Comparative cytotoxicity of purified Shiga-like toxin-Ile on porcine and bovine aortic endothelial and human colonic adenocarcinoma cells
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Porcine and bovine aortic endothelial cells and human colonic adenocarcinoma cells were compared for their susceptibility to the toxic effect of purified Shiga-like toxin Ile (SLT-Ile), measured by the neutral red cytotoxicity assay. Cytotoxicity correlated with toxin binding as indicated by fluorescence activated cell sorter analysis and with the globotriosylceramide (Gb3) and globotetraosylceramide (Gb4) content of cells determined by high pressure liquid chromatography. One line of porcine aortic endothelial cells was 1400-fold more susceptible than the line of bovine aortic endothelial cells that was tested, but a second line of porcine aortic endothelial cells was highly refractory to SLT-Ile. Human colonic adenocarcinoma cells lacked detectable levels of Gb4 and were least susceptible to SLT-Ile.

Introduction

The association of certain strains of verocytotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (VTEC) with diseases in man and animals has generated much interest in the verocytoxins (VTs) or Shiga-like toxins (SLTs). This family of toxins has been divided into two groups on the basis of neutralisation with specific antisera, nucleotide and amino-acid sequence, and cytotoxicity for Vero and HeLa cells [1–4]. SLT-I comprises one group and SLT-II and its variants constitute the second group. SLT-Ile or VT2c (previously SLT-Ilv) is a variant of SLT-II and is implicated in oedema disease of pigs. Clinical manifestations of inappetence, oedema of the eyelids and forehead, stupor and ataxia are associated with vascular damage in subcutaneous tissues and the brain. VTEC strains implicated in disease in man produce SLT-I or SLT-II, or both, and are associated with haemorrhagic colitis and the haemolytic uraemic syndrome. The variations in the clinical syndromes in man and animals appear to result from differences in target organs and in toxins associated with different host species. However, these diseases appear to share a common underlying lesion of vascular endothelial damage.

SLT-Ile is closely related to SLT-II; there is 91% similarity in nucleotide and amino-acid sequences of the molecules and the A and B subunits have 94 and 84% amino-acid sequence similarity, respectively [5, 6]. Like all SLTs, SLT-II and SLT-Ile have a common mechanism of action and share similar biological activities, being lethal for mice, enterotoxic in the rabbit intestine and cytotoxic for certain cell lines. However, there are differences between them in their cytotoxicity for a number of cell lines. SLT-Ile is not cytotoxic for HeLa cells [2] and Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells, but it is more cytotoxic for Madin-Darby bovine kidney (MDBK) cells than SLT-I [7].

Glycolipid cell receptors of the globo series located at the surface have been associated with the specific binding and tissue affinity of SLTs. Globotriosylceramide (Gb3) has been identified as the receptor for SLT-I and SLT-II. Globotetraosylceramide (Gb4) has been shown to be the principal receptor for SLT-Ile [8, 9], although Gb3 may also function as an alternative receptor for SLT-Ile [9]. The affinity of the cell receptor depends upon specific binding to the
B subunit of the toxin molecule [10]. Both Gb3 and Gb4 type receptors are found in high numbers on Vero cells; this explains their high susceptibility to SLTs [8]. The distribution of receptors varies in different tissues [11] and may also vary with age [12]. Richardson et al. [13] used a rabbit model to demonstrate that the colon, caecum and brain bound SLT-I. However, the rabbit cannot be used as an experimental model for haemolytic uraemic syndrome (HUS) or thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP) because this species lacks Gb3 receptors in the kidney [11].

Endothelial cells appear to be the target cells in most tissues [13] and there have been several studies of the action of SLTs on primary endothelial cell cultures [14, 15]. Local damage to colonic cells has been reported in haemorrhagic colitis and oedema disease [16]. However, it has not been established if this is a direct effect of the toxins on colonic cells or if injury to the intestinal vasculature leads to ischaemic death of colonic cells. Moyer et al. [17] reported toxic activity of Shiga toxin on human colonic cells but to our knowledge no reports have described the effect of any of the SLTs on colonic cells. Porcine endothelial cells appear to be the most relevant cell line for investigation of the effects of SLT-IIe because this cell type is most probably the target cell for this toxin in the natural disease [16, 18]. In this study, the toxic effect of purified SLT-IIe on isolated porcine aortic endothelial cells was compared with that on bovine aortic endothelial cells and human colonic adenocarcinoma cells.

Materials and methods

Cell cultures

Procedures for the isolation and characterisation of bovine aortic endothelial (BEC-6) and porcine aortic endothelial (PEC-15) cells have been published previously [19]. PEC-8, another primary aortic endothelial cell line derived from a newborn piglet of a different litter was obtained in a similar manner. Human colonic adenocarcinoma cells, strain LS 180 (ATCC CL 187), were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD, USA). Vero cells used in this study have been described elsewhere [20].

Preparation of Shiga-like toxin-IIe

The procedures for the extraction, purification and characterisation of SLT-IIe have been described previously [21]. Briefly, E. coli strain TB1 (pCG6) containing the cloned genes for SLT-IIe [5] was used as the source of toxin. Bacterial cells grown in broth under conditions [22] that support maximal yield of toxin were collected by centrifugation. Crude toxin preparations consisting of sterile ammonium sulphate-precipitated polymyxin B cell extracts were purified by anion and cation exchange fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) and immunoaffinity chromatography. The purity of the toxin was determined by silver staining preparations after SDS-PAGE. Three bands corresponding to A, A1 and B subunits of the toxin were observed. The preparation was shown to be free of endotoxin by the Limulus Amoebocyte Lysate Test (Sigma). The purified toxin was divided into small volumes and stored at -70°C. The tissue culture cytotoxic dose 50% (TCCDSO) of SLT-IIe was determined with Vero cells before and after freezing.

Cytotoxicity assay

The neutral red cytotoxicity assay (NRA) used was a modification of the technique described previously [23]. The cell culture medium contained bovine calf serum 5%. Briefly, aortic endothelial cells and colonic cells were allowed to grow to semi-confluency and scraped off with a rubber policeman. Tryptsin was not used to separate the cells. Instead the cell suspension was passed through a pipette tip 30 times and filtered through a sterile 25-pm pore nylon mesh. Cell viability was determined by the dye exclusion technique and the cell count was adjusted to 3.4 x 10^5 cells/ml. Two hundred-μl volumes of medium with five-fold dilutions of SLT-IIe and 100 μl of the aortic endothelial or colonic cell suspension were dispensed in the wells of a 96-well tissue culture microtitration plate (Nunc). Vero cells were treated in a similar manner, except that the cell were trypsinised. The plate was incubated at 37°C in a CO2 5% incubator and observed every 12 h for 2 days. The plate was then rinsed twice with chilled cell culture medium containing EDTA 0.06%, and 100 μl of neutral red in PBS with EDTA 0.06% was added to each well and incubated for 20 min at 37°C in an atmosphere of CO2 5% in air. The plate was rinsed three times with cell culture medium containing EDTA 0.06%, and 200 μl of a mixture of 0.05 M glacial acetic acid and SDS 0.5% were added to each well. The optical density at 540 nm was measured in an automated spectrophotometer (Titertek MultiskanR MCC, Eflab). Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

Quantification of Gb3 and Gb4

Cell lines were grown to confluency and the total cell number was standardised to a final concentration of 5 x 10^6 cells/ml. The cell culture medium was removed after centrifugation and the cell pellets were kept frozen at -70°C until processed. The extraction procedure and high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) method used have been described elsewhere [12]. Briefly, frozen cell pellets were extracted with 20 volumes of chloroform:methanol (2:1 v:v). The residue was filtered and extracted in 10 volumes of chloroform:methanol (1:1). The extracts were pooled and partitioned against water and the lower phase was...
saponified. The extract was repartitioned and the lower phase was used for HPLC.

**Fluorescence activated cell sorter (FACS) analysis**

All cell lines except LS 180 were synchronised by subculture and harvesting at 2-day intervals. The LS 180 cells were synchronised by subculture at 4-day intervals. After collection, cells were exposed to purified SLT-IIe, then resuspended in mGHFC medium [19] containing sodium azide 0.1% to prevent cell modulation. The cells were kept at 4°C for 30 min, then they were washed three times with PBS, pH 7.2, containing sodium azide 0.1% (PBS + A). Toxin on the cell surface was labeled by adding porcine polyclonal antiserum against SLT-IIe and incubating at 4°C for 30 min. Cells were washed three times in PBS + A, then FITC-conjugated affinipure F(ab')2 fragment goat anti-swine IgG (heavy and light chains) (Jackson ImmunoResearch Lab. Inc. distributed by BIOKAN Scientific, Inc. Mississauga, Ontario, Canada) was added and the preparation was kept in the dark at 4°C for 30 min. Cells were washed three times in PBS + A and analysed in the FACS model FACScan (Becton and Dickinson, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada).

**Determination of the TCCDS0 and statistical analysis**

Toxic activity was quantified by taking the percentage cytotoxicity at each dilution of the SLT-IIe as described in the neutral red cytotoxicity assay [23] and transformed to log_{10}. The TCCDS0 was then calculated according to the proportionate distance procedure [24]. Analysis of variance was performed and Duncan's multiple range test was used to assess whether there were significant differences between TCCDS0 values for the cell lines ($p = 0.01$).

**Results**

The objective of this research was to compare isolated porcine and bovine aortic endothelial cells, and human colonic adenocarcinoma cells, for their susceptibility to purified SLT-IIe. The NRA was used to assay cytotoxicity because of numerous advantages it had over the standard Vero cell cytotoxicity assay [23]. The TCCDS0 values were compared with two parameters to obtain correlations: receptor binding of purified SLT-IIe to the cells assessed by FACS and concentration of Gb3 and Gb4 receptors determined by HPLC.

In the NRA, Vero cells were the most susceptible of the cell lines tested (TCCDS0 = $10^{-0.19}$ SD $10^{-0.16}$, Fig. 1). However, cytotoxic effects on the porcine aortic endothelial cells PEC-15 were evident at 12–18 h after exposure, whereas cytotoxicity for Vero cells was not apparent until 24–36 h after addition of SLT-IIe. There was a 90-fold higher titre of toxic activity on Vero cells compared with PEC-15 (TCCDS0 = $10^{-0.32}$ SD $10^{-0.26}$). Cytotoxicity titres were 1400-fold higher on PEC-15 cells than with bovine aortic endothelial cells BEC-6 (TCCDS0 = $10^{-1.06}$ SD $10^{-0.003}$). Human colonic cells LS 180 were the least susceptible to SLT-IIe (TCCDS0 = $10^{-0.43}$ SD $10^{-0.24}$). These differences were significant ($p = 0.01$; Fig. 1).

---

**Fig. 1.** Neutral red assay of Vero (--), PEC-15 (-- –), BEC-6 (– –) and LS-180 (– –) cells with purified SLT-IIe.
The other porcine aortic endothelial cell line, PEC-8, was found to be refractory to the action of SLT-IIe. Microscopic examination of PEC-15 and PEC-8 cells after exposure to SLT-IIe indicated a remarkable difference in cytotoxicity. Cultures were examined daily for 3 days. No cytotoxic reaction was observed on the first or the second day in either culture. However, on the third day changes were noted in PEC-15 but not in PEC-8. These included cytoplasmic shrinkage and nuclear condensation in the dendritic cells. Necrotic cells were frequently seen in the periphery of cell clusters.

Fig. 2 depicts the binding of SLT-IIe to different cell lines as assessed by FACS analysis. Toxin binding to Vero cells was observed as a well-defined population of cells with a high level of fluorescence at the far right of the histogram (Fig. 2a). The middle black area indicates Vero cells treated with normal porcine serum followed by goat anti-porcine IgG (H and L) conjugated with FITC (control for the polyclonal antibody), and to the far left, Vero cells treated with conjugate only (conjugate control). The same procedure was followed with PEC-15, PEC-8, BEC-6 and LS 180 cells. There was a good correlation between the FACS and cytotoxicity levels measured by the NRA in Vero cells and PEC-15 cells (Fig. 1). A small proportion of the PEC-15 population showed a positive reaction (Fig. 2b) indicating that only a limited proportion of cells expressed Gb4. With PEC-8 (Fig. 2c) and the bovine aortic endothelial cells BEC-6 (Fig. 2d) there was no specific binding. A distinct profile was observed with LS 180 cells (Fig. 2e); this was probably due to non-specific binding of SLT-IIe on a proportion of the cell population because LS 180 cells were the least susceptible to SLT-IIe in the NRA and Gb4 was absent as assessed by HPLC.

The content of Gb4 was greatest in Vero cells, followed by PEC-15 cells and PEC-8 cells (Fig. 3). BEC-6 cells, which are considerably less susceptible to SLT-IIe than PEC-15 cells (Fig. 1) and LS 180 cells did not have detectable levels of Gb4 (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

In this study, aortic endothelial cells minimally exposed to trypsin were used to compare the susceptibility to SLT-IIe of cells of porcine and bovine origins. A human intestinal cell line and Vero cells were used as other bases for comparison of cytotoxicity. Cells which have not been exposed to proteolytic enzymes retain the natural biochemical integrity of the glycocalyx. Proteolytic enzymes have a deleterious effect on the longevity of the cell line and some cell receptors, but not glycosphingolipid receptors, may be lost during trypsinisation [25]. Cytotoxicity assays require the number of cells to be standardised. Therefore, the

Fig. 2. FACS analysis of SLT-IIe binding to cells. Cell suspensions were exposed to purified SLT-IIe and porcine polyclonal anti-SLT-IIe was applied, followed by goat anti-porcine IgG (H and L) labelled with FITC (far right). Controls included cells treated with normal porcine serum and FITC-conjugated goat anti-porcine IgG (H and L) (black area) and cells treated with conjugate only (far left). a, Vero cells; b, PEC-15; c, PEC-8; d, BEC-6; e, LS 180.
cultures were exposed briefly to trypsin (30 s) to avoid cell aggregations and facilitate the procedure.

Vero cells were the most susceptible to SLT-IIe followed, in decreasing susceptibility, by porcine aortic endothelial cells PEC-15, bovine aortic endothelial cells BEC-6 and human colonic adenocarcinoma cells LS 180. Cytotoxicity for porcine endothelial cells PEC-8 was not determined. The relationship between cell susceptibility and content of the glycolipid receptor Gb4 in all cell lines was clearly demonstrated. Although the total concentration of receptors Gb3 and Gb4 in Vero cells was 0.91 nmol/10⁶ cells, more than half was Gb3 (0.55 nmol/10⁶ cells). SLT-IIe binding to Gb3 has been reported, but the binding affinity is low (k_d = 1.36 × 10⁻⁷ M) [26] compared with the binding affinity for Gb4 (k_d = 1.48 × 10⁻⁸ M) [26]. The lower binding affinity of SLT-IIe for Gb3 may explain the inefficient biological activity as evidenced by the partial neutralisation of SLT-IIe by Gb3, the lower cytotoxicity levels and the prolonged time required for removal of cytotoxicity with liposomes coated with Gb3 [8].

Keusch et al. [9] reported recently that Gb3 could also function as a receptor for SLT-IIe in Vero cells and HeLa cells. In the present studies the Gb3 content of porcine and bovine endothelial cells did not mediate cytotoxicity by SLT-IIe, but cytotoxicity was in direct proportion to the Gb4 content (Figs. 1 and 3). By FACS analysis (Fig. 2) Gb3 was not able to bind SLT-IIe in PEC-8 cells in which the Gb3 content was almost three times higher than PEC-15 cells. Furthermore, binding of SLT-IIe to BEC-6 cells in which only Gb3 was detected was not found. Binding was observed only in Vero cells and PEC-15 cells that showed the highest Gb4 content of the cell lines examined (Figs. 2 and 3). This indicates that although Gb3 is present in PEC-8 cells in a higher concentration than PEC-15 cells, and in BEC-6 cells, it may be found in a different configuration at the cell surface and be unable to bind SLT-IIe. It is most likely that Gb3 was exposed in PEC-8 cells as all tissue cultures were treated mildly with trypsin and this procedure should have made Gb3 more available at the cell surface [27].

Because LS 180 cells appeared to bind toxin although they lacked the specific receptor, the binding was probably non-specific. The reason for this may be the negatively charged highly sialated glycoproteins characteristic of colonic carcinoma cells [28]. This suggests that SLT-IIe may be capable of non-specific binding to the gastrointestinal mucosa. Specific receptors for SLT-IIe appear to be present in the jejunum and ileum of pigs (Waddell and Gyles, unpublished observations) and specific binding to intestinal epithelial cells can, therefore, be expected to occur in the natural infection. In newborn pigs, oral infection with strains of human VTEC of serotype O157:H7, which produce SLT-I or SLT-II or both, results in colonisation of the colon, signs of verotoxaemia and death [29]. If the pigs are challenged at 1–2 days of age, there is colonisation of the colon but no evidence of verotoxaemia. These data suggest that absorption of toxin from the colon occurred only in a pre-closure environment.

For the NRA and the FACS analysis only low-passaged and subconfluent PEC-15 cells were used.

---

**Fig. 3.** Concentration of Gb3 (■) and Gb4 (○). Cell lines were grown to confluency and total cell number was standardised to 5 × 10⁶ cells final concentration, and glycolipids were extracted and quantified by HPLC.
High-passaged PEC-15 cells became refractory to killing and binding of SLT-IIe whereas PEC-8 cells at low or high passage were not susceptible. This may relate to change in the distribution and availability of Gb4, because PEC-15 cells grown to 75% confluence and treated with SLT-IIe produced unequivocal morphological changes, whereas confluent monolayers did not react to the presence of SLT-IIe. This can be explained by the observation that quiescent cultures arrest important eukaryotic functions, particularly in receptor-mediated endocytosis, that occur when contact inhibition is established in the monolayer [30]. Kavi et al. [14] described a similar situation with porcine aortic endothelial cells but they did not identify the type of verotoxin they used. They found that only actively dividing cells were susceptible to the verotoxin. Obrig et al. [15] reported a similar observation with SLT-I and human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC). Pudymaitis and Lingwood have demonstrated that cytotoxicity of SLT-I is a function of the cell growth in which cyclic turnover and exposure of Gb3 seem to be critical for the cytotoxicity of SLT-I [31].

Although PEC-8 cells were isolated by a procedure that was identical to that used with PEC-15, the PEC-8 cells were refractory to the toxic action of SLT-IIe. Both cell lines originated from the same farm but came from different litters, and were identified as endothelial cells by specific cell markers [19]. The difference in cytotoxicity was correlated with differences in receptor concentration measured by HPLC. The finding of such remarkable variability suggests that cells from more than one source should be tested if one preparation is found to be refractory. The ready susceptibility of pigs from many sources to intravenously administered SLT-IIe [16,18] also suggests that absence of vascular endothelial Gb4 does not occur frequently in nature.

However, it should be noted, that the susceptibility of only some tissues to the effects of SLT-IIe [16,18] is not only a function of Gb4 concentration but also of blood flow [32]. Boyd et al. determined the concentrations of Gb3 and Gb4 in a number of pig tissues (but not from vasculature of the tissues), and found Gb4 to be particularly high in red blood cells. This correlated with extensive red blood cell binding whereas VT1 and GT3 showed minimal binding to red blood cells. Therefore, it was concluded that red blood cells deliver SLT-IIe to target organ receptors [32]. It would be desirable to investigate porcine endothelial cells from a number of the target tissues because receptors on endothelial cells may be functional and may modulate interaction with other cell messengers. Recent investigations have shown that HUVEC and human renal (glomerular) microvascular endothelial cells (HRMEC) respond very differently to nanomolar concentrations of Shiga toxin in the presence of LPS, tumour necrosis factor-α and interleukin-1β [33], indicating the significance of the anatomical origin of the endothelial cell. HRMECs are the putative target cells in HUS, and the most striking difference between the two cell types is the concentration of Gb3, the specific functional receptor for SLT-I and SLT-II. HRMECs express Gb3 at concentrations that are 50 times higher than those found in HUVEC [33] and that seems to be the determining factor in the clinical presentation of HUS.
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