Comparison of the effects of anaerobic and micro-aerophilic incubation on resistance of *Helicobacter pylori* to metronidazole
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To assess the influence of incubation conditions on the resistance of *Helicobacter pylori* this study compared the effect of micro-aerophilic and anaerobic incubation followed by micro-aerophilic incubation on the measurement of metronidazole resistance of 102 *H. pylori* isolates, by both disk diffusion and Epsilometer (E)-tests. Anaerobic incubation for 24 h before micro-aerophilic incubation for 48 h consistently increased metronidazole activity in both assay methods. Although statistically significant, this was microbiologically less significant, as only 4 of 102 isolates gave discrepant readings (all four were resistant in micro-aerophilic conditions but susceptible in anaerobic/micro-aerophilic conditions). In all four cases variation was by a few millimeters in zone size (i.e., all were close to the cut-off point). There was 100% agreement between disk diffusion and E-test results. Of 104 observations (52 duplicate assays: 13 strains, two atmospheric conditions, two methods of determining resistance) there was 100% intra-observer and inter-observer agreement with regard to susceptibility and resistance status for both E-test and disk diffusion methods. Anaerobic incubation followed by micro-aerophilic incubation had little effect on the estimation of prevalence of metronidazole resistance and seemed to add little, if any, significant advantage over micro-aerophilic incubation alone.

Introduction

An earlier study reported *Helicobacter pylori* metronidazole resistance levels of 90% in a UK Bangladeshi population when gastric biopsy isolates were assayed under micro-aerophilic conditions [1]. Recent reports suggest that strains resistant to metronidazole under micro-aerophilic atmospheric conditions become susceptible following prior anaerobic incubation [2–5]. This observation led us to question the validity of our initial conclusions [1] and the conclusions of others [6–8]. Therefore, the present study tested the null hypothesis that there was no difference in resistance rates whether determined by micro-aerophilic incubation for 72 h or by anaerobic incubation for 24 h followed by micro-aerophilic incubation for 48 h. This null hypothesis was tested with both the conventional disk diffusion assay and the Epsilometer (E)-test. The reproducibility of results was also determined.

Materials and methods

*H. pylori* strains and culture

Gastric *H. pylori* isolates used in this study (n = 102) were collected from patients attending The Royal London Hospital endoscopy unit. The collection and primary culture of 100 of the 102 strains have been described previously [1]. Isolates were stored in liquid nitrogen as described previously [9]. *H. pylori* strain NCTC 11637 (National Collection of Type Cultures, 61 Colindale Avenue, London; type strain) was used as a control. As in the previous study [1] *H. pylori* NCTC 11637 was grown on Brucella agar supplemented with 5% horse blood, and subcultured on the same medium every 2 days until 100 colonies were obtained. The subcultures were then stored in liquid nitrogen.
strains 12822 and 12823 were used as metronidazole-susceptible and -resistant control strains, respectively. *Bacteroides fragilis* (NCTC 9343) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (NCTC 10332) were used as obligate anaerobic and obligate aerobic control strains.

Frozen cultures were inoculated on to Brain Heart Infusion Agar (BHA; CM375, Oxoid) containing defibrinated horse blood (Oxoid) 5–10% and *H. pylori* selective supplement (SR-147E Oxoid) containing (/L) vancomycin 10 mg, trimethoprim 5 mg, cefsulidin 5 mg and amphotericin B 5 mg. Plates were incubated for 3 days in micro-aerophilic conditions (O₂ 5%, CO₂ 5% and N₂ 90%) created with a gas generating kit (*Campylobacter* system gas generating kit; Oxoid, BR42). The isolates were further sub-cultured on BHIA with defibrinated horse blood 5% and incubated in micro-aerophilic conditions.

*H. pylori* susceptibility testing and incubation conditions

*H. pylori* colonies were harvested into Brucella Broth (0495-17-3; Difco, West Molesey) to obtain a turbidity equivalent to McFarland standard 4 (c. 10⁸ cfu/ml). A sterile cotton-tipped swab was dipped into the *H. pylori* suspension and streaked evenly across a Brucella Blood Agar (BBA; 0964-17-5; Difco) plate. The plates were briefly dried, then (i) a 5-µg metronidazole disk (059820; Oxoid) was placed on the centre of two BA plates and (ii) a plastic metronidazole E-test strip (AB Biodisk, Solna, Sweden) was applied to another two BBA plates for each strain tested. One pair of plates (i.e., one disk diffusion and one E-test plate) was incubated in micro-aerophilic conditions for 72 h at 37°C; the other pair was incubated in an anaerobic cabinet (H₂ 10%, CO₂ 10% and N₂ 80%; Don Whitely Scientific, Shipley) for 24 h at 37°C, then in micro-aerophilic conditions for 48 h. Control strains were included with all incubations.

Interpretation of susceptibility tests

For the disk diffusion test, isolates were considered resistant when the zone of clearance (edge of disk to edge of zone) was ≤10 mm around the metronidazole disk [1]. For the E-test, MICs were determined by the point of intersection of the zone of inhibition with the graded E-test strip according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. Isolates were considered resistant when the MIC value was ≥8 mg/L. E-test and disk diffusion readings were read independently of one another.

Intra-observer and inter-observer variation

Intra-observer variation was assessed with 13 strains (including three type strains), seven of which were metronidazole-resistant isolates and six were susceptible. Each strain was incubated under the two different atmospheric conditions with both E-test and disk diffusion as measures of resistance. Each assay was read on two separate occasions by one individual in a blinded fashion. Inter-observer variation was assessed with 10 strains different to those used in the intra-observer studies and also with the three type strains. As for the intra-observer assessment, seven were resistant isolates, six susceptible. Methods were as for assessing intra-observer variation.

Statistical methods

The Wilcoxon matched pairs signed ranked sum test for paired non-parametric data was used to compare zone diameters and MICs for the two atmospheric conditions [10].

Results

The disk diffusion assay with incubation in micro-aerophilic conditions revealed metronidazole resistance in 60 (59%) of 102 strains compared with 55 strains (54%) in the original study [1]; 92% of isolates gave the same susceptibility pattern. Two strains were found to contain subpopulations of resistant and susceptible strains; one was recorded as susceptible and one as resistant in the original study.

For the 102 strains incubated under both micro-aerophilic and anaerobic/micro-aerophilic conditions, four (3.9%) produced discrepant results; all four were resistant in micro-aerophilic conditions but susceptible in anaerobic/micro-aerophilic conditions. In all four cases, variation was by a few millimeters in some size (i.e., all were close to the cut-off point). There was 100% agreement between disk diffusion and E-test results (including the discrepancy of the four isolates above) when the different atmospheric incubation conditions were compared.

Anaerobic incubation for 24 h before micro-aerophilic incubation for 48 h gave consistently larger zones of clearance in the disk diffusion assay and lower MIC values in the E-test (Table 1). Although statistically significant, this was microbiologically less significant, as can be seen both in the variation in readings under the two different incubation conditions, and the overall change in resistance status of the 102 isolates.

Intra-observer and inter-observer variation

Of 104 observations (52 duplicate assays: 13 strains, two atmospheric conditions, two methods for determining resistance) there was 100% intra-observer agreement for susceptibility and resistance status. All except one of the 26 duplicate E-test readings were identical; the exception gave E-test MIC values of 1.5 and 2 mg/L. Twenty of 26 duplicate disk diffusion diameter readings were identical to within 1 mm. Of the six discrepant pairs, variation was as follows: 35 mm
versus 36 mm, 30 mm versus 32 mm, 12 mm versus 15 mm, 28 mm versus 30 mm, 22 mm versus 26 mm and 37 mm versus 38 mm. Where such variation occurred it appeared to be systematic, with the first of the two readings being recorded as the lower value.

There was 100% inter-observer agreement for susceptibility and resistance status with both E-test and disk diffusion methods; 23 (88%) of 26 duplicate E-test readings were identical. Discrepant readings were as follows: 0.38 versus 0.25 mg/L; 0.75 versus 0.65 mg/L and 0.19 versus 0.125 mg/L. Nineteen (73%) of 26 duplicate disk diffusion diameter readings were identical to within 1 mm. Of the discrepant pairs, variation was random, four were discrepant by 1 mm and two by 2 mm.

Discussion

Concern has been expressed at the lack of standardised methods for assessing metronidazole resistance in *H. pylori* [4]. This is important, as it may partially explain the wide variation in reported resistance rates both between and within different countries. However, several studies based on disk diffusion under microaerophilic conditions have shown an association between metronidazole resistance and lower *H. pylori* eradication rates following therapies which included metronidazole [11–14] and this would suggest that these methods have clinical importance.

Data from the present study suggest that the results from the original study [1] remain valid and that a 24-h period of anaerobic incubation before microaerophilic incubation in a population-based survey does not appreciably alter the proportion of isolates recorded as metronidazole susceptible. However, anaerobic pre-incubation did enhance the activity of metronidazole, and although this was statistically significant, it was only occasionally (4% in this study) of apparent microbiological significance, i.e., for isolates with a zone of inhibition or MIC that is close to the cut-off point for resistance. The viability of *H. pylori* after anaerobic incubation for 24 h was not assessed.

The data in Table 1 suggest that many *H. pylori* were killed by the increased uptake of the drug in anaerobic conditions. These data fit with observations suggesting that the nitro group in metronidazole is reduced in anaerobic conditions, resulting in a product that damages the DNA of *H. pylori* and results in cell death [15]. It is uncertain whether this process occurs in microaerophilic conditions or whether the reduced metronidazole is converted back to its parent compound by futile cycling [3]. In contrast, other studies have shown a much greater effect following a 24-h anaerobic pre-incubation period [2, 3, 16, 17]. This difference may be a reflection of the larger number of strains tested in the present study. The effect of a higher *H. pylori* inoculum density on metronidazole resistance was not investigated in the present study.

While some reports suggest that E-test results correlate with disk diffusion and agar dilution assays for *H. pylori* [2, 17–19], others have not shown such a good association [18, 20]. The data in the present study suggest that the association between the two methods is good. The reproducibility of both methods is demonstrated by the good agreement in intra- and inter-observer comparisons.

In conclusion, while anaerobic conditions marginally increased the susceptibility of *H. pylori* to metronidazole in comparison with micro-aerophilic incubation, its effects on the measured prevalence of metronidazole resistance in over 100 gastric isolates were minimal. These findings validate the conclusions of earlier studies [1, 6–8] examining the prevalence of metronidazole resistance of *H. pylori* in microaerophilic incubation conditions.
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**Table 1. Relationship between metronidazole disk diffusion and E-test assays for 102 *H. pylori* strains incubated micro-aerophilically for 72 h and anaerobically for 24 h followed by micro-aerophilic incubation for 48 h**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay</th>
<th>Incubation conditions</th>
<th>Zone size or MIC</th>
<th>Number (%) of resistant strains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disk diffusion</td>
<td>Micro-aerophilic</td>
<td>2 mm</td>
<td>60 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disk diffusion</td>
<td>Anaerobic/micro-aerophilic</td>
<td>7 mm</td>
<td>56 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-test</td>
<td>Micro-aerophilic</td>
<td>32 mg/L</td>
<td>61 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-test</td>
<td>Anaerobic/micro-aerophilic</td>
<td>28 mg/L</td>
<td>57 (56)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p = 0.0001, Wilcoxon matched pairs signed ranked sum test to compare zone of clearance with disk diffusion assay under micro-aerophilic incubation versus anaerobic/micro-aerophilic incubation (agreement 96%); p = 0.001, Wilcoxon matched pairs signed ranked sum test to compare MIC of E-test assay under micro-aerophilic incubation versus anaerobic/micro-aerophilic incubation (agreement 96%); concordance of susceptibility result between E-test and disk diffusion assays = 100%.*


