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Deletion derivatives and recombinants of the plasmid pSK3*, which is a cointegrate of pSK1* and pSK2* in *Streptomyces kasugaensis*, were constructed and analysed for their ability to transfer and 'pock' on strains carrying pSK1* or pSK2*. Various deletions in the pSK1* and/or pSK2* regions of pSK3* were grouped into nine classes on the basis of their pock-forming ability and pock resistance. Analysis of these deletions and insertions provided tentative locations of DNA regions for two pock-resistance determinants (Por1 and Por2), two pock-forming determinants (POC1 and Poc2) consisting of plasmid transfer and spread determinants (Tra/Spr), and two replication determinants (Rep1 and Rep2) corresponding to the pSK1* and pSK2* regions of pSK3*. In particular, the Por2 function in the pSK2* region was determined to be located in a 1.35 kb segment.

INTRODUCTION

Several conjugative *Streptomyces* plasmids cause inhibition of growth or differentiation when colonies or patches of a plasmid-containing culture are grown on a lawn of a plasmid-free strain on solid media. This inhibition of the plasmid-free strain, or 'pocking', appears to be associated with plasmid transfer, and has been suggested to be analogous to the 'lethal zygosis' phenomenon shown by some *Escherichia coli* plasmids (Bibb et al., 1977). In some *Streptomyces* plasmids the ability to cause easily visible pocks arises only in spontaneous plasmid variants, as in the isolation of SCP2* mutants of the *Streptomyces coelicolor* plasmid SCP2 (Bibb et al., 1977; Bibb & Hopwood, 1981). In addition to carrying the functions for transfer and spread, these plasmids also determined resistance to their own pock-forming activity. These functions have been described and tentatively localized on the restriction maps for pIJ101 in *Streptomyces lividans* (Kieser et al., 1982) and for SCP2* in *S. coelicolor A3(2)* (Lydiate et al., 1985). The mechanisms of pock formation and resistance have not yet been elucidated.

*Streptomyces kasugaensis* MB273 carries three plasmids, pSK1, pSK2 and pSK3. 'Pocking' mutants of all three have been isolated, pSK1*, pSK2* and pSK3* (Akagawa et al., 1984, 1987). pSK3* has been shown to be a cointegrate of pSK1* and pSK2* (Akagawa et al., 1987). Here we describe the construction and analysis of deletion derivatives of pSK3* which showed changes in functions concerning pock formation and/or pock resistance to strains harbouring pSK1* and pSK2*, allowing localization of these functions. These deletions are compared to recombinant plasmids constructed between pSK1* and pSK2*.

METHODS

**Bacterial strains and media.** The origins of the *Streptomyces kasugaensis* MB273 derivatives used are described in the accompanying paper (Akagawa et al., 1987): A1R6 (prototroph), R6N1 (Mal-) and R6N2 (His-) (plasmid-free strains), R6N1(pSK1*), R6N2(pSK1*), R6N1(pSK2*), R6N2(pSK2*) and R6N2(pSK3*). Strains of R6N1
and R6N2 carrying a pSK3* derivative were isolated from pocks produced by strains carrying the plasmid on lawn cultures of strains R6N1 and R6N2. GMY and MM agar media, GIPYG medium for submerged cultivation, and R3 medium for protoplast regeneration, were described previously (Akagawa et al., 1984). MM was supplemented, if necessary, with histidine (50 µg ml⁻¹) or glucose (1%, w/v) instead of maltose.

**Plasmid DNA preparation, restriction endonuclease digestion, DNA ligation and agarose gel electrophoresis.** These methods were as described previously (Akagawa et al., 1984, 1987).

**Pock formation and detection of plasmids of strains and colonies isolated from pocks.** The methods were those described previously (Akagawa et al., 1984).

**Preparation and regeneration of protoplasts and transformation.** The methods for preparation and regeneration of S. kasugaensis protoplasts and detection of transformants were those described previously (Akagawa et al., 1984). Transformation was done as described by Akagawa et al. (1987).

**Determination of plasmid transfer in pock formation.** Plasmid transfer from strains containing a donor plasmid to strains carrying pSK1*, pSK2* or neither plasmid coincident with pock formation was detected as follows. Strain R6N2 (His⁻) carrying a donor plasmid was crossed with strain R6N1 (Mal⁺) carrying pSK1*, pSK2* or neither plasmid to induce pocks on the lawn of the latter strains. Spores/mycelium in a pock area were picked with toothpicks and suspended in a small volume of water. These suspensions were spread on GMY plates and incubated. When well grown, colonies were replica plated on diagnostic plates. Almost all the colonies (200–2000 per pock) had the Mal⁻ phenotype identical with that of the lawn culture. Some of these Mal⁻ colonies were examined for plasmid content by the methods described previously (Akagawa et al., 1984).

**RESULTS**

**Construction of pock-forming deletion derivatives of pSK3* and recombinant plasmids between pSK1* and pSK2**

In order to map pock-forming and pock-resistance functions of the pSK3* plasmid, various pock-forming deletion derivatives were constructed. The deletion derivative pSK3*-D3 (Akagawa et al., 1987) was digested completely or partially with BamHI, BclI, BglII, EcoRI, KpnI or SacI, and ligated. The following seven deletion derivatives were obtained after self-ligation of the above digests: D311 (14.8 kb) from the BclI digest; D312 (14.2 kb) and D313 (17.7 kb) from the BglII digest; D314 (17.0 kb) and D315 (12.3 kb) from the KpnI digest; D316 (16.2 kb) and D317 (13.5 kb) from the SacI digest. Two deletion derivatives [D3141 (10.8 kb) and D3171 (11.3 kb)] were constructed by self-ligation of the BclI digest of D314 and the SacI digest of D317, respectively. Their restriction endonuclease cleavage maps are shown in Fig. 1. Six other pock-forming derivatives of pSK3* with spontaneous deletions were obtained in self-ligations similar to those described above: D321 (11.7 kb) from a BamHI digest, D322 (12.9 kb), D323 (15.3 kb) and D324 (14.0 kb) from an EcoRI digest, D325 (15.6 kb) from a KpnI digest, and D326 (11.8 kb) from a SacI digest. As shown in Fig. 1, these pSK3* derivatives lacked DNA segments not readily explained by the action of the restriction endonucleases used.

Recombinant plasmids were constructed by in vitro recombination between pSK1* and pSK2*. As shown in Fig. 1, pSK1* cut with BclI was inserted into two BclI sites of pSK2* (corresponding to sites 16 or 23 in the pSK2* part of pSK3*) to give recombinant plasmids pSK1-2R1* and pSK1-2R2*, respectively. pSK1* cut with BclI was also inserted into two BamHI sites of pSK2* (corresponding to sites 24 or 25 in the pSK2* part of pSK3*) with deletion of the small intervening fragment from pSK2* to give the recombinant pSK1-2R3*. The integration site and orientation of pSK1* in these three recombinant plasmids, pSK1-2R1*, pSK1-2R2* and pSK1-2R3*, was determined by single digestion with BclI, BamHI, BglII and EcoRI. The pSK1* insertion had the same orientation in all three constructions.

**Changes in pock-forming ability and pock resistance correlating with deletions of pSK3* and insertion of pSK1* into pSK2**

The pSK3* derivatives and the recombinant plasmids between pSK1* and pSK2* were characterized for their pock-forming ability and resistance to pock forming by pSK1* and pSK2*, as shown in Fig. 1. Based on their pock-forming ability and pock resistance, the pSK3* deletion derivatives, and strains bearing them, were grouped into nine classes.
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Fig. 1. Physical structure of deletion derivatives of pSK3* and recombinant plasmids of pSK1* and pSK2*, their pock-forming ability, their resistance to pock formation by pSK1* and pSK2*, and tentative locations of plasmid functions on pSK3*.

- DNA region maintained; — DNA region possibly maintained; †, insertion site of pSK1*; —, Region containing the function; ----, segment whose deletion resulted in the loss of the function. ††, insertion site of pSK1* resulting in loss of the function.

Pocl and Poc2, the functions for pock formation of the pSK1* and pSK2* parts of pSK3*, respectively; Porl and Por2, the functions for pock resistance of the pSK1* and pSK2* parts of pSK3*, respectively; Repl and Rep2, the functions for plasmid replication of the pSK1* and pSK2* parts of pSK3*, respectively; Sprl, the function for plasmid spread of the pSK1* part of pSK3*; Tra1 and Tra2, the functions for plasmid transfer of the pSK1* and pSK2* parts of pSK3*, respectively. Ori1 and Ori2 are the regions necessary for plasmid replication and stability of pSK1 and pSK2, respectively, determined by Nabeshima et al. (1984).

† +, Induced regular-size pocks; —, induced no pocks; ±, induced small pocks.

†† R, resistance to pock formation by pSK1* or pSK2* (strains containing pSK1* or pSK2* induced no pock on the lawns of the strain carrying the deletion derivative); S, sensitive to pock formation by pSK2* or pSK1* (strains containing pSK2* or pSK1* induced regular pocks on lawns of the strain carrying the deletion derivative); t, weak resistance to pock formation by pSK2* (strains containing pSK2* induced small pocks on lawns of the strain carrying the deletion derivative).

pSK3*-D3 (class I) was indistinguishable from pSK3* in terms of pock formation and pock resistance, indicating that the BglII1-BglII(3) region is not involved in any known function of pSK3*.

Class II strains, carrying D315, D322 and D325, which all lack the EcoRI(20)-KpnI(26) segment of the pSK2* part of pSK3*, were deficient in pock formation on lawns carrying pSK1* and were sensitive to pock formation by pSK2*. They were thus indistinguishable from pSK1* in their pock-forming ability and pock resistance.

Class III strains, carrying D311, D314, D3141 and D326, which have deletions in the pSK2* part of pSK3* but retain part or all of the BamHI(25)-KpnI(26) region, showed the same pock-
forming ability as that of class II strains. However, they were resistant to pock formation by pSK2*, whereas class II strains were sensitive.

The one class IV strain, carrying D324, which had a deletion in the pSK2* part of pSK3* but retained the whole Bg/II(9)–EcoRI(20) region, showed the same pock-forming ability as class II strains but differed from them in its weak pock resistance to pSK2*. pSK2*-containing strains did not induce regular pocks on lawns of the class IV strain but showed small pocks.

Strains containing class V (D312), VI (D316) and VII (D313) plasmids, which have deletions in the pSK1* part of pSK3*, retained pSK2* functions (i.e. pock-forming ability against the pSK1*-containing strain and pock resistance to the pSK2*-containing strain). However, they differed from each other in their pSK1* functions. Class V neither induced pocks against the pSK2*-containing strain nor resisted pock formation by the pSK1* strain. Class VI differed from class V in showing resistance to pocking by pSK1*. Class VII differed from classes V and VI in showing small pocks against the pSK2*-containing strain.

Strains containing class VIII (D317, D321 and D323) and IX (D3171) plasmids, which have deletions in both the pSK1* and the pSK2* parts of pSK3*, showed pock-forming activity and pock resistance different from those of strains containing the other classes of pSK3* derivatives. Obvious changes were observed in pock-forming activity and pock resistance due to the pSK2* part of pSK3* and pock-forming activity due to the pSK1* part of pSK3*. Class VIII plasmids were similar to class VII but different in pSK2* functions for pock formation and pock resistance (i.e. these plasmids did not form pocks against the pSK1*-containing strain but showed small pocks against the pSK2*-containing strain). Small pocks induced by a D317-containing strain on a lawn of the strain carrying pSK2* and by a pSK2*-containing strain on the lawn of a strain carrying D317 are shown in Fig. 2. Class IX was unique in induction of small pocks on lawns of a plasmid-free strain.
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Recombination between pSK1* and pSK2*, in which pSK1* was inserted into pSK2*, resulted in the loss of the pSK2* function for pock formation when the insertion was in the BclI(23)(pSK1-2R2*) or BamHI(24/25)(pSK1-2R3*) sites (Fig. 1). Insertion in the BclI(16) site (pSK1-2R1*) showed no effect.

Plasmid transfer coincident with pock formation

To determine whether plasmid transfer was associated with pock formation by pSK3* derivatives, R6N2 (His+) donor strains carrying deletion derivatives (D322, D314, D312, D316, D313, D317, D323 or D3171) were crossed with R6N1 (Mal-) strains carrying pSK1*, pSK2* or neither plasmid to induce pocks on lawns of the latter strains. Transfer of pSK2* in small pocks produced by a pSK2*-containing R6N2 (His+) strain on lawns of R6N1 (Mal-) strains carrying D317 or D323 (class VIII) was also examined. The Mal- (recipient) colonies isolated from pocks always contained the pSK3* derivative from the donor together with the plasmid carried by the recipient strain. Some examples are given in Fig. 3. Thus it was demonstrated that pock formation by these pSK3* derivatives accompanied plasmid transfer, indicating that they maintain the transfer function (Tra).

Regions involved in changes in pock formation and pock resistance

On the basis of phenotypic changes caused by deletion of pSK3* segments and insertion of pSK1* into pSK2*, we attempted to determine the DNA regions involved in plasmid functions. From the results with pSK1* and pSK2*, it was obvious that the pock-forming ability of pSK3* against strains containing pSK1* and the pock resistance to pSK2* of the pSK3*-containing
strain were due to the pSK2* part of pSK3*. Similarly, the pSK1* part of pSK3* is responsible for the pock-forming ability of pSK3* against strains containing pSK2* and the pock resistance to pSK1* of the pSK3*-containing strain. Therefore, pSK3* has two pock-forming determinant regions (Poc1 and Poc2), two pock-resistance determinant regions (Por1 and Por2) and two replication regions (Rep1 and Rep2) derived from pSK1* and pSK2*, respectively.

In the case of pSK2* functions, it was obvious that strains were fully resistant to pock formation by pSK2* only if they retained some part of the BamHI(25)–KpnI(26) segment. Without this region, class IV, VIII and IX plasmids conferred a weak resistance, but class II conferred no resistance. The former plasmids retained the BglII(15)–EcoRI(20) region, but the class II plasmids had lost at least part of it. Therefore, the BglII(15)–EcoRI(20) segment performs a role in pock resistance although it is not so effective as the BamHI(25)–KpnI(26) segment. According to Nabeshima et al. (1984), the Ori2 region necessary for replication and stability of pSK2 is located in the KpnI(18)–EcoRI(20) segment. Therefore, the contribution of the BglII(15)–EcoRI(20) segment in class IV, VIII and IX plasmids in the partial resistance of these plasmids to pock formation by pSK2* would be due to the incompatibility function of this replication region (Rep2) of pSK2*. The BamHI(25)–KpnI(26) segment can be concluded to contain the region for pock resistance (Por2). On the other hand, the pock-forming ability of pSK3* derivatives to pSK1*-containing strains was lost when these derivatives had deletions in their pSK2* segments (class II, III, IV, VIII and IX plasmids). The region of deletion causing this loss of function extended from the right side of the Rep2 region (EcoRI(20)) to BglII(1), indicating that the pock-forming function of pSK2* (Poc2) is located somewhere in this segment. This result was consistent with those obtained with the insertion of pSK1* into pSK2* at the BclI(23) and BamHI(24/25) sites shown in Fig. 1. These insertions resulted in the loss of the ability of pSK2* to pock on a pSK1*-carrying strain (recombinant plasmids pSK1-2R2* and pSK1-2R3*).

In the case of the pSK1* functions of pSK3*, only the class V plasmid (D312)-containing strain was sensitive to pock formation by pSK1*. This plasmid had a deletion covering the BglII(9)–BglII(15) fragment. However, strains carrying the class VI (D316) or IX (D3171) plasmids which retained the SacI(11)–BglII(15) segment were resistant to pock formation by pSK1*. Therefore, it was concluded that the SacI(11)–BglII(15) fragment contained the Por1 region conferring pock resistance. Class V (D312), VI (D316) and IX (D3171) plasmids which lack the BglII(9)–SacI(11) fragment of pSK3* did not induce pocks on lawns of strains carrying pSK2*, while class VII and VIII plasmids which retained this region induced small pocks. Therefore, this region was assumed to be involved in the pock-forming determinant (Poc1). The small pock formation by class VII and VIII plasmids was assumed to be caused by the incompatibility function of Rep2 of pSK2*, since these plasmids retained the Rep2 region (and the ability to pock normally against the plasmid-free strain) and lacked the BglII(1)–SacI(8) fragment. If they had Rep1, they should have been released from the incompatibility effect of Rep2 and would have formed regular pocks against the strain containing pSK2*. Indeed, the strain carrying D324 (class IV), which lacked the BglII(1)–KpnI(6) fragment, induced regular pocks on a lawn of a strain carrying pSK2*. However, a strain carrying a D324 derivative with an insertion at the BglII(9) site involving in Rep1 as described later, induced small pocks on a lawn of a strain carrying pSK2* and regular pocks on a lawn of a plasmid-free strain (data not shown). This implies that the KpnI(6)–SacI(8) region should be involved in Rep1. Furthermore, the class V plasmid D312 was also suggested to be deficient in Rep1 besides Por1, since if it maintained a fully functional Rep1, pSK1* should not induce regular pocks but small ones because of the incompatibility effect. Therefore, the SacI(8)–BglII(9) fragment in addition to the KpnI(6)–SacI(8) fragment and part of the BglII(9)–SacI(11) fragment should also be involved in the Rep1 function since the BglII(9)–SacI(11) fragment was involved in the pock-forming determinant (Poc1) of the pSK1* part of pSK3* as mentioned before. From these results it was concluded that Rep1 should be located on the KpnI(6)–SacI(11) fragment of the pSK1* part of pSK3*. On the other hand, the small pock formation caused by pSK2*-carrying strains against strains carrying class IV, VIII and IX plasmids could be explained by the incompatibility effect of Rep2 because these derivatives lacked the Por2 region responsible for
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the full resistance to pSK2*. It was also of interest that the class IX plasmid (D3171) induced small pocks against the plasmid-free strain. Since the plasmid D3171 lacks Poc2 (Tra2), it must be transferred by Tra1 and replicated by Rep2 to induce small pocks, because the transfer of D3171 was also associated with small pock formation as mentioned before. Therefore, Tra1 should be located on the SacI(11)–BglII(15) fragment. Furthermore, the explanation for the small pock formation by the class IX plasmid against plasmid-free strains will be that the BglII(9)–SacI(11) fragment, which is absent, is involved in Spr1 (the function for plasmid spread of the pSK1* part of pSK3*), necessary for the regular pock formation by the pSK1* part of pSK3*. Based on these interpretations of results, the tentative locations of pSK3* functions involved in pock formation and pock resistance are summarized in Fig. 1.

DISCUSSION

S. kasugaensis MB273 harbours three species of plasmids: pSK1*, pSK2*, and their cointegrate pSK3*. This cointegrate plasmid confers the pock-forming ability of pSK1* and pSK2* and pock resistance against pSK1* and pSK2* (Akagawa et al., 1987). As described in this report, pock-forming deletion derivatives of pSK3* which were constructed by in vitro recombination were analysed to identify DNA regions necessary for replication (Rep), pock formation (Poc) and pock resistance (Por) by assigning the deleted segments corresponding to phenotypic changes in pock formation and pock resistance. Subsequently, locations of two replication regions (Rep1 and Rep2), two pock-forming regions (Pocl and Poc2) consisting of the functions for plasmid transfer and spread (Tra1/Spr1 and Tra2/Spr2), and two pock-resistance regions (Por1 and Por2) corresponding to pSK1* and pSK2* were tentatively assigned (Fig. 1). The surmised location of Rep1 and Rep2, responsible for the incompatibility effect to pSK1* and pSK2*, respectively, was in good agreement with that of Ori1 and Ori2 which were determined as regions necessary for replication and stability of pSK1 and pSK2 by Nabeshima et al. (1984). The plasmid D324, a deletion derivative of pSK3* (class IV), maintains both Rep1 and Rep2 regions thus determined. It was of special interest that both Rep1 and Rep2 were fully operative on the single replicon of the plasmid D324.

The regions for plasmid transfer were not clearly assigned due to the generation of small-pock variants by deletions involving this region. Induction of small pocks by the class IX deletion derivative of pSK3* (D3171) against a plasmid-free strain seemed to be due to a weak transfer activity of the plasmid since their formation could not be explained by the incompatibility effect alone. This could be explained by the hypothesis of 'spread' of plasmid copies within the recipient hyphae following an intermycelial transfer from donor to recipient [proposed by Kieser et al. (1982) to explain the properties of pIJ101 derivatives and by Lydiate et al. (1985) for SCP2*]. Attempts to identify any structures associated with mating between the parental hyphae were unsuccessful when pock areas were examined by scanning electron microscopy (data not shown). It seems reasonable to postulate that the function (Spr) for plasmid spread in addition to the function (Tra) for plasmid transfer are necessary for regular pock formation as in the cases of pIJ101 and SCP2*. Therefore, the BglII(9)–SacI(11) fragment adjacent to the Tra1 region (missing in D3171) was obviously necessary for regular pock formation and was regarded as the region for Spr1. The Spr2 region in Poc2 could also be located in some region adjacent to or overlapping Tra2, although no segment was assigned to Spr2 in this study. However, the BclI(23)–BamHI(25) fragment should be included in the Tra2 region since attempts to construct pock-forming pSK2* derivatives with insertion at the BclI(23) site or deletions of the BamHI(24)–BamHI(25) fragment were unsuccessful (Akagawa et al., 1984).

On the other hand, the regions of pSK3* conferring pock resistance on S. kasugaensis carrying pSK3* and its deletion derivatives were regarded as the functions for pock resistance (Por1 against pSK1* and Por2 against pSK2*). The location of Por2 in the pSK2* part of pSK3* was assigned to a short DNA segment (1·35 kb) extending from the KpnI(26) site to the BamHI(2) site, which could encode one or two genes. This result suggests that only one or two genes are involved in the pock resistance determinant of the pSK2* part of pSK3*. It has been reported that pIJ101 (Kieser et al., 1982), SLP1 (Bibb et al., 1981) and SCP2* (Lydiate et al., 1985) have
'lethal-zygosis' resistance (pock resistance) to their own pock-forming activity. However, their locations have not yet been fully assigned. It was not determined in this study whether Por2 was essential for Tra2 function. However, as indicated by plasmids D323 and pSK1-2R3*, the Por2 region appeared to overlap the Tra2 region. The Por1 region also overlaps Tra1 (Fig. 1). This is of special interest in the elucidation of their specific pock-resistance mechanisms to the pock-forming activity of their own plasmid. It seems likely that Por regions are shorter than Tra regions. Therefore, whether or not specific sequences in Tra regions function as Por sequences will be an interesting question to be answered in the future.

Another interesting feature was that Rep, Poc (Tra/Spr) and Por seemed to be arranged in the same order in both the pSK1* and the pSK2* parts of pSK3*. This might suggest some evolutionary relationship between pSK1* and pSK2*.
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