activity in one of the ProTox (C) kit strains, containing an osmY fusion. OsmY is a σ⁰-dependent gene encoding a protein that is induced during changes in medium osmolarity and also by growth rate (Lange & Hengge-Aronis, 1991; Yim & Villarejo, 1992). Thus, attacin promotes induced synthesis of proteins that are normally induced in response to slow growth, starvation and during transition to stationary phase.

DegP is induced by attacin, but is not necessary for the effects on Omp synthesis

If attacin disturbs the structure of the OM it might cause newly synthesized Omps to accumulate in the periplasm. This could result in an extracytoplasmic stress signal causing the induction of the periplasmic protease DegP (Lipinska et al., 1990; Mecas et al., 1993; Danese et al.,
Therefore, we treated a degP–lacZ fusion strain (PND2000) with attacin and assayed culture samples for β-galactosidase activity after various times of incubation. The results showed that there was indeed an induction of DegP, so that after 1 h the β-galactosidase activity was 1.5-fold higher and after 3 h it was 5.3-fold higher than the enzyme activity in untreated cells. This is late when compared to the time required to inhibit Omp synthesis (10–30 min). To test if DegP induction is a necessary step in the effect of attacin on protein synthesis, we used an E. coli mutant (CE1448) lacking DegP and also OmpT. OmpT is an OM protease (Baneyx & Georgiou, 1990), possibly also involved in turnover of accumulated proteins. When CE1448 was incubated with attacin, it displayed an even stronger inhibition of growth as compared to the parent strain CE1224. The inhibitory effect on synthesis of OmpA, analysed by SDS-PAGE, was the same in both strains. Thus, though DegP is induced by attacin, it is not required for the effect on Omp synthesis. Neither is OmpT essential for this effect.

Attacin affects the synthesis of LPS

Porins depend on intact LPS for assembly in the OM. Cells that produce LPS with a defective core have a reduced synthesis of porins, due to feedback inhibition (Ried et al., 1990a). The same is true when lipid synthesis has been blocked with cerulenin, an antibiotic that inhibits fatty acid synthesis (Bocquet-Pages et al., 1981). This connection between Omps and LPS led us to investigate the effect of attacin on LPS synthesis. We labelled cells with [14C]galactose after incubation with attacin and analysed the LPS content by SDS-PAGE. The results show a clear increase in LPS synthesis after 1 h incubation with attacin (Fig. 6). The same results were obtained using [14C]acetate and N-acetyl-[14C]glucosamine. Thus, the effect of attacin on synthesis of Omps is likely to be a consequence of a perturbed membrane function/structure rather than a decrease in LPS synthesis.

DISCUSSION

The results presented in this paper suggest that LPS serves as a receptor for attacin. Accordingly, attacin that has been allowed to react with purified LPS does not inhibit growth of cells. Attacin shows the highest activity against deep rough, heptose-less cells, which lack long polysaccharide chains. This allows closer contact of attacin with the cell surface. Attacin is also inhibited by divalent cations that may compete for negatively charged phosphate groups on the inner parts of LPS that act as binding sites. Thus, electrostatic binding is likely to be important in the interaction of attacin with the bacterial cell. This would explain why basic attacin is more active than the neutral form on E. coli, and why...
supported by the increased sensitivity of strains that have a more hydrophobic surface. The envA1 mutant strain D22 (Normark et al., 1969) displays an increased sensitivity to attacin compared to other strains with LPS of chemotype Ra (Hultmark et al., 1983). This strain has a 25–30% lower content of LPS, which is suggested to increase the exposure of the lipid bilayer (Grundström et al., 1980). The strain CE1224 used in this study also shows an increased sensitivity to attacin and to SDS (unpublished observation). CE1224 lacks the OmpF and OmpC porins due to an ompR::Tn10 mutation, and thus has a higher content of phospholipids in the OM. Deep rough strains have a lower amount of Omps but a higher content of phospholipids, which could add to the increased sensitivity due to the lack of polysaccharide chains on the surface (Nikaido & Vaara, 1985).

Immobilized attacin is still able to inhibit cell growth. We cannot rule out the possibility that attacin, though tethered to the agarose beads, is able to partially translocate across the OM. However, we consider it unlikely that immobilized attacin would be able to interact with the transcription machinery in the cytoplasm. Our results suggest that an interaction of attacin with LPS receptor sites in the OM is sufficient to initiate the signalling for the subsequent shutdown of Omp synthesis.

The effect of attacin is restricted, only affecting synthesis of specific proteins. There are other reports of specific inhibition of Omp synthesis. The overexpression of an Omp or an aberrant Omp structure will inhibit the synthesis of other major Omps (Click & Schnaitman, 1989; Catron & Schnaitman, 1987; Ried et al., 1990b). Also, expression of an Enterobacter Omp in E. coli causes reduction of synthesis of endogenous Omps (Stoorvogel et al., 1991). Irrespective of the level on which these feedback mechanisms operate (transcription, mRNA stability, translation), these results indicate the existence of a common regulatory mechanism for (a subset of) Omps. However, what makes attacin unique is that it causes a disturbance from the outside of the cell, whereas overexpressed or mutated Omps provide a disturbance coming from the inside.

How is the effect on transcription accomplished? There are examples of systems for signalling from the outside or surface of the cell to the interior, such as the Pup system in Pseudomonas putida (Koster et al., 1994) and the ferric citrate system in E. coli (Härle et al., 1995). TonB is involved in both of these signalling processes. Here we show that TonB is not involved in the effect of attacin on Omp synthesis. However, there might be other similar systems, involving hitherto unidentified signal transducers.

We have also ruled out the involvement of DegP in the effect of attacin on protein synthesis. The observed induction of DegP might be required to degrade Omps that are prevented from assembling in the OM, to relieve the extracytoplasmic stress signal(s) induced by attacin. This proposal is supported by the findings that a degP

---

**Table 2. Proteins whose synthesis are affected by attacin**

Numbers correspond to the marked spots in Fig. 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>Rate of synthesis relative to untreated control*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>OmpA</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>OmpA</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>OmpF</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>OmpC</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CirA</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>FepA</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Not identified</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Not identified</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Not identified</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Not identified</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Not identified</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Not identified</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Not identified</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>DnaX</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>SspA</td>
<td>5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>UspA</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Not identified</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Not identified</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Not identified</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Not identified</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Untreated control = 1; + and – indicate increase and decrease in synthesis, respectively, rates not determined. SD < 10%
strain is even more sensitive to attacin as it has less ability to reduce the effects of stress. Thus, the induction of DegP is concluded to represent a secondary consequence of the binding of attacin to the OM.

A comparison of attacin with polymyxin and BPI is interesting. Although all three substances bind to LPS/lipid A and will affect the integrity of the OM, there are obvious and important differences. Qi et al. (1995) have performed two-dimensional PAGE analyses on Salmonella typhimurium cells treated with BPI or polymyxin. The majority of the affected proteins are involved in key metabolic processes. BPI and polymyxin cause different cellular responses, which in turn differ from the response caused by attacin on E. coli.

The small polymyxin molecule (1-2 kDa) is assumed to enter the cell by self-promoted uptake (Hancock & Bell, 1988) and interact with the IM (Storm et al., 1977), but as shown by LaPorte et al. (1977), it can also affect IM functions when it is immobilized by coupling to agarose beads. The mechanism for the action of BPI has not been completely elucidated. As is the case with attacin, deep membrane, decreased growth rate and/or starvation of the cell.
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