Effective use of JC virus PCR for diagnosis of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy
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INTRODUCTION

Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) is a demyelinating disease of the central nervous system resulting from reactivation of JC virus (JCV) in immunocompromised patients (Martínez et al., 1995). The diagnosis of PML is generally suggested by multifocal white matter abnormalities observed by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (Cinquè et al., 1996). In addition, JCV PCR testing of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) allows direct and definitive laboratory confirmation of PML by detecting reactivated virus. However, as yet, algorithms for the cost effective use of this expensive and labour-intensive test have not been well defined. A previous study found that limiting herpes simplex virus PCR testing in the CSF to patients with elevated CSF leukocytes and/or protein would save money without reducing sensitivity (Tang et al., 1999). Therefore, we retrospectively analysed whether pre-existing laboratory and clinical data might similarly preclude PML, and thereby allow more selective use of JCV PCR testing.

METHODS

We retrospectively evaluated patients who had a JCV PCR test done on their CSF samples between 1996 and 2006 in our urban, tertiary-care medical centre. A total of 177 CSF specimens were obtained from these 168 patients, including 127 (75.6 %) HIV-positive, 20 (11.9 %) HIV-negative-immunocompromised and 21 (12.5 %) HIV-negative-immunocompetent patients. All CSF samples were sent to the Mayo Clinic (Rochester, MN, USA) for JCV PCR–Southern hybridization testing. The sensitivity of this JCV PCR assay was determined to be 1–10 genome equivalents per reaction [25–250 copies (ml sample)−1] (in-house Mayo Clinic procedure manual; Ryschkewitsch et al., 2004). All other tests were performed at our clinical laboratory.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 18 specimens from 18 patients were positive by JCV PCR, 17 from HIV-positive patients and 1 from an HIV-negative patient with lymphoma. Our data are consistent with previous observations of the rarity of PML in HIV-negative patients (Berger et al., 1998). However, surprisingly, JCV PCR was positive in only 24 % of patients with radiology findings suggestive of PML (Table 1). This low detection rate may be due to non-specificity of radiological findings (Antinori et al., 1997) or insensitivity of PCR, or perhaps due to highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) re-establishing host immune function and reducing JCV below detectable levels (Koralnik, 2006). These possibilities are supported by the findings that PML is often difficult to distinguish radiographically from lesions caused by HIV encephalopathy (Olsen et al., 1988; Koralnik et al., 1999) and that the sensitivity of JCV PCR dropped from 89.5 % in the pre-HAART era (1992–1995) to 57.5 % in the HAART era (1996–2002) (Marzocchetti et al., 2005).

Interestingly, JCV PCR was also positive in 19 % of patients with abnormal white matter radiology findings suggestive of infection but not characteristic of PML. In contrast, JCV PCR was negative (0/48) in patients with non-infectious findings, such as trauma, vascular lesions, haematoma or old resolving lesions known from non-PML diseases (Table 1).
Although multifocal white matter lesions are the hallmark radiographic abnormality in PML, the potential for PML in the absence of radiological findings has not been well defined. We found that 17/18 JCV-positive patients had abnormal MRI findings in the white matter suggestive of PML or an infectious process more generally. A single patient with a positive JCV PCR had normal MRI findings. This patient presented with typical clinical manifestations of PML including rapid progress of lower extremity weakness, blurry vision and occasional double vision, and ataxia. Clinically, the patient had advanced AIDS with an HIV viral load of 19,739 copies ml\(^{-1}\), a CD4 cell count of 12 cells \(\mu\)l\(^{-1}\) and slightly elevated CSF protein (65 mg dl\(^{-1}\)). However, the presumptive diagnosis of PML could not be confirmed in the absence of histological examination to resolve the discrepancy between benign radiographic features and severe clinical disease. Of note, there was a report of a similarly symptomatic HIV-positive patient with a positive JCV PCR and absence of radiographic lesions aside from slight cerebral atrophy (Di Giambenedetto et al., 2004), suggesting the potential for PML in the absence of detectable radiographic findings in HIV-positive patients.

As observed by Berger et al. (1987), JCV infection did not elicit an extensive inflammatory response in CSF (Table 2). Among JCV-positive specimens analysed for CSF white blood cell count and/or protein levels, 71% (12/17) had normal cell counts (0–5 \(\mu\)l\(^{-1}\)) and protein levels (15–45 mg dl\(^{-1}\)), 29% (5/17) had slightly elevated protein levels (46–80 mg dl\(^{-1}\)), and 6% (1/18) had a slightly elevated leukocyte count (16 cells \(\mu\)l\(^{-1}\)). Therefore, high protein levels (>80 mg dl\(^{-1}\)) and/or high leukocyte count (>20 cells \(\mu\)l\(^{-1}\)) in CSF may suggest an alternative cause of central nervous system abnormalities rather than PML.

In contrast, JCV PCR positivity correlated highly with low CD4 cell counts (normal range 350–1100 cells \(\mu\)l\(^{-1}\)) in HIV-positive samples. The mean CD4 cell counts were significantly lower for the 17 JCV PCR-positive samples (98 cells \(\mu\)l\(^{-1}\), range 5–258 cell \(\mu\)l\(^{-1}\)) than for the 47 JCV PCR-negative samples (171 cells \(\mu\)l\(^{-1}\), range 0–1135 cell \(\mu\)l\(^{-1}\)), where contemporaneous CD4 cell counts were available (\(P\)=0.029, one-tailed Student’s \(t\)-test). Of the JCV PCR-positive samples, 65% (11/17) had <100 cells \(\mu\)l\(^{-1}\) and 94% (16/17) had <250 cells \(\mu\)l\(^{-1}\). In contrast, in the pre-HAART era, it was reported that only 33% (4/12) HIV patients with PML had a CD4 count <100 cells \(\mu\)l\(^{-1}\), although all patients had below normal CD4 counts (Miralles et al., 1998). Therefore, our results suggest that in the HAART era, JCV positivity tends to occur in patients with lower CD4 cell counts, and not necessarily PML itself.

Unexpectedly, HIV plasma viral load did not correlate with JCV PCR positivity. Only 35% (6/17) of JCV PCR-positive patients had highly elevated HIV viral loads >10,000 copies ml\(^{-1}\). Furthermore, 18% (3/17) had HIV viral loads of 1000–10,000 copies ml\(^{-1}\); 24% (4/17) had HIV viral loads of 50–1000 copies ml\(^{-1}\), and 24% (4/17) had undetectable HIV viral loads (<50 copies ml\(^{-1}\)). All four patients with undetectable viral loads had elevated viral loads during the 6 months prior to the positive JCV result, three with >10,000 copies ml\(^{-1}\) and one with >1000 copies ml\(^{-1}\) suggesting historical suboptimal control of infection. Our results suggest that control of HIV infection did not appear to prevent PML in the later stage of AIDS.

We conclude that JCV PCR of CSF samples should not be carried out for (a) HIV patients with normal CD4 cell counts, (b) immunocompetent patients, and (c) patients with normal radiology or findings consistent with non-infectious processes unless it is clinically highly indicated. Furthermore, low HIV viral load or normal CSF values should not be used to exclude the disease. Targeted testing based on these criteria will preserve limiting amounts of CSF for detection of more likely aetiologies and decrease costs by avoiding unnecessary JCV PCR testing.

### Table 1. Correlation of JCV PCR positivity with MRI radiology findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of JCV-positive patients (%) in each radiology image category:</th>
<th>PML(*)((n=37))</th>
<th>I(†)((n=43))</th>
<th>NI(‡)((n=48))</th>
<th>N(§)((n=40))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 (24)</td>
<td>8 (19)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*Abnormal image suggestive of PML.
\†Abnormal image suggestive of infectious aetiology.
\‡Abnormal image suggestive of non-infectious cause.
\§Normal image.

### Table 2. Correlation of JCV positivity with CSF protein and leukocyte count

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein (mg dl(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Leukocyte (cells (\mu)l(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15–45 (normal range) ((n=89))</td>
<td>17 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46–80 ((n^*=56))</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;80 ((n^*=29))</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–5 (normal range) ((n=136))</td>
<td>12 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–20 ((n^*=24))</td>
<td>5 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20 ((n^*=15))</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*Number of CSF samples tested.
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