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Desulfovibrio vulgaris (Hildenborough), D. desulfuricans (Essex 6) and D. gigas (holotype) are shown to grow on H₂ and sulphate as sole energy source. Lithotrophic growth on H₂ and sulphate is thus not a unique property of a few newly isolated Desulfovibrio strains as previously reported (Badziong et al., 1978).

INTRODUCTION

Desulfovibrio vulgaris (Marburg) can grow on H₂ and sulphate as sole energy source. This property was thought to distinguish it from the type strain D. vulgaris (Hildenborough) (Badziong et al., 1978). The DNA relatedness of the two strains has recently been determined by DNA :DNA hybridization and found to interrelate at the 90% binding level (Brandis, 1981). This degree of binding is generally found for very closely related strains. Therefore, the capability of D. vulgaris (Hildenborough) and other Desulfovibrio species to use H₂ and sulphate was reinvestigated.

METHODS

Bacterial strains. Desulfovibrio vulgaris (Marburg) (DSM 2119) is the strain isolated by Badziong et al. (1978). Desulfovibrio vulgaris strain Hildenborough (DSM 644; NCIB 8303), Desulfovibrio desulfuricans strain Essex 6 (DSM 642; NCIB 8307) and Desulfovibrio gigas (DSM 496; NCIB 9332) were obtained from the German Collection of Micro-organisms (Göttingen). Escherichia coli (NCTC 10537) was from the National Collection of Type Cultures (London, U.K.).

Cultivation of Desulfovibrio species. The sulphate-reducing bacteria were grown at 37 °C (34 °C for D. gigas) in 500 ml glass fermenters containing 300 ml autoclaved medium, as described previously (Thauer & Badziong, 1981). The medium had the following composition (per litre distilled water): 2·5 g sodium acetate or 5·0 g 50% (w/w) sodium lactate solution; 6·6 g (NH₄)₂SO₄; 1·8 g NaCl; 0·9 g KH₂PO₄; 0·36 g MgCl₂, 6H₂O; 0·26 g CaCl₂, 2H₂O; 0·5 ml resazurin solution (2 g l⁻¹); and 12·5 ml trace element solution. The trace element solution, which was autoclaved separately, contained (per litre distilled water): 12·8 g nitrilotriacetic acid brought to pH 6·5 with NaOH; 1·0 g FeCl₃, 4H₂O; 0·5 g MnCl₂, 4H₂O; 0·3 g CoCl₂, 6H₂O; 0·2 g ZnCl₂; 50 mg Na₂MoO₄, 2H₂O; 20 mg H₂BO₃. Where indicated, filter-sterilized yeast extract solution or vitamin solution was added (10 ml l⁻¹). The vitamin solution contained (per litre distilled water): 2 mg biotin; 2 mg folic acid; 10 mg pyridoxine hydrochloride; 5 mg thiamin hydrochloride; 5 mg riboflavin; 5 mg nicotinic acid; 5 mg Dl-pantothenic acid (calcium salt); 0·1 mg vitamin B₁₂; 5 mg p-amino benzoic acid; 5 mg lipoic acid; and 200 mg choline chloride. The pH was adjusted to 7·0 with 1 M-KOH and the solution kept in the dark at 4°C under N₂. Before inoculation of the fermenter the medium was gassed with H₂/CO₂ (80:20, by vol.; O₂ concn < 5 p.p.m.) for 15 min at a rate of 250 ml min⁻¹; then the pH was adjusted to 6·2 with autoclaved 1 M-Na₂CO₃ (1·2 ml) and the redox potential...
was lowered with 0.5–1 ml filter-sterilized 1 M-Na₂S solution. After inoculation [10% (v/v) inoculum] the culture was continuously stirred with a magnetic stirrer at 700 rev. min⁻¹ and gassed with the same H₂/CO₂ mixture as before inoculation, at a rate of 250 ml min⁻¹ (150 ml min⁻¹ for D. gigas). Growth was followed by measuring the A₅₇₀. Samples of the culture were diluted with 100 mM-maleate/NaOH buffer pH 6-0 to give an A₅₇₀ of 0-1–0-3 and a maleate concentration of 50 mM. Cell concentrations (g dry wt 1⁻¹) corresponding to the measured A₅₇₀ values are given in Table 1. Each time the A₅₇₀ increased by 0-4 (undiluted culture), 1 ml 3 M-H₂SO₄ or 2 ml 6 M-HCl was added to maintain the pH of the culture below 7. The dissimilation of sulphate and the assimilation of acetate were followed as described earlier (Badziong & Thauer, 1978; Badziong et al., 1979).

**RESULTS**

*Desulfovibrio vulgaris* (Hildenborough) is usually grown on lactate and sulphate in a medium heavily supplemented with yeast extract (Postgate, 1979). The first few attempts to grow this sulphate-reducer on H₂ and sulphate failed. After several trials the bacteria were found to grow on H₂ and sulphate provided that they were given time to adapt to the lithotrophic growth conditions. The procedure used was as follows. *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* (Hildenborough) was first grown on a lactate/sulphate/yeast extract medium in a fermenter gassed with H₂/CO₂ (80:20). In successive transfers, lactate was gradually replaced by acetate. Then the yeast extract was omitted. The bacteria now grew on H₂ and sulphate in a mineral salts medium supplemented only with acetate as organic carbon source. Growth was exponential with a doubling time of about 5 h; the growth yield was 9–10 g (mol sulphate)⁻¹.

Under the same conditions *D. vulgaris* (Marburg) grew exponentially with a doubling time of about 3-5 h and with a growth yield of 10–11 g (mol sulphate)⁻¹ (Table 1). Growth of *D. vulgaris* (Hildenborough), as of *D. vulgaris* (Marburg), on H₂ and sulphate was dependent on the presence of acetate rather than on the presence of vitamins or yeast extract. By using [¹⁴C]acetate it was found that approximately 70% of the cell carbon was derived from acetate (Table 1) and that the acetate was not oxidized to CO₂. During growth the specific radioactivity of acetate in the culture remained constant. These findings show that acetate was used only for the synthesis of cell components and not as an electron donor for dissimilatory sulphate reduction.

Using the adaptation procedure described for *D. vulgaris* (Hildenborough) it was possible to show that the type strains of *D. desulfuricans* and *D. gigas* could also grow on H₂ and sulphate as sole energy source. Both acetate and CO₂ were required for cell proliferation, approximately 70% of the cell carbon being derived from acetate. Acetate was not oxidized

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Carbon source</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
<th>Cell carbon derived from acetate (%)*</th>
<th>Mean doubling time (h)</th>
<th>Y₂SULPHATE (g dry wt mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>Cell concn at A₅₇₀ = 1 (g dry wt l⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>D. vulgaris</em> (Marburg)</td>
<td>Acetate + CO₂</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>10-11</td>
<td>0.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>D. vulgaris</em> (Hildenborough)</td>
<td>Acetate + CO₂</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>0.396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>D. desulfuricans</em> (Essex 6)</td>
<td>Acetate + CO₂</td>
<td>Vitamins + choline</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>6–7</td>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>0.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>D. gigas</em> (holotype)</td>
<td>Acetate + CO₂</td>
<td>Yeast extract</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Calculated from the amount of [U-¹⁴C]acetate incorporated per mg dry wt cells, and assuming that the dried cells contained 45 % carbon (Badziong & Thauer, 1978).
to CO₂. Unlike the *D. vulgaris* strains, *D. desulfuricans* and *D. gigas* only grew on H₂/sulphate/acetate/CO₂ if the medium was supplemented with low concentrations of yeast extract. Approximately 25 mg yeast extract was required to obtain 500–600 mg dry wt cells. Clearly, yeast extract was used only as a supply of vitamins and not as a major carbon or energy source. In the case of *D. desulfuricans* the yeast extract could be replaced by a vitamin solution containing choline (Table 1).

*Desulfovibrio vulgaris* (Hildenborough) and *D. desulfuricans* (Essex 6) were reidentified after establishment of growth on H₂ and sulphate by the GC content of their DNA (60.5 mol % and 54.3 mol %, respectively; Postgate, 1979). *Desulfovibrio gigas* was identified by its characteristic cell form.

**DISCUSSION**

*Desulfovibrio vulgaris* (Hildenborough), *D. desulfuricans* (Essex 6) and *D. gigas* are the sulphate-reducers that have been most thoroughly investigated (Postgate, 1979). The results reported here show that these three strains readily grow on H₂ and sulphate as sole energy source, if the medium is supplemented with acetate and CO₂ as carbon sources, and when they are given time to adapt to the lithotrophic growth conditions. The report of Badziong *et al.* (1978) that *D. vulgaris* (Hildenborough) and *D. desulfuricans* (Essex 6) cannot grow under these conditions must therefore be revised. Sulphate-reducers are known to be particularly prone to lags or periods of adaptation when transferred to new growth conditions. This may be the reason why previous attempts to grow these bacteria on H₂ and sulphate failed.

The first reports on growth of *D. vulgaris* (Hildenborough) (formerly *D. desulfuricans* Hildenborough) on H₂ and sulphate were by Mechalas & Rittenberg (1960) and by Postgate (1960). These investigators demonstrated that growth on H₂ and sulphate was dependent on the presence of yeast extract and that only about 10% of the cell carbon was derived from CO₂. These early experiments excluded the possibility that *D. vulgaris* could grow autotrophically, but they did not convincingly demonstrate that the bacteria can grow on H₂ and sulphate as sole energy source, because five to ten times more yeast extract was added to the medium than cell mass was formed. It was therefore generally not accepted that *D. vulgaris* (Hildenborough) could grow lithotrophically. The results reported in this paper show that *D. vulgaris* (Hildenborough) is capable of growth on H₂ and sulphate provided the medium is supplemented with acetate. In the presence of acetate a requirement for yeast extract was not observed.

Sorokin (1966a, b, c) studied growth on H₂ and sulphate of a *D. desulfuricans* strain isolated from stratum hydrogen sulphide water of a petrol deposit. He showed that growth was dependent on the presence of acetate, which was used as a carbon rather than as an energy source. Neither yeast extract nor vitamins were required. Heterotrophic growth of the bacterium was well documented, whereas growth on H₂ and sulphate was not. Neither the extent of growth nor growth yield data were reported. Therefore, it was not possible to evaluate whether growth on H₂ and sulphate was efficient or not.

Pfennig & Widdel (1981) recently reported the isolation of two new sulphate-reducing bacteria which can grow autotrophically on H₂ and sulphate. They also found sulphate-reducers that can use acetate as electron donor for dissimilatory sulphate reduction. The inability to grow autotrophically or to oxidize acetate is thus not a general property of all sulphate-reducing bacteria.
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