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*Acanthamoeba castellanii* (CCAP 1534/3) was found to bind avidly the common soil bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. This adhesion was mediated not by pili nor by the general bacterial surface but by the polar flagella. Because of the nature of the flagellar rotary motor, the cell bodies of the attached bacteria could be seen rotating clearly. While initially bacterial binding occurred uniformly over the cell membrane of *Acanthamoeba*, the bacteria were soon swept posteriorly to form a cap and either endocytosed or sloughed off, still agglutinated by their flagella. Such capped amoebae would not bind *Pseudomonas* if challenged immediately, indicating a depletion of flagella-binding sites. The bacteria could not bind to amoebae pre-treated with concanavalin A (Con A) even after the lectin had been capped to the uroid. However, capping of flagella-binding sites did not co-cap all the Con A-binding sites on the surface of the amoeba. The flagella-binding sites were not affected by pre-treatment with Pronase (1 mg ml⁻¹) or anti-*Acanthamoeba* surface antibody. *Proteus mirabilis* also bound avidly by its flagella to *Acanthamoeba* and, furthermore, competition experiments suggested that *Proteus* and *Pseudomonas* adhere to a common surface site on the amoeba. The presence of sites on the cell membrane of *A. castellanii* that are specific for flagellin would enhance strongly the adsorption of motile bacteria prior to endocytosis. This would represent an excellent feeding strategy for a soil-dwelling phagotroph.

**INTRODUCTION**

It is widely appreciated that in metazoan organisms cell surfaces play a key role in molecular recognition phenomena that occur, for example, during embryogenesis. Amoeboid Protozoa, with rare exceptions (*Dictyostelium*, for example), do not indulge in social behaviour and so any surface recognition ability they might have would be expected to be directed towards their feeding behaviour. Although some amoebae may be expressly carnivorous (*Amoeba*, *Chaos*) and others herbivorous (*Pelomyxa*) nothing is known of the mechanism of this selectivity. In certain circumstances the control of food preferences may have considerable repercussions on the stability of a host–parasite relationship, e.g. in previously asymptomatic human infections with *Entamoeba histolytica* a switch in the diet of the trophozoites from gut flora to host cells precipitates the onset of amoebic dysentery.

Ray (1951) described the ability of *Hartmannella* (= *Acanthamoeba*) to accumulate large numbers of motile bacteria at its periphery. These agglutinated bacteria were endocytosed after being swept backwards into what she termed a ‘cap’. The wider significance of this finding was not appreciated, and it is ironic that it was 20 years later that what is generally considered to be the first description of ‘capping’ was made. This was an account of an analogous process, namely the redistribution of externally cross-linked cell-surface receptors on mammalian lymphocytes (Taylor et al., 1971).

We have investigated the nature of this binding property displayed by *Acanthamoeba* not only for its intrinsic interest but also because there is currently much discussion about the adherence of bacteria to eukaryotic cells (see Beachey, 1980 for a recent review). In many instances the

**Abbreviations**: Con A, concanavalin A; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate.
adhesiveness of bacteria is conferred by the presence of filamentous, proteinaceous appendages termed pili. There is persuasive evidence that these pili can recognize particular chemical groups on the surface, for example, of epithelial cells and thus, through a stereospecific interaction, secure adherence to the eukaryote as a first step in colonization. It is known that the pili of *Escherichia coli* display lectin-like behaviour towards D-mannose (Ofek et al., 1977). On the other hand, there is little information concerning bacterial adhesion to biological surfaces by means of their flagella alone, although some strains of *E. coli* carrying mutations in the structural gene for flagellin (*hag*) produce flagella which show an increased stickiness to glass (Icho & Iino, 1978).

In this paper we show that several species of *Acanthamoeba* have the capacity to bind motile bacteria to their surface by means of the bacterial flagella.

**METHODS**

*Protozoa*. Samples of the following stocks of amoebae were obtained from the Culture Centre of Algae and Protozoa, Cambridge, UK: *Acanthamoeba astronyxis* (1534/1), *A. castellanii* (1534/3) and (1501/1), *Dictyostelium mucoroides* (1515/1), *Naegleria gruberi* (1518/1c), *Paratetramitus jugosus* (1588/3f) and *Tetramitus rostratus* (1581/1). *Acanthamoeba* spp. were grown axenically as monolayers in tissue culture flasks at 25 °C in a liquid medium (Korn, 1963). Cultures were harvested in the exponential growth phase. First unattached cells were removed by decanting the growth medium. Adhesive cells were then removed into 50 mM-NaCl by vigorous shaking of the flask and then concentrated by gentle centrifugation. After a repeat of this cycle, the amoebae were resuspended in 50 mM-NaCl at 10⁷ cells ml⁻¹. The other amoebae were maintained at 25 °C in monoxenic culture with *Escherichia coli* on agar plates and harvested free from bacteria as described previously (Preston & King, 1978).

*Bacteria*. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* RIII₆ was isolated from soil cores taken from the grounds of King's College field station, Rogate, W. Sussex, UK. Small crumbs of soil were incubated in 10 ml sodium benzoate medium (5 g NaCl, 0.2 g MgSO₄, 1 g (NH₄)₂HPO₄ and 2 g sodium benzoate l⁻¹, pH 6.8) at 25 °C for several days. Samples from any turbid supernatants were streaked onto King–Ward–Raney agar plates (20 g peptone, 1.5 g KH₂PO₄, 1.5 g MgSO₄, 7H₂O, 10 g sodium succinate and 15 g agar l⁻¹). *P. fluorescens* colonies were identified by their yellow coloration and UV-excited fluorescence. Clones prepared from such colonies were subsequently maintained on nutrient agar plates. Bacteria used were from plates less than 24 h old to measure maximum motility.

Stocks of *Proteus mirabilis* and *Rhodospirillum rubrum* were generously provided by Dr D. G. Smith (Department of Botany and Microbiology, University College, London, UK). *P. mirabilis* swarmers were isolated by transferring cells from an established colony to the centre of a fresh nutrient agar plate with a wire loop. The swarmers, which by 24 h had migrated out radially from the central colony to a distance of 3–4 cm, could be harvested free of short forms.

*Lectin and antisera*. Native and fluorescein (FITC)-labelled concanavalin A (Con A) was obtained from Miles Laboratories, Stoke Poges, Slough, UK, and used at a final concentration of 100 µg ml⁻¹ with 5 x 10⁶ cells. Antiserum to *A. castellanii* 1534/3 were prepared by intramuscular injection of suspensions of formalin-treated amoebae in Freund's complete adjuvant into rabbits with a booster dose given three weeks later. The antiserum from one rabbit (T101) had activity directed against the surface of *A. castellanii* as judged by immunofluorescence and by immunodiffusion tests against both water soluble and Triton X-100 extracts of unfixed amoebae. This crude antiserum was subjected to ammonium sulphate fractionation to yield the y-globulin component. This fraction was used as the source of anti-*Acanthamoeba* antibody in the experiments reported below.

Tetramethyl rhodamine isothiocyanate-labelled rabbit antiserum to Con A was obtained from Nordic Immunological Laboratories, Maidenhead, UK. IgG fractions of goat antiserum to rabbit IgG, labelled with FITC, were obtained from Miles Laboratories.

*Electron microscopy*. Amoebae suspended in 50 mM-NaCl were allowed to settle for 20 min on detergent-cleaned coverslips in a humid chamber. Small amounts of a suspension of *P. fluorescens* in 50 mM-NaCl freshly harvested from nutrient agar plates were added to these amoebae; this was taken to be time zero. The first group of coverslips was immersed in a diahyde fixative at room temperature after 3 min and then the second after 20 min. The diahyde fixative had the following composition: 1% (w/v) glutaraldehyde, 2% (w/v) freshly prepared formaldehyde, and 2 mM-CaCl₂ in 10 mM-HEPES buffer at pH 7.3. After 15 min the coverslips in fixative were transferred to 3 °C for a further 2 h, then washed thoroughly in ice cold 10 mM-HEPES buffer at pH 7.3 and post-fixed in 1% (w/v) OsO₄ in the same buffer at 3 °C for 1 h.

Samples for scanning electron microscopy were then dehydrated directly through a graded series of ethanol/water mixtures before critical point drying. These specimens were coated with carbon then gold before
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Jostling monolayer around each amoeba. Although they remained attached to the substratum, form a uniform layer around each protozoon, but neither redistribution into a cap nor endocytosis into well-defined cap. Such capped amoebae when challenged with fresh bacteria were found to bind few bound bacteria became increasingly concentrated in the posterior (uroid) region as a well-defined cap. Capped amoebae when challenged with fresh bacteria was not confined to Acanthamoeba stocks used. On the other hand, there was no evidence of flagellar binding of this bacterium to the surface of other common soil amoebae such as Naegleria, Tetramitus, Paratetramitus and Dictyostelium.

The surface agglutination phenomenon displayed by Acanthamoeba was not specific for Pseudomonas alone since actively motile forms of the unrelated bacteria Proteus mirabilis and...
Fig. 1. (a) Bright field micrograph of a suspension of Acanthamoeba cells in 50 mM-NaCl agglutinated by the addition of Rhodospirillum rubrum. No significant agglutination occurred in controls without R. rubrum. Magnification approx. × 350. (b–d) Phase-contrast micrographs of stages in the process of polar binding of R. rubrum to the surface of Acanthamoeba and the subsequent endocytosis of the prokaryotes. Phagosomes containing single bacteria are particularly clear in (d). Magnification approx. × 1070.

Fig. 2. (a) Scanning electron micrograph demonstrating the ease with which the peritrichous swarm cells of Proteus mirabilis can cross-link locomoting amoebae. Magnification approx. × 1800. (b) Scanning electron micrograph showing two Pr. mirabilis swarm cells tightly bound to, and following the surface contours of, an individual Acanthamoeba. Magnification approx. × 7560.
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*Rhodospirillum rubrum* were captured with equal facility and subsequently capped. Unlike *Pseudomonas*, *Rhodospirillum* bears flagella at both poles, and it was therefore able to cause agglutination of amoebae when added to *Acanthamoeba* in suspension (Fig. 1a). *Proteus*, in contrast to *Pseudomonas* and *Rhodospirillum*, is peritrichous and was therefore not restricted simply to polar attachments on collision with *Acanthamoeba*. Indeed any region of the *Proteus* cell was able to attach to the surface of the amoeba (see Fig. 2b). In some cases a single bacterium was attached at both ends to an individual amoeba resulting in the bacterium being held in an arc-like position. In other cases it was found that one bacterial swarm cell could cross-link two amoebae (see Fig. 2a).

Whereas *Acanthamoeba* had no difficulty in endocytosing *Pseudomonas* it could engulf only the smallest representatives of populations of *Rhodospirillum* (Fig. 1b–d) and *Proteus*.

**Electron microscopy**

The general morphological features of the bacterial interaction with *Acanthamoeba* inferred from phase-contrast microscopy were borne out by scanning and transmission electron microscopy. Scanning electron microscopy of *Acanthamoeba* fixed 3 min after exposure to *Ps. fluorescens* revealed the initial high density of bacterial packing at the surface of the amoeba and confirmed the lack of anything but polar adhesion of the prokaryote cells (Fig. 3a). In preparations of *Acanthamoeba* fixed 20 min after exposure to bacteria, the majority of amoeba had already resumed locomotion and had redistributed bound pseudomonads into a posterior cap (Fig. 3b). There was no evidence for additional bacteria being bound anteriorly on these cells.

Despite the strength of the bacterial adhesion to *Acanthamoeba* there was always a large gap between the cell body of *Pseudomonas* and the plasmalemma of the protozoon (Fig. 4a). Only bacterial flagella were to be seen making direct contact with the cell surface of *Acanthamoeba* (Fig. 4b).

**Experimental treatments aimed at blocking bacterial binding to A. castellanii 1534/3 amoebae**

*Use of anti-Acanthamoeba antibody.* Amoebae treated with T101 (anti-Acanthamoeba) antibody followed by FITC-labelled goat anti-rabbit IgG demonstrated strong surface fluorescence. This fluorescence was originally uniform, becoming redistributed into patches which were then capped as the cells recommenced locomotion. When amoebae were labelled with this anti-*Acanthamoeba* antibody and then challenged with *Ps. fluorescens* the bacteria attached by their flagella to the surface of the amoeba on collision. Bacteria were seen to bind on both patched and cleared areas of *Acanthamoeba* surface membrane.

*Use of the lectin Con A.* Amoebae exposed in the cold to FITC-Con A were uniformly coated by this lectin. If these cells were immediately challenged with suspensions of *Ps. fluorescens* the bacteria did not stick to the amoebae in spite of making numerous collisions. Even when the bacterial challenge was delayed until after the bound lectin had been capped with a second layer antibody directed against Con A, flagellar binding was still inhibited. When amoebae labelled with FITC-Con A were incubated in the corresponding hapten (methyl α-D-mannopyranoside) at 50 mM final concentration the fluorescent lectin was rapidly lost. We had previously established that at this concentration the sugar had no effect on bacterial binding to untreated *Acanthamoeba*. Therefore, immediately after amoebae had been surface-labelled with FITC-Con A they were challenged with *Ps. fluorescens* in the presence of competing sugar. Only those amoebae which had lost their fluorescence were able to bind the bacteria in an optimal manner.

A reciprocal experiment was carried out in which amoebae were challenged first with *Ps. fluorescens* and then tested to see if they could still bind FITC-Con A. Even though they had bound and, in many cases, successfully capped bacteria, the amoebae were still able to take up FITC-Con A uniformly over the surface.

In order to check whether the peripheral binding sites for bacterial flagella on *A. castellanii* 1534/3 contained exposed protein, amoebae were preincubated in Pronase before challenge with either *Ps. fluorescens* or FITC-Con A. Such proteolytic treatment did not remove the ability to bind bacteria or lectin.
Fig. 3. (a) Scanning electron micrograph of a rounded up *Acanthamoeba* with numerous *Pseudomonas fluorescens* agglutinated over its surface. The bacteria are clearly bound to the protozoon by one pole; no flagella are visible at the pole distal to the point of attachment. (b) Scanning electron micrograph of an amoeba which has resumed locomotion after having agglutinated *Ps. fluorescens* at its surface and subsequently redistributed them posteriorly into a cap at the uroid. Anterior to the cap the surface of the amoeba is free of bacteria while numerous dorsal acanthopodia are evident. Magnification approx. × 2988.
**FIG. 4.** (a) Transmission electron micrograph of a thin section showing the considerable gap between the cell body of a trapped bacterium (*Ps. fluorescens*) and the surface of an *Acanthamoeba*. Bar, 0.25 μm. (b) Micrograph showing a typical arrangement of flagellar filaments of bacteria attached to the surface membrane of *Acanthamoeba* (arrowed). Bar, 0.1 μm.

**DISCUSSION**

The experiments reported here confirm the original report (Ray, 1951) of surface agglutination and subsequent redistribution of motile bacteria by *Hartmanella (=Acanthamoeba)*, extend our understanding of the bacteria–amoeba interaction, and suggest the presence of surface membrane sites on amoebae which are specific for the binding of bacterial flagella. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* attaches to *Acanthamoeba* only by one pole, and then exhibits active rotation of its body in the same manner as *E. coli* tethered to a glass slide coated with specific antibody to flagellin (Silverman & Simon, 1974). This suggests strongly that adhesion is mediated via the prokaryote flagellum. Our electron microscope observations are in accord with this interpretation and also indicate that adhesion may not be confined to the flagellar tip. It should be noted that the phage φχ7, which attacks many motile strains of the Proteus-
occurring at the uroid between exocytosed vesicles and the bacteria. This situation is commonly
explain the persistence of sloughed bacterial caps shown here in
the most thorough immunological analysis of the plasma membranes of several Acanthamoeba
stocks and species has shown, despite considerable heterogeneity of their surface antigens, that
some cross-reaction does exist (Stevens et al., 1977).

The binding of bacteria to previously fixed Acanthamoeba demonstrates that agglutination is
not dependent on the metabolic activity of the protozoon. The persistence of agglutination of Pseudomonas in sloughed caps might be explained by the following. (a) Involvement of cyto-
skeletal proteins from the amoeba. There is good evidence from Dictyostelium (Condeelis, 1979)
that cortical actomyosin tightly bound to the plasmalemma concentrates into the posterior caps
induced by Con A-receptor complexes. Thus it is possible that such cytoskeletal elements could
explain the persistence of sloughed bacterial caps shown here in Acanthamoeba. (b) Interactions
occurring at the uroid between exocytosed vesicles and the bacteria. This situation is commonly
found in caps of cationized ferritin sloughed from the uroid of the limax amoeba Naegleria (King & Preston, 1977). (c) Production of agglutinins by Pseudomonas leading to the cross-linking
of bacteria (Gilboa-Garber, 1972).

The failure of capped amoebae to agglutinate further bacteria of the same or different species
at their cleared leading edge suggests (i) that the surface binding sites for flagella are saturable
and (ii) that there is a common flagella-binding site for all bacteria.

Since binding of motile bacteria is not affected by preincubation of Acanthamoeba in the
broad spectrum protease Pronase but is inhibited by Con A, this suggests that the prokaryote
flagella interact with carbohydrates, not protein, at the surface of the amoeba. In contrast to Con
A, a polyclonal antibody, raised against whole, formalin-fixed A. castellanii 1534/3 and possessing activity directed against the amoebal surface, failed to block bacterial binding. Furthermore, amoebae with Con A sites capped before exposure to bacteria were then unable to
bind bacteria on challenge. On the other hand, Con A was able to interact with amoebae whose
bacterial flagella-binding sites had been capped. The implication therefore is that there is
indeed some correspondence between Acanthamoeba surface binding sites for Con A and those
for bacterial flagella. The simplest explanation is that the Con A-binding sites are heterogeneous
and that just one class of these has the additional ability to entrap bacterial flagella.

The plasmalemma of Acanthamoeba is relatively simple in macromolecular composition (see
Thompson & Pauls, 1980 for a recent review) with one major polypeptide accounting for 40–
50% of total membrane protein and two carbohydrate-containing molecules – lipophosphono-
glycans (Korn & Wright, 1973). These two inositol-containing glycosphingolipids have a
different sugar composition but are otherwise similar and together constitute about one-third of
the plasmalemma mass (Dearborn et al., 1976). There are no glycoproteins in the plasmalemma.
Consequently the acidic carbohydrates visualized at the surface of intact cells (and on both faces
of isolated plasmalemmas) by electron microscope cytochemistry (Bowers & Korn, 1974) suggest
that some part of the lipophosphoglycan molecules is exposed to the exterior.

Bacterial flagellar filaments are considered to be composed entirely of a single protein –
flagellin (Smith, 1982). As yet there is no evidence of accessory carbohydrate along the filament
and we were unable to induce flagellar agglutination of Pseudomonas with Con A. From this it
seems likely that adsorption of bacteria to the surface of Acanthamoeba is due to an interaction
between the protein flagellin and some carbohydrate residues of amoebal lipophosphoglycan.
Up to now there has been no clear function ascribed to these lipophosphoglycans in spite of
their abundance in the plasmalemma. The implication of at least some of them in food capture
by stereospecific interaction with a prey macromolecule would bear out an earlier suggestion
(Dearborn & Korn, 1974) that these membrane components might serve functions similar to
those played by glycoproteins and glycolipids at metazoan cell surfaces. There is indeed
evidence that the cell surface carbohydrates of eukaryotes play a central role in recognition
 phenomena (Berger et al., 1982).

Since the bacterial flagellum is a linear polymer of flagellin, one filament could readily
interact with several independent binding sites on the *Acanthamoeba* cell. In so doing the prokaryote would effectively cross-link these receptors and fulfil the preliminary conditions required for patching and endocytosis either immediately or subsequently at the uroid following cap formation.

It is of interest that other common naked amoebae, *Naegleria*, *Tetramitus*, *Dictyostelium* and *Paratetramitus*, often occupy the same soil ecosystem as *Acanthamoeba*. Although these organisms do not present an agglutinating surface to bacterial flagella, they nonetheless feed avidly on these microbes. The adhesive 'fly paper' method of capturing food in an aqueous environment is by its very nature non-selective. *Ps. fluorescens*, while providing an excellent model for studying flagellar adhesion to *Acanthamoeba*, will not support, unlike *E. coli*, the growth of *A. castellanii* 1534/3 on agar plates. *Acanthamoeba castellanii* has been isolated from soil and freshwater samples as a predator of both unicellular and filamentous cyanobacteria (Wright *et al.*, 1981). This clearly indicates that in vivo this protozoon does not feed exclusively on flagellated prokaryotes and that it may deploy a repertoire of surface recognition properties for use in feeding.

Although many classical studies on endocytosis have been carried out on the phagocytosis of latex beads by *Acanthamoeba* (Weismann & Korn, 1967), little work has been done on the possible specificity in the endocytic reactions. However, Brown *et al.* (1975) proposed that *Acanthamoeba* might bind potential food organisms by means of an undefined surface lectin which could recognize specific carbohydrate residues in their prey.

The range of surface binding properties of *Acanthamoeba* and their expression as a function of environmental factors warrants further study, for some species are able to become opportunistic pathogens in man causing meningoencephalitis (*A. culbertsoni*; Culbertson, 1971) and keratitis (*A. polyphaga*; Visvesvara *et al.*, 1975).
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