Ionophores and Cytochalasins Modulate Branching in *Achlya bisexualis*
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Hyphae of *Achlya bisexualis* growing on a medium deficient in amino acids elongated but produced relatively few branches. Branching was enhanced by three classes of compound: cytochalasins A and E, the calcium ionophores A23187 and ionomycin and proton ionophores such as tetrachlorosalicylanilide (TCS), carbonylcyanide *m*-chlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP), and carbonylcyanide *p*-trifluoromethoxyphenylhydrazone (FCCP). We suggest that the effects of cytochalasins reflect the disruption of a microfilament-based system for vesicle transport. Enhancement of branching by ionophores implicates cytoplasmic ions in the control of branch initiation. There may be links between these phenomena and the earlier discovery that a new point of proton entry precedes the emergence of a branch and predicts its locus.

INTRODUCTION

Fungal hyphae grow in two modes, linear extension and branching. The principles of hyphal extension are relatively well understood. Turgor pressure supplies the driving force for surface expansion, and precursors for the synthesis of new cell wall and plasma membrane are assembled in the Golgi apparatus or its equivalent, packaged in vesicles and transported to the hyphal apex under the influence of a polarizing mechanism. Growth is thus confined to the extreme tip, where vesicle fusion and exocytosis of their contents take place (Grove, 1978; Trinci, 1978; Gooday, 1983). By contrast, the branching process is still incompletely understood. Initiation of a branch must involve selection of a locus, diversion of precursor vesicles to the new site, controlled hydrolysis of the existent wall, and ultimately the construction of a new pathway of vesicle transport. A variety of localized disturbances could initiate a branch including an excessive supply of vesicles, disruption of vesicle fusion at the original apex or the disorganization of vesicle transport (Mullins, 1973; Nolan & Bal, 1974; Trinci, 1979). Very little is known about these processes in fungi, or about the signals that regulate them.

However, a novel clue came from recent research on transcellular ion currents. The water mould *Achlya bisexualis*, in common with other mycelial fungi, generates endogenous electric currents such that positive charges enter the apical zone of growing hyphae and exit distally (Kropf *et al.*, 1983, 1984; Gow, 1984). In *Achlya* the current is carried by protons and apparently arises by the segregation of proton-linked porters from proton pumps, the porters being preferentially localized in the apical zone (Kropf *et al.*, 1984; Gow *et al.*, 1984; D. L. Kropf, unpublished results). Branches always arise in a zone of inward current, and a new point of current entry often precedes the emergence of a branch and predicts its locus (Kropf *et al.*, 1983). This apparent connection between proton influx and branching prompted us to examine the effects of proton-conducting ionophores on branching in *Achlya*. We report here a survey of the effects of a variety of ionophores, cytochalasins and other inhibitors.

**Abbreviations:** TCS, tetrachlorosalicylanilide; CCCP, carbonylcyanide *m*-chlorophenylhydrazone; FCCP, carbonylcyanide *p*-trifluoromethoxyphenylhydrazone; DCCD, *N*,*N*-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide; TMB-8, 8-(diethylamino)octyl-3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoate hydrochloride; DES, diethylstilboestrol.
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RESULTS

Table 1 lists all the compounds surveyed and indicates their effect on branching. Fig. 1(a) shows the edge of a radial colony growing on DMA100 agar; Fig. 1(b) shows intense apical branching induced by a diffusion gradient of the proton-conducting uncoupler TCS. Stimulation of branching by TCS was first noted some 5 h after addition of the reagent. Individual hyphae varied in their tolerance to TCS. After 7 h some hyphae were necrotic while others continued to elongate at 1–2 μm min⁻¹ and to branch. However, by 20 h most of the hyphae and branches...
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Table 1. Compounds that enhance branching in A. bisexualis

Inhibitors were applied either by diffusion through solid medium (agar plates) or by addition to liquid medium. The score is a visual estimate of the extent of branching: +, detectable enhancement of branching; ++, moderate branching (Fig. 1c); ++++, profuse branching (Figs 1b, 2b and 2c); 0, no effect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Agar plates*</th>
<th>Liquid medium†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conc (mM)$</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionophores</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCS</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCCP</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCCP</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigericin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A23187</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionomycin</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytochalasins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amino acids</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DES</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCCD</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND, Not done.

* The following compounds did not enhance branching on agar plates: antimycin A (1 and 10 mM in the plug), rotenone (1 mM), HQQNO (100 µM), peliomycin (70 µg ml⁻¹), nocadazole (100 µM), carbendazim (100 µM), thiabendazole (500 µM), colchicine (1 mM), griseofulvin (100 µM) and dibutyryl cAMP (10 mM). The following compounds inhibited growth and also prevented the normal amount of branching: cycloheximide (100 µg ml⁻¹), actinomycin D (50 µg ml⁻¹), sulcotidyl (1 mM) and oligomycin (500 µM).

† The following compounds did not enhance branching in liquid medium: nocadazole (20 µM), trifluoperazine (20 µM), chlorpromazine (30 µM), haloperidol (100 µM), procaine (5 mM), nupercaine (0.2 mM), tetracaine (0.5 mM), 4-ß-phorbol 12-ß-myristate 13-α-acetate (0.5 µg ml⁻¹). TMB-8 (200 µM) inhibited both growth and amino acid induced branching.

‡ Concentration of compound in the central plug.
§ Concentration of compound in the liquid medium.
¶ Mixture of 14 amino acids (see Methods).

Facing the plug had developed large vacuoles and had stopped growing. Similar observations were made with all the proton-conducting ionophores listed in Table 1. Diethylstilbestrol (DES) was also a good inducer of branching; DCCD and nigericin were much less potent (not illustrated). The effects of cytochalasins (Fig. 1c) are discussed below.

TCS and other proton-conducting ionophores enhanced branching on solid media, but we could not reproduce this effect in liquid media. It seems unlikely that branching is related to the inhibitor gradient generated by the plug. We suspect that the diffusion technique presents extending hyphae with a concentration of inhibitor that stimulates branching but does not kill the cell.

The calcium ionophores A23187 and ionomycin induced branching only in liquid medium: Fig. 2(a) shows a control in 0.2% ethanol; Fig. 2(b) shows the effect of A23187. Branching enhancement by these compounds could not be demonstrated on solid medium, possibly because they are extremely insoluble in water. The frequency and pattern of branching induced by A23187 were the same in medium modified to contain only 5 µM added Ca²⁺, plus 100 µM-EGTA (DMA100 contains 0.5 mM free Ca²⁺ ions, the modified medium contains about 50 nM; Caldwell, 1970). This observation suggests that ionophore-induced branching does not depend on extracellular calcium ions. Higher concentrations of EGTA along with A23187 prevented hyphal extension.
Fig. 1. Diffusion gradients of the proton conductor TCS and cytochalasin A stimulate branching of *A. bisexualis* grown on DMA<sub>100</sub> agar. The central plug contained: (a) 2% ethanol (control); (b) 0.1 mM-TCS (branching score ++ +); (c) 0.2 mM-cytochalasin A (branching score + +). Branching was scored (see Table 1) after 20 h. Bar, 500 μm.

Fig. 2. Uniform concentrations of the calcium ionophore A23187 and cytochalasin A stimulate branching of *A. bisexualis*. (a) 2% ethanol (control); (b) 10 μM-A23187 (branching score ++ +); (c) 6 μM-cytochalasin A (branching score ++ ). Branching was scored (see Table 1) after 4 h. Bar, 500 μM.
Cytochalasin A reproducibly enhanced branching on solid medium and sometimes enhanced branching in liquid medium also (Figs 1c and 2c). Cytochalasin E also induced branching, though less well; cytochalasins B and D had no effect on either growth or branching. None of the inhibitors of microtubule assembly enhanced branching.

Hyphae growing in liquid DMA$_{100}$ extended at the normal rate but produced few branches which always emerged at least 150 µm behind the old tip. Addition of amino acids at the concentration used in DMA induced marked apical and lateral branching within 1–2 h (not illustrated). The inhibitor TMB-8 at a concentration (200 µM) that slowed apical extension to half its normal rate, overrode this amino acid stimulation. Various calmodulin antagonists, local anaesthetics and phorbol esters neither stimulated branching nor inhibited it (Table 1, footnote).

**DISCUSSION**

Three classes of compounds enhanced branching of *Achlya bisexualis* growing on an amino acid deficient, defined medium: cytochalasins A and E; the calcium-ionophores A23187 and ionomycin; and the proton-ionophores TCS, CCCP, FCCP and DNP. Branching was also enhanced by DES, a putative inhibitor of the proton-transport ATPase of the plasma membrane, but was either unaffected or suppressed by other inhibitors of metabolism (Table 1). Calcium ionophores and proton ionophores elicited many apical branches as well as lateral ones; cytochalasins induced chiefly lateral branches (Figs 1 and 2). Such pharmacological observations must be interpreted with caution; nevertheless we believe that the results hold valid clues to the signals that control hyphal branching.

Low concentrations of cytochalasins have been reported to induce branching in a number of fungi, usually with concurrent inhibition of the growth of the original tip (Betina et al., 1972; Thomas, 1978; Allen et al., 1980; Grove & Sweigard, 1980). They also have drastic effects on the growth, morphology and locomotion of many animal cells in culture (Wessels et al., 1971), in which it is now generally agreed that they exert their effects by blocking the elongation of actin filaments or by disrupting microfilament networks (Bray, 1979; Lin et al., 1980; Brown & Spudich, 1981; Yahara et al., 1982; Schliwa, 1982). Microfilaments and actin have been reported in several fungi (Howard, 1981; Sikora & Marzluf, 1982; Hoch & Staples, 1983), including *Achlya* (Silver et al., 1983). In *Achlya bisexualis* cytochalasins A and E are the active species; although cytochalasin A exerts several inhibitory effects on *Achlya ambisexualis* (Thomas et al., 1974; Manavathu & Thomas, 1976, 1983), it seems likely that branching reflects the disruption of microfilaments. Actin has been implicated in the polarization of growth and in the localized deposition of new cell wall in *Saccharomyces* (Kilmartin & Adams, 1984; Adams & Pringle, 1984; Novick & Botstein, 1985), and the evidence strongly suggests that the transport of precursor vesicles is mediated by actin filaments. If a similar pathway exists in *Achlya*, its disruption may well divert vesicles to sites other than the apex and thus initiate a lateral branch (Trinci, 1979).

The calcium ionophores A23187 and ionomycin elicit a variety of biological responses, probably by raising the concentration of free calcium ion in the cytosol (Cheung, 1980). Examples include the induction of budding in moss (Saunders & Hepler, 1982) and of branching in *Neurospora* (Reissig & Kinney, 1983). A23187 elicited branching in *Achlya* even when the external calcium level was reduced to 50 nM, and both in the presence and absence of Mg$^{2+}$ ions; this suggests that branching occurs in response to the release of calcium ions from an intracellular reservoir. Reissig & Kinney (1983) noted that removal of the ionophore after 30 min did not prevent subsequent branching, and inferred that a transient rise in the calcium concentration is sufficient to trigger branching. In our hands, complete removal of A23187 required repeated washings which induced significant branching in control cultures. We therefore regard it as premature to attribute branching to a transient calcium signal; indeed, any rise in cytosolic calcium has yet to be demonstrated directly. We also have no information concerning the process that is disrupted by calcium ions; cytoskeletal filaments are among the possible sites.
If normal branching occurs in response to a rise in the cytosolic calcium concentration, inhibitors of calcium release might be expected to suppress branching. The compound TMB-8 is said to have this effect (Malagodi & Chiou, 1974; Europe-Finner & Newell, 1984); it did prevent the intense branching normally observed when amino acids were added to hyphae elongating in DMA100. TMB-8 has recently been shown to inhibit oxidative phosphorylation (Brand & Felber, 1984) and should not be used uncritically. Nevertheless, the role of calcium ions in the control of branching clearly deserves closer scrutiny.

To our knowledge, proton-ionophores have not been reported earlier to induce branching in fungi, though they elicit chemotropic growth of Blastocladiella rhizoids (Harold & Harold, 1980) and stimulate the germination of Neurospora konidioid (Turian & Michea-Hamzehpour, 1983). The sequence of events that leads to branching in Achlya is unknown. We think it unlikely that uncoupling of oxidative phosphorylation is the primary effect, since neither oligomycin nor inhibitors of respiration elicited branching (Table 1, footnote). Proton-ionophores would be expected to release calcium ions from mitochondria, with a consequent rise in the cytosolic calcium concentration. Reduction of the cytosolic pH is another way by which proton-ionophores may elicit their effects. DES, a putative inhibitor of the proton-translocating ATPase of fungal plasma membranes (Goffeau & Slayman, 1981; D. L. Kropf, unpublished results), may also stimulate branching by acidifying the cytoplasm. The study of transcellular proton currents led us earlier to suggest a possible link between localized acidification of the cytoplasm and branch emergence (Kropf et al., 1983, 1984). Whether such a link actually exists must now be explored with the aid of methods that can monitor the local pH in particular regions of the cytoplasm.
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