A Non-luminescent Strain of *Xenorhabdus luminescens* (Enterobacteriaceae)
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The first case of a non-luminescent symbiont of a *Heterorhabditis* sp. is reported. The bacterium, isolated from a previously unknown species of *Heterorhabditis*, was identified as a biovar of *Xenorhabdus luminescens*. Ultrastructural study of the bacterium revealed membranous and crystalline inclusions comparable with those of luminescent strains of *X. luminescens*.

---

**INTRODUCTION**

*Heterorhabditis* species, insect pathogenic nematodes, are mutualistically associated with *Xenorhabdus luminescens*, a bioluminescent bacterium (Khan & Brooks, 1977; Poinar *et al*., 1977; Thomas & Poinar, 1983; Akhurst, 1983). The free-living, infective-stage nematode, which does not feed, carries its bacterial symbiont monoxenically within its intestine. After penetrating an insect host the nematode invades the haemocoel where it releases the bacterium. The bacterium proliferates and establishes favourable conditions for nematode reproduction by providing nutrients and inhibiting the growth of many foreign micro-organisms (Poinar & Thomas, 1966; Akhurst, 1982a). After two or more weeks, up to $10^6$ nematodes per g of insect host are produced and emigrate from the cadaver, carrying their bacterial symbiont to new hosts.

*Xenorhabdus* species occur in two forms (Akhurst, 1980). The primary form is carried within the infective-stage nematode and is unstable *in vitro* and occasionally *in vivo*, producing the secondary form. The most important differences between the two forms are the primary form's better ability to provide nutrient for the nematodes (Akhurst, 1980) and its production of antimicrobial agents (Akhurst, 1982a). The two forms also vary in several biochemical and colonial characteristics and, in *X. luminescens*, in the lesser degree of bioluminescence in the secondary form (Akhurst, 1980).

For the first time, a strain of *Heterorhabditis* (of a previously unknown species) was found associated with a non-luminescent bacterium (R. A. Bedding and co-workers, unpublished observations). This bacterium was studied to determine whether it was *X. luminescens* and whether it contained the lattice-like inclusions ('structures en treillis') that Boemare *et al.* (1983) described and suggested were photosomes.

**METHODS**

*Nematodes*. *Heterorhabditis* sp. strain Q614 was isolated from soil taken from Bundaberg, Queensland, Australia, by the method of Bedding & Akhurst (1975). *Heterorhabditis heliothidis* was obtained from Dr W. Wouts, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, Auckland, New Zealand.

*Bacteria*. Bacterial isolates C1 and Q614 were obtained from *H. heliothidis* and *Heterorhabditis* sp. strain Q614, respectively. Primary-form bacteria were isolated by macerating surface-sterilized infective-stage nematodes (Akhurst, 1980). Secondary-form bacteria were isolated from monoxenic *in vitro* cultures established with surface-sterilized infective-stage nematodes and maintained on the medium of Bedding (1984).
Bioluminescence. This was assessed quantitatively by observing, in a darkroom for 10 min, cultures on nutrient agar (NA), YS agar (0.5 g NH4H2PO4, 0.5 g K2HPO4, 0.2 g MgSO4·7H2O, 5 g NaCl, 5 g yeast extract, 12 g agar in 1 l water; Dye, 1968) and X agar (4 g Bacto-peptone, 5 g NaCl, 4 g glucose, 12 g agar in 1 l water; adjusted with 1 M-Tris to pH 7.4; Götz et al., 1981) up to 5 d after inoculation.

Bacteria from 3 d NA cultures were suspended in distilled water and the optical density was measured by light scattering in an EEL nephelometer. Luminescence of the suspensions was assessed in a scintillation counter (Packard Pias model PL/PLD, tritium setting), which took a series of readings during a 1 min period and gave the results as mean c.p.m. ± SD.

Taxonomy. Bacteria from 24 h YS broth (Dye, 1968) cultures grown at 28 °C were used for the Gram stain and were assessed for motility by microscopic examination. All biochemical tests were done at 28 °C. Most tests were done by the same methods as Akhurst (1983). The exceptions follow. Casein hydrolysis was tested on milk agar prepared with equal volumes of skim milk and double-strength NA; the agar was flooded with mercuric chloride reagent (Frazier, 1926) after 7 d to confirm that clearing was due to proteolysis. Lipase activity was assessed on Sierra's (1957) medium with an indicator.

Ultrastructure. Cultures (3 to 4 d) were fixed in situ with 2.5% (w/v) glutaraldehyde in 0.1M cacodylate buffer, pH 7.4, with 0.015% (v/v) CaCl₂ and post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide. Samples were washed in 2% sodium acetate, stained in 2% (w/v) aqueous uranyl acetate and dehydrated by passage through an acetone series before being embedded in Spurr's resin. Thin sections (70 nm thick) were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate (Reynolds, 1963) and examined in a Jeol JEM-100C electron microscope.

RESULTS

Bioluminescence. Bioluminescence was clearly visible in 2–5 d cultures of the primary and secondary forms of the symbiont of H. heliothidis (strain C1) within 10 min and appeared strongest on 3 d NA cultures. The primary-form culture was more strongly bioluminescent than the secondary form. No bioluminescence was evident from cultures of either form of strain Q614 of any age on any of the media.

Measurements made by scintillation counter indicated that both forms of strain C1 were bioluminescent whereas no bioluminescence was evident with either form of strain Q614 (Table 1).

Taxonomy. The symbiont of Heterorhabditis sp. strain Q614 was a Gram-negative, facultatively anaerobic, rod-shaped bacterium with peritrichous flagella. The length of the cells was highly variable, ranging from 3 to 25 μm. The bacterium occurred in two colony forms. The primary form, isolated from infective-stage juveniles, produced convex, mucoid, pigmented colonies and adsorbed bromothymol blue from NBTA [NA with 0.0025% (w/v) bromothymol blue and 0.004% (w/v) triphenyltetrazolium chloride; Akhurst (1980)] and neutral red from MacConkey agar. The secondary form, isolated from monoxenic in vitro cultures established with the nematode and primary-form symbiont, produced flattened, non-mucoid, non-pigmented colonies and adsorbed neither bromothymol blue nor neutral red. Both forms were pathogenic for Galleria larvae when injected intraheemocoeically (>80% of Galleria larvae were killed after injection of 100 bacteria). Only the primary form showed lecithinase and antimicrobial activity and only the secondary form was positive for the test for phenylalanine deaminase and acidification of trehalose and of gluconate (API test only; both forms were negative when tested in peptone water with bromocresol purple as indicator).
Table 1. Bioluminescence in strains of X. luminescens measured in a scintillation counter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Form</th>
<th>OD*</th>
<th>Luminescence (c.p.m. ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q614</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>44 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>49 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>33 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>32 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&gt;10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36900 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>75700 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>309 ± 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Measured by light scattering.

lactate, L-malate and succinate in OY agar. They were both negative in tests for bioluminescence, oxidase, acetoin, indole, methyl red, arginine dihydrolase, lysine and ornithine decarboxylases, starch hydrolysis, H2S production in triple sugar iron agar and tyrosinase, and did not utilize benzoate, malonate, oxalate or tartrate in OY agar.

Both forms produced acid from N-acetylglucosamine, D-fructose, glucose, glycerol, inositol, maltose, mannose, ribose, salicin and D-xylene in the API system (phenol red indicator), although tests for acidification of glycerol, inositol, ribose, salicin and D-xylene in peptone water (bromocresol purple indicator) were negative. Both forms gave negative results for the acidification of melezitose in the API system but gave weak positive results in peptone water/bromocresol purple up to 3 d, after which the pH rose. There was a weak acidification of galactose in the API system within 24-48 h but it was not detectable after 72 h. There was no acidification of adonitol, amygdalin, D-arabinose, L-arabinose, d-arabitol, arbutin, cellobiose, dulcitol, D-fucose, L-fucose, β-gentiobiose, glycogen, inulin, 2-ketogluconate, 5-ketogluconate, lactose, D-lyxose, mannotol, mellibiose, methyl α-D-glucoside, methyl α-D-mannoside, methyl β-xylloside, D-raffinose, rhamnose, saccharose, sorbitol, L-sorbose, starch, D-tagatose, D-turanose, xylitol or L-xylose in the API system; none of these sources was tested in peptone water.

Strain Cl differed from Q614 in being luminescent and in the acidification of amygdalin by both forms and of salicin by the secondary form. The secondary form of Cl produced yellow pigmentation.

Ultrastructure. Membranous inclusions were clearly visible in cells of both forms of strains Q614 and Cl, although they were seen more commonly in cells of the luminescent strain Cl and occupied a greater proportion of the cell volume than in the non-luminescent Q614 strain (Figs 1 and 2). Batteries of membranes, similar to the lattice structures described by Boemare et al. (1983), were seen in both strains but only in a small proportion of the cells and were never very extensive (Fig. 3). Crystalline inclusions were also observed in both forms of strains Q614 and Cl (Figs 1 and 2).

DISCUSSION

With the exception of bioluminescence, the characteristics of the bacterial symbiont of Heterorhabditis sp. strain Q614 were very similar to those of X. luminescens strain Cl and of other X. luminescens isolates characterized by Akhurst (1983), Thomas & Poinar (1983) and Grimont et al. (1984). Grimont et al. (1984) concluded from their DNA-DNA hybridization results that X. luminescens was a heterogeneous species and might represent several species. However, a numerical taxonomic study (Akhurst, 1982b) showed that this species could not be easily subdivided. It is suggested that strain Q614 be considered a non-luminescent biovar of X. luminescens.

Both the luminescent (Cl) and non-luminescent (Q614) strains contained masses of membranous inclusions. The lattice structures reported by Boemare et al. (1983) were seen only rarely in both strains and may be a development from the membranous inclusions, but no intermediate structures were detected. The scarcity of the lattice structures in the luminescent strain Cl indicates that they are unlikely to be essential for bioluminescence.
Fig. 1. Membranous (M) and crystalline (C) inclusions in a thin section of the non-luminescent strain Q614. Bar, 0.5 μm.

Fig. 2. Membranous (M) and crystalline (C) inclusions in a thin section of the luminescent strain Cl. Bar, 0.5 μm.
Non-luminescent *Xenorhabdus luminescens*

Fig. 3. Lattice structure (L) in a thin section of the luminescent strain Cl. Bar, 0.5 μm.

The significance for bioluminescence of the membranous inclusions remains uncertain. Luminescence in other genera of bioluminescent bacteria may be affected at the gene or at the enzyme level (Hastings & Nealson, 1981). Consequently, the occurrence of the membranous inclusions in the non-luminescent strain as well as in the luminescent strain does not necessarily indicate that they are not involved in bioluminescence.

The significance of bioluminescence in *X. fuminescens* has not been determined. The non-luminescent biovar of *X. fuminescens* may prove to be very useful for comparison with luminescent strains in determining the significance of bioluminescence in this species and its interaction with its nematode partners.
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