Antituberculosis drug resistance: practical solutions to practical problems

In tuberculosis (TB) programmes across the world, short-course chemotherapy using rifampicin has been shown to be one of the most effective and cost-effective health interventions in the entire health sector [1]. Despite this, and the fact that we have had the drugs and knowledge to cure TB for years, the number of TB cases in the world is still likely to rise in the next decade [2]. We have known why drug resistance develops and how it can be prevented for decades, but in the last few years outbreaks of TB resistant to all the drugs in standard use have been reported by several countries. The outlook for patients with multi-drug resistant disease is poor, their chances of being cured are low, and as treatment is much more expensive [3], health services will not be able to treat them effectively. They will, nevertheless, consume considerable resources and will probably transmit infection with resistant strains to others before they die.

The number of people who develop active tuberculosis each year is likely to rise from 7.5 million in 1990, to over 10 million by the year 2000 [2]. The principal reasons for this are the global population increase, poverty, inefficient TB programmes that do not stop transmissions of the disease, and the HIV epidemic. The greatest burden of disease (>90%) is in developing countries, but this is a global problem. With increasing foreign travel, much of the disease now seen in developed countries has been acquired overseas. If the infection is acquired in an area where there are high levels of drug resistance, then there is a significant chance that the disease that subsequently develops will be resistant.

The introduction of streptomycin in the mid-1940s offered the first hope of really effective treatment, but when it was administered in isolation, streptomycin-resistant organisms could be demonstrated in 80% of patients within 3 months [4]. This was because the bacterial load within a tuberculous cavity is such that the resistant organisms could be demonstrated in 80% of mycin-resistant mutants will by chance be present. There are high levels of drug resistance, then there is a significant chance that the disease that subsequently develops will be resistant.

Patients who have received treatment before may exhibit acquired drug resistance. In most situations it is not feasible to perform susceptibility testing before re-treatment. The standard re-treatment regimen should be used, which will minimise the risk of failure due to acquired resistance to isoniazid and streptomycin. Five drugs – streptomycin, rifampicin, isoniazid, ethambutol and pyrazinamide – are administered under close supervision for the initial 3 months, followed by three drugs similarly supervised for a further 5 months. In well-run programmes the risk of failure or relapse following this regimen is <10% [8]. A fundamental rule in the management of patients who may have drug resistance is that one should never add a single drug to a failing regimen [9].

Abuses of anti-TB drugs occur at many levels as described below.

Physicians may prescribe ineffective, non-standard anti-TB regimens, or may prescribe rifampicin for non-TB uses such as the treatment of sexually transmitted disease. One study in Bombay showed that 100 private practitioners were using 80 different regimens to treat TB [10]. In a study of American patients with multi-drug resistance, errors in previous management were detected in 80%, with an average of 3.9 errors per patient [11].
**Patients** may take only some of the tablets prescribed (even when they are allegedly being 'supervised') and may fail to complete their course of treatment [12]. In many health services, pills are supplied loose, and dispensed into a screwed up piece of paper. Even in developed countries, patients often do not take the right number of pills in the right combination. As patients may be taking over 10 pills/day, it is not surprising that this is a major source of patients' complaints and confusion. Where there is a black market for TB drugs, patients may sell some of their medication, even if it is supplied free of charge, or may distribute some to other family members.

**Pharmacists** may inadvertently make errors in dispensing and calculating doses. Alternatively, they may supply the incorrect medication when certain drugs are out of stock, or when the patient cannot afford them.

**Drug manufacturers** may produce drugs with poor bioavailability, especially when three drugs are combined [13], as this may be associated with a marked decrease in the bio-equivalence of rifampicin. Poor drug quality with subtherapeutic dosages and counterfeit drugs are additional threats: if some drugs have no active ingredients then patients are effectively receiving mono or dual therapy.

**Programmes** may be poorly organised, with inadequate resources and logistics. This has resulted in the emergence of resistance in developing countries, like India, and in more developed areas such as New York. When antituberculosis chemotherapy has been inappropriately used for several years, levels of primary multi-drug resistance may be as high as 7.5%. By contrast, where there has been a consistently well-run programme multi-drug resistance in new cases should be \(\leq 1\%\) [14].

**Possible solutions**

Just as the problems occur at many levels, and are very often due to practical problems, rather than technical or scientific failings, a range of imaginative solutions that address the shortcomings of individuals and health systems will be necessary. New drugs for TB are unlikely in the near future, and would, in any case, offer only a temporary solution before further resistance develops unless effective strategies to prevent resistance are in use. In many countries all the following interventions will be necessary to prevent resistance levels from escalating.

Effective national programmes are necessary to prevent transmission within the community. Clear protocols, incorporating standardised drug regimens used by properly trained staff, maximise the chances that all patients will receive effective treatment. The national programme should supply adequate quantities of high quality drugs for these regimens to be used effectively. Use of sputum microscopy increases the specificity of TB diagnosis, and ensures that priority is given to the most infectious cases. Monitoring sputum conversion rates and the results of treatment demonstrates the effectiveness of treatment [15]. Improving the quality of the programme decreases the prevalence of resistant strains, as has been seen in Korea, Beijing and New York [16–18].

Direct observation of the patient as they take their medication improves adherence to the prescribed regimen and provides less opportunity for resistance to develop. Introduction of directly observed therapy in Tarrant County, Texas, resulted in the levels of drug resistance falling [19].

Packaging all drugs in combipacks makes it easier for patients to adhere to the treatment that has been prescribed, but there are still many pills to be taken and the possibility for selectively omitting certain drugs remains. If drugs are physically combined in the same preparation, then they cannot be used in isolation (by either patient or provider), and the subsequent emergence of resistant organisms is reduced. Physicians are more likely to prescribe an effective regimen, and the opportunity for inadvertent medication errors is decreased. Fixed dose combination drugs also simplify many of the logistical problems which contribute to drug shortages and the development of drug resistance in many countries. Despite all these benefits, use of triple dose combination drugs is limited in most of the world, although they are widely used in the UK. This is partly due to concerns about the bio-availability of rifampicin, partly because of cost concerns, and in some places because of concerns about 'clinical freedom'.

When drugs are physically combined in the same tablet, the bio-availability of rifampicin can decrease markedly. Although the bio-equivalence is acceptable in many preparations [20, 21], and these drugs achieve equivalent or superior results in clinical practice [22], it is likely that many of the currently available products are actually delivering subtherapeutic doses of rifampicin. A network of independent WHO co-ordinated quality control laboratories is therefore planned to ensure that the bio-equivalence of all combination chemotherapy in use can be monitored.

Currently, combination chemotherapy is more costly. However, prices may fall if manufacturers are ensured large orders, and combination drugs are perceived to be the treatment of choice. From a programme's point of view, the initial extra costs may be offset by much lower costs for the re-treatment of cases with resistant organisms. Given that adherence to standard regimens is one of the key factors in ensuring successful treatment, and 'adjustment by clinicians' is rarely indicated, the loss of flexibility associated with using fixed dose combination drugs is rarely a problem [23].
The introduction of a four-drug combination tablet would simplify management for both the individual and the programme. This would be a major development in the management of TB, and probably in the prevention of drug resistance.

In 1993, WHO declared TB to be a ‘global emergency’ because of the burden of disease and because of the threat of drug resistance. In most other areas industry has kept up with antimicrobial resistance by the production of new drugs, but there have been no new, effective antituberculous agents for the last 30 years, and it is unlikely that there will be any new potent and widely affordable drugs in the next 10–20 years.

We have a window of opportunity while we still have effective tools to treat patients, to decrease the burden of infection and disease. There is no single solution, but with good practice at all levels, and more widespread use of available strategy such as fixed dose combination drugs, it should be possible to cure TB without producing resistance. If we waste this opportunity with poor practices, delay and lack of commitment, multi-drug-resistant TB will become widespread and thousands of patients will become effectively untreatable. Given that national programmes in many developing countries are already going to be under pressure because of the increased number of patients if the only available and effective drugs lose their potency, these programmes will quite simply not be able to cope, and an emergency will become a disaster.
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