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Comparative usefulness of PCR in the detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in different clinical specimens
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The role of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in the diagnosis of tuberculosis in clinical practice remains to be defined; most results have been based on sputum samples. This study systematically compared the relative sensitivity and specificity of a single simplified method for different clinical samples. A wide range of clinical samples, including sputum, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, cerebrospinal fluid, pleural fluid, gastric aspirate, pus and tissues (both fresh and paraffin-embedded) was tested. This method did not require routine DNA extraction before PCR, and consisted of an optimised single tube PCR amplification designed with different sets of time and temperature profiles. A total of 398 samples from 293 patients was studied. The sensitivity was 100% for all types of specimens, while the specificity ranged from 95% for sputum to 88% for bronchoalveolar lavage fluid and pleural fluid and to 85% for non-pulmonary specimens. This study showed that it was possible to employ a single simplified method with minor modifications for a wide range of specimens in clinical practice without loss of sensitivity and specificity.

Introduction

In recent years, the use of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the amplification of DNA has appeared promising in terms of speed and direct application in clinical practice. Various PCR assays have been developed with different target templates for the rapid identification of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* [1–9]. These methods differed in their DNA release technique [8, 10–12], the number of amplification cycles [8, 12, 13], the use of nested amplification and re-amplification [8, 9, 12–15] and the methods of detection [6, 12, 16–18]. The sensitivity and specificity of these methods were variable, ranging from 60% to 100% [15, 16, 19, 20]. Detection limits for suspensions of known isolates and clinical samples also varied from 1 to 1000 cells [9, 13, 17].

Studies have shown that PCR assays with high sensitivities (>90%) were associated with one or more of the following characteristics: (i) the use of nested amplification [8, 12]; (ii) the use of re-amplification [15]; (iii) the use of two *M. tuberculosis*-specific primer systems [21]; (iv) amplification of a repetitive sequence [6, 15]; (v) high percentage of acid-fast bacillus (AFB) smear-positivity in the clinical material [4–6, 8, 12–15]; (vi) low specificity (<80%) [2, 5, 21]; and (vii) the routine use of a DNA extraction and purification procedure before amplification [2, 4, 5, 8, 22]. Furthermore, these reported assays were mostly tested with mycobacterial cultures or sputum specimens [5, 12, 15, 21, 22]. There is relatively little information on the usefulness of a standard PCR assay on different types of clinical specimens.

The aim of this study was to assess systematically the clinical applicability of a modified standard PCR assay for the rapid detection of *M. tuberculosis* in different clinical specimens. A simple and rapid sample preparation procedure that can be easily integrated into the routine schedule of a mycobacteriology laboratory was adopted. A PCR assay based on a repetitive sequence IS6110 [1, 23, 24] was used and the assay was optimised and simplified to a three-stage single-tube PCR amplification.

Materials and methods

Patients and specimens

In a prospective study, 398 clinical specimens were collected from 293 consecutive in-patients of two
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Preparation of clinical specimens for PCR

Sputum and other body fluids. Sputum was decontaminated according to the standard N-acetyl-l-cysteine-NAOH method [12]. The sediments from sputum and other body fluids were resuspended in 200 μl of 50 mM Tris-HCl containing 100 μl of glass beads (<106 μm, Sigma), boiled for 10 min and then disrupted in an ultrasonic water bath at 50°C (Branson Instrument Co., USA) for 15 min to release DNA [10].

Tissues. Tissues were minced, resuspended and incubated overnight in lysis buffer containing proteinase K (Sigma) 0.1 mg/ml at 37°C [4]. DNA was then extracted by the phenol:chloroform method followed by ethanol precipitation [8].

Specimens containing blood. As a first step before further processing, all blood-stained specimens (sputum and fluids) were repeatedly washed with lysis buffer [25] until clear.

PCR protocol

Two M. tuberculosis-specific oligonucleotide primers, IS1 and IS2 of the insertion element IS6110 were used for amplification [1]. The resulting PCR product is a 123-bp fragment. A third oligonucleotide probe, IS3, specific for the 123-bp fragment, was radiolabelled and used to confirm the sequence of the amplified DNA. Five μl of processed sample were added to 45 μl of PCR buffer [26] containing 200 μM each of dNTPs, 0.375 μM each of two primers, 1 unit of sequencing grade Taq polymerase (Promega Corp., Madison, WI, USA). Amplification was performed with a modified and optimised three-stage protocol: (i) 94°C for 5 min; (ii) 20 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 40 s at 65°C, 30 s at 72°C; and then (iii) 30 cycles of 60 s at 92°C, 40 s at 60°C, 60 s at 72°C [27] with an auto-extension of 5 s at 72°C at the end of each cycle.

PCR controls

Positive and negative controls were included in all PCR assays. Two types of positive control containing sequences complementary to the same set of primers were used: (a) MTB control containing a 123-bp DNA fragment amplified from DNA of a standard M. tuberculosis isolate; (b) HBV control (internal control) containing a 176-bp DNA fragment amplified from the serum of a patient infected with hepatitis B virus.

Detection and analysis of PCR products

Five μl of the PCR product were resolved electrophoretically on Nusieve agarose (FMC, Bioproduct, USA), 3.0% stained with ethidium bromide and visualised on a 312-nm wavelength UV transilluminator. The amplified products were then transferred onto a nylon membrane and hybridised with radiolabelled oligonucleotide IS3 [28].

Determination of PCR detection limits

The DNA standard consisting of amplified M. tuberculosis DNA was first purified and then quantified by the GeneQuant machine (Phamacia, USA). The detection limit of the PCR assay in reagents and in clinical specimens was determined separately by amplification of serial dilutions of this standard with either water or clinical specimens previously tested as negative for M. tuberculosis DNA.

Results

Positive samples and positive M. tuberculosis controls produced DNA bands of 123 bp. All clinical samples that gave 123-bp fragments on ethidium bromide-stained agarose gels were also positive by Southern hybridisation. The detection limit of the method in clinical samples was five mycobacterial cells. Inhibitors were found in 20 (5%) of the 398 specimens. The majority of them were resolved by using a DNA extraction and purification procedure as described for the tissue specimens. Five specimens comprising four sputa and one pleural fluid from patients without mycobacterial infections, remained unresolved. In such instances, even the internal positive control was not amplified (Fig. 1).

Comparison of the final diagnoses with the results from bacteriological examination and PCR is shown in Table 1. Of 393 specimens, 125 were from patients in whom tuberculosis had been diagnosed; 12 samples were from patients with non-tuberculous mycobacterial disease; and 256 specimens were from patients without mycobacterial infection.

PCR gave positive results in all 125 specimens from 88 patients who were diagnosed clinically to have active tuberculosis (i.e., positive bacteriology or definite radiological evidence of active disease which resolved with antituberculous chemotherapy). Of these specimens, 46 were identified as microscopy- and
culture-positive; two were microscopy-positive and culture-negative; 67 were microscopy-negative and culture-positive; 10 were microscopy- and culture-negative. The sensitivities of microscopy, culture and PCR methods compared with clinical findings were 38% (48 of 125), 90% (113 of 125) and 100% (125 of 125), respectively (Table 1).

PCR gave negative results in all 12 specimens from six patients with known mycobacterial disease other
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Table 1. Comparison of final diagnoses with the results from bacteriological examination and PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Final diagnosis</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Number of specimens</th>
<th>Number of specimens positive by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis*</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spumt</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALF</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-pulmonary</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-tuberculosis mycobacterial infection</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spumt</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALF</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-pulmonary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-mycobacterial disease</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spumt</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALF</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-pulmonary</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity of different methods</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The final diagnosis was based on culture results or definite clinical criteria.

Of 256 specimens from 194 patients diagnosed clinically with non-mycobacterial diseases, PCR gave negative results in 234 specimens and positive results in 22 specimens giving an overall specificity of 91% (234 of 256). The 19 patients from whom the 22 specimens were obtained are under long-term surveillance for *M. tuberculosis* infection.

The comparative usefulness of PCR for prompt clinical diagnosis in different clinical specimens was defined by the ability of the assay to detect or to exclude tuberculosis in specimens from patients suspected of having tuberculosis when microscopy was negative. PCR was positive with all 80 sputum specimens from patients with tuberculosis while microscopy was positive in 33 specimens, giving sensitivities of 100% and 41%, respectively. PCR was negative with 135 of 142 non-mycobacterial specimens, giving a specificity of 95%. The details of other specimens are shown in Table 2. The sensitivity for the PCR assay was 100% for all specimens, while the specificities ranged from 95% for sputum to 85% for non-pulmonary specimens.

**Discussion**

This study showed that a simple PCR assay could be modified and applied to a wide range of clinical specimens, yielding consistently good results for sensitivity and specificity. A simple and environmentally safe DNA release method was adopted that required only boiling for 10 min and ultrasonication for 15 min. The use of this simple DNA release method did not seem to impair the sensitivity of the PCR assay, which was 100%. Hence, routine DNA extraction and purification were deemed unnecessary. This simple procedure could be easily integrated into the routine schedule of a mycobacteriology laboratory and required only the use of a general laboratory ultrasonic water bath.

The study also showed that good sensitivity and specificity were attainable with an optimised PCR assay such as the modified three-stage one-tube amplification. It was simple to perform and was subject to minimal risk of contamination [12]. The user needed only to deal with a single set of reagents and minimal pipetting.

Most reported assays used *M. tuberculosis* DNA as a positive control, necessitating a second reaction tube to test for inhibitory substances in the PCR-negative specimens to exclude false negative results. The present study demonstrated that it was possible to test for inhibitory substances within the same reaction tube by simply including an internal control in each amplification. Such a control was also described in three reported *M. tuberculosis* PCR assays [24, 29, 30]. The internal control used in the present assay had an additional advantage over the rest as it was simple to construct and was of a totally different nature from *M. tuberculosis* to ensure no cross-reaction.

This study showed that an extra hybridisation procedure was not necessary in a routine test as all clinical specimens that gave positive results (123 bp) on ethidium bromide-stained agarose gel were also positive by hybridisation. All these simplifications greatly facilitate the integration of the modified three-stage PCR assay into the routine schedule of a mycobacteriology laboratory.

A wide range of clinical specimens from both pulmonary and non-pulmonary sources was tested. Clinical correlation of the PCR results with that of the bacteriological and clinical findings showed 100% sensitivity for all clinical specimens. Microscopy on the other hand was considerably less sensitive in detecting the majority of the positive specimens from clinically diagnosed cases, especially in the case of pleural fluid, for which it showed a sensitivity of only 6%. The sensitivity of microscopy had been found to be highly variable in other studies [5, 16, 19, 22]. There are three possible reasons. Firstly, microscopy could have failed to detect an initial low bacterial load in the test specimens, as a lower detection limit of $10^4$ micro-organisms/ml of sample has been reported for this test [3, 4]. Secondly, the staining method could

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of specimen</th>
<th>Number of specimens</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%) of</th>
<th>Specificity (%) of</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>microscopy culture</td>
<td>PCR microscopy culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALF</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Final diagnoses were based on culture results or definite clinical and radiological criteria.*
have influenced the sensitivity, as it is generally accepted that the fluorochrome staining method is more sensitive than the Ziehl-Neelsen method that was used in this study [5, 19, 31]. Thirdly, it could also be due to differences in the technical expertise available in different routine service laboratories [19]. The low sensitivity of microscopy in many service laboratories further underscores the clinical relevance of the role of the PCR assay in the rapid detection of \textit{M. tuberculosis} and its therapeutic impact.

The specificity of PCR ranged from 95% for sputum to 85% for non-pulmonary specimens. Lower values of specificity were observed with clinical specimens such as BALF, PF and NP. One paradoxical explanation was that PCR was very sensitive. PCR could have amplified \textit{M. tuberculosis} DNA in samples where culture results were negative either because the organism was present in too few numbers to grow in culture or because host defences had rendered the mycobacteria non-cultivable. Nevertheless, the sensitivities and specificities of the method for all specimens are very comparable to the best figures reported.

We conclude that a simple PCR assay with consistently high sensitivity and specificity could be applied to a wide range of clinical specimens. This optimised PCR assay would be easy to perform in a clinical mycobacteriology laboratory and hence may serve as a useful complement to clinical diagnosis.
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