Photoreactivation in an archaeon from geothermal environments
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UV-inactivated cells of Sulfolobus acidocaldarius rapidly regained viability when exposed to white light. This recovery was strictly dependent upon illumination with visible light and was not attenuated by prior dark-incubation. The kinetics of photoreactivation were determined at several temperatures and at several wavelengths of light. The results obtained in vivo were consistent with a DNA photolyase having a broad action spectrum. Photoreactivation of S. acidocaldarius apparently represents the first DNA repair process to be measured in an archaeon which grows optimally near 80°C.
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INTRODUCTION

Changes to the structure of DNA pose a serious threat to the survival and propagation of prokaryotes, as these are haploid organisms with little genetic redundancy. Detailed biochemical and genetic studies on Escherichia coli and other bacteria have revealed several different molecular systems used by bacterial cells to cope with DNA damage. The diverse array of mechanisms so far identified reflects not only the central importance of repairing DNA, but also the variety of structural changes that can occur in DNA and that must be eliminated (Sancar & Sancar, 1988).

The apparent importance of a broad repertoire of DNA-repair enzymes for mesophilic bacteria raises obvious questions for other prokaryotes which thrive in physically and chemically stressful environments. Many archaea (Woese et al., 1990) have been isolated in recent years from geothermal environments, for example. These extreme thermophiles, or 'hyperthermophiles', provide a dramatic example of such prokaryotes, growing optimally at temperatures ranging from 80°C to 105°C (Stetter et al., 1990). Such growth temperatures can accelerate spontaneous chemical modifications of DNA by orders of magnitude (Lindahl, 1993) and may thus make efficient repair of damaged DNA critical to the function and survival of these archael cells. Unfortunately, most archaea isolated from geothermal habitats do not lend themselves to manipulation by standard microbiological techniques, and, to the author's knowledge, no functional evidence of DNA repair in any of these archaea has been reported in the literature.

Sulfolobus acidocaldarius is an aerobic archaeon that grows optimally at about 80°C and pH 3 (Grogan, 1989). It has the advantage for experimental studies that it grows heterotrophically on a variety of simple media, forms colonies from single cells, and has yielded a number of useful mutant strains (Grogan & Gunsalus, 1993). The present study reports physiological evidence of an enzymic mechanism in S. acidocaldarius which uses light energy to repair UV-induced damage to chromosomal DNA.

METHODS

Strains and growth conditions. S. acidocaldarius strains DG6 (ATCC 49426) and DG185 (ATCC 33909) were clonally purified by streaking cells on solid medium. These two wild-type strains exhibit the same profile of restriction fragments (Grogan, 1989; McAfee et al., 1995) but have different histories (Grogan, 1991) and yield colonies of slightly different opacity on some solid media (unpublished results).

Cultures were grown from single colonies in xylose/tryptone medium (Grogan & Gunsalus, 1993) at 80°C with continuous aeration. Numbers of viable cells were determined by serial 1:10 dilution of cell suspensions in Sdil buffer (Grogan, 1996), followed by spreading suitable dilutions on plates of solidified xylose/tryptone medium. [Sdil buffer contained (g per litre distilled water): K2SO4, 1.0; MgSO4, 7H2O, 0.2; l-glutamic acid, 0.2; gelatin, 0.1. The pH was adjusted to 3.5 with H2SO4 and the solution was sterilized by autoclaving.] Colonies (1–2 mm diameter) formed on the solid medium within 6 d incubation at 75°C. Under these conditions, unirradiated cell suspensions yielded colonies at efficiencies of ≥ 64% of cells plated, as judged by the following empirically determined relationship: no. of cells ml-1 = (8 × 109) (Dexp), where the concentration of cells in a reference culture was determined by
direct microscopic count and $D_{600}$ is the attenuance at 600 nm due to light scattering, determined in 16 mm diameter culture tubes in a Milton-Roy model 21 spectrophotometer.

**UV irradiation.** Cells were harvested at 22 °C by centrifugation from growing cultures whose cell densities were between 1 and $3 \times 10^8$ cells ml$^{-1}$ under the growth conditions used in this study, stationary-phase cultures have a cell density of about $9 \times 10^7$ cells ml$^{-1}$. Cells were resuspended in Sdil and centrifuged. The supernatant was thoroughly drained from the pellet and the washing procedure was repeated. The washed cells were finally resuspended in sufficient Sdil to yield approximately $1 \times 10^8$ cells ml$^{-1}$.

The following manipulations were done under dim red light. Cell suspensions were transferred to an open glass Petri dish, yielding an average depth of 1 mm. The dish was placed directly under a 15 W germicidal lamp and continuously agitated during the total exposure (40 s). This corresponded to a total incident dose of 200 J m$^{-2}$, of which 70% of the energy (i.e. 140 J m$^{-2}$), came from wavelengths shorter than about 295 nm (see Calibrations, below). The UV transmission of 1 cm Sdil at 254 nm was measured in a dual-beam spectrophotometer and found to be 96% relative to distilled water. Cell suspensions not being diluted or plated under dim red light were stored in darkness. Under these conditions, the observed UV-survival curves of S. acidocaldarius strains are slightly sigmoidal (E. R. Wood & D. W. Grogan, unpublished results). A lag (i.e. shoulder) lasts 2–20 s, depending on the cell preparation; this is followed by exponential inactivation with a first-order rate constant (base e) of about $0.5 \text{ s}^{-1}$. By about 60 s, the rate of inactivation slows; therefore, 40 s treatment represents about the maximal dose that falls within the exponential phase of inactivation, and was used for most experiments.

**Photoreactivation with white light.** Cell suspensions in horizontally placed glass vials or in micro-dilution plates with clear plastic covers (polystyrene or acrylic resin) were illuminated from above by a bank of six evenly spaced, cool-white fluorescent lamps (Sylvania 15T12-D) at a distance of 30 cm. The total incident flux into the suspension was about 13 W m$^{-2}$ under these conditions and the total depth of the suspension was less than 6 mm. At regular intervals during illumination, the suspensions were mixed and samples were withdrawn and processed under dim red light to enumerate viable cells. Tests of possible dark repair were conducted in the same way, except that the suspensions were stored in the dark and were sampled over longer periods of time.

To test the effects of temperature on photoreactivation, suspensions of the two strains were illuminated in parallel in micro-dilution plates maintained at four different temperatues under the bank of fluorescent lamps. The temperatures maintained in the sample wells were measured with a miniature thermocouple probe in 200 μl of water under the test conditions.

**Action spectrum.** DG185 cells were washed and UV-irradiated as described, except that UV exposure was 30 s. The resulting cell suspension was stored in darkness at room temperature for at least 4 h. Aliquots (500 μl) were then transferred to a 1 cm cuvette and warmed to 50 °C in the dark (30 min). The cuvette was transferred to the sample chamber of a spectrofluorometer (Aminco–Bowman J4-8203) whose sample block was heated (by circulating water) to maintain a temperature of 50 °C within the cuvette. The sample was irradiated by light of 11 nm bandwidth from the high-pressure xenon arc lamp via the excitation monochromator of the spectrofluorometer (maximal incident intensity of about 4 W m$^{-2}$). The suspension was stirred at 2–3 min intervals and assayed every 5 min for viability (as described above) by withdrawing 22 μl aliquots. Suitable dilutions of each sample in Sdil buffer were plated in duplicate.

The number of c.f.u. per aliquot was used to calculate a first-order rate constant by least-squares fitting of the relationship $\ln (N/N_0) = kt$, where $N_0$ is the viability immediately before monochromatic illumination, $N$ is the viability at time $t$ min, and $k$ is the resulting first-order rate constant of photoreactivation at that wavelength. Three independent spectra, using cell suspensions from different cultures on different days, were determined in this manner. The values were averaged and corrected for photon flux as described below.

**Calibrations.** All light intensities were measured by a radiometer (Yellow Springs Instrument, model 65) at room temperature. Since the age of the UV lamp used in this study was unknown, its spectral quality was estimated by the fraction of intensity absorbed by a Pyrex glass lid, whose transmission spectrum (Cary 1E spectrophotometer, 2 nm bandwidth) showed a transmission cutoff at about 295 nm. This lid blocked 70% of the UV lamp output, as determined by ferric oxalate actinometry and radiometer measurements.

The photoreactivation rate constants were corrected as follows to yield an action spectrum. The relative incident light intensity on the face of the cuvette (i.e. the monochromator output) was determined for each monochromator setting by multiple measurements with a radiometer probe mounted in the sample chamber. The relative light intensity on the Sulfolobus cells in suspension was calculated by correcting the above data for the slight absorbance of the polystyrene cuvette below 400 nm. The relative photon flux at each monochromator setting was determined by multiplying each relative intensity above by the corresponding wavelength. Finally, the photoreactivation rates measured at each wavelength were averaged and divided by the relative photon flux; this yielded the relative biological response per incident photon of the corresponding wavelength. Within the experimental error estimated by duplicate measurements at one lamp output, rates of photoreactivation were proportional to the incident light intensity over the wavelength range studied. This suggests that an approximate time/intensity reciprocity was achieved under the experimental conditions.

**RESULTS**

**Kinetics of photoreactivation**

Suspensions of S. acidocaldarius cells irradiated for 40 s with a germicidal lamp typically retained 0.3–0.02% of their original viability. When these suspensions were transferred to glass vials and illuminated with white light, viability increased rapidly as a function of time. The results of three independent trials at about 24 °C for each of two wild-type strains are shown in Fig. 1. Whereas the efficiency of photoreactivation varied among the trials, the overall kinetics were similar. During the first 30 min, c.f.u. increased approximately exponentially, but by 100 min were increasing slowly, if at all. Logarithmic plots of the data thus yielded curves resembling hyperbolic functions (Fig. 1). Original viable
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Fig. 1. Photoreactivation kinetics of *S. acidocaldarius*. Symbols show the mean value of three independent determinations; error bars show the standard deviations. ●, Strain DG6; ■, strain DG185.

Fig. 2. Viability of UV-treated suspensions stored in darkness. Each symbol represents one experimental measurement; note that the vertical axis is expanded and the horizontal axis compressed relative to Fig. 1.

Fig. 3. Photoreactivation of cell suspensions stored in darkness: (a) strain DG6; (b) strain DG185. Each symbol represents one experimental measurement. Inset, hours of prior dark storage for each symbol type; ‘dupl’, duplicate cell suspension.

confirmed that the results of Fig. 1 depict a light-dependent process. Viability of UV-irradiated cells also did not increase when incubated at 75 °C in the dark (Fig. 2, open symbols). Thus, no physiological evidence of ‘dark repair’ processes could be demonstrated under the conditions of this study.

*S. acidocaldarius* cells were tested for their ability to be photoreactivated after prolonged incubation at 22 °C in the dark. Strains DG6 and DG185 were irradiated for 40 s with UV, as described in Methods. Aliquots of the suspensions were withdrawn after varying times of incubation in the dark, and the kinetics of photoreactivation were determined. The results (Fig. 3) show that *S. acidocaldarius* cells retain their ability to be photoreactivated during prolonged storage in dilution buffer at 22 °C.

Effect of photoreactivation temperature

In order to estimate the temperature-dependence of photoreactivation, cell suspensions were assayed for photoreactivation at four different temperatures in parallel: 7 ± 1, 24 ± 2, 40 ± 1, and 50 ± 1 °C. (The effects
of lower and higher temperatures were difficult to study in the apparatus due to high evaporation rates and temperature instability.) The results of several independent trials are shown in Fig. 4. The kinetics of photoreactivation were similar at 50, 40, and 24 °C, but much slower at 7 °C. The values of log \((N/N_0)\) at 10 min were used to estimate the initial, exponential rate of photoreactivation under these conditions. Comparisons among these values (Table 1) indicate that temperature over the range of 50–24 °C had relatively little effect on the initial rate of photoreactivation but had significant effect at 7 °C (Table 1).

![Fig. 4. Photoreactivation at four different temperatures. Symbols show the mean values of four to six determinations at the temperature indicated; error bars show ± one standard deviation. ○, Strain DG6; ■, strain DG185.](image)

**Table 1.** Estimates of initial rates of photoreactivation of *S. acidocaldarius* at different temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Initial rate (min(^{-1}) ± SD)</th>
<th>No. of trials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>DG6</td>
<td>0.050 ± 0.020</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>DG185</td>
<td>0.048 ± 0.007</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>DG6</td>
<td>0.129 ± 0.098</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>DG185</td>
<td>0.116 ± 0.098</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>DG6</td>
<td>0.136 ± 0.013</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>DG185</td>
<td>0.122 ± 0.013</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>DG6</td>
<td>0.140 ± 0.022</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>DG185</td>
<td>0.147 ± 0.022</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Observed rate of photoreactivation at different wavelengths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>Expt...</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>460</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>470</td>
<td>105.7</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>47.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>525</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action spectrum of photoreactivation**

Using a high-intensity lamp and monochromator, the efficiency of photoreactivation *in vivo* was measured as a function of the wavelength of light. Strain DG185 was assayed at 50 °C; these conditions were the most favourable for photoreactivation among those tested in the present study, and allowed frequent sampling without excessive evaporation. As expected from the limited light intensities obtainable with monochromatic light, the viability increased significantly more slowly than under white-light illumination and remained exponential over the entire 25 min assay period, as judged by the standard errors of fitting duplicate viability determinations to an exponential function. As shown in Table 2, these errors generally fell within the variation in
The response of UV-inactivated S. acidocaldarius has been observed.

In the experiments for a member of the crenarchaeote subdivision (Woese et al., 1983), Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum, which is a halophilic organism in which photoreactivation has to be considered, DNA photolyases (Kiener et al., 1985) have been shown to be mediated by corresponding DNA photolyases (Kiener et al., 1985; Eker et al., 1991). Photoreactivation of S. acidocaldarius was efficient under the conditions employed. In the experiments of Fig. 4, for example, viability increased from values of 0.02–0.05% at 0 min to values of 25–58% at 100 min. Halophilic and methanogenic archaea have demonstrated photoreactivation (Fitt et al., 1983; Kienet et al., 1985), and the DNA photolysis of the methanogen Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum has been purified and characterized in detail (Kiener et al., 1989). However, photoreactivation was not previously known for a member of the crenarchaeote subdivision (Woese et al., 1992), which includes many sulfur-metabolizing archaea from geothermal environments. In view of its optimal growth temperature of about 80 °C (Grogan, 1989), S. acidocaldarius is probably the most thermophilic organism in which photoreactivation has to date been observed.

The response of UV-inactivated S. acidocaldarius cells to visible light resembled the responses of archaea, bacteria, and eukaryotes whose photoreactivation mechanisms have been shown to be mediated by corresponding DNA photolyases (Kiener et al., 1989; Sancar & Sancar, 1987). Forms of photoreactivation have been reported which do not appear to involve DNA photolyase, but these tend to be inefficient and to occur only at short wavelengths (Jagger et al., 1970). Results of the present study therefore provide physiological evidence of the light-dependent enzymic repair of deleterious, UV-induced lesions of the S. acidocaldarius chromosome.

Unlike most other DNA-repair processes, which involve excision of damage and DNA resynthesis, photoreactivation results from direct reversal of the original structural damage. Its molecular mechanism has been studied in detail for several micro-organisms, and its basic features are believed to be widely conserved (Sancar & Sancar, 1987). The primary deleterious effect of short-wave UV light is formation of cis–syn cyclobutane dimers between adjacent pyrimidine residues; the enzyme responsible for photoreactivation, DNA photolyase, cleaves the cyclobutane ring to yield the two original pyrimidine moieties (Sancar & Sancar, 1988). The mechanism of cleavage appears to involve absorption of light by an enzyme-bound, accessory chromophore, followed by transfer of the excitation energy to an enzyme-bound FADH₂, and transfer of an electron to the cyclobutane dimer. DNA photolyases bind specifically to DNA, including short oligonucleotides, containing pyrimidine dimers (Sancar & Sancar, 1988).

The primary functional difference so far observed among DNA photolyases from various micro-organisms has been the nature of the accessory chromophore. Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Escherichia coli photolyases use a pterin derivative in this role (Sancar & Sancar, 1987) and their action spectra in vivo and in vitro have maxima near 380 nm (Sancar & Sancar, 1988; Eker et al., 1991). Streptomyces griseus photolyase, in contrast, has an 8-hydroxy-5-deazaflavin derivative; the absorbance and action spectra of this photolyase each have a single maximum near 445 nm (Jagger et al., 1970; Sancar & Sancar, 1988). Action spectra of photoreactivation of other micro-organisms generally conform either to the E. coli and S. cerevisiae type (e.g. Neurospora crassa) or to the Str. griseus type (Anacystis nidulans, Halobacterium cutirubrum and Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum) (Jagger et al., 1970; Harm, 1980; Kiener et al., 1985; Eker et al., 1991).

Although resolution of its precise shape is limited by scatter in the values measured, the action spectrum of photoreactivation for UV-treated S. acidocaldarius cells appears significantly broader than either of the two classical types described above. It exhibits the long-wavelength (> 420 nm) activity characteristic of photoreactivation in archaea, A. nidulans and Str. griseus, but shows no decrease at shorter wavelengths. This contrasts sharply with the other archaea. At 367 nm, for example, Mb. thermoautotrophicum exhibited photoreactivation neither in vivo (Kiener et al., 1985) nor in vitro (Kiener et al., 1989), and Hb. cutirubrum exhibited only about 20% maximal photoreactivation (Eker et al., 1991); in contrast, S. acidocaldarius has its apparent maximum near that wavelength (Fig. 5). Another notable property of S. acidocaldarius photoreactivation...
observed in this study was only minor temperature-dependence of photoreactivation rate over the range of 50–24 °C but an abrupt drop between 24 and 7 °C. The former property is consistent with the predominantly photochemical nature of photoreactivation (Harm, 1980; Eker et al., 1991). It is interesting to speculate that the latter property may reflect a temperature-dependence of the photochemical rate constant, which for the Saccharomyces photolyase becomes apparent only below 0 °C (Harm, 1980). Resolving these and other mechanistic questions concerning the S. acidocaldarius photolyase will presumably require purification of the enzyme.

It is not clear from the present study whether S. acidocaldarius has mechanisms other than photoreactivation that can repair UV-induced damage to DNA. E. coli, for example, can excise short oligonucleotides containing pyrimidine dimers from DNA and resynthesize the affected strand. This is a function of the excision–repair system, which does not require visible light. One of the classical manifestations of excision–repair of pyrimidine dimers, known as ‘liquid holding recovery’, is an increase in the viability of nongrowing cell suspensions incubated in the dark (Harm, 1980; Fitt et al., 1983; Eker et al., 1991). The halophilic archaeon Hb. cutirubrum lacks this response (Fitt et al., 1983). Analogous treatment of S. acidocaldarius cells (incubation at 75 °C in dilution buffer) also failed to demonstrate liquid holding recovery (Fig. 2). However, it is perhaps premature to interpret this observation as evidence that Sulfolobus completely lacks excision or other dark repair of UV damage. Liquid holding at certain temperatures causes E. coli C and Schizosaccharomyces pombe to lose viability, for example, and this effect seems to reflect an activity of the excision–repair enzymes (Harm & Haeffner, 1968).

The efficient photoreactivation of UV-killed S. acidocaldarius cells apparently represents the first DNA repair process of hyperthermophilic archaea to be measured in vivo. This phenomenon may provide new experimental approaches to the study of DNA repair processes at extremely high temperatures in archael cells. Among DNA repair processes, photoreactivation is unique in its specificity for DNA lesions formed only by UV radiation (Sancar & Sancar, 1988). Efficient photoreactivation of S. acidocaldarius may therefore be taken as indirect evidence that S. acidocaldarius spp. routinely experience UV-induced damage in their natural habitats. Other forms of DNA damage in Sulfolobus species would seem inevitable, due to the known, deleterious effects of oxygen and high temperature on the covalent structure of DNA (Lindahl, 1993). It will be of future interest to investigate these forms of damage and their repair in Sulfolobus spp.
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