Altered translational fidelity of a Salmonella typhimurium LT2 mutant resistant to the aminoglycoside antibiotic neamine
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In this paper, we describe the isolation and characterization of a neamine-resistant mutant of Salmonella typhimurium LT2 with altered translational fidelity. The phenomenon was expressed in severe restriction of amber suppressor activity in vivo as well as in decreased misreading of poly(U) RNA in vitro. The mutation conferring resistance to neamine was mapped at 72 min on the Salmonella genetic map, where some of the ribosomal genes have already been mapped. This location indicates that the neamine-resistant phenotype as well as an altered translational fidelity could be a consequence of an alteration of the ribosomal structure.

Introduction

A number of Escherichia coli mutants which are resistant to aminoglycoside antibiotics have been isolated during the last 15 years. Such mutants have an altered ribosomal structure and are of great help in understanding the mode of ribosomal functioning. Ribosomal mutants can be divided into two classes as far as translational fidelity is concerned. The first class consists of mutants showing increased translational fidelity, such as many streptomycin-resistant mutants (having altered ribosomal protein S12) (Gorini, 1974), one neamine-resistant mutant (altered ribosomal protein S17) (Bollen et al., 1975) and one gentamicin-resistant mutant (altered ribosomal protein L6) (Küchberger et al., 1979). These mutants have lower levels of misreading compared to wild-type bacteria and they show restrictive effects on suppressor activity.

Ribosomal ambiguity mutants belong to the second class. Mutants with altered protein S4 (Rosset & Gorini, 1969) or S5 (Piepersberg et al., 1975; Cabezon et al., 1976) have decreased translational fidelity. Also, proteins S4 and S5 can diminish the restrictive action of protein S17 in double mutants (Topisirovic et al., 1977; Matkovic et al., 1980). Recently, E. coli mutants having an altered protein L7/L12, which showed decreased translational fidelity, were isolated (Kirsebom & Isaksen, 1985; Kirsebom et al., 1986). It was also found that an altered form of elongation factor Tu (coded by the tufA gene in a kirromycin-resistant mutant of E. coli) shows a similar behaviour, giving increased misreading as well as suppression of nonsense codons in vivo (Tapio & Isaksen, 1989).

In this paper, we describe the isolation and characterization of a neamine-resistant mutant of Salmonella typhimurium with altered translational fidelity. We were interested in finding out whether this mutant of S. typhimurium LT2 would have similar behaviour to the neamine-resistant mutant of E. coli. This mutant could also help in understanding the location and organization of ribosomal genes in S. typhimurium.

Methods

Bacterial strains. The strains of Salmonella typhimurium LT2 used in this work are described in Table 1.

Media. Nutrient Broth (NB; Difco) was used as a liquid medium to which 1.5% (w/v) agar (NA; Difco) was added when used as a solid medium. The minimal medium (E) of Vogel & Bonner (1956) solidified with 1.5% (w/v) agar was used. Neamine and streptomycin were added to NA medium to the final concentrations as indicated in Table 2.

Genetic experiments. (a) Mutagenesis by EMS was done as described by Dabbs (1978). Samples (0.1 ml) of treated cultures were plated on NA plates containing neamine (100 μg ml⁻¹). Neamine-resistant mutants appeared after 2 d of incubation at 37 °C.

(b) Transductional crosses were done by using phages P22, HT105 and P22int-4. Samples (0.1 ml) of phage stock prepared on donor cells and 0.1 ml of recipient cells were plated on selective medium plates and incubated until transductants appeared.
Table 1. List of bacterial strains used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DB7155</td>
<td>hisC52am leuA414am supE20</td>
<td>D. Botstein, MIT, Cambridge, Mass, USA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DB7155str</td>
<td>hisC52am leuA414am supE20, rpsL201</td>
<td>rpsL201 is transduced from SU453 into DB7155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBN100-5</td>
<td>hisC52am leuA414am supE20, neaA</td>
<td>This paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVA33</td>
<td>hisC52am leuA414am supE20, aroE36 rpsE</td>
<td>aroE and rpsE mutations are transduced from TY78 into DB7155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBAS2</td>
<td>Transductants from transduction</td>
<td>This paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBAR1</td>
<td>Transductants from transduction</td>
<td>This paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SU453</td>
<td>hisF1000 trpC2 metA22 rpsL201</td>
<td>SGSC*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TY78</td>
<td>aroE36 rpsE</td>
<td>J. Davies, University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA1519</td>
<td>proAB47 argD454</td>
<td>SGSC*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA1749</td>
<td>rifA-30 flo-66 rpsL metA22 trpC2</td>
<td>SGSC*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JL616</td>
<td>xylR1</td>
<td>J. L. Ingraham, University of California, Davis, USA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT1</td>
<td>trp167/F42</td>
<td>Laboratory collection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Salmonella Genetic Stock Centre by courtesy of Dr K. E. Sanderson, University of Calgary, Alberta, Canada.

Table 2. In vivo characteristics of mutant DBN100-5 and transductants DBAS2 and DBAR1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Relevant genotype*</th>
<th>Minimal medium†</th>
<th>Rich medium‡</th>
<th>Support of P22 phage growth§</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>nea10</td>
<td>nea20</td>
<td>nea50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DB7155</td>
<td>supE</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DB7155str</td>
<td>supE rpsL</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBN100-5</td>
<td>supE neaA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBAS2</td>
<td>supE</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBAR1</td>
<td>supE neaA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For other markers see the list of strains (Table 1).
† +, growth after 24 h at 37 °C.
‡ nea10 to nea100 and str100 and str500 indicate the concentrations of neamine and streptomycin, respectively, used in the test (μg ml⁻¹); ±, growth after 72 h at 37 °C.
§ +, efficiency of plating close to 1·0; −, efficiency of plating less than 10⁻². Mutation 8'-amN26 was used as a negative control; it cannot be suppressed by supE.

(c) Restriction assays were performed by spotting various amber mutants of phage P22 (P22am phages) (kindly provided by D. Botstein) at concentrations ranging from 10⁸ to 10¹ phages ml⁻¹ onto a lawn of bacteria spread on NA plates in 2·5 ml 0·7% (w/v) top agar.

Biochemical experiments. (a) β-Galactosidase activity was assayed according to Miller (1972).
(b) Misreading assays were done essentially as described by Pestka et al. (1975), using soluble fraction II prepared from neamine-sensitive E. coli as a source of elongation factors (Wood & Berg, 1961) and total tRNA charged with [¹⁴C]phenylalanine or [¹⁴C]isoleucine (Ravel & Shorey, 1971). Poly(U) RNA was used to test the misreading of ribosomes in vitro.

Neamine was kindly provided by Dr G. B. Whitfield of the Upjohn Company (Kalamazoo, Michigan, USA).

Results

Resistance of mutant DBN100-5 to antibiotics

We isolated a neamine-resistant mutant DBN100-5 of S. typhimurium LT2 after EMS-induced mutagenesis of strain DB7155 and selection for ability to grow in the presence of neamine (100 μg ml⁻¹). The mutant was compared with the parental strain DB7155 and a streptomycin-resistant derivative (DB7155str) for resistance to neamine and streptomycin. It was able to grow repeatedly and efficiently at concentrations of up to
50 µg neamine ml\(^{-1}\), whereas its growth was slower at 100 µg neamine ml\(^{-1}\). Besides resistance to neamine, mutant DBN100-5 showed resistance to streptomycin, but the level of its resistance to streptomycin was much lower than that of DB7155str (Table 2).

Restriction of suppressor activity in vivo

Restriction of informational suppressor in vivo was tested using amber mutants of phage P22 (Winston et al., 1979). The growth of these mutants depends on the activity of an amber suppressor (supE) present in the recipient bacteria. If the suppressor activity is abolished, the phage will not be able to grow. Using this test, we compared the restriction pattern of the mutant DBN100-5 with that of the strain DB7155str (carries the rpsL mutation which imposes a restriction on the supE activity). We found that the restriction patterns were exactly the same in these two mutants. In addition, both mutants DBN100-5 and DB7155str grew more slowly on minimal medium compared with the parental strain DB7155, indicating that suppression of hisAm and leuAm mutations is not fully efficient (Table 2). These results suggested that mutant DBN100-5 carries a mutation responsible for the restriction of supE activity.

In order to measure the in vivo level of restriction of supE activity, we introduced either F'\textit{lac} or F'\textit{lacam} episomes into strains DB7155, DB7155str and DBN100-5, and assayed for \(\beta\)-galactosidase. As shown in Table 3, the level of \(\beta\)-galactosidase synthesis was lowest in the mutant DBN100-5 carrying F'\textit{lacam}. This indicates that the mutation conferring the resistance to neamine could be responsible for the severe restriction of supE activity in this mutant.

Keeping in mind that both the resistance to aminoglycoside neamine and the restriction of informational suppressor in \textit{E. coli} are connected with an altered ribosomal structure, at least in the mutants analysed so far (Bollen et al., 1975), all characteristics of \textit{S. typhimurium} mutant DBN100-5 strongly suggested that it might be a ribosomal mutant also.

Mapping of the \textit{neaA} mutation

Since most of the genes coding for the ribosomal proteins are located at 72 min of the \textit{E. coli} genetic map (Bachmann & Low, 1980) and some of the ribosomal protein genes have the same location on the \textit{S. typhimurium} LT2 genetic map (Sanderson & Roth, 1983), we investigated whether the neamine-resistance of the mutant DBN100-5 maps in the same region. Phage P22int-4, grown on DBN100-5, was used to transduce the neamine-resistance character into different recipients. After analysis of the resulting transductants we conclude that a gene conferring resistance to neamine in mutant DBN100-5, designated \textit{neaA}1, maps at 72 min on the genetic map (Fig. 1). Mapping data also demonstrated that \textit{neaA}1 and \textit{rpsL} mutations had different locations at the 72 min of the \textit{Salmonella} chromosome.

In order to investigate any relationship between the mapped \textit{neaA}1 mutation and an altered translational fidelity of mutant DBN100-5, we constructed strain DBA33. This strain is an \textit{aroE}–\textit{spec} derivative of strain DB7155 obtained by cotransduction of \textit{aroE}– and \textit{spec} from strain TY78 into DB7155 selecting for spectinomycin-resistant transductants. It had the same characteristics as DB7155 with respect to sensitivity to neamine and translational fidelity.

We transduced strain DBA33 by P22.HI105 phages grown on DBN100-5, selecting for \textit{aroE}+ transductants. Of 292 tested transductants, nine (class I) were sensitive to neamine and did not exhibit a restrictive phenotype, while seven transductants (class II) were resistant to neamine and had a restrictive phenotype (Fig. 2). Transductants DBAS2 and DBAR1 were chosen as representatives of class I and class II, respectively (Table 2). The \(\beta\)-galactosidase assay confirmed these findings since transductant DBAS2, carrying the F'\textit{lac} or F'\textit{lacam} episomes, had almost the same \(\beta\)-galactosidase activities as in the case of the parental strain DB7155, whereas \(\beta\)-galactosidase activity in transductant DBAR1 with the same episomes was very like that of the mutant DBN100-5 (Table 3). These results demonstrate that neamine resistance and restrictive phenotype could be cotransferred, suggesting that the \textit{neaA}1 mutation could be responsible for the behaviour of the mutant DBN100-5.

In addition to neamine resistance, transductants which inherited the \textit{neaA}1 mutation were also partially resistant to streptomycin. The level of their resistance to streptomycin was the same as that of the mutant DBN100-5 (Table 2).

---

**Table 3. Restriction of supE activity measured by \(\beta\)-galactosidase assay**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Relevant genotype*</th>
<th>(\beta)-gal units in strain carrying†</th>
<th>F'\textit{lac}</th>
<th>F'\textit{lacam}</th>
<th>F'\textit{lac}+F'\textit{lacam}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DB7155</td>
<td>\textit{supE}</td>
<td>8,348</td>
<td>1,785</td>
<td>4,68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DB7155str</td>
<td>\textit{supE} rpsL</td>
<td>4,283</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>18,79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBN100-5</td>
<td>\textit{supE} \textit{neaA}1</td>
<td>2,669</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>70,24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBAS2</td>
<td>\textit{supE}</td>
<td>5,851</td>
<td>1,657</td>
<td>3,54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBAR1</td>
<td>\textit{supE} \textit{neaA}1</td>
<td>2,503</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>41,72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For other markers see the list of strains.
†F'\textit{lac} and F'\textit{lacam} episomes are introduced by conjugation of each strain with LT1 and SA1749, respectively, selecting for the ability of conjugants to grow on minimal medium supplemented with lactose, histidine and leucine. The \(\beta\)-galactosidase was assayed and \(\beta\)-gal units were calculated according to Miller (1972).
Taking all obtained data into consideration, we were interested in seeing whether ribosomes from the neamine-resistant *S. typhimurium* mutant DBN100-5 give an altered *in vitro* misreading compared to wild-type ribosomes. Therefore, we isolated high-salt-washed 70S ribosomes from the parental strain DB7155, mutant DBN100-5 and transductants DBAS2 and DBAR1 and used poly(U) RNA to test their ability to give *in vitro* misreading. As can be seen in Fig. 3, 70S ribosomes from the neamine-sensitive transductant DBAS2 give a misreading level similar to that of 70S ribosomes isolated from the neamine-sensitive parental strain DB7155. However, the misreading levels of 70S ribosomes from the transductant DBAR1 and the neamine-resistant mutant DBN100-5 were very similar.

**Discussion**

Since *Salmonella* and *E. coli* belong to the same family of bacteria, we were interested to see whether resistance of
Salmonella to neamine would have characteristics similar to that found in E. coli. It is already known that resistance to some aminoglycosides differs between these two bacteria. For instance, Salmonella is more tolerant towards spectinomycin than E. coli (Yamada & Davis, 1971). Neamine-resistant mutants of E. coli have been isolated and characterized and neamine resistance shown to be the consequence of alteration of ribosomal protein S17 (Bollen et al., 1975) or both S5 and S12 (DeWilde et al., 1975). The genes coding for all these proteins are located in the 72 min region of the E. coli genetic map where most of ribosomal genes are mapped (Bachmann & Low, 1980).

Translational analysis of the neamine-resistant mutant DBN100-5 of S. typhimurium revealed that the mutation conferring resistance was located in the 72 min region of the Salmonella genetic map where mutations determining resistance to streptomycin and spectinomycin have already been mapped (Sanderson & Roth, 1983). This position for neaA suggests that DBN100-5 is a ribosomal mutant.

Mutant DBN100-5 showed severe restriction of suppressor activity in vivo, since it did not support the growth of phage P22 amber mutants and showed a lower level of β-galactosidase synthesis in spite of the fact that it carries an active supE gene. This should normally suppress amber mutations present in phage P22 mutants or the lacZ gene. Similar results concerning restriction of suppressor activity have been obtained with a neaA mutant of E. coli (Topisirovic et al., 1977).

The mutant DBN100-5 showed decreased misreading in vitro which is in agreement with the results obtained in vivo. In that respect, mutant DBN100-5 was similar to the neaA mutant of E. coli having an altered ribosomal protein S17, which gives altered translational fidelity. Unfortunately, two-dimensional PAGE analysis of ribosomal proteins isolated from both subunits of either mutant DBN100-5 and neamine-resistant transductant DBAR1 did not show any difference in comparison with the parental, neamine-sensitive strain DB7155 (data not shown). However, since high-salt-washed ribosomes isolated from a resistant mutant or transductant were used for the in vitro misreading assay, and other components came from a sensitive strain, we could infer that mutant DBN100-5 is a ribosomal one.
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