Ploidy of Bacillus subtilis exfusants: the haploid nature of cells forming colonies with biparental or prototrophic phenotypes
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To investigate the relationship between DNA content and cell volume, we have attempted to repeat the construction of stable Bacillus subtilis diploid cells through protoplast fusion. Colonies with a biparental phenotype and those with a prototrophic phenotype were identified among exfusants of a cross between two polyauxotrophic strains. The ploidy of cells constituting such colonies was assessed by protoplast self-fusion, determination of the DNA to dry weight ratio of exponentially growing cells, and by quantitative DNA-DNA hybridization. Within the precision of these methods, all colonies were found to consist of haploid cells. A previously described non-complementing diploid was also found to be haploid. Therefore, the genetic evidence in favour of diploidy, based on continuing segregation of cells with a parental or recombinant phenotype, cannot be accounted for except by the maintenance of such cells as a minority population in mixed colonies through cross-feeding. Reconstruction experiments with mixtures of whole parental cells confirm that biparental colonies are indeed mixed colonies which arise either by sticking of parental cells or through coincidence, i.e. their plating within a distance of about 0.4 mm. The previously reported experimental results can be accounted for in the light of our results.

Introduction

One of the fundamental distinctive characteristics of the prokaryotic cell is its permanently haploid state. The presence of two genomes in spores of some spore-forming bacilli such as Bacillus megaterium or Bacillus cereus is not paralleled by permanent diploidy during exponential growth. The quantity of DNA per cell varies during the cell cycle and it has been suggested that initiation of chromosome replication is related to cell mass (Donachie, 1968). Dichotomous chromosome replication also results in a variable quantity of DNA per cell amounting, in rich medium, to nearly four genome equivalents of DNA (Bremer & Dennis, 1987). However, shorter generation times are accompanied by increased cell lengths (Schlaeppi et al., 1982). Thus, it is possible that the quantity of DNA which a growing cell can accommodate is somehow related to its volume or, in broader terms, to cell geometry.

In Bacillus subtilis, the quantity of DNA per cell has been altered by amplification of integrated plasmid DNA, as well as by generation of merodiploids. In the former case, a global increase of DNA per cell of up to 10% has generally been observed (see Young & Hranueli, 1988 for a review), whereas in merodiploid strains, a diploid region encompassing up to 25% of the chromosome has been described (Schneider et al., 1982). Recent studies report amplified DNA equivalent to 55 or even 75% of the genome (Petit et al., 1990; Ives & Bott, 1990). However, although in the latter case a decrease in cell growth rate was reported, no thorough physiological studies, in particular a measurement of the cell size, were performed.

A system ideally suited for investigating the influence of the DNA content on cell volume was reported in B. subtilis, where permanently diploid cells were identified. The latter were either complementing diploids (CD) (Lévi, 1978; Lévi-Meyruois et al., 1980), partially complementing diploids (Sanchez-Rivas et al., 1982), or non-complementing diploids (NCD) (Hotchkiss & Gabor, 1980). In the latter case, one of the chromosomes is expressed (the ‘speaking’ chromosome), whereas the other is not ('silent').

Since the isolation and subsequent characterization of the diploids was the aim of the present study, a brief schematic description of the method used for their production and identification is provided (see Fig. 1). Cultures of two genetically labelled parent strains,
generally complementary multiple auxotrophs, are converted into protoplasts by lysozyme treatment, fused by addition of polyethylene glycol (PEG) and plated for single colonies on a rich regeneration medium on which cell wall synthesis can proceed (Schaeffer et al., 1976). Exfusants are transferred, by toothpicks, onto three solid minimal media: minimal medium alone, that containing the growth requirements of parent 1 and that containing those of parent 2. Growth on both supplemented media but not on minimal medium defines a biparental colony that contains NCD cells (Hotchkiss & Gabor, 1980). It is assumed that in the latter cells one of the parent chromosomes is expressed, while the other is silenced. Therefore, such cells cannot grow on minimal medium. In later contributions presence of NCD cells in biparental colonies was assessed by the segregation of recombinants upon subcloning in DNAase-containing liquid media (Gabor & Hotchkiss, 1982, 1983). Absence of growth on all three media reveals a recombinant, possibly partially complementing diploid. Growth on all media defines either a prototypic haploid recombinant or a CD. CDs differ from haploid recombinants (i) by their capacity to permanently segregate cells with parental and recombinant phenotypes (Lévi-Meyrueis et al., 1980; Sanchez-Rivas, 1982), and (ii) by being endowed with transforming activity for the auxotrophic alleles of both of their parents (Lévi, 1978; Lévi-Meyrueis et al., 1980). The identity of the silent chromosome in a NCD is revealed by a subsequent toothpick transfer onto an identical three-plate set (Fig. 1; Guillen et al., 1985). To avoid the possible loss of generally unstable NCD cells, present in the original biparental colony, transfers were always carried out with a toothpick. However, when stable clones were required, in particular those of the NCD type (Guillen et al., 1985), biparental colonies were resuspended and cultivated in a selection medium, the aim being to select during a long period, up to 50 generations, a given genotype, that of the speaking chromosome. The presence of the silent genome was subsequently assessed by its reactivation through protoplast self-fusion (Guillen et al., 1985) or by hybridization with a radioactive probe that has a homologous counterpart in the silent genome only (Guillen et al., 1985).

We report here the characterization of a series of exfusants with either the NCD or the CD phenotype, obtained by the method described above, as well as that of a NCD strain previously described (Lopez et al., 1986). The exfusants were all found to be formed of haploid cells. Reconstruction experiments with mixed populations of whole cells revealed that mixed colonies obey the operational definition of either NCDs or CDs, strongly suggesting that the exfusants examined here also consist of mixed populations of haploid cells.

This work was submitted by P. M. Hauser in partial fulfilment for a PhD degree of the University of Lausanne.

### Methods

**Bacterial strains and plasmids.** These are listed in Table 1.

**Biochemicals.** [2-14C]Thymidine (51.4 mCi mmol⁻¹; 1.9 GBq mmol⁻¹) and 5'-[α-32P]deoxyadenosine triphosphate (3000 Ci mmol⁻¹; 111 TBq mmol⁻¹) were from Amersham, BSA fraction V and PEG 6000 were from Merck, DNAase I from BDH Chemicals or Fluka, and 2'-deoxyadenosine from Sigma.

**Media.** Rich medium L and minimal media S and TS were described by Karamata & Gross (1970). L medium was supplemented with 20 μg thymidine ml⁻¹. NB is the complex medium of Schaeffer et al. (1965). RD is the cell wall regeneration medium of Wyrick & Rogers (1973)

### Table 1. *Bacillus subtilis* strains, and plasmids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype or description</th>
<th>Reference and remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>trpC2</td>
<td>Burkholder &amp; Giles (1947)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22</td>
<td>purA16 leuA8 metB5 ilvA1</td>
<td>Karamata &amp; Gross (1970)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S15</td>
<td>purB34 ura-1 trpC7 rfm-486</td>
<td>Lévi et al. (1977); rifampycin resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1A1</td>
<td>metB5 leuA8 thrB5 rfm-486 ilvA Cm*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S15 (S1A1)</td>
<td>purB34 ura-1 trpC7 rfm-486 (metB5 leuA8 thrB5 rfm-486 ilvA Cm*)</td>
<td>Lopez et al. (1986); stable NCD strain; indications in parentheses refer to the silent chromosome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pHV32</td>
<td>Ap<em>R Cm</em> Tc*</td>
<td>Niaudet et al. (1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pphe+1</td>
<td>pMTL20EC (Ap<em>R Em</em>R Cm*; Chambers et al. 1988) carrying pheB and part of the pheA gene of <em>B. subtilis</em></td>
<td>This work; lphe+ (M.G. Sargent, isolated from the l Charon 4A bank of Ferrari et al., 1981) was shown to carry two DNA segments from non-contiguous regions of the chromosome; the 14 kb EcoRI–EcoRI fragment from one of the segments, sequenced by Trach &amp; Hoch (1989), was subcloned</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Plasmid pHV438 was obtained by insertion of two non-contiguous fragments flanking the ilvA gene into plasmid pHV32 (Niaudet et al., 1982). After linearization, the former plasmid has been integrated in the homologous chromosome region by two crossing-overs generating a deletion of the ilvA gene and conferring plasmid Cm resistance, to generate strain S1A1. This type of construction provides genetically stable strains which segregate fewer than 1% of Cm* cells per 20 generations (Niaudet et al., 1985).
supplemented with 5 μg DNAase I ml⁻¹ (Schaeffer et al., 1976). mR2 is the minimal cell wall regeneration medium of Sanchez-Rivas (1982). SMMMD is the protoplasting medium of Wyrick & Rogers (1973) supplemented with 5 μg DNAase I ml⁻¹ (Schaeffer et al., 1976). SMMAD is SMMMD supplemented with 1% (w/v) BSA (Gabor & Hochkiss, 1979). Solid media contained 1-2% (w/v) agar (Gibco). Solid NB medium was used for strain conservation after sporulation at 30 °C. When required, media were supplemented with amino acids (20 μg ml⁻¹) and bases (50 μg ml⁻¹).

Growth conditions. Unless otherwise stated, cultures were aerated by bubbling. Growth was followed by nephelometric density (ND) measured on a Unigalvo (Corning-EEL) nephelometer. In L medium, a ND reading of 100 corresponds to 1·5 × 10⁸ c.f.u. ml⁻¹.

Protoplast fusion. Fusions were performed essentially according to Gabor & Hochkiss (1979). However, bubbled rather than shaken cultures were grown in L instead of NB medium. Overnight cultures, at room temperature, were diluted to a ND of 5 and incubated at 37 °C. At a ND of 50 (7 × 10⁶ c.f.u. ml⁻¹), cells in a 3 ml sample were sedimented, resuspended in 1 ml SMMAD, transferred to 42 °C in shallow layers (inclined tube) and converted to protoplasts by addition of lysozyme, at a final concentration of 200 μg ml⁻¹, and incubation for 30 min without shaking. Already after 15 min, protoplasts represented more than 99% of the population. Counting with a Petroff-Hausser chamber revealed that each c.f.u. in L medium yielded approximately two protoplasts. Protoplasts were centrifuged, and gently resuspended in SMMAD medium at a concentration of 4 × 10⁹ ml⁻¹. Then 0·05 ml samples from each partner were mixed in a new tube. After addition of 0·9 ml PEG, 40% (w/v) in SMMD, the mixture was vigorously shaken and left for 2 min at room temperature. Samples (0·1 ml) of 10⁻⁴, 10⁻⁵ and 10⁻⁶ dilutions in SMMAD were spread on RD medium, supplemented with requirements of both partners, and incubated at 37 °C. Spreading of 0·1 ml samples of the 10⁻² dilution on plates of L medium yielded fewer than 10 colonies, confirming the low numbers of osmotically resistant cells. After 3 d incubation at 37 °C, colonies, heterogeneous in size, as well as microcolonies corresponding to L-forms, were observed. Well-isolated and circular colonies were transferred with toothpicks onto three minimal TS media containing 5 μg DNAase I ml⁻¹; two plates, the 'parental' ones, were supplemented with requirements of one or the other of the partners (Fig. 1). After overnight incubation at 37 °C, colonies were classified following criteria given in Fig. 1. This figure also describes the determination of the unexpressed chromosome in biparental colonies. Appropriate colonies on RD, as well as on parental plates of the first test, were resuspended in 0·3 ml L medium containing 15% (v/v) glycerol, and stored at −80 °C. Isolation of CDs proceeded according to the same protocol with the following differences: SMMMD was used throughout the experiment, protoplasts were obtained at 37 °C, and cell wall was regenerated on minimal medium mR2.

Stabilization of unstable NCD cells. To stabilize both the silent and the speaking chromosomes, S medium supplemented with the requirements of the expressed chromosome was inoculated with a 0·1 ml sample of the frozen NCD suspension (see above) and incubated at 37 °C or 27 °C (see below). At a cell concentration of about 2 × 10⁸ ml⁻¹, the culture was diluted about 1000-fold into the same medium and further incubated. Overnight, the temperature was lowered to 27 °C, so as to maintain cells in the exponential growth phase. After several cycles, amounting to 35 to 55 generation times, i.e. about 72 h, the culture was allowed to grow to a concentration of 1·5 × 10⁹ c.f.u. ml⁻¹. A 1 ml sample was supplemented with 15% (v/v) glycerol, frozen and stored at −80 °C. Appropriate dilutions, in the same medium, spread on plates of TS medium containing the supplements of the stabilization medium, as well as on plates of L medium, provided identical high titres. To quantify possible rare segregants which had inherited only the genome of the parent undergoing counterselection, 0·1 ml samples of 10⁶, 10⁻¹ and 10⁻² dilutions were spread on unsupplemented TS plates, as well as on those containing the requirements of the parent which had provided the silent chromosome. All plates contained 5 μg DNAase I ml⁻¹ and were incubated at 37 °C.

Self-fusion of stabilized NCD cells. A population of protoplasts (see Protoplast fusion) of a stabilized NCD was mixed in a 1 to 9 ratio with PEG and the fusion protocol rigorously followed (Guillen et al., 1985). Colonies regenerated on RD medium were tested by replica-plating on appropriately supplemented TS plates and not by transfer with toothpicks.

Quantitative DNA-DNA hybridization. Chromosomal DNA was prepared from stationary-phase cultures in S medium according to Marmur (1961) and purified on a CsCl gradient (Maniatis et al., 1982). Plasmids were prepared as described by Del Sal et al. (1988) and the radioactive probes synthesized by the random priming method (Feinberg & Vogelstein, 1983). Restriction fragments, purified by a GeneClean kit (supplied by Lucerna Chem, Switzerland), were labelled with 5'-[α-3²P]deoxyadenosine triphosphate. Quantitative hybridization was performed according to Young et al. (1989).

Spreading of PEG-treated mixed bacterial populations. Two different bacterial populations were treated essentially according to the protocol of protoplast fusion described above. However, cells were cultivated as described by Schaeffer et al. (1976) in NB medium and aerated by shaking in an Erlenmeyer flask. The lysozyme treatment, however, was omitted.

Subcloning. Subcloning was performed according to Hochkiss & Gabor (1980). A 0·1 ml sample of a biparental or prototrophic colony suspension from regeneration medium, frozen at −80 °C (see above), was inoculated in NB medium containing 5 μg DNAase I ml⁻¹ and incubated with shaking in an Erlenmeyer flask at 37 °C. When the ND reached 40 to 100, i.e. 4 to 6 h or 6 to 8 generation times later, the culture was streaked out for isolated colonies on plates of L medium. After overnight incubation at 30 °C, the phenotype of 50 well-isolated and circular colonies was determined by transfer with toothpicks on to appropriately supplemented TS media; parent, recombinant and biparental colonies were recorded (see Fig. 1).

Plating of mixed cultures. Overnight cultures, grown at room temperature, were diluted into L medium to a ND of 2 and incubated at 37 °C to a ND of 50. Several 10⁻¹ to 10⁻³ dilutions were prepared in the same medium. Corresponding dilutions of each partner were mixed in a new tube, and 0·1 ml samples were spread on plates of L medium. After overnight incubation at 30 °C, well-isolated and circular colonies were tested for their parent, recombinant or biparental phenotype (see Fig. 1).

DNA content per cell. An overnight culture, grown at 24 °C, was diluted to a ND of 2 into TS medium and incubated at 37 °C. Thy⁺ cells were labelled with 0·1 μCi [2-¹⁴C]thymidine ml⁻¹ in the presence of 1·5 mM-2'-deoxyadenosine (Busman & Pardee, 1967). Incorporation of isotope into material precipitable in 5% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid was measured by scintillation counting. At a ND of 100, (i) DNA content, (ii) dry weight, and (iii) the average cell length were determined in duplicate.

(i) DNA content. After addition of NaN₃ (final concentration 0·05 M) to a 10 ml sample, cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4 °C and unincorporated radioactive thymidine eliminated by two washes with 10 ml 0·5 M-HClO₄ at 0 °C. The pellet was resuspended in 10 ml 0·5 M-HClO₄ at 0 °C and recentrifuged after 30 min. Cells were resuspended in 2 ml 0·5 M-HClO₄ and incubated for 20 min at 70 °C (DNA extraction). After cooling to room temperature, the sample was centrifuged and DNA in the supernatant was determined by the diphenylamine method, using 2'-deoxyadenosine as standard.
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Protoplasts strain 1 \( \rightarrow \) 1:1 mixture \( \rightarrow \) Protoplasts strain 2

Analysis of the segregation pattern of unstable NCD or potential CD by subcloning: growth in rich liquid medium for 6 to 8 generations and plating for isolated colonies

Rich cell wall regeneration medium

Test of the phenotype of regenerated colonies on different minimal media (MM) by toothpick transfer

- Parental cells, strain 1
- Parental cells, strain 2
- Recombinant cells
- Prototroph, recombinant or CD
- Biparental, unstable NCD

Determination of the unstable NCD phenotype by toothpick transfer

The frequency of cells with the strain 2 phenotype is about \( 10^{-1} \)

Self-fusion of protoplasts leads to about 4\% of biparental colonies on rich cell wall regeneration medium

Qualitative hybridization with a probe specific to the silent chromosome

Fig. 1. Method for construction and identification of NCDs (Hotchkiss & Gabor, 1980; Guillén et al., 1985). The first toothpick transfer allows the identification of exfusant types on the regeneration plate. This stage of the characterization is obviously equivalent to a stabilization step. Thus, proceeding to 'full' stabilization, from either the original exfusant colony or the first subculture, leads essentially to the same result. In experiments described in Table 3, the origin of the colony used for the stabilization—regeneration plate or subculture—is specified. The NCD phenotype deduced from the second toothpick transfer refers to the nature of cells contained both in the original biparental colony and in that obtained after the first toothpick transfer. MM1 and MM2 are supplemented with requirements of strain 1 and 2, respectively. The number in parentheses refers to the silent chromosome. +, Growth; −, no growth.

(ii) Dry weight. The method of Tilby (1978) was used. Sartorius cellulose acetate filters (0.45 \( \mu \)m pore size) were washed with double-distilled water, dried at 60 °C for 5 h, and weighed. Samples (20 ml) of a culture were collected on filters previously soaked in double-distilled water and placed on a filter manifold (Millipore). The filters were weighed after drying to a constant weight overnight at 60 °C.

(iii) Cell length. Cells from a 2 ml sample were harvested on a 0.45 \( \mu \)m Sartorius filter, fixed by two rinses with 5 ml 5\% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid at 0 °C, and washed twice with 5 ml double-distilled water at 0 °C. Resuspension of the cells in 2 ml potassium phosphate buffer (0.05 M, pH 7.5) containing 2 mg trypsin ml\(^{-1}\) and incubation for 20 to 40 min at 37 °C removes much of the cytoplasm and allows visualization of cell wall and septa by phase-contrast microscopy. The length of the cells adsorbed on slides was measured on a Zeiss standard microscope with a \( \times 100 \) objective, and an eyepiece fitted with a micrometric scale. Fifty cells per sample were measured, with a precision of about 0.2 \( \mu \)m.

Results

Isolation and characterization of exfusant colonies with a biparental phenotype: search for stable NCDs.

To obtain stable NCDs, we followed the method of Guillén et al. (1985) (Fig. 1 and Methods). In two crosses (Table 2), exponentially growing populations of parent...
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Table 2. Analysis of protoplast fusion products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross*</th>
<th>Parent strains</th>
<th>Percentage of protoplasts regenerated†</th>
<th>Exfusant colonies tested‡</th>
<th>Colony phenotype§ (%*)</th>
<th>S15</th>
<th>M22 or S1A1</th>
<th>Biparental</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>S15 and M22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>55:7</td>
<td>40:3</td>
<td>4:0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>S15 and S1A1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>90:3</td>
<td>7:0</td>
<td>2:7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Concentrated parental protoplasts, mixed in a 1:1 ratio, were treated with PEG, diluted and plated onto RD medium.
† Mean protoplast numbers of the two partners were determined in a counting chamber.
‡ Plates from 10⁻⁴ and 10⁻⁵ dilutions, comprising about 500 and 70 colonies respectively, were used.
§ The colonies examined did not contain those with a recombinant or a prototrophic phenotype.

Table 3. Stabilization of putative NCDs (biparental colonies) by growth in minimal medium supplemented with the requirements of the appropriate parent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross*</th>
<th>Biparental colony, no.</th>
<th>Unstable NCD phenotype‡</th>
<th>Source (medium) of the biparental colony§</th>
<th>Stabilization medium</th>
<th>No. of generations in stabilization medium</th>
<th>Frequency of segregants selected against†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>S15 (M22) + M22 (S15)</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>7 x 10⁻⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>S15 (M22) + M22 (S15)</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>4 x 10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>S15 (M22) + M22 (S15)</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4 x 10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>S15 (M22)</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>&lt;4 x 10⁻⁸***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>S15 (M22) + M22 (S15)</td>
<td>M22</td>
<td>M22</td>
<td>M22</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>&lt;6 x 10⁻⁸***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>S15 (S1A1)</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1 x 10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>S15 (S1A1)</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>&lt;3 x 10⁻⁸***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>S15 (S1A1) + (S1A1) (S15)</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>S15 (S1A1)</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>&lt;3 x 10⁻⁸***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228</td>
<td>S15 (S1A1) + (S1A1) (S15)</td>
<td>S1A1</td>
<td>S1A1</td>
<td>S1A1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>229</td>
<td>S15 (S1A1)</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1 x 10⁻⁷</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Refers to crosses presented in Table 2.
† Biparental colonies were isolated from plates seeded with the 10⁻⁵ dilution, with the exception of nos 215, 228 and 229, which originate from a 10⁻⁴ dilution (see Table 2).
‡ See Fig. 1.
§ RD, S15, M22 and S1A1 refer to RD plates or TS minimal medium supplemented so as to allow growth of strain S15, M22 and S1A1, respectively.
¶ S15, M22 and S1A1 refer to S minimal medium supplemented with the requirements of strains S15, M22 and S1A1, respectively.
†† Ratio of c.f.u. on TS medium supplemented with the requirements of the parent selected against, to those obtained on TS medium containing the supplements of the stabilization medium. No colonies were present on unsupplemented TS plates.
** No segregants were observed.

strains were converted into protoplasts, mixed, induced to fuse in the presence of PEG, and spread on RD medium. Well-isolated and circular colonies of exfusants, which regenerated at frequencies around 30%, were processed and classified as parental and biparental according to Fig. 1 (Table 2).

Stabilization of apparent NCDs with a given phenotype, harbouring by biparental colonies, was achieved by resuspension of a given colony in minimal medium supplemented with the requirements associated with the expressed chromosome, followed by 40 to 50 generations of exponential growth. For the 1 (2) + 2 (1) NCD phenotype (see Fig. 1), the stabilization medium was chosen arbitrarily. Eleven putative stable NCDs were tested for the presence of segregants with the phenotype selected against during the stabilization procedure. The frequency of such segregants ranged from ≤6 x 10⁻⁸ (i.e. none observed) to 2 x 10⁻³ (Table 3). These figures have been considered (Guillén et al., 1985) as a measure of the rate at which haploid cells containing the formerly silent chromosome segregate from stable diploids.

Presence of the silent chromosome was assessed by self-fusion – protoplasting and PEG treatment – and by quantitative DNA–DNA hybridization (Young et al., 1989). Self-fusion was performed on nine putative stabilized NCDs showing segregation frequencies
original colony on the regeneration plate, we studied a biparental phenotype. When the procedure for protoplast fusion, with the omission of lysozyme treatment, was applied to strains S15 and S1Δ1, biparental colonies were obtained at frequencies comparable to those characteristic of protoplast fusion (Table 5, experiments 1 and 2). Plating about 45 cells per plate yielded about 1% of isolated and circular colonies with a biparental phenotype (Table 5). The latter were subcloned according to Hotchkiss & Gabor (1980), i.e. resuspended in rich medium supplemented with DNAase, incubated for 6 to 8 generations and streaked for isolated colonies. Analysis of circular colonies revealed not only subclones of S15 and S1Δ1 cells, but also up to 7% of colonies with a biparental phenotype (Table 5), an observation considered as important evidence of the relative stability of NCDs (Hotchkiss & Gabor, 1980). A rare recombinant, observed in one subcloning, could have arisen by genetic exchange between cells of a mixed population.

If the presence of mixed colonies on plates seeded with 20 to 30 cells of each parent (Table 5, experiments 3 to 5) was surprising, their presence after subcloning suggested some cell-to-cell sticking. Since the mixing of relatively concentrated cultures in the presence of PEG could have allowed collisions between both parental cells and their clumping prior to spreading, we diluted the initial cultures to cell densities around $10^3$ ml$^{-1}$. The dilutions were mixed in absence of PEG and spread on plates.

Plating mixtures of parental cells generates colonies with a biparental phenotype.

To test whether the biparental phenotype can be accounted for by the presence of both parents in the original colony on the regeneration plate, we studied populations obtained by mixing whole cells of both parents. When the procedure for protoplast fusion, with the omission of lysozyme treatment, was applied to strains S15 and S1Δ1, biparental colonies were obtained at frequencies comparable to those characteristic of protoplast fusion (Table 5, experiments 1 and 2). Plating about 45 cells per plate yielded about 1% of isolated and circular colonies with a biparental phenotype (Table 5). The latter were subcloned according to Hotchkiss & Gabor (1980), i.e. resuspended in rich medium supplemented with DNAase, incubated for 6 to 8 generations and streaked for isolated colonies. Analysis of circular colonies revealed not only subclones of S15 and S1Δ1 cells, but also up to 7% of colonies with a biparental phenotype (Table 5), an observation considered as important evidence of the relative stability of NCDs (Hotchkiss & Gabor, 1980). A rare recombinant, observed in one subcloning, could have arisen by genetic exchange between cells of a mixed population.

If the presence of mixed colonies on plates seeded with 20 to 30 cells of each parent (Table 5, experiments 3 to 5) was surprising, their presence after subcloning suggested some cell-to-cell sticking. Since the mixing of relatively concentrated cultures in the presence of PEG could have allowed collisions between both parental cells and their clumping prior to spreading, we diluted the initial cultures to cell densities around $10^3$ ml$^{-1}$. The dilutions were mixed in absence of PEG and spread on plates. Again, colonies with a biparental phenotype, in this case due solely to coincidence, were identified. As expected, their proportion was a function of the number of cells per plate (Table 6), suggesting that two cells had a small but not negligible probability of being seeded within a critical surface area $S$, so as to generate a circular mixed colony. If $x$ and $y$ are the numbers of cells of the two partners seeded on a plate with a surface area of

Table 4. Characterization of the genotype of putative stabilized NCDs by quantitative DNA-DNA hybridization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain*</th>
<th>Stable NCD phenotype</th>
<th>Quantity of hybridized probe (c.p.m.)†</th>
<th>Hybridization relative to that with S1Δ1 DNA (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pHV32</td>
<td>pheB,A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1Δ1</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>47140</td>
<td>15289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S15</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>12335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>692</td>
<td>S15 (S1Δ1)</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>13861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>S15 (S1Δ1)</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>14814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228</td>
<td>S1Δ1 (S15)</td>
<td>43098</td>
<td>16129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>229</td>
<td>S15 (S1Δ1)</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>16263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Numbers refer to stabilized NCDs presented in Table 3. DNA was prepared from stationary-phase cultures in S medium.
† Counts obtained with 0.15, 0.5 and 1.5 μg of chromosomal DNA were normalized to 0.5 μg and their mean calculated. All measurements were within ±10% of the mean. Background was 112 and 41 c.p.m. with pHV32 and pheB,A probes, respectively. Probe pHV32 is specific to the S1Δ1 genome (see Methods).
‡ Stabilized from the RD plate (see Table 3).
Table 5. Generation of biparental colonies from mixtures of PEG-treated cells of two different strains; persistence of the biparental phenotype after subcloning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expt*</th>
<th>No. of colonies tested</th>
<th>Mean no. of colonies per plate† (standard deviation)</th>
<th>Colony phenotype (%)</th>
<th>Subcloning of biparental colony</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>S1A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>75-7 22-0 2-3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>68-2 29-9 1-9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>43 (5-2) 54-0 1-0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0, 0, 5, 7§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>47 (7-3) 40-2 0-6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0, 0, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>43-6 55-6 0-8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0, 2, 2, 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Concentrated S15 and S1A1 bacteria, mixed in a 1:1 ratio, were treated with PEG and plated on RD medium. In experiment 2, the treatment with PEG was omitted. In experiment 5, cells were incubated in SMMD instead of SMMAD buffer and spread on L instead of RD medium.
† In experiments 1 and 2, colonies were sampled from plates seeded with $10^{-5}$, $0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ and $10^{-6}$ dilutions which, as in protoplast fusion, contained about 300, 150 and 30 colonies, respectively. In experiments 3, 4 and 5, all plates were seeded with a $10^{-6}$ dilution of the cell mixture.
‡ ND, Not done.
§ Each number refers to a different colony tested. The number of subclones tested varied between 37 and 75.
¶ The only colony not growing on the two minimal TS media allowing growth of the parent strains was found to carry the metB5 marker of strain S1A1, in addition to the auxotrophic markers of S15.

Table 6. Biparental colonies obtained when diluted cultures of strains S15 and S1A1 were mixed and plated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expt*</th>
<th>Mean no. of colonies per plate (standard deviation)</th>
<th>No. of colonies tested†</th>
<th>Colony phenotype (%)</th>
<th>Phenotype among tested subclones (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S15</td>
<td>S1A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>61 (7-2)</td>
<td>2098</td>
<td>50-00</td>
<td>49-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>183 (13-9)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>53-40</td>
<td>45-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>363 (22-6)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>52-60</td>
<td>46-30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $10^{-4}$ to $10^{-5}$ dilutions of S15 and S1A1 cultures were mixed in a 1:1 ratio and plated.
† Isolated colonies were tested either by replica-plating (experiment 1) or by toothpick transfer (experiments 2 and 3). Contiguous colonies were excluded from the analysis, because of the risk of cross-contamination.
‡ When plates were seeded with greater numbers of cells (experiments 2 and 3), the more densely populated areas of the plates, which necessarily have the highest proportion of mixed colonies, were under-represented in the analysis because of the increased proportion of contiguous colonies. This could account for the proportion of biparentals increasing in a manner that was related linearly rather than to the square of the number of colonies per plate, as predicted by the formula (see text).

5675 mm², the observed number N of biparental colonies is related to S as follows:

$$N = \frac{x \cdot y \cdot S}{5675}$$

We have assumed that if x and y are relatively small, the total critical surface associated with x cells will be x \cdot S, and for a cell seeded on a plate the probability of its falling within x \cdot S is x \cdot S/5675. Introducing figures from experiments 1, 2 and 3 (Table 6) provides S = 0-89, 1-00 and 0-71 mm², respectively. Thus, cells separated by less than 1 mm would generate a circular colony. In good agreement with this, observations with a stereomicroscope have shown that two microcolonies can generate a circular colony if their centres are less than 0-4 mm apart (Fig. 2). The apparent difference between the calculated figure of about 1 mm and the observed distance of about 0-4 mm required for coincidence is probably due to experimental errors. However, phenomena such as surface tension could have enhanced displacement and encountering of neighbouring cells. Incidentally, when experiments involved partners characterized by different colony morphologies, sectored colonies were formed (not shown).
Table 7. Determination of DNA/dry weight ratio in exponentially growing putative NCD and CD cells

Cells were grown in appropriately supplemented TS medium with a generation time of 40 to 45 min. Cultures were processed at a ND of 100 (1.5 × 10⁸ c.f.u. ml⁻¹).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Cell length* (μm)</th>
<th>Dry weight (μg ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>DNA† (ng ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>DNA/dry weight (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S15</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S15 (S1Δ1)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Following trypsin treatment, 50 cells were measured with an eyepiece fitted with a micrometric scale. For all strains, cell diameters were around 0.8 μm.
† Measured by the diphenylamine method. DNA extraction was about 95% as determined by [2-¹⁴C]thymidine labelling.

In conclusion, we have shown that colonies with the biparental phenotype occur in mixed populations with frequencies comparable to those obtained with exuants. Indeed, comparison of Tables 2 and 5 strongly suggests that the vast majority of biparental colonies are formed of mixed parental populations, due to coincidence or protoplast clumping.

Determination of the DNA content of cells found to be NCD

Our attempts to obtain NCDs were unsuccessful and revealed that, if true diploids occur, they do so at much lower frequencies than hitherto suggested. Therefore, we determined the DNA content per cell of strain S15...
(S1Δ1) (Lopez et al., 1986), reported to be a stable diploid. Exponentially growing cells of this strain, as well as those of two reference haploid strains, S15 and 168, were found to have the same average length and the same DNA to dry weight ratio (Table 7).

DNA content of cells identified as CD

Our search for NCD exfusants was unsuccessful. However, the hypothesis of the existence of such entities implies not only a peculiar nucleoplasmic organization but also a mechanism, hitherto unknown in prokaryotes, by which one of the chromosomes is silenced. Thus, a CD exfusant not requiring a silenced chromosome may be more easily obtainable. To investigate this possibility, we fused strains S15 and S1Δ1 according to the procedure of Sanchez-Rivas (1982) and identified several potential CD colonies. The latter grew on non-supplemented minimal medium and differed from clearly prototrophic recombinants in the segregation of S15 subclones. Comparison of the average quantity of DNA per cell of three CDs to that of control haploid cells during exponential growth did not reveal any significant difference (Table 7). Thus, persistence of cells of the S15 parent in the absence of supplements needed for its growth on minimal medium may result from cross-feeding.

Discussion

Our attempts to obtain positive evidence in favour of diploidy in *B. subtilis* cells with the NCD or the CD phenotype were unsuccessful. Within the limits of the methods used – self-fusion, DNA–DNA hybridization and determination of the DNA to mass ratio – all exfusants studied, including one previously reported as a stable NCD, were found to consist of haploid cells. We have shown further that mixed populations of cells satisfy, both qualitatively and quantitatively, the operational definition of NCDs. The presence of mixed colonies among exfusants is fully accounted for by coincidence, by cell-to-cell sticking and by phenomena inherent to cell spreading. Therefore, we believe that, if true diploids were to occur among exfusants, they must do so at a frequency appreciably lower than that characteristic of biparental or prototrophic colonies.

In the light of the foregoing, we consider useful a brief review and discussion of the essential evidence on which the hypothesis of NCD and CD cells is based. It should be stressed that the hypothesis of the existence of mixed colonies among exfusants was envisaged previously but dismissed on the basis of reconstruction experiments involving spreading of mixed populations (Hotchkiss & Gabor, 1980). Unfortunately, these crucial experiments, which led the authors to put forward an alternative explanation, were not presented in sufficient detail to be re-evaluated. The operational definition of a NCD and the evidence in favour of the diploid nature of colonies with the biparental phenotype rest essentially upon analyses of segregation patterns of exfusants from parents carrying complementary polyauxotrophic and, in some instances, different antibiotic resistance markers (Hotchkiss & Gabor, 1980; Gabor & Hotchkiss 1982, 1983). In reported experiments (Table 4 of Hotchkiss & Gabor, 1980), biparental colonies were inoculated into DNAase-containing liquid medium, and incubated for 6 to 8 generations. After this, subclones underwent several subcultures in various selective DNAase-containing media by successive transfer from plate to plate with the aid of toothpicks. It has been generally assumed that one or several passages on appropriately supplemented minimal media and, in particular, on those ensuring an antibiotic-mediated counterelection, would eliminate any segregants or contaminants with the sensitive or unsupplemented phenotypes. In other experiments (Gabor & Hotchkiss, 1983), about 50 subclones of each exfusant were characterized, further subcloned, and tested for segregation of late recombinants. Maintenance of the character(s) selected against, supposedly encoded by the silent chromosome, and/or appearance of late recombinants was interpreted in favour of diploidy. However, our control experiments showed that the subcloning and the purification procedure used in these experiments are inadequate for the following reasons. (i) As reported (see Results), about 5% of isolated colonies, obtained by streaking out a mixed population, contain both types of cells. (ii) A toothpick transfers about 10⁶ cells from a colony consisting of about 10⁸ cells, and the incubation of such an inoculum on selection plates allows the development of the contaminating partner through cross-feeding (not presented). (iii) The presence in plates of streptomycin or rifampicin has no bactericidal effect on non-growing cells (not presented; Eng et al., 1991). (iv) Subclones observed to have a recombinant phenotype were most likely transferred from the original colony and/or generated by transformation in mixed colonies. A recent contribution by Romanowski et al. (1991) provides firm evidence that on solid surfaces DNA is excreted and poorly accessible to DNAase. Development of competence in analogous conditions (Lorenz et al., 1988) allows transformation and formation of recombinants in mixed populations.

The physical evidence in favour of the silenced chromosome, involving protoplast fusion between a non-lysogenic and a φ105 lysogenic partner (Guillén et al., 1985) could have another explanation. When the presence of φ105, thought to be localized on the silenced
chromosome, was established in NCDs by DNA–DNA hybridization, the possibility that the partner providing the speaking chromosome had been lysogenized by φ105 released through spontaneous induction was not considered.

Reconstruction experiments (not presented) and determination of the cell DNA content of exfusant colonies revealed that mixed colonies, consisting of a haploid prototrophic recombinant and various auxotrophs maintained by cross-feeding, satisfy the operational definition of CDs. Initially (Schaeffer et al., 1976), prototrophic colonies were identified by replica-pling a lawn of exfusants, obtained on rich regeneration medium, onto a minimal medium. After purification by two successive toothpick transfers onto the identical minimal medium, colonies were resuspended in nutrient broth, incubated for 10 generations, plated on rich medium and screened for the presence of auxotrophic segregants by replica-plating. Eleven prototrophic exfusants were found not to segregate auxotrophs. They were attributed to recombinant haploid cells. Later (Lévi, 1978; Lévi-Meyrueis et al., 1980), screening of 219 prototrophic exfusants, obtained from three independent crosses, has revealed that about 10% of them, purified as described here, did segregate auxotrophic colonies. Three prototrophic subclones, apparently breeding true, were analysed further (Lévi, 1978). They were obtained from an original exfusant characterized by a massive segregation of auxotrophs. The three chosen colonies were inoculated into rich regeneration medium and the transforming activity of their DNA was determined. Appearance of recombinants with most, if not all, of the parental auxotrophic markers (Lévi, 1978; Lévi-Meyrueis et al., 1980) was interpreted in favour of a haploid nature for the existence of CDs. However, this observation could be accounted for by persistence of contaminating cells and, as the concentration of the latter was as high as 50% (Lévi, 1978), by the presence of mixed colonies among the subclones. Subsequently, the isolation of CDs was simplified (Sanchez-Rivas, 1982): exfusant prototrophic recombinants were directly selected on an impoverished regeneration medium and replicated once onto a minimal medium. The vast majority of exfusants obtained from a spo0A and a Spo+ parent were found to exhibit the Spo− phenotype (Sanchez-Rivas, 1982). Since such exfusants segregated Spo+ cells, they were assimilated to CDs, their Spo− phenotype being due to the dominance of spo0A over Spo+. However, inspection of the genotypes of the two partners – MO220 and S15 – suggests a straightforward explanation of this result. The relative proximity of spo0A and trpC7 (106) leaves open the possibility of their frequent co-transfer in protoplast fusion crosses which involve whole chromosomes. Thus, generation of Spo+ prototrophs would be rather rare. Presence, among Spo− recombinants, of Spo+ cells, attributed to haploid segregants, is easily accounted for since the identification procedure involved a sporulation cycle allowing detection of very rare Spo+ cells present in the prototrophic colony.

In the light of our observations, the interpretation of experiments so far reported, designed to demonstrate the presence of diploid cells, in particular NCDs, and to study the nature of chromosomal inactivation, is an uncertain undertaking. Experiments based on mixed cultures of unknown proportions in the starting material, and of totally unknown growth physiology, are unlikely to yield reproducible observations. The crucial experiment on the reactivation of the silenced chromosome by proteinase K treatment (Bohin et al., 1982; Guillén et al., 1985), is revealing in this respect since it was apparently never reproduced; Table 3 in Bohin et al. (1982) and Table VII in Guillén et al. (1985) are numerically superimposable, with the exception of one number, strongly suggesting that they refer to the same, unique, experiment. In addition, physiological studies on the nature of chromosome inactivation resting upon φ105 induction in NCDs by either mitomycin C treatment or use of a thermoinducible mutant of φ105 are irrelevant; comparison of reported (Guillén et al., 1983) burst-sizes of about 10−3 to those of about 100, normally obtained (Rutberg, 1969), confirm that the φ105 induction protocol used was inadequate.

Observations on protoplast fusion in B. megaterium were interpreted in favour of a haploid nature for exfusants (Fodor & Alfoldi, 1976). Reconstruction experiments with whole cells, like those reported here for B. subtilis, have revealed that colonies with a biparental phenotype consisted of a mixture of parental cells (Fodor et al., 1983). The relatively high frequency of such colonies – above 10% – is most likely to be predominantly due to cell-to-cell sticking, enhanced by the slimy capsular material abundant on B. megaterium cells. Subsequently, Fleischer & Vary (1985) have reported the presence of NCDs among exfusants in this organism. Their evidence rests basically on the operational definition of Hotchkiss & Gabor (1980). A biparental colony is subcloned. Among subclones a biparental colony is subcloned again and the procedure repeated up to 10 times. However, we have shown this method to be inadequate, since subcloning and streaking of a mixed population provides a variable proportion of mixed colonies due to cell-to-cell sticking and coincidence (see above, Table 5). Control experiments with mixtures of whole cells were not performed by Fleischer & Vary (1985), nor was sufficient attention paid to the contribution of Fodor et al. (1983). Therefore, we believe that, as in B. subtilis, there is no positive evidence in favour of NCDs in B. megaterium.

Our failure to identify diploid cells among exfusants
can be considered as indirect evidence of a limited capacity of a prokaryotic cell to accommodate additional DNA. Apparently, a duplication of 25% of the chromosome such as in a merodiploid (Schneider et al., 1982) or with additional plasmid DNA (see Young & Hranueli, 1988) exhaust the cell's capacity for genome expression. Extra chromosomes present in exfusants are always segregated out of artificial zygotes during cell wall regeneration or upon resumption of normal growth. We believe that the cell volume or the wall-determined cell geometry may play a critical role. Particularly noteworthy are the observations of Karmazyn-Campelli et al. (1985), which reveal that complementation of parent chromosomes in an exfusant persists until cell wall regeneration is allowed to proceed. These authors have interpreted their observation in favor of chromosome silencing, which, under optimal conditions, would generate diploids from about 50% exfusants. However, we believe that they provide strong support to the idea that regeneration of the rigid cell wall of fixed size is accompanied by the restoration of the haploid state. Thus, a cell endowed with a wall of normal morphology, at least, cannot maintain diploidy during growth. Possible outcomes would be the exclusion of all except one of the chromosomes from the fused protoplast or their segregation into different regenerated cells. The latter event could account for the presence of reciprocal recombinants in exfusant colonies (Gabor & Hotchkiss, 1983).

Finally, we would like to point out an error inherent in the common observer's intuition. Inspection of plates uniformly seeded with fewer than 100 colonies leaves the observer under the impression that a pair of cells could not possibly have been plated close enough to give rise to a single circular colony. However, observations reported here above reveal that, due to coincidence enhanced by cell sticking and surface tension, on average 0-5% of circular colonies are sectored and consist of a mixed population. This phenomenon has certainly occurred, but has not necessarily been taken into account, in previous publications involving the analyses of experiments based either on microbial colony or, to a lesser extent, on phage plaque methodology. Incidentally, rigorous methods of identification of phage mutants recommend subcloning by plating fewer than ten p.f.u. per plate (E. Kellenberger, personal communication), a precaution which, together with spreading cells in a top layer, yielding smaller colonies, could have usefully been taken in the study of exfusants.
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