Differentiation of virescence MLOs using western aster yellows mycoplasma-like organism chromosomal DNA probes and restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis
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Two chromosomal fragments of the severe strain (SAY) of the western aster yellows mycoplasma-like organism (MLO) were cloned in Escherichia coli. These fragments were used to probe Southern blots of DNA extracted from plants infected with geographically and pathologically diverse MLOs. The two SAY probes hybridized with some, but not all, of the virescence-inducing MLOs examined, indicating that some of these MLOs are genetically related. Comparisons of restriction fragment length polymorphisms of MLO DNA established additional relationships within this group. The SAY-MLO probes did not hybridize with decline-inducing MLOs, plant pathogenic spiroplasmas, or healthy plants.

Introduction

Mycoplasma-like organisms (MLOs) have been implicated as the causal agents of disease in several hundred plant species (McCoy et al., 1989). To date, MLOs have not been cultured in vitro. For this reason, diagnosis of MLO-induced disease and classification of MLOs have historically relied upon electron microscopic observation of MLOs in the plant phloem, symptoms expressed by infected plants, and the specificity of transmission by insect vectors. Plant-pathogenic MLOs can be broadly classified by the symptoms they produce in plants (reviewed by Kirkpatrick, 1989, 1991). Some MLOs produce symptoms of virescence (greening of floral tissues) and phyllody (leaflike petals and sepals) in their herbaceous hosts (virescence MLOs). Others do not produce virescence and phyllody but rather produce a general decline of infected plants (decline MLOs). However, members of both groups can produce similar symptoms, such as chlorosis, stunting, and shoot proliferation. Thus, classification of MLOs based solely on disease symptoms cannot precisely differentiate all of the MLOs. In addition, because plant host range and vector transmission characteristics are time consuming and often difficult to obtain, these characteristics have not been determined for most of the MLOs. The reliance upon biological and pathological characteristics to classify MLOs has resulted in confusion in naming MLOs and distinguishing between different MLO isolates.

The need for more reliable and specific traits to classify MLOs has resulted in the development of MLO-specific serological and DNA hybridization assays. The production of polyclonal and monoclonal antibodies against MLO immunogens has allowed sensitive detection of these pathogens in infected hosts using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and immunosorbent electron microscopy (ISEM) (reviewed by Kirkpatrick, 1991). Fragments of MLO chromosomes have been cloned from MLO-infected insects (Kirkpatrick et al., 1987; Davis et al., 1988) and plants (Lee & Davis, 1988; Sears et al., 1989; Kollar et al., 1990; Lee et al., 1990). Cloned MLO DNAs have been used as probes in DNA hybridization assays to detect these pathogens in plant and insect hosts and to evaluate the genetic relatedness of MLOs. The ability to differentiate MLOs on the basis of their serological or genetic characteristics, rather than on phenotypic characters expressed by their infected hosts, can provide a more reliable and detailed basis for MLO classification.

† Present address: Genetics Group, Life Sciences Division, Mail Stop M886, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA.

Abbreviations: MLO, mycoplasma-like organism; RFLP, restriction fragment length polymorphism.
The objectives of this study were to clone chromosomal fragments of the western aster yellows MLO (AY-MLO), and use them to identify genetic relationships between this MLO and other geographically and pathologically distinct MLOs. An abstract of this work has been published (Kuske & Kirkpatrick, 1989).

Methods

Mycoplasma-like organisms. Celery (Apium graveolens L.) and China aster (Callistephus chinensis Nees) plants, and Macrosteles severini Hamilton leafhoppers infected with the severe (SAY), dwarf (DAY) or Tulelake (TLAY) strains of western AY-MLO (Freitag, 1964) were provided by A. H. Purcell, Department of Entomology, University of California, Berkeley. Periwinkle (Catharanthus roseus L.) plants infected with SAY, DAY, TLAY, two California field isolates of AY-MLO (AY-HR and AY-DAV), X-disease MLO (X), elm yellows MLO from pear in California [PD(X)]; Raju et al., 1983) were maintained in the greenhouse by grafting infected shoots onto healthy plants. Periwinkle infected with the beet-leafhopper-transmitted virescence agent (BLTVA; Golino et al., 1987) was provided by D. A. Golino, USDA-ARS, Department of Plant Pathology, University of California, Davis. A Florida isolate of maize bushy stunt MLO (MBS; Davis et al., 1988) and a Wisconsin isolate of eastern AY-MLO (WAY) were provided by M. Davis, University of Florida, Homewood. A New Jersey isolate of eastern AY-MLO (EAY; Jiang & Chen, 1987) in lettuce was provided by T. A. Chen, Department of Plant Pathology, Rutgers University, NJ. MLO-infected Oenothera (OAY; Sears et al., 1989) tissue was provided by B. Sears, Department of Plant Pathology and Botany, Michigan State University. Periwinkle infected with a Canadian isolate AY-27 of AY-MLO (CAY, AY-2), clover virescence (CP), and potato witches' bower (PWB) MLOs were provided by C. Hiruki, Department of Plant Science, University of Alberta, Canada. MLOs causing phyllosis in sesame (SSEP), and white leaf disease of Bermuda grass (BG), and sugarcane (WL), were collected in their native host plants near Khon Kaen, Thailand.

Preparation of total DNA extracted from periwinkle (Kollar et al., 1990) infected with apple proliferation (AT), rape virescence (RV), stolburt (ST), safflower phyllosis (SP), Diploptus virescens (DIV), Catharanthus virescens (CV), and European aster yellows (AY) MLOs were purified by DEAE-cellulose chromatography (Elutip-d columns, Schleicher and Schuell) and precipitated twice with ammonium acetate and ethanol. DNA from Veitchia palm infected with coconut lethal yellows MLO (LY) was provided by N. Harrison, IFAS/REC, Ft Lauderdale, FL. DNAs from Spirulosa cintia (Maroc isolate) and S. kuskeii (California isolate 245) were isolated as described previously (Kuske & Kirkpatrick, 1990).

MLO enrichment and DNA extraction. Symptomless MLO-infected and healthy shoot tissues were ground in cold PS buffer (Davis et al., 1988), without fructose, using a mortar and pestle, and filtered through Miracloth (Behring Diagnostica). The filtrate was centrifuged for 7 min at 3000 × g at 4 °C, the pellet was discarded and the resulting supernatant was then centrifuged at 17,500 × g for 30 min to pellet the MLOs and some host constituents (primarily mitochondria). DNA was extracted from this MLO-enriched fraction, precipitated, and stored as described by Kuske & Kirkpatrick (1990). DNA extracted from healthy celery fed on by healthy leafhoppers, or other healthy plant species, served as experimental controls.

Cloning and identification of MLO-specific DNA fragments. Unless otherwise indicated, the laboratory reagents, general cloning and hybridization techniques used in this study are described in Maniatis et al. (1982) and Ausubel et al. (1987). DNA from celery infected with the severe strain (SAY) of western AY-MLO (Freitag, 1964) was purified in caesium chloride/ethidium bromide (CsCl/EtBr) density gradients and then digested with EcoRI. Digested fragments were ligated with EcoRI-digested, dephosphorylated pUC18 (Yanisch-Perron et al., 1985). Ligated plasmids were used to transform competent Escherichia coli, strain JM109. Transformants containing recombinant plasmids were identified by plating on Luria broth agar containing ampicillin, X-Gal and IPTG. White, ampicillin-resistant colonies were transferred to duplicate nitrocellulose membranes overlaying media containing ampicillin, and grown at 37 °C. Cells on the membranes were lysed and DNA was bound to the membranes using standard protocols.

Transformants were screened for MLO-specific insert DNA by a differential hybridization assay, which selected for cloned, MLO-specific DNA fragments that did not have homology to either plant or leafhopper host DNA (Kirkpatrick et al., 1987). DNAs from MLO-infected celery and infected Macrosteles severini leafhoppers, and from both healthy hosts, were labelled with [32P]dATP using random oligoprimers (Multiprime Kit, Amersham) and used as hybridization probes. Prehybridizations and hybridizations were performed at 42 °C in solutions containing 50% (v/v) formamide (Kirkpatrick et al., 1987). Blots were washed (30 min per wash) at moderate stringency: twice in 2 × SSC, 0.1% SDS at 37 °C; once in 0.2 × SSC, 0.1% SDS at 37%; and once in 0.2 × SSC, 0.1% SDS at 55 °C. At this stringency, hybridization between MLO sequences was readily detected, but there was no hybridization of MLO DNAs with DNA from cloning vectors or healthy plants.

Plasmid DNA was prepared by the alkaline lysis method from transformant colonies that hybridized with MLO-enriched DNA but not with healthy celery or leafhopper DNA. Recombinant plasmid DNA was denatured and applied to nitrocellulose membranes using a dot-blot manifold. Replicate dot-bLOTS were hybridized with the four probes described above.

EcoRI-digested DNA from healthy, SAY- and DAY-MLO-infected celery and undigested DNA from SAY-MLO-infected celery were electrophoresed in 1% (w/v) agarose gels using 1 × Tris/borate buffer (1 × TBE), and transferred to nylon membranes. Replicate Southern blots were hybridized with [32P]-labelled plasmid DNA from each of the recombinant plasmids that were previously identified as MLO-specific to verify the specificity of the cloned fragments and to determine whether the cloned fragments were from SAY-MLO chromosomal or extrachromosomal DNA. Two recombinant plasmids, containing 1.9 and 4.1 kb inserts, hybridized only with chromosomal DNA from SAY-infected hosts. The recombinant plasmids containing these fragments were designated pAYC3 and pAYC4, respectively.

Preparation of SAY-MLO chromosomal probes. Plasmid DNAs of pAYC3 and pAYC4 were purified on CsCl/EtBr gradients. Plasmid DNA was digested with EcoRI and electrophoresed in 1% (w/v) agarose gels (SeaKem GTG, FMC Bioproducts) using 1 × Tris/acetate buffer. Cloned fragments of SAY-MLO DNA were electroeluted from excised gel pieces using an Elutrap chamber (Schleicher and Schuell). Gel-purified insert DNAs from pAYC3 and pAYC4 were labelled with [32P]dATP and used to probe Southern blots of DNA from the MLO-infected and healthy plants described above.

Southern blot hybridizations. Sample DNAs were treated with RNase A, extracted with phenol and chloroform, ethanol-precipitated, and digested with EcoRI. Approximately 0.8 to 1.0 µg of digested DNA was electrophoresed in 1% agarose gels using 1 × TBE, transferred onto nylon membranes, and probed with the [32P]-labelled SAY chromosomal fragments from either pAYC3 or pAYC4. Post-hybridization washes were as previously described. Hybridized blots were exposed to X-ray film at −70 °C, using enhancer screens (Lighting Plus).
Results and Discussion

Cloning MLO-specific chromosomal fragments

Approximately 1200 transformants were screened by differential hybridization. Southern blot hybridization verified that two of the recombinant plasmids contained MLO-specific fragments of the SAY chromosome. These were designated pAYC3 and pAYC4, and they contained cloned fragments that were 1.9 and 4.1 kb in size, respectively (Fig. 1a, b). Hybridization analysis showed that the two cloned fragments did not cross-hybridize at moderate stringency. No hybridization occurred between the cloned MLO fragments and DNA from healthy celery, lettuce, maize, periwinkle, sesame, Veitchia palm, or M. severini leafhoppers (Figs 1, 2, 3, and data not shown).

Hybridization between SAY-MLO probes and other virescence MLOs

In Southern blot analyses, the two SAY chromosomal probes hybridized with DNA from some but not all of the virescence MLOs (Table 1, Fig. 2). MLOs hybridizing with the SAY probes comprise a geographically diverse group that cause virescence and phyllody in a wide variety of plant hosts. Despite the common features of virescence and phyllody, symptoms produced by the members of this group can vary considerably and are often quite distinct from one another. This group of genetically related (SAY-related) virescence MLOs include MLOs from the United States (DAY, TLAY, EAY, WAY, OAY), Europe (AV, DIV, RV) and the Mediterranean region (ST, SP). The CV virescence isolate from Peru hybridized weakly with the pAYC3 insert but not with the pAYC4 insert. It is possible that the concentration of MLO DNAs in this preparation may have been too low to detect by this hybridization assay. However, it is also possible that this MLO isolate has homology with only one of the SAY-MLO fragments.

The DNAs used in this study were from MLO-enriched fractions derived from infected plants. It is not possible to precisely determine the proportion of MLO DNA that is present in each of these preparations. Therefore, it is possible that MLO DNA concentrations in some of the samples were below the detectable limits of this assay. However, SAY and DAY were routinely detected, using pAYC4 as probe, in as little as 0.5 ng of DNA prepared by these techniques (Kuske, 1989). Because 0.8 to 1.0 μg of DNA was used in these Southern blot assays, it is likely that detectable quantities of MLO DNA were present. In addition, low-copy genes, such as the MLO 16S ribosomal RNA gene(s), were readily detected in similar DNA preparations from all of the California MLO isolates that are listed in Table 1 (B. C. Kirkpatrick, unpublished). Problems of detecting low concentrations of MLO DNA can be overcome by amplifying specific MLO sequences using the polymerase chain reaction (Schaff et al., 1990). Following amplification, these sequences could be digested with restriction endonucleases to yield MLO-specific RFLPs.

Genetic differentiation of SAY-related virescence MLOs from decline-type MLOs and plant-pathogenic spiroplasmas

The SAY chromosomal probes did not hybridize with any of the decline MLOs examined (Table 1, Fig. 3), indicating that the decline MLOs are not closely related to the SAY-related virescence MLOs. Lee & Davis (1988) and Lee et al. (1990) also described groups of genetically related virescence MLOs based on dot-blot hybridization assays using cloned DNA fragments from an eastern AY-MLO isolate as probes. Their groupings depended on the specific clone used as a hybridization probe, type of hybridization probe (double-stranded DNA or single-stranded RNA), and the stringency of post-hybridization washes. Generally, the results they obtained using high-stringency conditions were similar to those presented here, i.e. a cluster of virescence MLOs could be distinguished from the decline MLOs. Dot-blot hybridizations using cloned chromosomal DNAs from the western X-MLO (a decline MLO) also support the
Fig. 2. Southern blot hybridization of EcoRI-digested DNA from MLO-infected plants exhibiting symptoms of virescence and/or phyllody. See Table 1 for identity of sample codes. Blot (a) was probed with a 1.9 kb SAY-MLO chromosomal DNA fragment from pAYC3, and blot (b) with a 4.1 kb SAY-MLO chromosomal DNA fragment from pAYC4, as described in the text. Controls on these blots are healthy periwinkle (HP), lettuce (HL), and celery (HC).

Fig. 3. Southern blot hybridization of EcoRI-digested DNA from MLO-infected plants. See Table 1 for identity of sample codes. Blot (a) was probed with a 1.9 kb SAY-MLO chromosomal DNA fragment from pAYC3, and blot (b) with a 4.1 kb SAY-MLO chromosomal DNA fragment from pAYC4. Controls on these blots are healthy maize (HM), periwinkle (HP), sugarcane (HS), and celery (HC).
genetic dissimilarity of virescence- and decline-type MLOs (Kirkpatrick et al., 1987, 1988, 1990). In these studies, most of the cloned X-MLO DNA fragments hybridized with other MLOs that cause decline of woody tree species, but did not hybridize with DNA from western AY-MLO strains. Serological relationships that have been identified between MLOs using polyclonal and monoclonal antisera also generally reflect the genetic differences between virescence- and decline-type MLOs described above (Clark et al., 1983, 1989; Sinha & Benhamou, 1983; Kirkpatrick & Garrot, 1984; Sinha & Chiykowski, 1984; Lin & Chen, 1985; Jiang et al., 1989).

Neither SAY probe hybridized with the two plant-pathogenic Spiroplasma species (Table 1, Fig. 3). This result and hybridization results presented elsewhere clearly show that the plant-pathogenic MLOs are genetically distinct from the plant-pathogenic spiroplasmas (Kirkpatrick et al., 1987; Kuske & Kirkpatrick, 1990; Lee & Davis, 1988; Lee et al., 1990).

### Hybridization analyses of virescence MLOs

The SAY chromosomal probes hybridized with DNA from three symptomatically distinct strains of western AY-MLO (SAY, DAY, TLAY), two AY-MLO field isolates from northern California (AY-HR, AY-DAY), two eastern AY-MLO strains (EAY, WAY) and one European AY-MLO strain (AV). However, neither SAY probe hybridized with an AY-MLO strain from Canada (CAY) that produced symptoms in periwinkle which were very similar to those produced by DAY. Unlike the other AY-MLO strains, CAY did not possess extrachromosomal DNA with homology to SAY plasmids (Kuske et al., 1991). In addition, DNA from plants infected with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>MLO</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Hybridization with SAY probes</th>
<th>Figure no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAY</td>
<td>Severe Western AY-MLO</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAY</td>
<td>Dwarf Western AY-MLO</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLAY</td>
<td>Tulelake Western AY-MLO</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AY-HR</td>
<td>Hanford AY-MLO</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AY-DAY</td>
<td>Davis AY-MLO</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAY</td>
<td>Eastern AY-MLO</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAY</td>
<td>Eastern AY-MLO</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV</td>
<td>European AY-MLO</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAY</td>
<td>Canadian AY-MLO</td>
<td>Alberta</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAY</td>
<td>Oenothera virescence</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Diplotaxis virescence</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV</td>
<td>Rape virescence</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST</td>
<td>Stolbur</td>
<td>Yugoslavia</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Safflower phyllody</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>Catharanthus virescence</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLYTA</td>
<td>Beet LH trans virescence</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SES</td>
<td>Sesame phyllody</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP</td>
<td>Clover proliferation</td>
<td>Alberta</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWB</td>
<td>Potato witches' broom</td>
<td>Alberta</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X-disease</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD(X)</td>
<td>Pear decline</td>
<td>California</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPN</td>
<td>Elm yellows</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LY</td>
<td>Coconut lethal yellows</td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT</td>
<td>Apple proliferation</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WL</td>
<td>Sugarcane white leaf</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>Bermudagrass white leaf</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBS</td>
<td>Maize bushy stunt</td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S. citri | Morocco | - | NS |
S. kunkelii | California | - | NS |

NS, data not shown.

* Faint + with pAYC3, − with pAYC4.
the beet-leafhopper-transmitted virescence agent (BLTVA), potato witches' broom MLO (PWB), clover proliferation (CP) and sesame phyllody (SP) did not hybridize with the SAY chromosomal probes. Whether there are genetic similarities between these non-hybridizing virescence MLOs is unknown. However, these hybridization and serological results suggest there are at least two distinct clusters of MLOs that cause virescence and phyllody symptoms in plants.

Restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) of SAY-related MLOs

RFLP patterns of EcoRI-digested DNAs were used to further study the genetic similarities and differences between the SAY-related virescence MLOs (Fig. 2). Using moderately stringent wash conditions, multiple banding patterns were common in many of the MLO RFLPs, a result that was unexpected considering the general lack of repetitive DNA sequences in most wall-less prokaryotes (Razin 1985). Multiple banding patterns were also observed in an eastern AY-MLO strain (Lee & Davis, 1988). Banding patterns produced by the pAYC3 probe were different from those produced by the pAYC4 probe. Three groups of virescence MLOs were identified that had identical or very similar RFLP patterns: (i) the three strains of western AY-MLO (SAY, DAY and TLAY), (ii) the eastern AY-MLO isolates and virescence agents (EAY, WAY and OAY) and (iii) a European group containing SP, DIV and ST.

RFLP patterns of the three western AY-MLO strains, SAY, DAY and TLAY, were identical when probed with the pAYC3 insert. When probed with the pAYC4 insert, DAY could be distinguished from the other two western AY-MLO strains by the presence of an additional 2.5 kb band (Fig. 2b, 3b). The multiple hybridization pattern of these three strains was repeated at least four times using different DNA preparations, and represents the presence of similar sequences in multiple fragments of the MLO genome, rather than incomplete digestion of the DNA.

The eastern AY-MLO isolate from Wisconsin (WAY) and the Oenothera virescence agent (OAY) had identical RFLP patterns when hybridized with either SAY probe. These RFLP patterns were similar, but not identical, to those of a New Jersey isolate of eastern aster yellows (EAY). The RFLPs of these eastern US virescence MLOs could be readily distinguished from the three western AY-MLO strains. The western AY-MLO strains were originally described as the celery-infecting strains (Severin & Frazier, 1945), to differentiate them from the non-celery-infecting, eastern AY-MLO strains (Chiykowski, 1973). However, celery-infecting isolates are now present in all geographical regions of North America, and strain differentiation based on symptoms and plant host range is now impossible. These RFLPs provide genetic markers for differentiating western from eastern AY-MLO strains.

RFLP patterns of Diplotaxis virescence MLO (DIV) from Spain, safflower phyllody MLO (SP) from Israel, and stolbur (ST) from Yugoslavia were identical, indicating that the MLOs causing these three diseases are genetically similar organisms. DIV produces plant symptoms similar to those produced by the AY-MLO strains; however, the symptoms of SP or ST infection do not resemble DIV infection (Kollar & Seemüller, 1989; E. Seemüller, unpublished data).

DNA hybridization analysis using MLO-specific chromosomal fragments allows related MLOs to be grouped on the basis of the pathogen's genotype, rather than phenotypic characteristics of the MLO-host interaction. DNA hybridization and RFLP analyses can be used to help differentiate the hundreds of plant-pathogenic MLO diseases described to date, and provide a basis for rapid identification of unknown MLO isolates. Hybridization analyses are rapid compared to other biological tests and they provide consistent results. When used in conjunction with plant symptoms, host range, insect vector relationships, and antigenic properties, hybridization analysis will facilitate the development of a more precise scheme for classifying plant-pathogenic MLOs.
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