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*Corynebacterium ulcerans* strain 378 produces a bacteriocin (ulceracin 378) and a toxin when grown on semi-solid medium. Ulceracin 378 was purified 360-fold by dialysis and chromatography on DEAE-cellulose and Sephadex G-200. On the basis of Ultrogel AcA22 gel filtration its molecular weight was about 900,000. It could be dissociated by 2-mercaptoethanol and sodium dodecyl sulphate into smaller subunits of 25,000. The bactericidal activity was associated with this subunit which contained no carbohydrate or lipid. Ulceracin 378 was thermostable and stable over a wide pH range. Purified ulceracin 378 did not have a toxic effect (lethal) on guinea-pigs and rabbits and was immunologically distinct from the toxin.

**INTRODUCTION**

A previous paper (Abrehem & Zamiri, 1983) described the production of a bacteriocin named ulceracin 378 obtained from a toxinogenic strain of *Corynebacterium ulcerans*. Ulceracin 378 was not found in broth cultures and could not be extracted from the organisms by various solvents and salt solutions. Its synthesis was not inducible by UV irradiation or mitomycin C treatments. However, ulceracin 378 could be extracted from semi-solid medium on which the organisms had grown. Ulceracin 378 was active against all of the *C. ulcerans* strains and related species tested, without being autoinhibitory. Its synthesis did not seem to be under the control of a plasmid nor was it phage-related. Other studies in this laboratory have revealed that this same strain of *C. ulcerans* when grown under conditions identical to those used for ulceracin production, also produced a toxin (Abrehem & Zamiri, 1980). The toxin was purified to a high degree of homogeneity; it lacked detectable carbohydrate or lipid and had a single polypeptide chain of molecular weight 14,000 ± 1000. The toxin showed no bactericidal activity but was lethal to guinea-pigs and rabbits and could be totally neutralized by diphtheria antitoxin.

This paper deals with the purification and characterization of ulceracin 378 and shows that it is distinct from the toxin produced under similar conditions.

**METHODS**

Micro-organisms. Bacteriocinogenic and toxinogenic *Corynebacterium ulcerans* strain 378 and the strain susceptible to ulceracin 378, *Corynebacterium diphtheriae* var. *mitis* 5104 were from the Diphtheria Reference Laboratory, Welsh National School of Medicine, Cardiff, UK.

Chemicals. Sudan Black B, Oil Red O, SDS, all enzymes and proteins were from Sigma; Sephadex G-200 and Blue Dextran 2000 were from Pharmacia; DEAE-cellulose DE-52 was from Whatman; Ultrogel AcA22 and AcA34 were from LKB; acrylamide $N,N',N''$-tetramethylenediamine and methylenebisacrylamide were from Koch-Light; Bromophenol blue was from Hopkin & Williams; Coomassie brilliant blue was from Gurr; and 2-mercaptoethanol was from BDH. All other chemicals used were of analytical grade.

† Present address: Bio-Medical Science Laboratories, 4250 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90010, USA.

0001-2239 © 1985 SGM
Isolation and assay of ulceracin 378. The media, isolation and assay procedures used were as described by Abrehem & Zamiri (1983). Serum substitute broth (SSB) contained 20 g Proteose peptone (Difco), 3 g Casamino acids (Difco), 4.8 g sodium chloride, 1 ml glycerol (BDH) and 1-2 ml Tween 80 (BDH) per 1-2 litres distilled water. Serum substitute agar (SSA) was SSB solidified by the addition of 12 g agar per 1-2 litres and soft SSA was solidified by the addition of 6 g agar per 1-2 litres of SSB. Soft SSA without Tween 80 was termed SSA-T. All media were brought to pH 7-8 and sterilized at 120 °C for 20 min.

Molecular weight estimation. A column (2.2 × 45 cm) of Ultrogel AcA22 equilibrated with 50 mM-Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7-8) at 4 °C was calibrated with human serum proteins. The flow rate was 12 ml h⁻¹ and fractions of 3.5 ml were collected and estimated for protein content by absorption at 280 nm. The elution volume (Vₑ) of α₂-macroglobulin, IgM, IgG and albumin were determined by rocket immunoelectrophoresis of fractions and these proteins served as molecular weight markers. Under identical conditions 3 ml ulceracin (128 U ml⁻¹) was fractionated and all fractions were assayed for protein content and ulceracin activity. Blue Dextran was used to estimate the void volume (Vᵥ). The molecular weight of ulceracin 378 was estimated from the graph of Vₑ/Vᵥ versus molecular weights of the protein standards.

Purification of ulceracin. The crude ulceracin preparation extracted by freezing and thawing was concentrated and partially purified by ion-exchange chromatography as follows. Crude ulceracin (150 ml) with an activity of 16 U ml⁻¹ was dialysed against 3 litres 50 mM-Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7-8) containing 0.02% (w/v) sodium azide in cellophane tubing at 4 °C for 10 h. The retentate was clarified by centrifugation at 5000 g for 20 min followed by membrane filtration (0.45 μm pore size). The clear filtrate was applied to a DEAE-cellulose ion-exchange column (10 × 200 mm), equilibrated with 50 mM-Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7-8) containing 0.2% (w/v) sodium azide. After 150 ml of the ulceracin preparation had passed through the column, the column was washed with 40 ml of the same buffer. The collected fractions were then assayed for protein content at A₂₈₀ and their ulceracin activity determined. Subsequently the adsorbed ulceracin was eluted with 50 mM-Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7-8) containing 1 M-sodium chloride and 0.02% (w/v) sodium azide.

For further purification 2 ml DEAE-cellulose-purified ulceracin 378 (1024 U ml⁻¹) was applied to a Sephadex G-200 column (2.5 × 35 cm) in 50 mM-Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7-8). Fractions (3 ml) were collected and assayed for ulceracin activity and UV absorption at 280 nm. The flow rate was 14.4 ml h⁻¹, and the void volume was determined with Blue Dextran 2000.

SDS-PAGE. This was done according to the methods described by Weber & Osborn (1969). Gels (5%) were prepared in gel buffer containing 0.2% SDS. The gels used in this study contained 11:1% (w/v) acrylamide and 0.3% (w/v) methylenebisacrylamide. Purified ulceracin was added to 0.01 m-phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), containing 0.1% SDS and 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol and incubated at 35 °C for 30 min. Glycerol was added to the sample to increase the viscosity before 50-100 μl of the sample was carefully added at the top of each column, which measured 0.5 × 8 cm. Electrophoresis was done in a Shandon Scientific disc electrophoresis apparatus at room temperature and 6 mA was applied per gel for 3-5 h. After electrophoresis the gels were stained for protein with Coomassie brilliant blue (Weber & Osborn, 1969), for lipid by Oil Red O or Sudan Black B (Sargent & George, 1975), and for carbohydrate by the periodic acid-Schiff reaction (Crowle, 1961). The ulceracin activity could be detected according to the method described by Jetten et al. (1972), in which the unstained gels were soaked for 18 h in 50 ml Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7-8) at 4 °C to remove SDS and 2-mercaptoethanol. They were then cut longitudinally and placed aseptically in a molten serum substitute agar plate (30 ml) and overlaid with the indicator strain (4 ml soft serum substitute agar without Tween 80) seeded with 0.1 ml indicator strain 5104. The plates were incubated at 35 °C for 18-24 h. The relative position of the zone of inhibition could then be compared with the location of bands in stained gels which were run at the same time. By plotting the mobility of the marker proteins versus log molecular weight, a standard curve was constructed.

Heat and pH treatment of ulceracin 378. Ulceracin 378 in 50 mM-Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7-8) was held for 30 min at various temperatures (0 to 100 °C). The precipitate was removed by centrifugation, the supernate was filtered through a 0.45 μm (pore size) membrane filter and ulceracin activity was determined in the filtrate. Ulceracin 378 in the same buffer was incubated at various pH values (2.0 to 14.0) for 60 min at room temperature. To exclude possible bactericidal effects of low or high pH values on the indicator strain, the pH of the supernate was readjusted with 1 M-NaOH or HCl to pH 7-8. The contents of each tube were adjusted to the same volume with 50 mM-Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7-8). The precipitate, if any, was removed by centrifugation and filtered through a 0.45 μm (pore size) membrane filter and assayed for ulceracin activity.

Electron microscopy. One drop of purified ulceracin solution containing 0-1 mg protein ml⁻¹ in 50 mM-Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7-8) was mixed with one drop of uranyl acetate solution (1%, w/v) adjusted to pH 6-9 on a clean glass slide. A drop of this mixture was applied to a specimen grid covered with a carbon-coated collodion film. The excess liquid was withdrawn with a wet filter paper and the specimen examined in a Philips EM 300 electron microscope operating at 80 kV and an instrument magnification of 33000.

Antiserum production. Antiserum against purified ulceracin 378 was produced by intradermal injection of 1 in 5 dilutions of ulceracin in saline, mixed with an equal amount of Freund's complete adjuvant (Difco). Each rabbit received a single dose of 10 injections each of 50 μl (62 μg protein) and was bled one month later.
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Rocker electrophoresis. Electrophoresis at pH 8.6 was carried out according to Laurell (1972).

Immunodiffusion. Molten 1% agarose (15 ml) in Tris or phosphate-buffered saline [PBS; potassium phosphate 0.15 M, NaCl 0.85% (w/v), pH 7.2] was layered onto a glass slide (10 × 10 cm). When it had solidified, 5 μl holes were punched out at a distance of 2 mm and filled with antigen or antiserum. Plates were examined after 2 d at room temperature.

Immunochemistry. To test the anti-ulceracin serum for its neutralization capacity, a fixed amount of ulceracin was mixed with serial twofold dilutions of antiserum in PBS or Tris buffer and incubated at 35°C for 4 h and then at 4°C overnight. From each dilution 25 μl was spotted on a 3 ml soft serum substitute agar without Tween 80 seeded with indicator cells. The plates were incubated at 35°C for 18 h and then at room temperature for 24 h. The end point was considered as the highest dilution of serum which neutralized ulceracin activity and showed no inhibition of growth. A control of ulceracin preparation with an equal volume of Tris buffer was similarly treated.

**RESULTS**

Most of the ulceracin was eluted with the high salt buffer in a total volume of 2 ml. This represented a 75-fold increase in concentration. In addition the specific activity was increased from 3.55 to 128 U (mg protein)^-1.

For further purification the active fractions were pooled and applied to a column of Sephadex G-200. Most of the activity was found in the fraction which eluted in the void volume of the column. A later fraction corresponding to the second major absorbance peak contained most of the coloured material with no bactericidal activity (C. ulcerans toxin is eluted in the second peak). Active fractions were pooled and gave a specific activity of 1280 U (mg protein)^-1 when compared to the initial specific activity (Table 1). This purification procedure has been repeated on five other occasions with highly reproducible results.

**Molecular weight determinations**

Gel filtration. On gel filtration through Ultrogel AcA22, ulceracin was eluted in a single peak near the void volume between the elution position of α2-macroglobulin and IgM. From the graph of $V_c/V_o$ versus molecular weight for the markers, a molecular weight for ulceracin of 900,000 was found (Fig. 1).

PAGE. Purified ulceracin 378 was somewhat heterogeneous, as judged by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 3a). However, after elution from unstained gels the major band alone exhibited ulceracin activity and repeated electrophoresis under the same conditions gave a single band retaining bactericidal activity (Fig. 3c). The presence of only a single band in the ulceracin preparation is considered as evidence for its purity and molecular homogeneity (Fig. 3b). To determine the molecular weight, marker proteins were run in parallel. By plotting the mobility of the marker proteins versus log (molecular weight), a standard curve was constructed. From this curve the calculated molecular weight of the ulceracin subunit was 25000; similar values being found on three occasions (Fig. 2).

**Stability of ulceracin 378**

Highly purified ulceracin after lyophilization is a white powder freely soluble in water. Both concentrated and unconcentrated purified preparations in Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7.8) retained full activity at 4°C for one month but this decreased by half on storage at the same temperature for five months.

**Table 1. Characteristics of ulceracin 378 at various stages of purification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purification step</th>
<th>Vol. (ml)</th>
<th>Activity (total units)</th>
<th>Protein (mg)</th>
<th>Sp. act. [U (mg protein)^-1]</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
<th>Purification factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crude preparation</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialysis</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration by adsorption to DEAE-cellulose</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>36.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sephadex G-200 gel filtration</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>360.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Determination of the molecular weight of ulceracin using a calibrated column of Ultrogel AcA22. To determine molecular weight, elution volume ($V_e$) divided by void volume ($V_v$) was plotted versus molecular weight of marker proteins.

Fig. 2. Determination of the molecular weight of the ulceracin subunit from SDS-PAGE (5% gel). The relative mobility of each marker protein was plotted versus $\log_{10}$(molecular weight).

Fig. 3. SDS-PAGE of gel (a) ulceracin 378 purified by ion-exchange chromatography, prior to Sephadex G-200; gel (b) the major band from (a) re-electrophoresed, with (a) and (b) stained for protein with Coomassie brilliant blue; gel (c) unstained gel as for (b) showing corresponding band when tested for ulceracin activity. In gel (a) five bands are illustrated, three minor bands (1, 2, 3) and two major bands (4, 5). Band 4 represents ulceracin 378 and band 5 represents C. ulcerans 378 toxin.
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**Fig. 4.** Rocket immunoelectrophoresis of fractions (12–20) from Ultrogel AcA34. Height of the rockets (fractions 14–17) corresponded with amount of ulceracin activity. The main precipitation line obtained in immunodiffusion experiments coincided with the above activity.

Although crude ulceracin was inactivated after freeze-drying, purified ulceracin could be successfully freeze-dried in the presence of 1 mg bovine serum albumin ml⁻¹. Ulceracin activity was not affected by 2 M-NaCl, 0.05% NaN₃, 10% glycerol, 50 mM-cysteine hydrochloride, 20 mM-MgSO₄, 10 mM-CaCl₂, and chloroform. Treatment of purified and partially purified ulceracin at 35°C for 45 min with 2-mercaptoethanol (0.1%, w/v)/SDS (0.1%, w/v) decreased its activity by half.

Although ulceracin retained full activity within a pH range of 3 to 10, it was inactivated by higher alkali values. This inactivation was pronounced at pH 14.0 with at least 95% of the activity being destroyed. Ulceracin retained full activity after exposure to 70°C for 30 min; 50% of the activity was recovered at 80°C, 25% at 90°C, and only 12.5% at 100°C.

**Electron microscopy of ulceracin 378**

Purified ulceracin preparation (× 362-fold) containing 0.1 mg protein ml⁻¹ was mixed with uranyl acetate and examined under the electron microscope. Numerous irregularly shaped granules with diameters of 12–40 nm were observed. None of the micrographs at various magnifications revealed any structures resembling bacteriophages or their fragments.

**Immunogenicity**

An antiserum at a titre of 1/32 was capable of neutralizing ulceracin activity. In immunodiffusion experiments the antiserum directed against ulceracin reacted with both highly purified and partially purified ulceracin and gave a precipitin line. With more highly purified and concentrated ulceracin a stronger precipitin line was obtained. To confirm that the precipitation reaction was directed against ulceracin, ulceracin 378 was fractionated by gel filtration (Ultrogel AcA34) and the fractions were tested for ulceracin activity and for reactivity against the antiserum by rocket immunoelectrophoresis. The size of the rockets corresponded with the ulceracin activity (Fig. 4), indicating that the antiserum was directed against ulceracin itself.

In gel diffusion, diphtheria antitoxin reacted with *C. ulcerans* toxin (which is identical with *C. diphtheriae* toxin) but not with ulceracin 378 and conversely anti-ulceracin serum reacted with ulceracin but not with the toxin.
DISCUSSION

In a previous study an 18-fold purification of ulceracin 378 was achieved by ammonium sulphate precipitation, dialysis and chromatography on DEAE-cellulose. However, in this study much more effective purification (360-fold) was achieved by dialysis, ion-exchange chromatography on DEAE-cellulose and gel filtration on Sephadex G-200. The ion-exchange step not only purified the crude ulceracin but also concentrated it.

On the basis of Ultrogel AcA22 gel filtration, ulceracin was found to have a molecular weight of about 900000. Because of its large molecular size untreated ulceracin did not enter the graphy on DEAE-cellulose and gel filtration on Sephadex G-200. The ion-exchange step not only purified the crude ulceracin but also concentrated it.

The granular appearance of ulceracin 378 seen by electron microscopy resembles that reported for staphylococcin A-1262 (Lachowicz & Kwiatkowski, 1972), staphylococcin 414 (Gagliano & Hinsdill, 1970), Lactobacillus fermenti bacteriocin (de Klerk & Smit, 1967), and Proteus morganii bacteriocin (Smit et al., 1968). None of the micrographs revealed any structure that resembled bacteriophage or its fragments.

On rocket electrophoresis the size of the rockets corresponded with the ulceracin activity indicating that the antiserum is directed against ulceracin itself. Further, a 1/32 dilution of the antiserum neutralized the biological action of ulceracin. Lack of antigenic cross-reactivity between purified ulceracin and purified toxin (isolated and purified from one producer strain) confirms that these two entities are distinct from each other.
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