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Electron microscopy and SDS-PAGE have been used to analyse the polypeptide and antigenic composition of the sheath and core components of the axial filament of Treponema pallidum. The sheath contains a major 37 kDa polypeptide which was solubilized by a combination of trypsin and urea treatments with concurrent loss of binding of anti-37 kDa monoclonal antibody. These studies also indicated some antigenic heterogeneity within the axial filament population. Trypsin treatment alone removed a number of antigenic determinants from the axial filament but left others intact, suggesting differences in their location within the sheath structure. A second 31.5 kDa polypeptide may also be associated with the sheath. The axial filament core comprises at least two components, an antigenically dominant 33.5 kDa polypeptide and a second of 34 kDa. The structure of the axial filament in T. pallidum and Treponema phagedenis biotype Reiterii was similar, but antigenic cross-reactivity of sheath and core components was incomplete.

INTRODUCTION

The axial filament of Treponema pallidum is a major antigenic component of the organism recognized early by the humoral immune system in infected animals (Penn et al., 1985). Axial filaments of T. pallidum and the cultivable non-pathogenic treponeme Treponema phagedenis are antigenically cross-reactive and the ready availability of large quantities of T. phagedenis axial filaments has allowed their assessment as antigens in diagnostic tests for syphilis (Strandberg Pedersen et al., 1982). The exact nature of the cross-reacting components on the different axial filaments is unknown. Morphological studies suggested that treponemal axial filaments were structurally complex (Hovind-Hougen, 1972, 1976, 1983), the axial filament core being surrounded by a sheath structure. This worker used various chemical treatments of T. phagedenis axial filaments to separate the core and sheath components although the polypeptides associated with these components and their antigenicity were not assessed. SDS-PAGE analysis of purified T. pallidum and T. phagedenis axial filaments (Bharrier & Allis, 1974; Penn et al., 1985) emphasized their complexity with at least three polypeptides, representing a flagellin, analagous to those found in other bacterial flagella and one or more sheath-associated polypeptides, present in both species. We have tentatively identified a sheath-associated polypeptide of the T. pallidum axial filament (Bailey et al., 1987) and now, by a combination of chemical degradation of T. pallidum and by the use of monoclonal antibodies, we have been able to confirm the differentiation of the polypeptides associated with the core and sheath components and the extent of antigenic cross-reactivity of these structures in T. pallidum and T. phagedenis.

METHODS

Bacteria. T. pallidum was maintained in rabbits and harvested as described previously (Penn & Rhodes, 1982), and was used after storage in extraction medium + 0.1% sodium azide for 48 h at 4 °C. Treponema phagedenis biotype Reiterii (T. phagedenis) was grown at 37 °C in spirolate broth (Becton Dickinson) supplemented with 5% reconstituted serum. The broth was then centrifuged at 10000 g for 10 min and the supernatant filtered through a membrane filter with a 0.45 μm pore size. The filtrate was used for all subsequent experiments.

Abbreviation: hRSS, hyperimmune rabbit syphilitic serum.
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Sarkosyl before sedimenting at 100,000 g for 1 h.

Triton X-100 in 50 mM-Tris/HCl pH 8.2 and 150 μl PBS. Removal of the sheath from the axial filament was prepared essentially as described by Penn bodies was done in the presence of 0.2% (v/v) Triton X-100 and preparations were treated twice with 0.2% (v/v) newborn calf serum (Gibco). Bacteria were sedimented by centrifugation for 5 min at 11,600 g and washed in distilled water. In some experiments treponemes treated with the lower concentration of trypsin were washed with water and then incubated with 100 μl of different concentrations (1–6 M) of urea in water, or with water alone as control, at 37°C for 30 min. The remaining material was then sedimented as described above and washed once in water.

Chemical treatments of T. pallidum cells. To release axial filaments from beneath the treponemal outer membrane (Penn & Rhodes, 1982), 1 × 10⁸ bacteria were sedimented and incubated at 37°C for 30 min with 50 μl 0.2% (v/v) Triton X-100 in 50 mM-Tris/HCl pH 8.2 and 150 μl PBS. Removal of the sheath from the axial filament was attempted by treatment with trypsin and/or urea essentially as described by Hovind-Hougen (1976). Treponemes (1 × 10⁸) were incubated with 50 μl Triton X-100 and either 6 or 50 μl trypsin (250 μg ml⁻¹ in PBS). The volume of the mixture was adjusted to 200 μl with PBS and preparations were incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Bacteria were then sedimented by centrifugation for 5 min at 11,600 g and washed twice in distilled water. In some experiments treponemes treated with the lower concentration of trypsin were washed with water and then incubated with 100 μl of different concentrations (1–6 M) of urea in water, or with water alone as control, at 37°C for 30 min. The remaining material was then sedimented as described above and washed once in water.

SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. Polypeptides were separated on 8–20% gradient polyacrylamide gels using the buffer system of Laemmli (1970) and were visualized by staining with 0.1% (w/v) PAGE Blue 83 (BDH) in 10% (v/v) methanol, 7.5% (v/v) acetic acid in water or by silver staining as described by Oakley et al., (1980); 2 × 10⁷ treponemes were loaded per lane. Molecular masses of polypeptides were calculated as described previously (Penn et al., 1985) using Bio-Rad (Watford) standards. For antigenic analysis polypeptides were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (0.45 μm pore size) as described by Towbin et al. (1979). After blocking of non-specific binding sites on the membrane by incubation for 1 h in 3% (w/v) BSA (Fraction V, Sigma) in PBS, blots were reacted with polyclonal (1/500 dilution) or monoclonal (1/50 dilution) antibodies in the same buffer containing 0.1% (w/v) BSA and 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20 for 2 h at room temperature. After washing in PBS, blots were incubated with horse radish peroxidase conjugated anti-mouse or anti-rabbit antibody (Miles Jeda) (1/2000 dilution) for 2 h. After extensive washing, bound antibody was detected by reaction of blots with 0.03% (w/v) 4-chloro-1-naphthol and 0.13% (v/v) hydrogen peroxide in 50 mM-Tris/HCl, pH 7.4.

Electron microscopy. For morphological studies, treponemal preparations were resuspended in a minimum volume of PBS, transferred to Formvar-coated copper grids by floating the grids on drops of the bacterial suspension for 10 min at room temperature and stained as described below. For immunoelectron microscopy, preparations washed in PBS were resuspended in 1 ml of hybridoma supernatant containing monoclonal antibody (approximate antibody concentration 20 μg ml⁻¹) or 1 ml of polyclonal serum (1/100 dilution in PBS) and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. After two washes in PBS bacteria were then transferred to grids. In some experiments antibody binding was visualized by staining directly after incubation with the primary antibody. Alternatively, non-specific binding sites on the grids were blocked by incubation on drops of 3% (w/v) BSA for 10 min at room temperature, and antibody binding to the treponemes was detected by further incubation on drops of gold conjugated anti-mouse antibody (15 nm particle diameter, Polaron Watford) diluted 1/10 in 20 mM-Tris/HCl pH 8.2 + 0.15 M-NaCl and 1% (w/v) BSA for 45 min at 37°C in a humid atmosphere. Grids were then washed well in PBS, washed briefly in water and stained for 30 s with 3% (w/v) sodium phosphotungstate pH 7.2 in water before viewing in a Philips 201 electron microscope. Alternatively, grids were stained with ammonium molybdate by incubation on drops of 2% (w/v) KI in water for 30 s followed by two drops of water, 10 s each, and 3% (w/v) ammonium molybdate, pH 6.5, in water for 30 s.

Antibody preparation. Anti-T. pallidum monoclonal antibodies were produced in this laboratory as described elsewhere (Bailey et al., 1987). Monoclonal antibodies CC9 and IB8, recognizing different antigenic determinants of the 37 kDa axial filament polypeptide (Penn et al., 1985), and monoclonal antibody JD11, recognizing the 31.5 kDa axial filament polypeptide, were used. Polyclonal mouse anti-T. pallidum antibodies to Triton/trypsin/6 M-urea-treated treponemes were prepared in female Balb/c mice. Mice were initially immunized intraperitoneally with 5 × 10⁷ Triton/trypsin/urea-treated treponemes in Freund’s complete adjuvant and subsequently after one and three weeks with a similar preparation in Freund’s incomplete adjuvant. In addition, mice were immunized with a similar dose of antigen in PBS intravenously at the same times. Blood was collected one week after the final immunization. Polyclonal hyperimmune rabbit syphilitic serum (hRSS) was prepared as described previously (Penn & Rhodes, 1982).

RESULTS

Purification of axial filaments from T. pallidum and T. phagedenis

Silver-stained SDS-PAGE profiles of T. pallidum and T. phagedenis and of axial filaments purified from these bacteria are shown in Fig. 1. T. pallidum axial filament preparations
Structure of *T. pallidum* axial filaments

Fig. 1. Silver-stained SDS-PAGE of whole cells (C) and purified axial filaments (AF) of *T. pallidum* (*T. p*) and *T. phagedenis* (*T. ph*).

contained polypeptides of 37, 34, 33.5 and 31.5 kDa. *T. phagedenis* axial filament preparations contained polypeptides of 38.5, 33.75, 33.25 and 31.75 kDa. Variable quantities of other contaminating polypeptides were also occasionally detected in these preparations.

**Morphology of *T. pallidum* axial filaments and heterogeneity of antibody binding**

Ammonium molybdate staining of Triton-treated *T. pallidum* showed variations in the morphology of different axial filaments. The majority of filaments were sheathed, with a characteristic cross-hatched surface appearance (Fig. 2a). However, a small proportion of filaments in these preparations had lost all or part of their sheath structure. These axial filaments were markedly thinner than the sheathed filaments and differed significantly in surface appearance (Fig. 2a). Examination of similar preparations labelled with IgM monoclonal antibody CC9, specific for the 37 kDa polypeptide, also indicated heterogeneity of antibody binding. A proportion of filaments failed to bind antibody, and reactivity along the length of individual filaments varied (Fig. 2b). Where antibody binding varied along the length of
Fig. 2. Electron micrographs of molybdate-stained *T. pallidum*. (a) Triton treated; (b) Triton-treated and reacted with monoclonal antibody CC9 (the arrows indicate the point at which sheath and antibody binding stops); (c) Triton/trypsin/6 M-urea-treated and reacted with CC9; (d) as (c) but reacted with mouse serum to urea-treated treponemes and then with anti-mouse gold conjugate. Bars, 0.1 μm.
individual filaments, antibody only appeared to bind to the thicker regions, suggesting that the antibody was recognizing the axial filament sheath structure. This observation was supported by the finding that thin, totally unsheathed filaments also failed to bind antibody (Fig. 2b). However a proportion of sheathed filaments also failed to react with CC9, even when antibody was present in saturating amounts, suggesting that antigenic heterogeneity was present even within the population of sheathed axial filaments.

Investigation of the axial filament sheath

Low concentrations of trypsin (7.5 µg ml⁻¹) in the presence of Triton had little effect on the morphology of axial filaments or on the reactivity of CC9 as assessed by immunogold electron microscopy (Fig. 3a, b) although SDS-PAGE analysis suggested that this treatment reduced the
Fig. 4. Effect of trypsin on antibody binding to the 37 kDa polypeptide. Triton-treated treponemes were incubated with buffer control (1), 7.5 μg trypsin ml⁻¹ (2) or 62.5 μg trypsin ml⁻¹ (3). (a) Silver-stained gel; (b) and (c) Western blots reacted with monoclonal antibodies CC9 and IB8, respectively.

apparent molecular mass of a proportion of the 37 kDa band by about 1 kDa (Fig. 4a). Treatment with 62.5 μg trypsin ml⁻¹ completed this modification and produced a second novel polypeptide of approximately 35 kDa molecular mass (Fig. 4a), suggesting that trypsin was cleaving the 37 kDa polypeptide in a step-wise manner. Treatment with the higher concentration of trypsin also completely abrogated reactivity of CC9 with axial filaments by immunogold labelling (Fig. 3c). Neither concentration of trypsin appeared to have any major effect on the other axial filament polypeptides as assessed by SDS-PAGE. The morphology of these axial filaments was, however, markedly altered, with a loss of curvature and with variable effects on the surface morphology (Fig. 3c). Western blot analysis of these trypsin/Triton-treated preparations with the anti-37 kDa polypeptide monoclonal antibodies showed that trypsin was modifying the antigenicity of the axial filament sheath rather than completely removing it. Antibody CC9 did not react with the modified forms of the 37 kDa polypeptide by Western blotting, suggesting that the determinant responsible for antibody binding had been
removed (Fig. 4b). However, antibody IB8 reacted with both the native and modified forms of this polypeptide, suggesting that some of the antigenic determinants of the axial filament sheath were still present (Fig. 4c). In contrast, treatment of treponemes with a low concentration of trypsin followed by treatment with urea appeared to totally remove the axial filament sheath (Fig. 2c). Increasing concentrations of urea effectively solubilized the sheath-associated 37 kDa polypeptide and a second axial-filament-associated polypeptide of 31.5 kDa (Fig. 5a). Complete removal of these polypeptides required both trypsin and urea treatment. Monoclonal antibody CC9 did not react with treponemes treated with a combination of trypsin and 6 m-urea either by Western blotting or immunogold electron microscopy (Figs 5b and 2c). Monoclonal antibody IB8 and polyclonal anti- T. pallidum rabbit serum containing antibody to a range of T. pallidum antigens also failed to locate the 37 kDa polypeptide by Western blotting after this treatment (Fig. 6). Monoclonal antibody JD11 (specific for the 31.5 kDa polypeptide) also failed to react with 6 m-urea-treated treponemes by Western blotting (Fig. 6). Polyclonal mouse serum raised against these organisms failed to react with the 37 kDa or the 31.5 kDa axial filament
polypeptides of *T. pallidum* by Western blotting, but reacted strongly with the 33.5 kDa and weakly with the 34 kDa axial filament polypeptides (Fig. 6). When tested by immunogold electron microscopy, antibody in this serum reacted very weakly (<1% of filaments labelled) with 'native' Triton-treated axial filaments but reacted very strongly (>99% of filaments labelled) with 6 M-urea-treated axial filaments (Fig. 2d).

**Antigenic cross-reactions between *T. pallidum* and *T. phagedenis* axial filaments**

Western blots of *T. phagedenis* with anti-*T. pallidum* rabbit serum are shown in Fig. 7. Anti- *T. pallidum* rabbit antibodies recognized the 38.5, 33.75 and 33.25 kDa polypeptides in *T. phagedenis* but failed to detect the 31.25 kDa polypeptide in this bacterium. Polyclonal anti-*T. pallidum* rabbit serum also labelled the axial filaments of *T. phagedenis* by immunogold electron
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The structure of T. pallidum axial filaments was investigated using electron microscopy. In addition, serum raised against Triton/trypsin/urea-treated T. pallidum failed to label intact T. phagedenis axial filaments but strongly labelled axial filaments from which the sheath had been removed by a similar treatment (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

These observations confirm our suggestion that the 37 kDa antigenic polypeptide of T. pallidum constitutes a component of the axial filament sheath (Bailey et al., 1987) and extend the morphological observations of Hovind-Hougen (1972). The sheath was completely removed from axial filaments by treatment with 6 M-urea as assessed by electron microscopy, and SDS-PAGE and Western blotting showed that this treatment solubilized several treponemal polypeptides including the 37 kDa antigen. In addition, polyclonal mouse serum raised against urea-treated treponemes did not recognize the polypeptide by Western blotting and failed to react with sheathed axial filaments by immunoelectron microscopy. Trypsin at the relatively low concentrations used only partially digested the sheath, removing some antigenic determinants but leaving some others intact. The trypsin-sensitive determinants are presumably those exposed on the axial filament surface and accessible to enzyme activity. The trypsin-resistant determinants may be buried deeper in the sheath structure, possibly at the internal surface adjacent to the core. The observed lack of binding of antibody IB8 to intact axial filaments by immunoelectron microscopy supports this theory. The complete removal of the sheath required both trypsin and urea treatment. The effect of urea was concentration dependent, and trypsin treatment may have removed a proportion of the sheath, the remainder of which could then be solubilized by urea.

The observation that axial filaments released by Triton treatment sometimes completely or partially lacked a sheath suggests that a proportion of the sheath may be lost during manipulation of the treponemes and is in agreement with the earlier observations of Hovind-Hougen (1972). This apparent fragility of the sheath may partially explain the variations in the relative proportions of the 37 kDa polypeptide to other polypeptides in purified axial filament preparations observed in this laboratory (unpublished observations). The modified purification procedure for axial filaments described here involved shearing of the axial filaments in the presence of Triton and repeated washing in Sarkosyl. This procedure was designed to remove contaminating membrane material but it now seems apparent that this process could also contribute to the loss of a proportion of the axial filament sheath. It is also conceivable that these washing procedures could selectively remove different determinants from the sheath, e.g. surface-exposed determinants, contributing to the weak reactivity of the 37 kDa antigen on Western blots (Fig. 6).

Triton/trypsin/urea-treated treponemes also failed to react with a monoclonal antibody against a second polypeptide of 31.5 kDa present in purified axial filament preparations. It was impossible to confirm the location of this antigen by immunogold labelling as this antibody fails to react in this system but the urea solubility of this polypeptide suggests that it too may be associated with the sheath. The presence of a second axial filament sheath antigen may explain the observed failure of a proportion of sheathed axial filaments to react with anti-37 kDa monoclonal antibody by immunogold labelling and raises the possibility that antigenic heterogeneity may occur within the axial filament population. This could be due to variation in the 37 kDa antigen structure or in its accessibility for antibody binding. Alternatively, some axial filaments may have sheets containing other antigens including the 31.5 kDa polypeptide. Development of other immunogold-reactive monoclonal antibodies to the 31.5 kDa polypeptide would allow this hypothesis to be tested by the use of double-labelling experiments with gold probes of different sizes.

The axial filament core structure of T. pallidum appears to contain two polypeptides, a minor 34 kDa polypeptide and a strongly antigenic major 33.5 kDa component. Antisera to Triton/trypsin/urea-treated treponemes contain antibody primarily to the 33.5 kDa polypeptide present in purified axial filament preparations and the strong immunogold reactivity of this serum with Triton/trypsin/urea-treated axial filaments supports the suggestion that this
polypeptide is the major component of the axial filament core. The small amount of immunogold reactivity of this serum with axial filaments released by Triton treatment presumably reflects exposure of some core antigen in partially disrupted axial filaments as described above.

Our data also reinforce the reported partial cross-reactivity of axial filaments of *T. pallidum* and *T. phagedenis* reported by Hardy et al. (1975), since at least three of the four axial filament components in *T. phagedenis* react with polyclonal anti-*T. pallidum* serum. The most consistent reaction was detected against the doublet of core polypeptides of 33-25 and 33-75 kDa in *T. phagedenis* whereas the reaction against the 38-5 kDa polypeptide of *T. phagedenis* was variable and relatively weak. The variation in cross-reactivity of monoclonal antibodies to the 37 kDa polypeptide of *T. pallidum* with *T. phagedenis* (Bailey et al., 1987) confirms the partial nature of the antigenic relatedness of these two polypeptides which may contribute to the weak and variable reaction observed. This cross-reaction does, however, support the suggestion that the 38-5 kDa polypeptide in *T. phagedenis* represents a component of the axial filament sheath in this organism. This is consistent with the observation that a monoclonal antibody to a 39-8 kDa axial-filament-associated polypeptide in *T. phagedenis* Kazan reacted on immunogold electron microscopy with the surface of the axial filament of this organism (Limberger & Charon, 1986). However, in the present study antibody to the 31-5 kDa antigen of *T. pallidum* did not react with *T. phagedenis*, emphasizing once again the partial nature of the antigenic relatedness of the axial filaments in the two species.

The variability in detectable cross-reactivity between different components of the axial filaments of these two bacteria has also contributed to the confusion in the literature as to the identity of their polypeptide components. Interest in the axial filament has been aroused because of the recognition of axial-filament-associated 37 kDa antigen early in infection (Strandberg Pedersen et al., 1982; Penn et al., 1985) and its potential use as a reagent in diagnosis of syphilis. The use of *T. pallidum* axial filament antigen in diagnostic tests rather than that from *T. phagedenis* may produce a more specific and sensitive assay and such antigen could be produced using DNA recombinant technology. Attempts to identify the components of the axial filament components of *T. pallidum*, necessary for the identification of the correct recombinant phenotypes, solely by analysis of antigenic cross-reactivity may be misleading. Lukehart et al. (1982) failed to recognize the 37 kDa axial filament antigen of *T. pallidum* based on interpretation of Western blotting data very similar to that described here and similar conclusions can be inferred from the work of Hinderson et al. (1985), although exact comparison is difficult because of the lack of molecular mass markers on the figures in that study.

These observations emphasize the need for a combined approach of biochemical and morphological analysis in understanding of the antigenic structure of *T. pallidum*.
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