Case Report

Spread of community-acquired meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* skin and soft-tissue infection within a family: implications for antibiotic therapy and prevention
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Outbreaks or clusters of community-acquired meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (CA-MRSA) within families have been reported. We describe a family cluster of CA-MRSA skin and soft-tissue infection where CA-MRSA was suspected because of recurrent infections which failed to respond to flucloxacillin. While the prevalence of CA-MRSA is low worldwide, CA-MRSA should be considered in certain circumstances depending on clinical presentation and risk assessment. Surveillance cultures of family contacts of patients with MRSA should be considered to help establish the prevalence of CA-MRSA and to inform the optimal choice of empiric antibiotic treatment.

Introduction

Meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) has been traditionally associated with healthcare-associated (HA) infections. Established risk factors for HA-MRSA infections include recent hospitalization or surgery, dialysis, residence in a long-term care facility, and indwelling catheters or percutaneous medical devices (Naimi *et al.*, 2003). However, new strains of MRSA have emerged in the community which cause infection in patients who have no previous history of direct or indirect healthcare contact. These infections are referred to as community-acquired or community-associated MRSA (CA-MRSA). The isolates causing these infections are reported to be genetically and phenotypically distinct from HA-MRSA as the strains are typically more susceptible to a wider range of anti-staphylococcal antibiotics and often produce the Panton–Valentine leukocidin (PVL) toxin (Vandenesch *et al.*, 2003).

CA-MRSA infections have been reported in North America, Europe, Australia and New Zealand (Vandenesch *et al.*, 2003; Dufour *et al.*, 2002; Okuma *et al.*, 2002; Adhikari *et al.*, 2002). Most cases have been associated with skin and soft-tissue infection (SSTI) or necrotizing pneumonia. Here, we describe intra-familial spread of CA-MRSA associated with SSTI and discuss its implications.

Case report

A previously healthy 42-year-old mother presented to her general practitioner with an abscess on her leg. She gave a history of two previous abscesses on her legs and buttock in the previous 7 months. She received several courses of oral flucloxacinill with some clinical resolution of the abscess on each occasion and no specimen was sent for culture. A few days prior to the most recent presentation, her husband presented with an abscess on his face, and 14 days later, their 5-year-old son presented with a boil on his nose. Neither her husband nor her son presented with previous history of soft-tissue infection.

Swabs were taken from the abscesses and the boil. MRSA was isolated from the swabs from these three family
Discussion

The overall prevalence of CA-MRSA is low worldwide but evidence that this is increasing, mainly in the USA, Canada, Australia, Greece and Denmark (Salgado et al., 2003; Vourli et al., 2009; Sdougkos et al., 2008; Larsen et al., 2009). CA-MRSA is also an emerging challenge in Ireland (Rossney et al., 2007). Clusters and outbreaks of CA-MRSA have been described in specific groups of individuals such as Native Americans in the USA, men who have sex with men, prison inmates, military recruits, competitive sports participants and children attending childcare centres (Weber, 2005). Several risk factors for CA-MRSA acquisition have been identified. These include crowded living conditions, closed communities with people in close contact, participation in contact sports, poor hygiene, compromised skin integrity, exposure to contaminated items, prior MRSA infection and previous antibiotic exposure (HPA, 2008; Popovich & Hota, 2008). None of these risk factors applied to the family cluster reported here.

The spectrum of clinical infections caused by CA-MRSA differs from that caused by HA-MRSA. HA-MRSA isolates commonly cause bloodstream, urinary tract and respiratory tract infections. CA-MRSA infections are more likely to involve SSTI (Naimi et al., 2003). However, severe necrotizing pneumonia due to CA-MRSA has occasionally been described (Jones et al., 2006; Gorak et al., 1999). The case described in the present report is intra-familial spread of CA-MRSA infection in a family cluster characterized by SSTI with no history of risk factors for HA-MRSA.

The optimal management of S. aureus SSTI with abscess formation, especially abscesses smaller than 5 cm in diameter, is incision and drainage without adjunctive antibiotics. However, systemic antibiotics should be considered in immunocompromised patients, infants, patients with multiple areas of SSTIs (especially abscesses >5 cm), infections that do not respond to incision and drainage or if there is clinical deterioration (HPA, 2008; Popovich & Hota, 2008). Compared with MRSA, meticillin-susceptible S. aureus (MSSA) is still the more prevalent cause of SSTI in the community and a recent study has shown that 62% of PVL-positive S. aureus isolates (444/720) were MSSA (HPA, 2008). Therefore, β-lactam antibiotics are still the choice for empiric therapy for the young and for clinically stable patients in the community. However, CA-MRSA should be suspected if there are recurrent skin infections or abscesses that are unresponsive to β-lactam therapy and/or if there is a history of spread within the family. Specimens for culture should be taken in the community by general practitioners if the infection persists or progresses while the patient is receiving appropriate antibiotics directed towards MSSA.

Meticillin resistance in S. aureus is mediated by the mecA gene, which encodes an altered penicillin-binding protein 2a with low affinity for β-lactam antibiotics. The mecA gene together with its regulators, mecC and mecR1, is carried on the SCCmec mobile element. There are at least seven main types of SCCmec (types I–VII) and numerous subtypes of SCCmec (Deurenberg & Stobberingh, 2009). CA-MRSA is associated with the SCCmec elements SCCmec types IV and V (Rossney et al., 2007; Vourli et al., 2009; Sdougkos et al., 2008; Larsen et al., 2009; Otter et al., 2009). CA-MRSA frequently carries the genes encoding the PVL toxin, a cytotoxin that causes tissue necrosis and leukocyte destruction by forming pores in the cellular membrane. PVL is an established virulence factor in the pathogenesis of infection associated with CA-MRSA but other factors such as the arginine catabolism mobile element and/or other cytolytic peptides may also be important (Diep et al., 2008; Tseng et al., 2009; HPA, 2008; Labandeira-Rey et al., 2007; Gillet et al., 2002).

It is reported that CA-MRSA strains can be distinguished from HA-MRSA strains because they are generally susceptible to antimicrobials other than β-lactams and carry the genes encoding the PVL toxin (Naimi et al., 2003). It is also reported that CA-MRSA from different
geographical areas exhibits different MLSTs with ST80 being associated with Europe, ST93 with Australia, ST30 with Oceania, and ST1, ST59 and ST8 with the USA (Vandenesch et al., 2003). A recent study showed that PVL-positive CA-MRSA from Ireland exhibited a range of six MLST types with ST30 and ST8 occurring most frequently and that only 6.7% of CA-MRSA isolates carried the PVL toxin (Rossney et al., 2007). In that study, 36% of PVL-positive isolates came from patients of non-Irish ethnic origin. An earlier study from Ireland had also shown that the predominant strain among HA-MRSA exhibited a non-multi-antibiotic-resistant phenotype and carried SCCmec IV (Rossney et al., 2006). Hence neither carriage of PVL or SCCmec IV nor a susceptible antibiogram can be used as sole markers for CA-MRSA in Ireland (Rossney et al., 2007) and a time-based definition such as detection of MRSA within 24 or 48 h of hospital admission or detection in a patient without healthcare-associated risk factors must be used.

Screening and decolonization therapy are important components in the prevention and control of HA-MRSA (Kluytmans et al., 1997; Davis et al., 2004; Cosgrove et al., 2008). Studies have shown that the identification of CA-MRSA colonization may require screening of sites other than the nares but the efficacy of CA-MRSA decolonization is unclear (Popovich & Hota, 2008; Zafar et al., 2007). Guidelines for the management of PVL-associated S. aureus in England recommend topical decolonization without prior screening of the primary case (HPA, 2008). Decolonization therapy is also part of the MRSA control guidelines in Denmark and Greece (Vourli et al., 2009; Larsen et al., 2009). Screening and decolonization of contacts should be considered where close contacts are infected or where they pose a special risk to others (e.g. healthcare workers). However, the key principles of prevention and control of CA-MRSA are early diagnosis and treatment, ensuring lesions are covered with clean dressings, good personal hygiene, not sharing personal items, laundry using a hot-wash cycle, regular household cleaning and the avoidance of communal and recreational settings by infected patients. Patients in occupations at high risk for transmission of CA-MRSA such as healthcare workers should be excluded from work until lesions have healed (HPA, 2008).

Surveillance for CA-MRSA is important as information is needed on the baseline frequency of CA-MRSA colonization in Ireland compared with other countries. Increasing CA-MRSA prevalence will affect the choice of appropriate empiric antibiotics to optimize patient care and may pose a risk of hospital spread if infected patients require admission to hospital.
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