MODELS OF INFECTION

Dexamethasone treatment of lipopolysaccharide-induced meningitis in rabbits that mimics magnification of inflammation following antibiotic therapy
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Summary. The objective of adjunct anti-inflammatory therapy of bacterial meningitis is the containment of heightened inflammation caused by lysis of bacteria by antibiotics. This can be modelled by giving two consecutive intra-cisternal injections of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) to rabbits, the first at 0 h to induce inflammation to mimic that occurring during the proliferation of bacteria in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), and the second at 6 h to mimic inflammation subsequent to antibiotic-induced bacterial lysis. Injection of 2.5 ng of LPS induced pleocytosis at 4 h which was preceded by a peak of tumour necrosis factor (TNF) activity at 2 h. A subsequent injection of 25 ng of LPS at 6 h induced second peaks of pleocytosis and CSF TNF. Dexamethasone (1.5 mg/kg, i.v.) administered 15 min or 1 h before the second injection of LPS tended only to reduce CSF TNF, but effectively prohibited further pleocytosis. Brain TNFα mRNA levels were unchanged at 6 and 7 h after LPS injection, and were unaffected by dexamethasone. These results indicate that the subarachnoid space is distinct from the general circulation in that the TNF-producing cells present do not display a hypo-responsive state towards LPS as occurs when LPS is injected systemically. Furthermore, dexamethasone is able to attenuate the secondary inflammatory response resulting from a second LPS injection without eliminating a second peak of TNF activity.

Introduction

Bacterial meningitis is accompanied by an intense inflammatory response in the subarachnoid space that is apparently exacerbated by the use of lytic antibiotics.1 The inflammatory reaction is initiated by bacterial components (peptidoglycan; lipopolysaccharide: LPS), is mediated by cytokines (e.g., interleukin-1: IL-1; tumour necrosis factor: TNF)1 and the extent of subarachnoid space inflammation has been correlated to the development of neurological sequelae.1-7 Extensive experimental studies1 have focused on reducing the inflammatory response by the use of anti-inflammatory agents, usually dexamethasone, and have led to the evaluation of dexamethasone in clinical trials.9-12 Although these trials have demonstrated the benefit of supplemental anti-inflammatory therapy in the reduction of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) cellular and cytokine levels thought to be involved in brain damage, with a subsequent reduction in neurologic sequelae,6-9-7 these results have not been considered unequivocal.10-21 The progression of the inflammatory response in bacterial meningitis can be considered to be a two-stage process: the first stage occurs during the proliferation of the micro-organism within the subarachnoid space, and in the second stage rapid release of bacterial wall fragments following antibiotic therapy magnifies the inflammatory response.1 From a clinical point of view, the earliest opportunity to give patients anti-inflammatory therapy in an attempt to contain this second inflammatory cascade is just before the administration of antibiotics.9 Administration of dexamethasone before ceftriaxone has been judged to give better clinical results8 than dexamethasone administration after antibiotics.11-12 A possible factor complicating the interpretation of the available experimental and clinical data stems from the observation that in rabbits a hyporesponsive state is induced for the release of TNF into the bloodstream in response to a second systemic injection of LPS.22 What is as yet unclear is whether the subarachnoid space can also become refractory to...
LPS, and whether the enhanced pleocytosis proposed to occur after antibiotic treatment is delayed until recovery from this hyporesponsive state. If this hyporesponsive state exists, then the effects of dexamethasone at early stages of treatment may be unclear.

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to determine whether the subarachnoid space displays evidence of becoming hyporesponsive to LPS and to evaluate the early effects of dexamethasone as an adjunct treatment. A two-stage model of subarachnoid inflammation was used in an attempt to reproduce the sequence of pathophysiological events that occur in antibiotic-treated meningitis. After the establishment of subarachnoid space inflammation in rabbits by the intra-cisternal injection of LPS to mimic the inflammation existing at clinical presentation, dexamethasone or saline was given before a second injection of LPS, which was designed to mimic the antibiotic-induced release of cell wall fragments. The capacity of dexamethasone to alter CSF leukocyte and TNF levels, and to alter the levels of brain mRNA specific for TNFα, was then determined.

Materials and methods

Sterile meningitis model

The experimental protocols were approved by the ethical committee of the Kantonales Veterinäramt of Basel Stadt. On the basis of a previous study, a sterile LPS-induced meningitis was established in rabbits by the use of Escherichia coli LPS (O111:B4, Sigma). Specific-pathogen-free chinchilla rabbits, 2.5–3 kg in weight, were obtained from Thomae, Biberach an der Riss, Germany. On the day before an experiment, rabbits were anaesthetised with a combination of fentanyl and fluanisone (Hypnorm, Janssen) and were then fitted with a catheter to facilitate subsequent placement within a stereotactic frame according to an established method. Before the induction of meningitis, rabbits received ethyl carbamate (urethane) 1.75 g/kg subcutaneously (s.c.) and then pentobarbital 10 mg/kg intravenously (i.v.) to induce deep, long-term anaesthesia. The animals were fixed in the stereotactic frame, and 3.5-inch spinal needles (25 g) were sited in the cisterna magna to allow repeated sampling of CSF. After the withdrawal of 0.4 ml of CSF, 2.5 ng of LPS (diluted in 0.2 ml of pyrogen-free physiological saline) was introduced into the subarachnoid space and the needle was flushed with 0.1 ml of CSF. CSF (0.2 ml) was sampled at 2 and 4 h after injection. At 5.75 h after the initial LPS injection, animals received dexamethasone (Decadron, Merck Sharp and Dome) 1.5 mg/kg, i.v., and controls received saline. Dexamethasone was diluted in pyrogen-free physiological saline so that rabbits received 1 ml/kg i.v. boluses delivered over 3–5 min. At 6 h, 0.3 ml of CSF was removed and 25 ng of LPS were injected in a 0.2-ml volume, and 0.1 ml of CSF was used to flush the needle. CSF samples were obtained subsequently at 8, 10 and 12 h after the initial dose of LPS. The rate of removal of CSF did not exceed the rate of CSF formation (c. 0.4 ml/h). At 12 h after the initial administration of LPS, rabbits were killed with an overdose of T61 (Hoescht). A total of 14 rabbits was used, divided equally between treatment and control groups.

Determination of indices of inflammation

The numbers of leucocytes present in the CSF were determined by diluting CSF samples appropriately and then counting the leucocytes with a Sysmex cell counter (model CC-170M, TDA Corp., Kobe, Japan). TNF concentration was determined by bioassay with WEHI 164 cells as indicator cells, essentially as described previously, with human TNFα (Boehringer Mannheim) as a standard. The limit of detection was 0.05 ng/ml. CSF samples (20 µl) were assayed in triplicate, the coefficient of variation was always < 21%, and usually < 11%. Glucose was measured enzymically with a commercially available kit (Boehringer Mannheim). Lactate was determined enzymically with lactate dehydrogenase, as described previously. The method of Lowry et al. was used to determine CSF protein content.

Preparation of rabbit TNFα probe

Based upon the published sequence of the rabbit TNFα cDNA, PCR primers TNFα-5 (5’-ATGAGCACTGAGAGTATGATCCG-3’) and TNFα-3 (5’-TTCTATGCCGGTGGCCAGCA-3’) were designed which spanned the precursor and part of the mature peptide cDNA sequences and would yield a PCR fragment of 355 bp. A PCR fragment was generated from rabbit spleen cDNA as follows. Rabbit spleen total RNA was prepared by an acid phenol extraction method essentially as described previously. Single-stranded cDNA was prepared from 100 µg total RNA in a volume of 100 µl with the following additions: oligo-dT(12–17) (Pharmacia) 0.02 ng/ml, RNAsin (Promega) 40 units, 1 mM dNTPs (Pharmacia), Moloney Murine Leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (BRL) 800 units, 10 mM DTT, 3 mM MgCl2, 75 mM KCl and 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, and incubated at 37°C for 50 min. PCR amplification was performed according to Zwickl et al. in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM mercaptoethanol, Tween 20 0.05% w/v, NP-40 0.05% w/v, in the presence of 40 mM dNTPs, 100 pmol of each primer, Taq polymerase (Perkin-Elmer Cetus) 5 units, with 5 µl of the single-stranded cDNA reaction as a template. The following PCR protocol was used: (1) 92°C/1 min, (2) 92°C/15 s, 64°C/1 min, 72°C/1 min for 2 cycles, (3) 92°C/15 s, 62°C/1 min, 72°C/1 min for 2 cycles, (4) 92°C/15 s, 60°C/1 min, 72°C/1 min for 2 cycles, (5) 92°C/15 s, 58°C/1 min, 72°C/1 min for 2 cycles, (6) 92°C/15 s, 56°C/1 min, 72°C/1 min for 2 cycles, (7) 92°C/15 s, 54°C/1 min, 72°C/1 min, for 2 cycles and
The PCR product was purified on an agarose 0.8% Tris-borate-EDTA gel and the 5'-ends of the fragment were kinased in a 30-μl volume containing polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs), 10 units, 1 mM ATP, 5 mM DTT, 10 mM MgCl₂, 70 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, for 60 min at 37°C. The kinased fragment was then ligated into the HindIII site of plasmid pBluescript; the plasmid was prepared and the insert sequenced according to standard procedures. The sequence of this PCR fragment was found to be completely homologous to the published sequence. To obtain a fragment for use as a probe, the plasmid was amplified in the E. coli strain DH5α and insert DNA was prepared by XhoI/EcoRI digestion and agarose gel purification. Phosphate-labelled rabbit TNF-α probe was generated by the random prime labelling method (Boehringer Mannheim).

**Determination of TNF mRNA in brain tissue**

To evaluate the effects of dexamethasone treatment of meningitis on the levels of TNFα mRNA within brain tissue, brains were obtained from the following groups of animals: (1) rabbits without meningitis and killed 6 h after the induction of anaesthesia; (2) rabbits receiving LPS 2.5 ng intra-cisternally at 0 h and killed at 6 h; (3) rabbits receiving LPS 2.5 ng intra-cisternally at 0 h, saline i.v. at 5:75 h, LPS 25 ng intra-cisternally at 6 h and killed at 7 h; and (4) rabbits receiving LPS 2.5 ng intra-cisternally at 0 h, dexamethasone 1.5 mg/kg i.v. at 5:75 h, LPS 25 ng intra-cisternally at 6 h, and killed at 7 h. Rabbits were killed with T61 and the brains were removed rapidly and frozen by immersion in liquid nitrogen. No attempt was made to remove blood from the tissue. Total RNA was extracted from the tissue by use of guanidine isothiocyanate-phenol-chloroform, essentially as described previously. RNA (20 μg) was blotted on to Zeta-probe membranes (BioRad) and probed with the rabbit TNFα, and subsequently exposed to X-ray film. The intensity of the radio-active signals present on the blots was measured by the use of a phosphorimager (Molecular Dynamics). Control hybridisation for the probe for elF-4A was radiolabelled by the random prime method (Boehringer Mannheim). The densities of TNFα mRNA were corrected for RNA loading based upon the corresponding density of the elF-4A signal.

**Statistical analyses**

At each time point, dexamethasone-treated rabbits were compared to saline treated-controls by use of t tests. Correlations were determined by the Spearman Rank Correlation coefficient. All data are expressed as mean value and standard error of the mean (SEM).

**Results**

**Characteristics of LPS-induced meningitis**

Intra-cisternal injection of 2.5 ng of LPS induced a marked increase in CSF leucocytes of which > 95% were neutrophils 2 h after the injection, with a peak response occurring at 4 h (3171 SEM 551/μl of CSF; fig. 1a), which declined slightly by 6 h. Preliminary experiments demonstrated that the numbers of CSF leucocytes continued to decline, but remained raised at 12 h after LPS injection (data not shown). Pleocytosis was preceded by a peak of TNF activity (fig. 1b; 11.6 SEM 2.1 ng/ml of CSF) at 2 h; the TNF levels were still raised at 6 h (0.1 SEM 0.03 ng/ml), whereas normal rabbit CSF (0 h) or CSF obtained from anaesthetised, non-meningitic rabbits 6 h after anaesthesia, contained < 0.05 ng of TNF/ml of CSF. The TNF levels at 2 h strongly correlated with the magnitude of leucocyte influx at 4 or 6 h (p < 0.001).

Protein levels rose dramatically after LPS injection (fig. 1c) to reach a maximum of 1.5 SEM 0.2 mg/ml of CSF compared to values of 0.5 SEM 0.02 mg/ml of CSF at time 0. CSF glucose levels (fig. 1d) rose only slightly from 6.0 SEM 0.2 mM at time zero to 6.9 SEM 0.2 mM at 6 h. After LPS injection, CSF lactate rose from 18.5 SEM 0.8 mg/dl of CSF to 50.4 SEM 6.0 mg/dl at 6 h, approximately a 2.5-fold increase (not shown).

A second intra-cisternal injection of LPS (25 ng 6 h after the first injection) induced a pronounced rise in leucocyte levels above that present at the time of injection (fig. 1a); the peak level (6057 SEM 1002 leukocytes/μl of CSF) occurred at 8 h. At 12 h, the leucocyte levels had declined to those present at the time of the second LPS injection (2917 SEM 383 leukocytes/μl of CSF). TNF (fig. 1b) was elevated 8 h after the first LPS injection (9.38 SEM 3.31 ng/ml of CSF), and although the levels tended to be lower than that at 2 h, this was not statistically different. Subsequently, TNF levels in CSF declined, but remained detectable at 12 h (0.15 SEM 0.03 ng/ml). The TNF levels at 8 h did not correlate with the leucocyte levels. Furthermore, the CSF TNF concentration at 2 h did not significantly correlate with the level at 8 h. No statistically significant increase in CSF protein levels occurred after the second LPS injection (fig. 1c), but rather the CSF protein content declined after 8 h. Glucose (fig. 1d) levels remained essentially unchanged despite the introduction of more LPS into the CSF. Lactate levels rose slightly to 57.5 SEM 4.9 mg/dl of CSF at 8 h, but then slowly declined to 48.4 SEM 3.6 mg/dl of CSF at 12 h.

**Effect of dexamethasone on indices of meningitis following a second LPS injection**

Preliminary experiments (data not shown) demonstrated that treatment with dexamethasone 1.5 mg/kg 1 h before the intra-cisternal injection of LPS 25 ng dramatically reduced the peak pleocytosis
Fig. 1. Characterisation of the subarachnoid space inflammation after two subsequent injections of LPS and the effect of dexamethasone treatment. Rabbits (7/group) were given 2.5 ng of LPS intra-cisternally at time 0 h, and 25 ng of LPS at 6 h. Rabbits received saline (○) or dexamethasone 1.5 mg/kg i.v. (●) 15 min before the second LPS injection. The following indices of inflammation within CSF were determined: a. leucocytes; b. TNF; c. protein; d. glucose.
Fig. 2. Effect of dexamethasone treatment on brain TNFα mRNA levels. Rabbits (3/group) were administered 2.5 ng of LPS or saline intra-cisternally at 0 h. Brains from non-meningitic animals (NM6) were removed 6 h after saline injection. Untreated control animals received saline 5.75 h after the LPS and brains were removed at 6 h (C6) or at 7 h (C7) after a second LPS injection at 6 h. Dexamethasone-treated rabbits (D7) received 1.5 mg/kg of dexamethasone at 5.75 h and 25 ng of LPS at 6 h and the brains were removed at 7 h. Total RNA was extracted from the brains with guanidine thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform, and subsequently blotted and probed for mRNA specific for TNFα or eIF-4A.

occurring 6 h after LPS injection (control rabbits 6934 SEM 569; dexamethasone-treated rabbits 366 SEM 157 leucocytes/μl of CSF; n = 4/group; p < 0.01), indicating that this dose of dexamethasone was indeed sufficient to inhibit CSF inflammation.

Despite the presence of marked inflammation within the subarachnoid space, this dose of dexamethasone (1.5 mg/kg administered i.v. 15 min before the second LPS injection) inhibited the further influx of leucocytes into the CSF in response to a second injection of LPS, so that the leucocyte levels were significantly lower than those in untreated controls at 8, 10 and 12 h after LPS injection (p < 0.05) (fig. 1a). Dexamethasone treatment reduced the magnitude of TNF activity from 9.38 SEM 3.31 in controls to 5.22 SEM 0.83 ng/ml of CSF at 8 h (p = 0.04), but the subsequent levels were not different from controls (fig. 1b). Similar effects on leucocyte influx and TNF accumulation were obtained when dexamethasone was administered 1 h before the second LPS injection (data not shown).

Administration of dexamethasone 1.5 mg/kg 15 min before the second LPS dose apparently reduced the CSF protein concentration immediately, but this was not significantly different from the concentration at 4 h. Dexamethasone treatment reduced the CSF protein concentration compared to controls at 8 and 10 h (fig. 1c; p < 0.004), but there was no difference between the groups at 12 h. Whereas dexamethasone treatment had no effect on CSF lactate levels compared to controls (data not shown), it dramatically increased the CSF glucose content (fig. 1d) at 10 and 12 h compared to controls (p < 0.004).

**Effect of dexamethasone treatment on brain TNFα mRNA levels**

On the basis of the previous results, rabbits were given LPS 2.5 ng intra-cisternally and then were killed at 6 h, or killed at 7 h after i.v. administration of saline or dexamethasone at 5.75 h and LPS 25 ng intra-cisternally at 6 h. In all cases, the total RNA extracted from the brains was used to determine the levels of mRNA specific for TNFα. Similarly, total RNA was extracted from the brains of non-meningitic, anaesthetised rabbits killed 6 h after the intra-cisternal injection of saline; these animals did not have detectable CSF TNF (< 0.05 ng/ml; data not shown). Quantitative determination by phosphorimaging indicated the intensity of the TNFα mRNA in brain (corrected for RNA loading based on the levels eIF-4A, a constitutively expressed mRNA) to be 0.18 SEM 0.03 counts for brains from non-meningitic rabbits, 0.21 SEM 0.02 counts for rabbits killed at 6 h (before a second dose of LPS), and 0.18 SEM 0.03 counts for saline-treated and 0.25 SEM 0.05 counts for dexamethasone-treated rabbits killed 1 h after the second dose of LPS. Control experiments blotting different amounts of RNA demonstrated the phosphorimaging determinations for both TNFα and eIF-4A to be linear over about a 20-fold range spanning the intensities of the presented data (data not shown). The levels of TNFα mRNA in brain were apparently not affected by LPS administration nor by dexamethasone therapy (fig. 2).

**Discussion**

The use of adjunct dexamethasone therapy in bacterial meningitis has demonstrated clinical benefit, especially when administered before antibiotics, which is in agreement with experimental studies. However, restriction in the number of clinical samples that can be obtained sequentially precludes analysis of early events after antibiotic administration. Although the early events can be examined experimentally, experimental studies demonstrating the efficacy of dexamethasone have either taken few samples late in the course of treatment or have initiated treatment early in the development of CSF inflammation, a situation that may not accurately reflect the established inflammation at clinical presentation. Furthermore,
the possibility that the cells within the subarachnoid space develop hyporesponsiveness towards LPS may obscure the activity of dexamethasone early in the course of treatment. We sought to expand the available experimental evidence to evaluate the value of dexamethasone in a model mimicking antibiotic-induced enhancement of an established level of subarachnoid space inflammation. To accomplish this, two sequential intra-cisternal injections of LPS were administered to rabbits and the efficacy of dexamethasone in reducing secondary inflammation was examined.

A low dose of intra-cisternally administered LPS (2.5 ng) produced a distinct inflammatory response of c. 3000 leucocytes/µl of CSF that was preceded by the appearance in the CSF of levels of TNF that correlated with the extent of pleocytosis occurring 4–6 h after the injection of LPS. Despite a rapid reduction in TNF levels, it was still detectable within the CSF 6 h later at the time of the second injection of LPS (25 ng), a situation similar to that observed at clinical presentation of meningitis.2, 5, 7, 11, 12 This measure of inflammation was apparently greater than that observed in some previous experimental studies evaluating dexamethasone.36, 37

A second injection of LPS caused a further influx of leucocytes and release of TNF. This release of TNF is in apparent contrast to previous studies that demonstrated the development of a systemic LPS-hyporesponsive state in that TNF was not released after a second injection of LPS was administered i.v. to rabbits; 22 although apparently insufficient to induce release of TNF, a low i.v. LPS dose (200 ng) was able to block TNF release when a second i.v. LPS dose (10000 ng) was given 6 h later.22 In the present study, although the TNF peak in CSF in response to the second injection of LPS was, perhaps, slightly attenuated—particularly in view of the 10-fold increase in LPS stimulus—these results suggest that the development of tolerance to LPS is not as marked within the subarachnoid compartment as in the systemic circulation. TNF appears to be produced locally within the subarachnoid space36, 38 and circulating TNF levels appear to have little influence on CSF pleocytosis.39 The potential sources of locally produced TNF within the subarachnoid space include astrocytes,40–42 microglial cells,43 ependymal cells41 and, possibly, vascular tissue.45 However, the capacity of these cell types to display hyporesponsiveness to LPS apparently is yet to be determined.

In the present study, the evaluation of adjunct anti-inflammatory therapy was based on the design of a clinical study46 that used dexamethasone administration before antibiotic treatment. Therefore, dexamethasone was present in the circulation for only a short time before the second intra-cisternal administration of LPS, but it still inhibited additional leucocyte influx, reduced secondary TNF release, led to a more rapid decrease in CSF protein content, and to an increase in CSF glucose concentration. However, it is noteworthy that a complete inhibition of TNF release did not occur with dexamethasone; the second TNF peak was c. 44% of the first peak. This lack of complex inhibition of TNF release is apparently not due to an insufficient time of exposure of target cells to dexamethasone, because administration of dexamethasone 1 h before the second dose of LPS resulted in similar findings in that the second TNF peak was c. 45% of the first (data not shown).

According to some,46–48 but not all49 studies, TNF is apparently chemotactic for neutrophils in vitro, and the intra-cisternal injection of TNF can induce subarachnoid space inflammation.39, 50–52 However, the potency of intra-cisternal TNF is markedly less than that of IL-1, although these two cytokines can act synergically in this location,51, 52 and the kinetics of leucocyte influx in response to intra-cisternal injection of TNF can be delayed, compared to that resulting from LPS injection, despite LPS inducing a rapid accumulation of TNF in the CSF that precedes leucocyte influx.51 These results, and other evidence indicating a dissociation of accumulation of TNF within the CSF and pleocytosis53, 54 as a result of dexamethasone treatment, suggest that TNF may not be the primary mediator of cellular infiltration in meningitis, or at least that anti-inflammatory therapy can override the chemotactic effects of TNF without inhibiting its release. Although the exact role of TNF in the induction of this largely ineffectual cellular influx, which may potentially result in damage to brain tissue from superoxide radical production or release of proteases from neutrophils,1 is unknown, TNF may also be directly damaging to the endothelia of the blood brain barrier2 and neural tissue owing to its cytotoxicity for oligodendrocytes12, 55, 56 and its capacity to induce demyelination of nerve fibres55 (for a review of the cytotoxic effects of cytokines on neural tissue see Morganti-Kossmann et al.57).

Despite the release of TNF after the intra-cisternal administration of LPS, and reduction of TNF release by dexamethasone treatment, little change in TNFα mRNA occurred in the brain. Indeed, in the absence of detectable CSF TNF, rabbit brain contained easily detectable levels of TNFα mRNA; it has been demonstrated previously that normal animal tissues contain TNFα mRNA.58 In situ hybridisation has demonstrated that normal ependymal cells produce mRNA for TNFα.44 Under certain conditions TNFα mRNA may have a short half-life (< 20 min).59, 60 Given that the sampling times in the present study were not frequent, it is conceivable that a small change in TNFα mRNA could have occurred in response to secondary LPS injection and was not detected. However, previous in-vitro22 and in-vivo61 evidence suggests that the level of TNFα mRNA changes little in response to secondary stimulation with LPS, but this lack of response may be overcome by high concentrations of LPS relative to the primary dose.22 Furthermore, TNFα mRNA levels do not change in response to dexamethasone once cellular activation by LPS has begun.61 The activity of dexamethasone in
attenuating TNFα production in response to LPS has been proposed to be more at the level of translation of a pre-formed pool of TNFα mRNA, rather than inhibition of transcription. However, data from this study cannot exclude the fact that certain cell types were showing changes in TNFα mRNA. Ependymal cells of the choroid plexus and ventricles, and to a lesser extent capillary endothelium and glial cells, but not other neural cell types, have been shown by immunocytochemical techniques to produce TNF, but only ependymal cells were reported to produce TNFα mRNA.

Low CSF glucose content is associated with poor prognosis of meningitic children. The lack of reduction in CSF glucose concentration during experimental bacterial meningitis does not accurately reflect the disease in man. However, in the present study, and a previous experimental one, administration of dexamethasone resulted in a marked increase in CSF glucose content, which reflects clinical experience. In the present study, the rise in the CSF glucose content induced by dexamethasone occurred without changes in the CSF lactate content, again reflecting clinical experience particularly at 12 h after treatment. Dexamethasone is a synthetic adrenocortical steroid of the gluconeogenic type, and this class of compounds stimulates gluconeogenesis, but little attention has been paid to this facet of its activity during the treatment of meningitis. Although further research is necessary, it is tempting to speculate that one of the major benefits of adjunct dexamethasone therapy is early restoration of CSF glucose levels, which may ultimately contribute to reduction in neurological sequelae. However, during meningitis, anaerobic glycolysis within brain tissues appears to be operative and the effects of rapidly elevated CSF glucose levels on brain physiology during meningitis remain unknown.

In clinical studies, CSF samples have been taken upon admission and 18–24 h after antibiotic or anti-biotic plus anti-inflammatory therapy, and analysis of these samples has documented the benefit of dexamethasone in reducing several parameters of inflammation. If the results presented here are truly representative of the clinical situation, the rapid, transient influx of leukocytes and production of TNF induced by a second LPS injection and the attenuation of this by dexamethasone, may indicate possible immediate benefits of dexamethasone treatment that are obscured from clinical observation because of the required infrequency of CSF sampling. However, the impact of reduction of this transient increase in inflammation on the development of sequelae remains uncertain.
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