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A comparison of methods of phenotypic and genotypic fingerprinting of *Exophiala dermatitidis* isolated from sputum samples of patients with cystic fibrosis
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Phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of 11 strains of *Exophiala dermatitidis* were investigated. Ten strains (including three reference strains) were isolated from sputum samples of six patients with cystic fibrosis (CF) in Germany, and one reference strain was isolated from a patient with phaeohyphomycosis in Japan. The strains showed differences in their ability to assimilate sorbitol, palatinose, rhamnose, gluconate and melezitose, leading to the differentiation of seven auxotypes. The IC30 of amphotericin B, and ketoconazole and itraconazole, respectively, indicated susceptibility, whereas the IC30 of fluconazole and 5-fluorocytosine indicated resistance in all strains. Protein patterns in SDS-PAGE revealed no major differences. The glycoconjugate patterns distinguished the Japanese strain from the other strains. Cluster analysis of whole-cell fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) profiles with the Microbial Identification System (MIS) revealed two major clusters separating a reference strain and the Japanese strain from the other strains. Analysis of patterns resulting from random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) with two arbitrary primers showed four genotypes. Comparison of the results revealed no agreement between the different fingerprinting methods, except the separation of the Japanese strain from the European CF strains. As the results of assimilation tests seem to vary between different laboratories, the analysis of FAME profiles and RAPD analysis are recommended for typing *E. dermatitidis*.

Introduction

The black yeast *Exophiala dermatitidis* (Kano) de Hoog 1977 (synonym *Wangiella dermatitidis* McGinnis) is a dematiaceous hyphomycete with a synanamorph yeast form. It is an important aetiological agent in phaeohyphomycosis [1]. Phaeohyphomycosis is a group of a subcutaneous and systemic infections caused by fungi with melanin-like pigment in the wall of hyphae [2]. The agents are numerous and varied in their generic classification; however, most of them belong to the dematiaceous hyphomycetes. The most important agent of cystic lesions is *Exophiala jeanselmei*. Cerebral infections are most often caused by *Xylohypha* (*Cladosporium*) *trichoides*. *E. dermatitidis* causes subcutaneous and deep infections and is neurotropic [2]. From 1934 to 1992, 37 cases of phaeohyphomycosis due to *E. dermatitidis* were described with a mortality rate of 48% [1]. It has also been cultured from sputum samples in 9–16% of European patients with cystic fibrosis (CF) [3, 4]. However, the clinical significance of the isolation of *E. dermatitidis* in these patients remains unknown. In one published case, a clinically relevant pulmonary infection of a patient with CF was suspected, based on serological findings and the response to treatment with itraconazole [5].

Very few data are available on phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of the yeast that would allow detailed epidemiological investigations [6–8]. In one study, ribotyping and random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) were used to investigate 21 strains of this species, leading to differentiation of two genotypes by ribotyping, and seven genotypes by RAPD analysis [6].

To gain more information about subtyping, 11 isolates were compared by the following phenotypic and genotypic methods: (i) assimilation of carbon sources; (ii) susceptibility to antimycotic agents; (iii) protein patterns; (iv) glycoconjugate patterns; (v) profiles of
long-chain fatty acids; and (vi) patterns of randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD).

**Materials and methods**

**Strains**

Seven strains of *E. dermatitidis* were isolated from sputum samples of three patients with CF at the Department of Paediatrics in Essen, Germany, on Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (Unipath). Identification was based on the typical macroscopic and microscopic morphology, growth at 40°C, evidence of melanin synthesis, production of urease and inability to utilise nitrate and nitrite [6, 9]. Strains were stored at -70°C until use. Four reference strains, including three strains from three patients with CF, were obtained from the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (CBS), Barn, Netherlands. The sources of strains are listed in Table 1.

**Assimilation tests**

To determine the assimilation of different carbon substrates, the API ID 32C system (API bioMérieux, Marcy-l’Etoile, France) originally developed for the identification of yeasts was used. The system was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions, with some modifications: a larger inoculum (c. 10⁶ cfu/ml) and the strips were incubated at 28°C for 5 days. To verify reproducibility, the test was performed three times, with different subcultures of the strains.

**Susceptibility testing**

Susceptibility testing was performed with amphotericin B (Becton Dickinson BBL, Heidelberg, Germany) for 4 days at 36°C. Cells (c. 3–4 g) from three agar plates (diameter 9.5 cm) were harvested in 10 ml of NaCl 0.9% solution, washed twice in NaCl solution and once in Tris-EDTA buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA). Cells were suspended in 5 ml of cold Tris-EDTA buffer containing 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride (PMSF) and pepstatin (Boehringer Mannheim, Germany) 5 μg/ml.

Glass beads (0.45–0.7 mm diameter) were added, 2 g/ml of cell suspension, and the mixture was vortex mixed at maximum speed three times for 5 min each, with intermittent cooling on ice, in a L 46 Vortexer (Gesellschaft für Laborbedarf, Würzburg, Germany). The procedure resulted in c. 90% cell disruption as determined by microscopy. The suspensions were centrifuged for 5 min at 5000 g and 4°C. The supernatants were centrifuged for 20 min at 10 000 g and 4°C. The clear supernatants were harvested and stored at -70°C until further use. Their protein content was determined by the Modified Lowry Protein Assay (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA).

Samples were boiled for 5 min in sample buffer (125 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, SDS 4%, glycerol 20%, 2-mercaptoethanol 10%). Proteins were separated by electrophoresis in SDS-polyacrylamide 7.5% and 12% gels [12]. Gels were stained with Coomassie Blue R (Serva, Heidelberg, Germany).

For glycan detection, the boiled extracts were separated in an SDS-polyacrylamide 7.5% gel. The glycoproteins were transferred to nitrocellulose sheets (0.45-μm pore size, Schleicher and Schuell, Dassel, Germany) in transfer buffer (25 mM Tris, pH 8.2–8.4, and asparagine 0.15% was used as the growth medium. Breakpoints were fixed for amphotericin B, ketoconazole and itraconazole at 2 mg/L, for 5-fluorocytosine at 80 mg/L and for fluconazole at 16 mg/L [10, 11]. All tests were done in duplicate.

**Protein and glycoconjugate separation by SDS-PAGE**

Strains were cultured on Sabouraud Glucose Agar (Becton Dickinson BBL, Heidelberg, Germany) for 4 days at 36°C. Cells (c. 3–4 g) from three agar plates (diameter 9.5 cm) were harvested in 10 ml of NaCl 0.9% solution, washed twice in NaCl solution and once in Tris-EDTA buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA). Cells were suspended in 5 ml of cold Tris-EDTA buffer containing 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride (PMSF) and pepstatin (Boehringer Mannheim, Germany) 5 μg/ml.

Glass beads (0.45–0.7 mm diameter) were added, 2 g/ml of cell suspension, and the mixture was vortex mixed at maximum speed three times for 5 min each, with intermittent cooling on ice, in a L 46 Vortexer (Gesellschaft für Laborbedarf, Würzburg, Germany). The procedure resulted in c. 90% cell disruption as determined by microscopy. The suspensions were centrifuged for 5 min at 5000 g and 4°C. The supernatants were centrifuged for 20 min at 10 000 g and 4°C. The clear supernatants were harvested and stored at -70°C until further use. Their protein content was determined by the Modified Lowry Protein Assay (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA).

Samples were boiled for 5 min in sample buffer (125 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, SDS 4%, glycerol 20%, 2-mercaptoethanol 10%). Proteins were separated by electrophoresis in SDS-polyacrylamide 7.5% and 12% gels [12]. Gels were stained with Coomassie Blue R (Serva, Heidelberg, Germany).

For glycan detection, the boiled extracts were separated in an SDS-polyacrylamide 7.5% gel. The glycoproteins were transferred to nitrocellulose sheets (0.45-μm pore size, Schleicher and Schuell, Dassel, Germany) in transfer buffer (25 mM Tris, pH 8.2–8.4,

---

**Table 1. Sources of *E. dermatitidis* strains**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain no.</th>
<th>Source/Synonym</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Underlying disease</th>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Month/year of isolation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Patient A</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>02/1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Patient B</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>10/1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Patient B</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>03/1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Patient C</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>06/1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Patient C</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>03/1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Patient C</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>01/1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Patient C</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>03/1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CBS 149.90</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>Not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CBS 154.90</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>Not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>CBS 552.90</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>Not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>CBS 207.35</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Facial phaeohyphomycosis</td>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>Not known</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CBS, Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures.
192 mM glycine, methanol 15%, SDS 0.05%) at 400 mA constant current for 30 min with ice-water cooling, in a Hoefer transphor chamber (Hoefer Scientific Instruments, San Francisco, CA, USA). The glycoconjugates were detected on nitrocellulose sheets with the DIG Glycan Detection Kit (Boehringer Mannheim, Germany). To verify reproducibility, all experiments were performed twice.

**Fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) profile analysis**

Isolates were analysed with the Microbial Identification System (MIS) of MIDI (Microbial ID, Inc., Newark, DE, USA) [13]. Cultivation was made on Sabouraud Glucose Agar for 5 days at 28°C. Approximately 150 mg of cells were used for each sample. Samples were saponified with NaOH 15% w/v in aqueous methanol 50% v/v, methylated with methanolic HCl, extracted in hexane/methyl-t-butyl-ether, and cleaned in NaOH saturated with NaCl, according to the manufacturers’ instructions [14].

The organic layers were removed and injected into a gas chromatographic system (Hewlett Packard Co., Avondale, PA, USA), consisting of a model 5890 Series II Plus gas chromatograph, equipped with a 5% phenylmethyl silicone capillary column (Hewlett Packard Co., Ultra 2, 25 m × 0.2 mm × 0.33 μm), a split/splitless capillary inlet system, a flame ionisation detector, a model 6890 injector with automatic sampler and a model Vectra XU 5/90 C computer sampler and a model Vectra XU 5/90 C computer (Hewlett Packard Co., Ultra 2, 25 m × 0.2 mm × 0.33 μm), a split/splitless capillary inlet system, a flame ionisation detector, a model 6890 injector with automatic sampler and a model Vectra XU 5/90 C computer (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA, USA).

The primers used for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) were synthesised by Pharmacia (Freiburg i. B., Germany): primer 1 (R108, 5'-GCTGGTGG-3') was described previously by Aufauvre-Brown et al. [17]. The sequence of primer 2 (5'-GTATTGCCCT-3') was published by Loudon et al. [18]. Both primers have been used successfully for fingerprinting Aspergillus fumigatus [12, 17, 18]. DNA amplification with the single primers and a combination of the two primers was performed under non-stringent conditions (3 mM MgCl₂, 35°C annealing temperature), as described previously [12]. All experiments were done in duplicate.

**Results**

The 11 *E. dermatitidis* strains differed neither in macroscopic nor in microscopic morphology. In the API 32C identification system, all strains assimilated sucrose, arabinose, maltose, trehalose, 2-keto-gluconate, D-xylene, glycerol, mannitol, glucose and sorbose. As shown in Table 2, differences were found in the assimilation of sorbitol (five strains positive), palatinose (one strain positive), rhamnose (one strain positive), gluconate (one strain positive) and melezitose

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sorbitol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatinose</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhamnose</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gluconate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melezitose</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxotype</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>VI</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>VII</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+, assimilation; -, no assimilation.

**RAPD analysis**

Strains were cultured on Sabouraud Glucose Agar for 48 h at 36°C. Two 10-µl loops full of culture material were suspended in 500 µl of lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.8, 100 mM EDTA). Lauroylsarcosine (Serva) 2% and protease K (Boehringer Mannheim) 1 mg/ml were added. The suspensions were incubated at 60°C for 1 h followed by a boiling step for 5 min. Thereafter, a phenol-chloroform (Roth-Phenol, Carl Roth, Germany) extraction was performed twice. DNA was precipitated by adding 0.2 volumes of 10 M ammonium acetate and 2 volumes of ethanol 96%. After a centrifugation step for 5 min at 14 000 g, the pellets were washed in 500 µl of ethanol 70% and dried in a desiccator for 10 min. Subsequently, the DNA was suspended in 200 µl of TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA), and 50 µl of RNAase A (10 mg/ml; Boehringer Mannheim) were added. The mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 3 h. The DNA concentrations were determined with the DNA Dipstick (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA, USA).
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(eight strains positive). On the basis of the assimilation of these five substrates, seven auxotypes (I–VII) were found.

In susceptibility testing, all strains showed identical IC30 values with amphotericin B (<0.125 mg/L), 5-fluorocytosine (>100 mg/L), ketoconazole (0.25 mg/L) and itraconazole (0.125–0.25 mg/L), whereas the IC30 values for fluconazole were 8 mg/L in strain no. 11, and 16 to >32 mg/L in the other strains.

Analysis of the protein patterns revealed no major differences. All isolates showed major bands in the range of 110, 105, 87, 75, 53, 48/47, 38, 33/32, 27 and 17/18 kDa.

Analysis of the glycoconjugate patterns revealed major bands in the range 190, 170, 100, 82, 72 and 58 kDa in all isolates (Fig. 1). However, isolate no. 11 (the Japanese strain) showed an additional band at 160 kDa, not seen in the other strains.

The MIS system, which is based on FAME profiles, identified all strains correctly as *E. dermatitidis*. In the cluster analysis, strains 8 and 11 were clearly separated from the other strains (Fig. 2). In addition, the second cluster was separated into a sub-cluster with two strains (nos. 7 and 10) and a sub-cluster with seven strains (nos. 1–6 and 9). Therefore, three FAME chemotypes (A, B-1 and B-2) were found.

In the RAPD analysis with primer 1, strains nos. 1–7, 9 and 10, showed identical patterns with major bands at 1300, 1100, 920, 770 and 740 bp (Fig. 3). However, strains 8 and 11 were different. With primer 2, isolates 1–7 showed identical patterns, again. Strains nos. 9 and 10 were different from nos. 1–7. This primer also differentiated strains 8 and 11 from each other and from the remaining strains (Fig. 4). Combining the two primers resulted in non-reproducible patterns.

Comparing the six typing methods, the protein patterns had no discriminatory power. The glycoconjugate patterns distinguished between the Japanese strain (strain II) and the European strains. The FAME analysis revealed three chemotypes and the RAPD analysis revealed four genotypes. The assimilation tests differentiated seven auxotypes, and even differed between isolates obtained from the same patients (Table 3).

**Discussion**

The techniques used in this study showed differences in the capacity to differentiate between 11 *E. dermatitidis* strains. The analysis of Coomassie Blue-stained protein patterns, as well as the results of susceptibility testing,
software. With this software, the system identified all strains correctly as *E. dermatitidis*. In addition, the system has been used successfully for fingerprinting and clustering bacterial strains, e.g., methicillin-resistant strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* [20]. Cluster analysis of FAME profiles by MIS of the *E. dermatitidis* isolates discriminated a CF reference strain (no. 8) and the epidemiologically unrelated Japanese strain (no. 11) from the other CF strains, which were further sub-clustered into two clusters. In bacterial strains, an ED of 6–10 represents the same species but different chemotypes and an ED of 10–25 represents different species [16]. However, whether this is also true for eukaryotic cells like yeasts is not clear. In one study [21], strains of *Candida albicans* and *C. tropicalis* were clearly separated from *C. lusitaniae* strains with an ED > 10 [21]. As the ED (c. 12) between the two major clusters (A and B) was nearer to 10 than to 25 in the present study on *E. dermatitidis*, the result was interpreted as borderline.

The most discriminating typing was obtained by assimilation tests. However, the results of the assimilation tests differ from previous data. Espinel-Ingroff et al. [22] and Haase et al. [3] demonstrated that the absence of melezitose assimilation is a useful criterion for identifying *E. dermatitidis*. In contrast, Blaschke-Hellmessen et al. [4] included only those strains that assimilated melezitose in their study. In this study, with the same assay as Haase et al. [3] eight strains were found to assimilate melezitose. Three of these strains were found to be melezitose negative by Haase et al. [3]. The results of assimilation assays may differ because of different technical procedures, e.g., inoculum, temperature and duration of incubation, which were not described in previous studies [3,4]. Interestingly, different auxotypes were found in the same patients. As assimilation patterns were totally reproducible, the results indicate that phenotypically different strains occurred in one host or that phenotypic switching occurred.

In previous studies with DNA-DNA hybridisation and

![Fig. 4. RAPD patterns of five *E. dermatitidis* strains, generated by primer 2. The numbers above the lanes indicate the strain numbers (see Table 1).](image)

were insufficient for strain differentiation. The results of susceptibility testing were in agreement with data previously published [4, 19]. All isolates were resistant to 5-fluorocytosine and fluconazole, but susceptible to amphotericin B, ketoconazole and itraconazole. In glycoconjugate patterns, the Japanese strain showed differences from the European strains.

The MIS system, based on cell-bound fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) analysis by gas chromatography, was established for the identification of bacterial species. A library for fungi is also included in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain no.</th>
<th>Patient, source*</th>
<th>Phenotypic fingerprinting</th>
<th>Genotypic fingerprinting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CBS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CBS</td>
<td>VI</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>CBS</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>CBS</td>
<td>VII</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CBS, Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures.
*See Table 1 for details.
restriction fragment analysis, a close relationship between strains of *E. dermatitidis* was found [7, 8]. By ribotyping the small subunit rDNA, 21 *E. dermatitidis* strains could be separated into two clusters [6]. By analysis of RAPD patterns of the 21 strains with four different primers, seven genotypes were found [6]. Three of these strains – two reference CF strains (nos. 8 and 9) and the Japanese strain – were found strains with four different primers, seven genotypes could be separated from each other and the present study. The identical patterns of the strains from patients were colonised by the same genotype but different phenotypes of *E. dermatitidis*. However, the interpretation of RAPD results suffers from some limitations, as strains with identical patterns generated by only a few primers might be different when investigated with more primers or primer combinations [12]. Unfortunately, the combination of the two primers failed to generate reproducible patterns in the PCR.

It is concluded that some of the phenotypic methods used in this study were insufficient for fingerprinting *E. dermatitidis*. Results of assimilation tests seem to be highly variable between different laboratories. Susceptibility patterns, protein and glycoconjugate patterns did not allow strain differentiation of isolates from patients with CF. Discrimination of three clusters was possible by the FAME profiles, but the results were not in agreement with those of the genotypic analysis, which identified four genotypes. Both methods are recommended for typing *E. dermatitidis*.

We thank Mr D. Schmidt for his excellent technical assistance.
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