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S-(2-Aminoethyl)-L-cysteine, an analogue of L-lysine, inhibits growth of Bacillus subtilis. Two different mutants resistant to this analogue were isolated. One overproduced lysine and the mutation mapped near ilvC. The other did not overproduce lysine and was unable to express resistance to the analogue in a medium containing threonine; it was linked to the thr-5 marker.

INTRODUCTION

Mutants resistant to amino acid analogues are useful tools in the study of the regulation of amino acid biosynthesis in micro-organisms (Umbarger, 1971). Among lysine analogues, S-(2-aminoethyl)cysteine (AEC), in which the γ-methylene group of lysine is substituted by a sulphur atom, is one of the better investigated (Shiota et al., 1961; Rabinovitz & Fisher, 1961; Work, 1962; Soda et al., 1969; De Marco et al., 1976; Jegede & Brenchley, 1976; Friedrich & Demain, 1977).

In this paper, we describe two mutants of Bacillus subtilis resistant to AEC. One of the mutants overproduces lysine and maps near ilvC while the other one does not overproduce lysine and maps in the region of the thr-5 marker.

METHODS

Growth. Bacteria were grown at 37 °C in minimal medium (MM; Davis & Mingioli, 1950) supplied with 1 g asparagine l⁻¹; other growth factors, when required, were added at a final concentration of 25 µg ml⁻¹.

Isolation of resistant mutants. The parental prototrophic strain (SB19) was grown overnight in MM. Bacteria were then washed and spread on MM plates containing S-(2-aminoethyl)cysteine (Sigma; 100 µg ml⁻¹). Spontaneous resistant colonies were recovered after 24 h at 37 °C. Presumptive mutants were cloned on MM plates and tested for their resistance to the analogue.

Bioassay of lysine excretion. The excretion of lysine was tested by estimating the growth of the lysine auxotrophic strain PB1652 (trpC lys-3 metB) in the supernatant of strains under assay (Keljn & O'Donovan, 1976).

Genetic procedures. Transduction was carried out as described by Yamagishi & Takahashi (1968) and transformation as described by Young & Spizizen (1961).
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Table 1. Mapping of aec-56 and aec-59 markers by transduction and transformation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor*</th>
<th>Recipient†</th>
<th>Selected phenotype</th>
<th>Recombinant class</th>
<th>No. of colonies scored‡</th>
<th>Implied order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FB56</td>
<td>FB74</td>
<td>(thr-5 ala-I)</td>
<td>Thr+</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>ala-1–aec-56–thr-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thr+Ala+Aec*</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thr+Ala<em>Aec</em></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thr+Ala–Aec*</td>
<td>171</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thr+Ala–Aec*</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB59</td>
<td>FB65</td>
<td>(leu-8 ilvC)</td>
<td>Leu+</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>aec-59–ilvC–leu-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leu+Ilv+Aec*</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leu+Ilv–Aec*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leu+Ilv–Aec*</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ilv+</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ilv+Leu+Aec*</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ilv+Leu–Aec*</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ilv+Leu–Aec*</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Crosses involving FB56 were done by transduction and those with FB59 by transformation (see Methods).
† In the two recipient strains only the markers linked to the aec mutation are indicated: FB74 was trpC metB leu-8 thr-5 purB ala-I; FB65 was trpC metB leu-8 thr-5 purB hisA ilvC.
‡ Data from two experiments for the cross FB56 × FB74.

RESULTS

Mutants resistant to AEC. Two spontaneous mutants, FB56 (aec-56) and FB59 (aec-59), resistant to 700 μg AEC ml⁻¹ were isolated. These mutants were also resistant to another analogue of lysine in which selenium substitutes for the γ-methylene group of lysine (De Marco et al., 1976) (data not shown).

In the wild-type strain (SB19), 5 μg lysine ml⁻¹ relieved the inhibition by 50 μg AEC ml⁻¹, while meso-2,6-diaminopimelic acid (100 μg ml⁻¹) did not.

Excretion of lysine by the mutants. The amount of lysine present in the supernatant of cultures of parental and AEC-resistant strains was determined. Mutant FB59 excreted about 8 μg (ml supernatant)⁻¹, while the parental strain SB19 and mutant FB56 excreted between 2 and 3 μg (ml supernatant)⁻¹.

Mapping of AEC mutations. Preliminary transduction experiments indicated that the aec-56 mutation was cotransferred with the thr-5 marker, and mutation aec-59 was cotransferred with leu-8. Three-point transduction crosses (Table 1) indicated that aec-56 was located between ala-1 and thr-5. The map distance from the thr-5 marker, computed from the transduction data of Table 1, was 16 units (the ala-1 marker had previously been located between argA and thr-5, unpublished results).

The other marker, aec-59, was located by transformation (Table 1) to the left of ilvC, at 91 units from ilvC and 96 units from leu-8. During the scoring of transformants involving aec-59, we observed that recombinants of the class Leu⁺Ilv⁻Aec⁺ were resistant only to 100 μg AEC ml⁻¹, while all other resistant recombinants were able to grow in the presence of 700 μg AEC ml⁻¹.

Effect of threonine on AEC resistance. During the mapping experiments involving FB56 we did not find any recombinants of the class Thr⁻Aec⁺ when threonine was the unselected marker. This observation suggested a possible involvement of threonine in the AEC resistance mechanism. Subsequent experiments showed that the resistance of strain FB56 to AEC was completely lost when threonine (25 μg ml⁻¹) was added to the medium. In contrast, threonine had no effect on the resistance of mutant FB59. Other amino acids did not affect the resistance of the two mutants.
DISCUSSION

Two mutations causing resistance to AEC mapped in different regions indicating that they belong to two different genes. Mutant FB59 may be affected in a gene involved in the regulation of lysine biosynthesis as it overproduces lysine. Mutants resistant to AEC which overproduce lysine have been described previously by Masurekar & Demain (1974) in Penicillium chrysogenum. Furthermore, mutation aec-59 is located near to ilvC and recombinants which carry both the aec-59 and ilvC mutations showed a reduced level of resistance to AEC. These observations suggest a connection between lysine and branched-chain amino acid biosynthesis, as found in Escherichia coli by De Felice et al. (1977).

Mutant FB56 is unable to express resistance to AEC in a medium containing threonine. One possible explanation of the threonine effect could be that one (or more) of the threonine biosynthetic enzymes is involved in the resistance to AEC. Furthermore, mutation aec-56 maps in the same region as the thr, tdm, hom and sprB markers which carry mutations involved in the synthesis and utilization of threonine (Vapnek & Greer, 1971a, b).

The mutants described here could be useful in the study of the regulatory relationships involved in the biosynthesis of amino acids derived from aspartate.
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