Errata

Biofilm formation by *Staphylococcus capitis* strains isolated from contaminated platelet concentrates

Valerie S. Greco-Stewart, Hamza Ali, Dilini Kumaran, M. Kalab, Ineke G. H. Rood, Dirk de Korte and Sandra Ramírez-Arcos


Fig. 3 had a duplicated SEM picture for strain 512 in PC, the SEM picture for strain 12228 in PC was missing. The new Fig. 3 displays the correct SEM pictures for all strains in TSBg and PC.

![Fig. 3. SEM of CoNS strains grown in TSBg and in PCs. Control strains *S. epidermidis* ATCC 35984 (biofilm-positive) and ATCC 12228 (biofilm-negative) are shown as well as clinical isolates 512 (biofilm-negative) and 517 (biofilm-positive) of *S. capitis*. Representative fields are shown at 5000× magnification.](image-url)

doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.068593-0
Identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* from enriched nasal swabs within 24 h is improved with use of multiple culture media

Maya Nadimpalli, Christopher Heaney and Jill R. Stewart


doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.058248-0

In the third paragraph of the above article, BD BBL CultureSwab (Beckton Dickinson) was erroneously published as BD BBL (Beckton, Dickson and Company).

doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.068601-0

Evaluation of dry and wet transport of at-home self-collected vaginal swabs for human papillomavirus testing

Sarah G. Wolfrum, Laura A. Koutsky, James P. Hughes, Qinghua Feng, Long Fu Xi, Zhenping Shen and Rachel L. Winer


The following sentence in the Results section of this paper was published incorrectly: Two of the 318 (0.6 %) paired samples from 159 women were insufficient for PCR analysis; one dry sample and one wet sample collected by different subjects were HPV-negative, while the other sample in the pair was HPV-positive.

The sentence should read: Two of the 318 (0.6 %) paired samples from 159 women were insufficient for PCR analysis; one dry sample and one wet sample collected by different subjects were HPV-negative, while the other sample in the pair was insufficient.

doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.069252-0
Correlation between virulence gene expression and proton pump inhibitors and ambient pH in *Clostridium difficile*: results of an *in vitro* study

David B. Stewart and John P. Hegarty


Fig. 1 of this paper was published incorrectly. The publisher apologizes for this error. The correct version of the figure is published below and is now available on the online version of this paper.

**Fig. 1.** Fold-change increase or decrease in expression of *tcdA* with exposure to varying pH and omeprazole dosages (μM).

doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.069260-0