FATE OF INGESTED ESCHERICHIA COLI IN NORMAL PERSONS
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The factors affecting strains of *Escherichia coli* carried in the bowel of man are poorly understood. Some workers have found the coliform population of the bowel to be relatively stable (Sears, Brownlee and Uchiyama, 1950), but others have shown that the faecal coliform flora may change (Cooke, Ewins and Shooter, 1969; Wiedmann and Knothe, 1969) and this, in one instance, has been related to the ingestion of large numbers of *E. coli* in food (Cooke *et al.*, 1970). It has also been postulated that these strains of *E. coli* may be of animal origin, and may reach the prepared food by cross-contamination in the kitchen (Shooter *et al.*, 1970).

It is not known whether all strains of ingested *E. coli* will establish themselves in the bowel, what numbers if ingested will be detectable in the faeces, and whether strains from different sources or of different serotype or colicine type behave differently. The experiments reported here were performed in an attempt to answer these questions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Volunteers.* These were one man and two women who were members of the laboratory staff. They were aged 33–37 yr, and had no known abnormality of the gastro-intestinal tract. They were not receiving antibiotics and were eating a normal mixed diet. The food eaten by these volunteers was not examined for the presence of coliform bacteria.

*E. coli strains for ingestion.* Twelve strains of *E. coli*, some of human and some of animal origin, were ingested. The sources, serotype, antibiotic sensitivity, colicinogenicity, and colicine sensitivity of the strains are shown in table I.

The human strains were isolates from normal faeces of patients in a medical ward. The four animal strains were faecal isolates from healthy animals.

Except on two occasions, the strains were rendered resistant to streptomycin or nalidixic acid in the laboratory before they were ingested. From a 5-hr broth culture of the test strain 0·5 ml was added to 200 ml of cold milk which was drunk in the late afternoon, 3–4 hr after the last meal. The numbers of organisms ingested, determined by surface-viable counts on blood agar, ranged from $10^5$ to $10^8$ except that on one occasion $10^{11}$ freeze-dried organisms were ingested.

*Examination of faeces.* Specimens of faeces were examined on at least three occasions before ingestion of *E. coli*, and on three occasions after its disappearance. In each experiment, every specimen of faeces passed was examined.

Specimens were examined as soon as they were passed or were stored for up to 24 hr at
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4°C before examination. Three grammes of faeces were placed in 10 ml of quarter-strength Ringer's solution in a 30-ml screw-capped container and homogenised by shaking for 2 min. on a Griffith flask-shaker. The total coliform count and the number of resistant organisms were determined by surface-viable counts from ten-fold serial dilutions of the faecal suspension on MacConkey agar and on MacConkey agar containing either 20 μg per ml of nalidixic acid or 15 μg per ml of streptomycin.

The identity of resistant organisms was confirmed by serotyping except in the case of three strains that were untypable with the antisera used. On two occasions the ingested strain was not made antibiotic resistant and was identified in one case by slide agglutination using OK antiserum prepared against the organism, and in the other by typing ten colonies from the MacConkey medium with O antisera.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain number</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Serotype</th>
<th>Colicinogenicity</th>
<th>Sensitivity to colicines</th>
<th>Pattern of sensitivity to antibacterial drugs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>O11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>K, H, E2</td>
<td>FS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>O11</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>K, H, E2</td>
<td>FS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>O4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>K, H</td>
<td>FS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>O4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>K, E2</td>
<td>FS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>O2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>K, E2</td>
<td>FS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>O6</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>V, A, B, E1, E2, I</td>
<td>R/Su + T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Rough</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>V, A, B, K, E1, E2, I</td>
<td>R/Su + T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Rough</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>V, A, B, K, E1, E2, I</td>
<td>R/Su + T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Bovine</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>K, H</td>
<td>FS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Bovine</td>
<td>O15</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>K, H</td>
<td>FS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Avian</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>K, H</td>
<td>FS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Porcine</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>K, H, E2</td>
<td>FS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NT = Untypable; = colicines not produced; + = colicines produced; V, A, B, K, H, E1, E2, I = standard colicines; FS = sensitive to ampicillin, neomycin, chloramphenicol, tetracycline, nitrofurantoin, and sulphafurazole; R/Su = resistant; Su = sulphonamide; T = tetracycline.

Five colonies of each colonial type of coliform appearing on the MacConkey plate, which contained no antibiotic, were confirmed as Escherichia coli and serotyped with O antisera 1–25, 39, and 75 as described previously (Cooke et al., 1969). Strains not agglutinated by these antisera are referred to as "untypable".

Colicine production and sensitivity to colicines. Colicine production by the ingested strains was detected by the method of Abbott and Shannon (1958) with E. coli strains Row (a derivative of strain K12) and phi (see Fredericq, 1957) as indicators. These two strains have been said to be sensitive to all colicines (Fredericq, 1948). The colicine sensitivity of the ingested strains to the standard colicines V, A, B, K, H, E1, E2, and I was also demonstrated by the method of Abbott and Shannon.

Ingested strains were tested for colicine activity against other strains of E. coli isolated from the faeces during the 2 wk before the ingestion of the strain, while the ingested strain was present in the bowel, and in the week after its disappearance.

Antibiotic-sensitivity test. The antibiotic sensitivity of the ingested strains was determined with Oxoid disks. The antibiotics and the amounts in the disks were ampicillin 25 μg, nitrofurantoin 200 μg, neomycin 30 μg, chloramphenicol 50 μg, nalidixic acid 30 μg, streptomycin 25 μg, tetracycline 50 μg, sulphafurazole 500 μg.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment number</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Strain ingested</th>
<th>Dose of E. coli: number of cells</th>
<th>Time in days between first and last positive specimen of faeces</th>
<th>Number of days on which the ingested strains formed the following proportions of the E. coli faecal flora:</th>
<th>Non-ingested strains of E. coli:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 20 per cent.</td>
<td>&lt; 20 per cent.</td>
<td>Isolates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1N</td>
<td>0.2 x 10^9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1N</td>
<td>1.0 x 10^9</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Not detected</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2N</td>
<td>0.9 x 10^9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2N</td>
<td>0.7 x 10^9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3N</td>
<td>0.5 x 10^9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>3N</td>
<td>0.9 x 10^7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>4N</td>
<td>2.2 x 10^7</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>5N</td>
<td>1.0 x 10^6</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>6N</td>
<td>1.0 x 10^6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>6N</td>
<td>1.0 x 10^6 on 3 consecutive days</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>6N</td>
<td>1.0 x 10^6 on 3 consecutive days</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>7S</td>
<td>1.0 x 10^7</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>8N</td>
<td>0.5 x 10^7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>9S</td>
<td>1.0 x 10^11</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>9N</td>
<td>1.7 x 10^7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>9N</td>
<td>1.1 x 10^7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>9N</td>
<td>1.3 x 10^7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>9N</td>
<td>1.0 x 10^7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>10N</td>
<td>0.8 x 10^7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>10N</td>
<td>0.4 x 10^7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>11N</td>
<td>0.1 x 10^7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>11N</td>
<td>1.1 x 10^7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>11N</td>
<td>1.1 x 10^7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>12N</td>
<td>0.2 x 10^7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>12N</td>
<td>1.0 x 10^11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = Nalidixic acid resistant; S = streptomycin resistant.
* Identified by serotyping 10 colonies; † identified by slide agglutination with OK antisera.
RESULTS

Fate of ingested strains

The periods during which the ingested strains were found in faeces are shown in table II. Except in one instance, the ingested strain was found in the next specimen of faeces if this was passed more than 12 hr after ingestion of the strain.

Effect of source of ingested strain

The periods for which the strains were detected in faeces varied between strains. Most were present for not more than 10 days, but one strain of human origin (strain 5, serotype O2) was present in subject A for 304 days and in subject C for 41 days, and one animal strain (strain 9, untypable) was present in subject A for 120 days after it had been ingested in very large numbers. There was probably no great difference between the persistence in the bowel of human and animal strains. The average times were respectively 9 and 6 days if strains that persisted for more than 1 mth were excluded; the times were calculated in this way in an attempt to eliminate host factors, because three of four strains that persisted more than 1 mth did so in one person.

Behaviour of strains in different persons

Generally, strains were present for longer in subject A (average 62 days) than in subjects B and C (average 8 and 10 days respectively) though most of
this difference was due to two strains that remained for long periods. The variety of strains isolated from subject A and the periods for which they persisted are shown in the figure.

**Effect of colicines on the establishment of ingested strains**

On some occasions the results were compatible with the view that colicines play a part in determining the fate of ingested *E. coli*; on other occasions this was not so. These findings are summarised below.

**Colicines apparently effective in vivo**

*Occasions on which an ingested strain displaced a strain resident in the bowel*

- Expt 10: strain 6, serotype O6, subject A, displaced a rough strain resident for 3 wk.
- Expt 12: strain 7, serotype O12, subject A, displaced strain 5, serotype O2 and serotype O75, both resident for 35 wk.

*Occasions on which strains with a short tenure in the bowel were sensitive to the colicine produced by an ingested strain*

- Expt 3: strain 2, serotype O11, subject B.
- Expt 10: strain 6, serotype O6, subject A.
  These each produced a colicine active against two other strains of short tenure.
- Expt 7: strain 4, serotype O4, subject B.
- Expt 8: strain 5, serotype O2, subject A.
  These each produced a colicine active against one other strain of short tenure.

*Occasions on which an ingested strain was displaced by a newly acquired strain producing a colicine active on it*

- Expt 13: strain 8, rough, subject B, displaced by a rough strain.
- Expt 24: strain 12, untypable, subject A, displaced by an untypable strain.

**Colicines effective in vitro but not in vivo**

*Occasions on which an ingested strain failed to displace a resident strain*

- Expts 10 and 11: strain 6, serotype O6, subject A. This failed to displace strain 5, serotype O2, and serotype O75, which were sensitive to the colicine produced by it. However, serotype O75 gradually became resistant to the colicine.

*Occasions on which a colicine-sensitive strain established itself in the bowel in the presence of a strain producing a colicine active against it*

- Expt 7: strain 4, serotype O4, subject B.
- Expt 14: strain 9, untypable, subject A.
  These displaced untypable strains that produced colicines active against
them. However, in both cases in-vitro activity could be demonstrated only after 24-hr incubation, but not after 48 hr.

Occasions on which strains persisted in the bowel in spite of the presence of strains producing colicines active against them

Expt 3: strain 2, serotype O11, subject B.
Expt 8: strain 5, serotype O2, subject A.

These strains persisted in the bowel despite the acquisition of strains of short tenure producing colicine active against them.

DISCUSSION

Many workers have reported the difficulty of implanting artificially introduced strains in the human and animal gut. In most experiments on human volunteers, the ingested strains were either not recovered at all, or persisted in the bowel for only a short time (Sears et al., 1950; Sears and Brownlee, 1952; Smith, 1969), and in only one instance was an ingested strain established for over a month (Sears and Brownlee).

In the present study the ingested organisms were recovered from the faeces in all but one instance. On most occasions they did not persist for long in the gut, but in four of 25 experiments the ingested strain did persist for more than a month. In three instances, the ingested strain persisted in the same subject (A), and in the fourth instance the strain that persisted in another subject (C) was also one that persisted in subject A. Differences between human and animal strains in their ability to colonise the human bowel were difficult to assess, but possibly human strains were slightly more able to do so than animal strains; this is similar to the findings reported by Smith. However, we had no difficulty in implanting animal strains, although usually they were present in the bowel for only a few days. On one occasion an animal strain ingested in very large dosage \(10^{11}\) organisms persisted in one of the volunteers for 120 days. In Smith's experiments the strains were not ingested in numbers as high as \(10^{11}\) at one dose, though \(10^6\) organisms were ingested daily for 7 days. The difference between these results may be related to individual variation: our experiments were performed on three individuals who differed in their responses. In addition, the strains used were resistant to only one antibiotic whereas Smith worked with multiply-resistant strains.

These results are difficult to interpret, for the part that host factors play in determining the fate of ingested \(E.\ coli\) is not known. Such factors do seem to be of importance, because in three of four instances when an ingested strain persisted for over a month in the bowel, it did so in the same subject.

The role of colicines in determining gut ecology was also difficult to assess. In some instances our results were compatible with the view that the ability of a strain to produce a colicine gave it a definite advantage in competing with susceptible strains for the same ecological niche.

On the other hand, some of our results suggested that colicines offered no selective advantage \textit{in vivo} though they seemed to be effective \textit{in vitro}. In one
instance, however, the failure of a colicine-producing strain (strain 6, serotype O6) to displace a colicine-sensitive strain (serotype O75 in subject A) was due to the development of tolerance by the sensitive strain. Seven isolates of serotype O75 recovered during a period of 4 wk were sensitive to the colicine produced by strain 6. Later isolates of serotype O75 were, however, insensitive to the colicine produced by strain 6, and it was recovered for 3 wk after strain 6 had disappeared from the faeces. Richardson, Seward and Green (1971) observed that colicine tolerance could be induced by exposure of exponential-phase colicine-sensitive bacteria to low concentrations of colicine.

On two occasions an ingested strain established itself in the gut of the host, so displacing a resident strain that produced a colicine to which the ingested strain was sensitive. The colicine produced in these two instances was ineffective \textit{in vivo} either because it was labile or because the colicinogenic strain concomitantly produced an inactivator—a possibility suggested by the observation that when these strains were tested \textit{in vitro} colicine activity was observed only after the producer strain had been incubated for 24 hr, but not for 48 hr.

One explanation that has been given for the lack of colicine activity \textit{in vivo} is that colicines are not present in the intestine in adequate concentration to eliminate sensitive organisms. This may be due to their destruction by proteolytic enzymes (Nomura, 1963), inactivation by endotoxins (Braude and Siemienicki, 1965; Chang and Hager, 1970), the labile nature of certain colicines (Mennigman, 1965; Kubota \textit{et al.}, 1969), or the concomitant production of an inactivator by colicinogenic strains as was shown in the case of a strain of \textit{Serratia marcescens} (Foulds and Shemin, 1969). The acquisition of R factors by intestinal bacteria can lead to a loss of colicinogeny due to elimination of a \textit{col} factor (Kato, Hanaoka and Amano, 1962; Kréméry, Hurwitz and Fredericq, 1970), or to the development of resistance due to inactivation of colicines by an R factor-mediated enzyme (Siccardi, 1966; Arai, Ogata and Watanabe, 1969). This may also contribute to the apparent ineffective role of colicines \textit{in vivo}.

Interactions between the host and the intestinal flora and the relationships that might exist between various intestinal micro-organisms would undoubtedly govern the ecology of the intestinal tract of man. Interpretation of phenomena occurring in such complex situations must therefore be made with reservations. Other limitations to this type of work are the imprecision due to variation between individuals and to the fact that the viable bacterial counts of faecal dilutions, as determined in this study, show only the "net rate of change". As Meynell and Subbaiah (1963) pointed out, it would be of advantage to know the rate of division, the death rate, and the rate at which ingested organisms are excreted into the faeces, in interpreting results from experiments of the type reported here.

In view of the limitations involved, the conditions that determine the fate of ingested \textit{E. coli} cannot be accurately defined. However, colicines seem to be one of the factors that determine intestinal ecology. One of the most interesting aspects of this investigation was that it was easily possible to produce temporary colonisation of the human gut by animal strains. The importance of this when considering the use of antibiotics in animal husbandry is clear.
SUMMARY

Cultures of *Escherichia coli* of human and animal origin were ingested by three normal persons. It was easy to produce temporary colonisation of the bowel by strains from both sources. Considerable variation in duration of carriage was observed. Some of the results were compatible with the view that colicines determined the fate of ingested strains. In other instances, however, colicines were ineffective *in vivo* although activity could be demonstrated *in vitro*.
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