Is *Escherichia coli* growing in glucose-limited chemostat culture able to utilize other sugars without lag?
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It was investigated whether *Escherichia coli* cultured in a glucose-limited chemostat is able to grow with a series of sugars whose utilization is normally repressed during batch growth with glucose. Cells growing at dilution rates of 0.2, 0.3 and 0.6 h⁻¹ were able to immediately utilize and grow with fructose, mannose, maltose and ribose. Galactose was transported instantaneously but growth started only after a considerable lag. Arabinose was the only sugar tested which was neither transported nor utilized immediately. The results give experimental evidence that in vivo catabolite repression by glucose is absent at the very low glucose concentrations present in chemostat culture. Additionally, the results demonstrate that chemostat-grown cells of *E. coli* are able to substitute glucose as a carbon and energy source by several other sugars with no lag period.

**Keywords**: *Escherichia coli*, sugar transport, continuous culture

**INTRODUCTION**

*Escherichia coli* usually exhibits diauxic growth when presented with mixtures of carbon substrates in batch culture (Monod, 1942). Catabolite repression (Postma & Lengeler, 1993) and inducer exclusion (Kornberg et al., 1980) were identified as the main mechanisms inhibiting the utilization of secondary substrates. In contrast, in carbon-limited chemostat cultures *E. coli* utilizes mixtures of sugars simultaneously (Mateles et al., 1967; Lendenmann, 1994). Therefore, it was assumed that in carbon-limited chemostat culture glucose concentrations are too low to repress utilization of other carbon substrates (Harder & Dijkhuizen, 1982). It is now well established and has been demonstrated for many micro-organisms that in carbon-limited continuous culture mixtures of carbon sources are utilized simultaneously at low and intermediate dilution rates (Harder & Dijkhuizen, 1976; Egli et al., 1993). Under such conditions it has been shown that with decreasing dilution rate the synthesis of a wide range of catabolic enzymes becomes derepressed (Madin, 1979). This implies that *E. coli* should be able to immediately utilize other sugars on condition that the enzymes required for their transport and utilization are expressed in the absence of the specific inducer. Therefore, it may be possible that *E. coli* growing in glucose-limited chemostat culture is able to immediately utilize a range of sugars, whereas the utilization of some other sugars will start only after a lag required for induction and expression of the specific enzymes.

In order to test which sugars are immediately transported and are able to support growth, *E. coli* was cultivated in glucose-limited chemostat culture at three different dilution rates. Cells removed from the bioreactor were exposed to excess concentrations (5 mg l⁻¹) of either glucose, fructose, mannose, galactose, maltose, ribose or arabinose and the rate of sugar consumption was measured. Additionally, chemostat-grown cells were inoculated into batch cultures containing these sugars as the sole source of carbon and energy, and growth was determined.

**Transport of the sugars used in this study**

Three mechanisms are involved in the transport of the sugars used in this study with *E. coli*: group translocation catalysed by the phosphoenolpyruvate-dependent phosphotransferase systems (PTS) (Meadow et al., 1990;
Postma & Lengeler, 1985), binding-protein-dependent transport (Furlong, 1987), and chemiosmotic-driven transport (Henderson, 1990). Glucose is transported mainly via PTS\textsuperscript{Glu}. Alternatively, the PTSs of mannose transport (Henderson, 1990). Glucose is transported by its binding-protein system (Rbs) (Lopilato et al., 1984). At least six different systems are presently known to transport galactose in \textit{E. coli} (Furlong, 1987). Two of them are specific for galactose: the binding-protein system (Mgl) (Furlong, 1987) and the proton-driven galactose permease (GalP) (Henderson, 1990). A binding-protein system catalyses maltose uptake and to date no other transport system for maltose is known in \textit{E. coli}. Ribose is mainly transported by its binding-protein system (Rbs) (Lopilato et al., 1984). Three transport systems have been described mediating arabinose uptake: the low-affinity proton-linked system (AraE), the high-affinity binding-protein system (AraFGH) and to a lesser extent also the binding-protein system of galactose (Mgl) (Furlong, 1987).

Catabolite repression

The phenomenon of several genes encoding transport and metabolism of a series of carbohydrates not being expressed in the presence of glucose or other PTS carbohydrates is called catabolite repression. Expression of these genes requires cyclic AMP (cAMP) (Ullmann & Danchin, 1983). In the presence of PTS carbohydrates adenylate cyclase is almost inactive (Peterkofsky & Gazdar, 1974) and consequently the intracellular level of cAMP is very low (Makman & Sutherland, 1965). This results in a low transcription rate of CAMP-dependent regulons (Magasanik & Neidhardt, 1987). Several mechanisms which have been proposed to account for this effect are presently under investigation (Saier, 1991). On the basis of genetic studies regulation of the activity of adenylate cyclase seems to involve II\texttextsuperscript{A}Glu. However, to date there is no biochemical proof that II\texttextsuperscript{A}Glu interacts directly with adenylate cyclase (Postma & Lengeler, 1993).

Inducer exclusion is irrelevant under the conditions used in this study because in glucose-limited chemostat culture of \textit{E. coli} the steady-state substrate concentrations are very low, i.e. 140, 40 and 16 \textmu g \textper 1\textsuperscript{1} for the tested dilution rates of 0.6, 0.3 and 0.2 h\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively (Senn et al., 1994).

Consequently, all residual glucose was exhausted prior to the uptake and batch experiments.

METHODS

Organism. \textit{Escherichia coli} ML30 (DSM 1329) was used in all experiments.

Medium. The mineral medium contained, per litre: 2.72 g KH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}, 0.763 g NH\textsubscript{4}Cl, 82 mg EDTA, Na\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}, 59 mg MgSO\textsubscript{4}.7H\textsubscript{2}O, 2.6 mg Na\textsubscript{2}MoO\textsubscript{4}.2H\textsubscript{2}O, 10 mg CaCO\textsubscript{3}, 2.03 mg FeCl\textsubscript{3}.6H\textsubscript{2}O, 4.95 mg MnCl\textsubscript{2}.4H\textsubscript{2}O, 1.02 mg ZnO, 0.85 mg CuCl\textsubscript{2}.2H\textsubscript{2}O, 1.20 mg CoCl\textsubscript{2}.6H\textsubscript{2}O and 0.31 mg H\textsubscript{3}BO\textsubscript{3}. Chemicals were purchased either from Fluka or Merck. Prior to heat sterilization pH was adjusted to approximately 3 with conc. H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}. d-Glucose at a concentration of 100 mg l\textsuperscript{-1} served as growth-limiting source of carbon and energy. Glucose was dissolved in approximately 200 ml water adjusted to pH 3 with HCl and sterilized separately to prevent formation of derivatives. Only sugars of the highest purity commercially available were used. Purity of glucose was higher than 99.5\%. Our own tests indicated that after sterilization of the glucose solution only about 15 \textmu g fructose l\textsuperscript{-1} was present in a growth medium consisting of 100 mg glucose l\textsuperscript{-1}, whereas concentrations of the other sugars used in this study were below the detection limit (< 5 \textmu g l\textsuperscript{-1}).

Cultivation. Chemostat culture was performed in a 2.5 l mini reactor (MBR, Wetzeliken, Switzerland) with a working volume of 1.6 l. An aeration rate of 1 v.v.m. (vol. vol. min\textsuperscript{-1}) and a stirrer speed of 1000 r.p.m. ensured a partial pressure of oxygen above 80\% of saturation. The temperature was 37 ± 0.1 \textdegree C and pH was controlled at 7.0 ± 0.05 by automatic addition of NaOH/KOH. 1 M each, or H\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} (10\%, v/v). Biomass concentration was followed by measuring OD\textsubscript{544} using a cuvette with a light path of 5 cm.

Uptake rates. The ability of chemostat-grown \textit{E. coli} cells to transport different sugars was tested in uptake experiments. Culture liquid (50 ml) was withdrawn from the chemostat and immediately incubated at 37 \textdegree C in a pre-warmed 200 ml Erlenmeyer flask. No pre-treatment was used because washing has been reported to reduce the capacity of bacteria to incorporate glucose (Herbert & Kornberg, 1976). Aeration was ensured by mixing the culture with a magnetic stirrer at 500 r.p.m. One minute after removal from the chemostat, cells were exposed to \textit{D}-arabinose, \textit{D}-fructose, \textit{D}-galactose, \textit{D}-glucose, \textit{D}-maltose monohydrate, \textit{D}-mannose or \textit{D}-ribose, each one at a concentration of 0.6, 0.3 and 0.2 h\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively (Senn et al., 1994). The ability of chemostat-grown \textit{E. coli} cells to transport different sugars was tested in uptake experiments. Culture liquid (50 ml) was withdrawn from the chemostat and immediately incubated at 37 \textdegree C in a pre-warmed 200 ml Erlenmeyer flask. No pre-treatment was used because washing has been reported to reduce the capacity of bacteria to incorporate glucose (Herbert & Kornberg, 1976). Aeration was ensured by mixing the culture with a magnetic stirrer at 500 r.p.m. One minute after removal from the chemostat, cells were exposed to \textit{D}-arabinose, \textit{D}-fructose, \textit{D}-galactose, \textit{D}-glucose, \textit{D}-maltose monohydrate, \textit{D}-mannose or \textit{D}-ribose, each one at a concentration of 0.6, 0.3 and 0.2 h\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively (Senn et al., 1994).
was not affected significantly if sugars were added 1, 2 or 4 min after removal of the cells from the chemostat.

**Sugar analysis.** Analysis included desalting of samples by electrodialysis and subsequent sugar determination with HPLC separation, post-column reaction with alkaline copper(II) bisphenanthroline and amperometric detection. The method for sugar analysis has previously been published in detail (Senn et al., 1994; Lendenmann, 1994).

**Growth experiments.** Batch growth with different sugars was carried out at 37 °C in pre-warmed 200 ml Erlenmeyer flasks to which had previously been added 5 mg of one of the following sugars: glucose, galactose, maltose, ribose, fructose, arabinose and mannose. These flasks were filled with 50 ml culture solution from the chemostat, resulting in an initial sugar concentration of 100 mg l⁻¹. The time required for transfer (1 min) ensured that no residual glucose was initially present in the batch culture (< 3 μg l⁻¹). Growth was followed by measuring OD₆₅₀ using a 1 cm cuvette.

At each dilution rate tested, uptake and batch experiments were performed in duplicate. Uptake and growth rates differed usually by no more than ±10%, whereas in the case of galactose and arabinose lag times before growth started exhibited considerable variation (±50%).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Glucose utilization by glucose-grown cells**

As a control, glucose utilization by glucose-grown cells was tested. The specific growth rate (μₑₓᵉᵉˢˢ) that cells exhibited 0.5 h after transfer from chemostat into batch culture exceeded the dilution rate at which they were grown in the chemostat and, additionally, μₑₓᵉᵉˢˢ depended on the dilution rate (Fig. 1). During the batch experiment μₑₓᵉᵉˢˢ increased slightly over a period of 2 h (Fig. 1). However, μₑₓᵉᵉˢˢ always remained considerably lower than the μₘₐₓ of 0.92 h⁻¹ that E. coli typically attained in batch culture with glucose (Senn et al., 1994).

The specific uptake rate for glucose (qₑₓᵉᵉˢˢ) was always approximately −1.7 h⁻¹ and exhibited no dependence on the dilution rate (Fig. 1). This indicates that PTSGlc worked at sub-saturated levels during growth at low dilution rates. However, the qₑₓᵉᵉˢˢ of −1.7 h⁻¹ did not exceed by much the physiological requirements of cells at high growth rates (Fig. 1). It should be pointed out that qₑₓᵉᵉˢˢ multiplied by the growth yield corresponded well to the maximum value of μₑₓᵉᵉˢˢ = 0.76 h⁻¹ exhibited by chemostat-grown cells when transferred into batch culture (Fig. 1).

**Metabolic capacity of glucose-grown cells**

Measurements of the glucose uptake rate and the growth rates gave information about the maximum metabolic capacity of chemostat-grown cells. The experiment in Fig. 1 illustrated that the cells were able to transport glucose at a constant high rate independent of the dilution rate used. This can be explained by previous findings that in E. coli B/r the PTS activity remained more or less constant at different dilution rates (Leegwater, 1983). The batch growth rate achieved was considerably lower than μₘₐₓ and linearly dependent on the pre-growth dilution rate. The results confirm the previously reported inability of E. coli to immediately increase the rate of growth when transferred from continuous culture to substrate-excess conditions (Harvey, 1970). This raises the question of the fate of the surplus glucose transported under excess conditions into the cell and that required for growth. Previously reported experiments supply a possible explanation to this question (Neijssel et al., 1977). When excess glucose was added to a glucose-limited chemostat culture, increased oxygen consumption rates were observed as long as the dilution rate was below 0.7 h⁻¹. This indicated that the bacteria still had excess capacity to metabolize glucose at these growth rates. At dilution rates higher than 0.7−0.8 h⁻¹ no excess capacity was observed.

The growth rate of 0.7 h⁻¹, where no excess oxygen consumption capacity remained, corresponded nicely to the rate at which qₑₓᵉᵉˢˢ was equal to μₑₓᵉᵉˢˢ in this study (Fig. 1). Furthermore, the pattern of the oxygen consumption rate reported by Neijssel and co-workers corresponded exactly to the μₑₓᵉᵉˢˢ measured in this investigation. This indicates that the glucose taken up in excess was most probably accumulated transiently or excreted in the form of metabolites, e.g. acetate (Holms, 1986; El-Mansi & Holms, 1989), until a new balance between growth and substrate uptake was achieved. The now evident decrease of the excess metabolic capacity at higher dilution rates may also be a consequence of the often observed decreasing level of catabolic enzymes in cells with increasing dilution rate (Egli et al., 1980; Matin, 1979).

It should be pointed out that the μₑₓᵉᵉˢˢ of 0.76 h⁻¹ is very close to the μₘₐₓ of 0.80 h⁻¹ obtained when fitting the...
Monod model to steady-state glucose concentration data determined in continuous culture (Senn et al., 1994). In contrast, the $\mu_{\text{max}}$ of 0.92 h$^{-1}$ E. coli exhibited when ‘trained’ to batch culture is considerably higher. This provides further evidence that bacteria adapted to chemostat growth do not grow at the maximum possible rate and that not only the ‘apparent’ $K_s$ (Senn et al., 1994) but also the ‘apparent’ $\mu_{\text{max}}$ is subjected to change.

Transport of and growth with other sugars

When cells of E. coli grown in glucose-limited chemostat culture were exposed to excess concentrations of sugars other than glucose they were able to transport and grow on mannose, maltose and ribose without any detectable lag. For fructose the initial uptake rates were low but after a lag of approximately 3 min $q_{\text{Fr}}$ increased to a specific rate between 0.3 and 0.5 h$^{-1}$, as can be derived from the time course of the sugar concentration (Fig. 2). Galactose was immediately transported but growth started only after a lag of 10-50 min. During the first minute galactose was removed quickly but then the rate decreased to one-fifth of the initial value. This resulted in characteristic depletion curves for fructose and galactose during the uptake assay (Fig. 2). A considerable lag for both transport and metabolism was observed only for arabinose. When the cells were grown at $D = 0.2$ h$^{-1}$, arabinose uptake started after 6 min ($q_{\text{Ara}} \approx 0.02-0.1$ h$^{-1}$) and the lag until growth started was about 20 min. However, at higher dilution rates no transport was observed within 10 min and growth began only after a lag of more than 30 min.

For a particular sugar the qualitative uptake patterns were similar for all dilution rates tested but a distinct dependence of the initial specific uptake rate on the dilution rate was found. Specific uptake rates for maltose, galactose and ribose decreased with increasing dilution rate, those for glucose and mannose remained approximately constant, whereas the specific uptake rate for fructose increased, slightly but significantly, with increasing dilution rate (Fig. 3).

Regulation of sugar utilization in the absence of the inducer

The specific growth rates that cells exhibited in batch culture 2 h after removal from the chemostat were, as a rule, higher than the dilution rate at which they were cultivated. Cells grown at $D = 0.2$ h$^{-1}$ exhibited specific growth rates between 0.45 h$^{-1}$ (with mannose) and 0.58 h$^{-1}$ (with fructose). Cultivated at $D = 0.3$ h$^{-1}$ the rates were between 0.48 h$^{-1}$ (mannose) and 0.64 h$^{-1}$ (fructose). However, cells grown at $D = 0.6$ h$^{-1}$ exhibited lower rates with mannose (0.55 h$^{-1}$) and ribose (0.53 h$^{-1}$), whereas for the other sugars the specific growth rates were between 0.60 h$^{-1}$ (galactose) and 0.75 h$^{-1}$ (fructose). However, in contrast to the uptake and growth rates with excess glucose, the specific growth rates which chemostat-grown cells achieved in batch culture with other sugars cannot be compared with the specific uptake rates of the corresponding sugars. The specific uptake rates reflect the expression of transport in the absence of the particular inducer, whereas in batch culture cells should have enough time to express the enzymes required for the utilization of the sugars.
An interesting observation is that cells grown at dilution rates of 0.2 h^{-1} and 0.3 h^{-1} exhibited higher specific uptake rates for maltose, ribose, mannose and galactose than the specific consumption rate of glucose seen previously in the chemostat (Fig. 3). Therefore, it is not surprising that *E. coli* grown in glucose-limited chemostat culture was able to immediately utilize these sugars. The only exception to this was the lag observed for growth with galactose (Fig. 4).

Unfortunately, there is little published information about the expression of transport systems in the absence of the corresponding inducer. Studies of regulation have focused mostly on the genetic mechanisms of induction or repression but rarely on the activity and actual substrate transport capacities for compounds other than the growth-supporting substrate. Considering the effects of catabolite repression, the high rates of uptake of sugars other than glucose is surprising, and therefore some of the conflicting points arising between our observations and the presently known behaviour of the different sugar transport systems will now be discussed.

Fructose

The initial specific uptake rate of fructose was very low (Fig. 2). This behaviour is consistent with the observation that the activity of PTS^{Pru} in *E. coli* was reported to be constitutive during growth with glucose but expressed at a level of only 10% of that found during chemostat growth with fructose (Clark & Holms, 1976). However, PTS assays were made with toluene-permeabilized cells, and therefore the measured PTS activity does not necessarily correlate with transport. It was reported that PTS^{Man} also transports fructose (Kornberg, 1990) but the results obtained in this study indicated that fructose uptake via PTS^{Man} is of only minor importance because the initial fructose uptake rate was very low in spite of the fact that mannose transport was expressed at a high level (Fig. 3). This can be explained by the \( K_m \) (1800 mg l^{-1}) of Enzyme II^{Man} for fructose (Postma & Lengeler, 1985). Hence in an assay using an initial fructose concentration of 5 mg l^{-1} no detectable fructose transport can be expected via PTS^{Man}.

Mannose

The expression of PTS^{Man} in *E. coli* requires increased levels of cAMP but not the presence of mannose as the inducer (Fraser & Yamazaki, 1980). Therefore, a significant capacity for transport of mannose during chemostat growth of *E. coli* can be expected when the intracellular cAMP concentration is significantly higher in glucose-limited chemostat culture than during batch growth with glucose. There is evidence in the literature that under carbon-limited conditions levels of cAMP are increased (Magasanik & Neidhardt, 1987; Makman & Sutherland, 1965) and that catabolite repression seems to be absent (Ullmann, 1985). In *E. coli* B the inhibition of adenylate cyclase by extracellular glucose occurred over a narrow dose-response range, progressing from no inhibition at \( 10^{-5} \) M (1.8 mg l^{-1}) to virtually complete inhibition at \( 10^{-4} \) M (Peterkofsky & Gazdar, 1974). For comparison, in chemostat culture steady-state glucose concentration rose to 1.8 mg l^{-1} only near wash-out rate (Senn et al., 1994). From the few publications on intracellular cAMP concentrations during chemostat growth, one reported that at a dilution rate of 0.2 h^{-1} in glucose-limited chemostat culture cAMP concentration was increased to 2.44 pmol (10^8 cells)^{-1} in comparison with batch growth or 0.1 g glucose l^{-1} (Villarejo et al., 1978), where the cAMP concentration was only 0.54 pmol (10^8 cells)^{-1}. Furthermore, it was shown that in ammonia-limited, glucose-containing chemostat cultures the intracellular cAMP concentration was about 0.3 \mu M, whereas under glucose-limitation this concentration increased to approximately 5 \mu M (Wright et al., 1979). These examples indicate that in glucose-limited chemostat culture cAMP concentrations are probably one order of magnitude higher than in batch culture and hence the effects of catabolite repression are reduced or absent in glucose-limited continuous culture. Therefore, it is indeed possible that during glucose-limited chemostat growth the level of intracellular cAMP is high enough for expression of cAMP-dependent genes.

Maltose

Both inducer exclusion (Postma et al., 1992) and catabolite repression (Hengge & Boos, 1983) affect maltose transport in the presence of glucose. Nevertheless, it was demonstrated that the enzymes of maltose utilization can be induced with exogenous glucose in the absence of maltose. Thereby, maltose and maltotriose, which serve as inducers for the maltose system, are formed intracellularly from glucose and glucose 1-phosphate (Deckel et al., 1993). This leads to a constitutive nature of the maltose system (10–20% of maximum induction) on the condition that glucose does not exert catabolite repression, because cAMP is also required for expression of...
maltose utilization (Lévy et al., 1990). From all sugars tested, the difference between the utilization patterns observed for batch- and chemostat-grown cells was most pronounced for maltose. During batch growth with glucose/maltose mixtures E. coli exhibited a considerable diauxic lag (Monod, 1942) whereas cells grown in glucose-limited chemostat culture immediately grew when presented with maltose.

**Ribose**

E. coli strains with either a constitutive or an inducible ribose utilization system are known (Abou-Sabé et al., 1982). For example, the ribose operon — encoding the binding-protein-dependent high-affinity transport system, ribokinase and the regulatory gene rbsC — was constitutively expressed in E. coli B/r but inducible in E. coli K12 (Abou-Sabé et al., 1982; Galloway & Furlong, 1977). In this study ribose was transported immediately and provided growth without lag, indicating that in E. coli ML30 the ribose operon was constitutively expressed.

**Galactose**

Both the galactose binding-protein-dependent transport system (Mgl) and the proton symporter (GalP) are expressed constitutively in E. coli (Vorisek & Kepes, 1972; Rotman et al., 1968) but both systems can be further induced to higher levels. Therefore, it is not surprising that galactose transport started immediately. Nevertheless, the reduction of the uptake rate after some 2 min, and the considerable lag that occurred until growth started, indicated that one or more of the enzymes involved in the pathway of galactose to glucose 6-phosphate were not expressed sufficiently during chemostat growth of E. coli with glucose. Catabolism of galactose involves its phosphorylation to galactose 1-phosphate by galactokinase at the expense of ATP. Galactose-1-phosphate-uridylytransferase transfers galactose 1-phosphate to UDP-glucose with the release of glucose 1-phosphate. To complete the cycle, UDP-galactose is epimerized to UDP-glucose by UDP-galactose-4-epimerase (Adhya, 1987). The gal operon is not subjected to extensive catabolite repression (Ullmann & Danchin, 1983). Nevertheless, in the absence of galactose the galETK operon encoding galactokinase, transferase and epimerase remained repressed (Lin, 1987) and both transferase and epimerase were present only at low levels (Adhya & Echols, 1966). This explains why E. coli grown with glucose was able to transport galactose, but was unable to immediately use it to a significant extent as a growth substrate.

**Arabinose**

The two specific arabinose transport systems are both regulated by the AraC protein which represses their transcription in the absence of arabinose. Induction requires both arabinose and cAMP (Lin, 1987). Consequently no significant induction takes place in the absence of arabinose in the growth medium. It was reported that the binding-protein transport system of galactose was also able to transport arabinose (Furlong, 1987). However, the results obtained in this study show that cells of E. coli were not able to transport arabinose although galactose transport was obviously expressed (Fig. 3). This indicates that unspecific arabinose transport is probably negligible.

With the exception of the uptake of fructose, the biochemical findings reported above give a reasonable explanation why E. coli grown in glucose-limited chemostat culture was able to immediately take up mannose, maltose, ribose and galactose but not arabinose. However, the differing dependency of specific uptake rates on the dilution rate of pre-growth (Fig. 3) cannot yet be fully explained for the individual sugars. Nevertheless, the patterns found for maltose, ribose and galactose (Fig. 3) are in line with the generally found derepression of various catabolic enzymes with decreasing dilution rates (Matin, 1979). This derepression may be due to the reduced steady-state glucose concentrations at low dilution rates which possibly reduced the catabolite repression effect and increased induction by the global regulatory effect of cAMP. It is impossible to decide from our experiments whether or not this explanation is correct. An answer may be obtained from similar experiments with cells grown in chemostat culture with a sugar not causing catabolite repression.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Today, more than three decades after the discovery of bacterial permeases, it is still difficult to predict the utilization pattern of sugars by E. coli despite the fact that much is known about the genetics and biochemistry of sugar transport and the subsequent metabolism. One can conclude from our work that the extent of catabolite repression is lessened or even absent at low glucose concentrations. As a consequence, cells growing under such conditions should be able to utilize many other carbon substrates in combination with glucose, and also immediately replace one growth substrate with another. This is particularly relevant for understanding microbial growth under environmental conditions where concentrations of individual carbon sources are usually in the μg l⁻¹ range and the total available carbon rarely exceeds a few mg l⁻¹ (Münster & Chróst, 1990).
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