Stimulation of Acid Phosphatase Synthesis and Secretion in *Ochromonas danica* by Chloramphenicol Base
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A non-dividing suspension of *Ochromonas danica* secreted an acid phosphatase into its medium. This secretion was significantly stimulated by chloramphenicol base, unaffected by chloramphenicol or molecules resembling it, and inhibited by cycloheximide. Chloramphenicol base also stimulated total enzyme synthesis. The mechanism of stimulation by chloramphenicol base remains unknown.

INTRODUCTION

Several inhibitors of protein synthesis can sometimes stimulate protein synthesis: for example, chloramphenicol stimulates protein synthesis in the soluble cytoplasmic pool and most mitochondrial proteins (including cytochrome *c* but not cytochrome *a* + *a*<sub>3</sub>) in rat liver (Kadenbach, 1971); cycloheximide stimulates the activity of tyrosine aminotransferase (Fiala & Fiala, 1965; Benson & Young, 1968) and rat liver uridine kinase (Cihak, 1975); and puromycin stimulates liver ornithine decarboxylase activity (Beck, Bellantone & Canellakis, 1973). The effect of these compounds on protein synthesis was not determined.

Cycloheximide has been assumed to be a specific inhibitor of cytoplasmic ribosomal protein synthesis (Sisler & Siegel, 1967). Recently, however, appreciable evidence has accumulated indicating that cycloheximide may also inhibit RNA synthesis, respiration, absorption, amino-acid uptake etc. (McMahon, 1975). Cycloheximide also inhibits secretion of proteins in some organisms and cells, e.g. asparaginase in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Dunlop & Roon, 1975), but not in others, e.g. *α*-amylase in barley (Varner & Mense, 1972). Acid phosphatase synthesis is much more sensitive to cycloheximide than is its secretion in *in vitro* tobacco cell cultures (Ueki & Sato, 1971). In several mammalian tissue culture lines the secretion of one enzyme, deoxyribonuclease, is inhibited by cycloheximide but not another enzyme, alkaline phosphatase (Nose et al., 1974).

Recently we described that the lower eukaryotic phytoflagellate *Ochromonas danica*, when suspended in starvation medium, secreted acid phosphatase in a linear fashion (Aaronson & Patni, 1976) and this secretion could be stimulated by specific organic phosphates (Patni & Aaronson, 1977). We report here that chloramphenicol base (chloramphenicol without the dichloroacetamido side chain) and, much less effectively, chloramphenicol, stimulate acid phosphatase synthesis and secretion in *O. danica* whereas cycloheximide appears to inhibit both protein synthesis and secretion of the enzyme.

METHODS

Organism, growth and harvesting conditions. *Ochromonas danica* l933/2 Pringsheim was maintained and grown as described by Patni & Aaronson (1974). Unless otherwise stated, harvesting, centrifugation procedures and preparation of cell extracts for enzyme assays were as described earlier (Patni & Aaronson, 1974); intracellular enzyme activity was measured on cell homogenates. Procedures for the short-term secretion
Table 1. Effect of chloramphenicol and chloramphenicol base on acid phosphatase synthesis and secretion in Ochromonas danica

Washed cells were resuspended in starvation medium (Aaronson & Patni, 1976) containing different concentrations of chloramphenicol or chloramphenicol base. At intervals, acid phosphatase activity was determined using p-nitrophenyl phosphate as an assay substrate. Enzyme activity at zero time was taken as 100%; figures in parentheses represent relative intracellular activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Addition</th>
<th>Concn (mm)</th>
<th>2 h</th>
<th>4 h</th>
<th>6 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>148.5 ± 15.5</td>
<td>202.6 ± 17.5</td>
<td>250.4 ± 22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>(97.7 ± 1.05)</td>
<td>(101.1 ± 2.15)</td>
<td>(105.8 ± 2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloramphenicol base</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>153.2 ± 17.5</td>
<td>210.3 ± 17.0</td>
<td>273.8 ± 11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>157.4 ± 15.0</td>
<td>215.8 ± 13.5</td>
<td>286.8 ± 12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>160.2 ± 12.0</td>
<td>219.2 ± 15.0</td>
<td>296.6 ± 14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>173.5 ± 15.0</td>
<td>294.5 ± 15.5</td>
<td>367.1 ± 17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloramphenicol</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>148.5 ± 15.2</td>
<td>202.6 ± 17.5</td>
<td>258.5 ± 20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>152.5 ± 17.0</td>
<td>212.4 ± 22.0</td>
<td>267.0 ± 18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>160.5 ± 12.5</td>
<td>220.5 ± 18.5</td>
<td>270.2 ± 19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>163.5 ± 16.5</td>
<td>225.3 ± 17.5</td>
<td>282.5 ± 21.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage increase in enzyme activity over zero-time sample after:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2 h (nmol)</th>
<th>4 h (nmol)</th>
<th>6 h (nmol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chloramphenicol base</td>
<td>102.5 ± 2.1</td>
<td>110.8 ± 3.2</td>
<td>122.1 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>101.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>102.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>104.9 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloramphenicol</td>
<td>103.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>107.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td>114.7 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>105.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>110.8 ± 2.1</td>
<td>117.0 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>107.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>114.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>120.3 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>109.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>117.0 ± 2.1</td>
<td>124.5 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>112.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>121.2 ± 2.1</td>
<td>128.6 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

experiments have been described elsewhere (Aaronson & Patni, 1976). Details of the radioactive labelling experiments with [PH]leucine were described by Patni & Aaronson (1977).

The term secretion, as used here, refers only to the accumulation of enzyme activity in the cell-free medium and does not imply the nature of the mechanism of enzyme release. The term synthesis, as used here, refers to the total amount of enzyme found in the cells and the cell-free medium after a specific time of incubation. All experiments were done three or more times; typical results are presented here.

Enzyme assays and analytical procedures. All assays were carried out at 37 °C. The rate of reaction was linear with respect to time and enzyme concentration under standard assay conditions.

Acid phosphatase [orthophosphoric-monoester phosphohydrolase (acid optimum); EC 3.1.3.2] and alkaline phosphatase [orthophosphoric-monoester phosphohydrolase (alkaline optimum); EC 3.1.3.1] activities were assayed with p-nitrophenyl phosphate as substrate as described by Patni et al. (1974). Phosphoglucone isomerase [β-glucose-6-phosphate ketol-isomerase; EC 5.3.1.9] was assayed by the method of Reithel (1966). Enzyme activities are expressed as µmol p-nitrophenol liberated per min per ml cell-free supernatant or cell homogenate. The incubation of homogenates of O. danica with cycloheximide, chloramphenicol or chloramphenicol base for up to 25 h resulted in no loss of acid phosphatase activity. Addition of 2.5 mM-potassium phosphate buffer pH 5.0 during assay with p-nitrophenyl phosphate had no effect on the enzyme activity. The secreted enzyme was quite stable in the extracellular medium as less than 10% of the activity was lost if extracellular medium containing acid phosphatase was incubated without cells for up to 24 h at 25 °C.

Protein was determined by the method of Lowry et al. (1951) with crystalline bovine serum albumin (Sigma) as a standard. Buffers were prepared according to the procedure of Gomori (1955). Organic phosphates and antibiotics were purchased from Sigma. All chemicals were of the highest purity available commercially and were used without further purification.

Analouges of chloramphenicol were obtained through the generosity of Dr M. C. Rebstock, Parke, Davis & Co., Ann Arbor, Michigan, U.S.A. These were D-threo-1-phenyl-2-amino-1,3-propanediol; L-threo-1-phenyl-2-amino-1,3-propanediol; DL-erythro-1-phenyl-2-amino-1,3-propanediol; DL-threo-1-p-phenylphenyl-2-amino-1,3-propanediol; D-threo-1-p-aminophenyl-2-amino-1,3-propanediol; D-threo-1-m-nitro-
RESULTS

Effect of chloramphenicol and chloramphenicol base on acid phosphatase synthesis and secretion

Chloramphenicol slightly stimulated acid phosphatase synthesis and secretion but chloramphenicol base was far more active in this respect (Table 1). Washing chloramphenicol base-treated cells once in an equal volume of starvation medium eliminated the stimulation of acid phosphatase synthesis and secretion. None of the analogues of chloramphenicol at 1 mM affected the synthesis or secretion of acid phosphatase. DL-threo-1-p-Phenylphenyl-2-amino-1,3-propanediol and DL-threo-1-p-nitrophenyl-2-amino-1,3-propanediol inhibited the acid phosphatase activity. DL-threo-1-p-Phenylphenyl-2-amino-1,3-propanediol lysed Ochromonas cells. The effect of chloramphenicol base was specific as modification of the molecule (DL-threo-1-nitrophenyl-2-amino-1,3-propanediol) such as removing the nitro group from the para position of the ring, moving the nitro group to the meta position or reducing the nitro group to an amino group led to a loss of stimulatory activity. The stimulation of acid phosphatase secretion and synthesis seemed to be rather specific and not the result of cell leakage as the stimulation did not lead to an increase in $A_{260}$-absorbing material, or non-secreted cytoplasmic marker enzyme activity of phosphoglucose isomerase or alkaline phosphatase.

Effect of cycloheximide on acid phosphatase synthesis and secretion

Non-dividing Ochromonas cells suspended in starvation medium with 100 $\mu$M-cycloheximide at 0 h showed almost complete inhibition of acid phosphatase synthesis and secretion after about 2 h of incubation (Fig. 1); if cells were washed free of cycloheximide, the inhibition of synthesis and secretion was removed. Inhibition of acid phosphatase...
Table 2. Effect of antibiotics on protein synthesis and secretion in *Ochromonas danica*

Intracellular protein synthesis and secretion was also observed if cycloheximide was added during incubation (Fig. 1). Concentrations of cycloheximide as low as 10 μM inhibited synthesis and secretion of acid phosphatase.

**Effect of antibiotics on the incorporation of [3H]leucine into cellular and extracellular protein**

Cycloheximide inhibited the incorporation of [3H]leucine into protein; intracellular protein synthesis was inhibited much more than protein secretion (Table 2). Chloramphenicol stimulated protein synthesis and secretion slightly; chloramphenicol base also stimulated protein synthesis slightly but protein secretion was appreciably stimulated (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Cycloheximide inhibits protein synthesis in most eukaryotic systems, but other cell functions may also be affected (McMahon, 1975; also see Introduction). In the present study, cycloheximide inhibited acid phosphatase synthesis and secretion over a wide range of concentrations; synthesis, however, was more sensitive to cycloheximide than was secretion in that it did not recover from this inhibition after washing. This was also borne out by the isotope experiment (Table 2).

Stimulation of protein synthesis or activity, but not secretion, in a variety of eukaryotic systems by inhibitors of protein synthesis was assumed to be due to (i) prevention of enzyme or hormone degradation by cycloheximide (Kenney, 1967; Jervell & Seglen, 1969; Szepesi & Freedland, 1969) or (ii) inhibition of 70 S ribosome protein synthesis by chloramphenicol with the accompanying sparing of amino acids for use with 80S ribosomes or (iii) inhibition...
of 80S ribosome protein synthesis and sparing of amino acids for use with 70S ribosomes (Kadenbach, 1971; Honeycutt & Margulies, 1973; Givan, 1974). In O. danica acid phosphatase synthesis and secretion were stimulated by chloramphenicol base within 2 h. Early secretion seemed to be at the expense of the cell pool but after 6 h both intracellular and extracellular acid phosphatase activity had increased sufficiently to indicate that both were stimulated (Table 1). As chloramphenicol had no inhibitory effect on acid phosphatase synthesis and secretion we may assume that 70S ribosomes were not involved. Furthermore, most of the acid phosphatase is found in the plasma membrane and soluble portions of Ochromonas and very little in the organelles (Patni, Billmire & Aaronson, 1974) which offers further support for acid phosphatase synthesis by 80S ribosomes. As chloramphenicol base provides only 2% of the antimicrobial activity of the intact chloramphenicol molecule (Hahn, 1967), stimulation by chloramphenicol base is unlikely to be due to a sparing of amino acids for acid phosphatase synthesis and secretion (Table 1). It is possible that the stimulation of acid phosphatase synthesis and secretion by chloramphenicol base may be attributed to a mechanism other than inhibition of enzyme degradation or sparing of amino acids for 80S ribosome protein synthesis. This mechanism remains to be described.
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