Use of ribosomal RNA gene restriction patterns to investigate two outbreaks of campylobacter enteritis in Melbourne, Australia
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Summary. The analysis of ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene patterns (ribotyping) has been used to differentiate strains within bacterial species. We used this method to investigate two outbreaks of campylobacter enteritis that occurred recently in Melbourne, Australia. The first outbreak involved seven patients although isolates from only five patients were available for typing. The second outbreak consisted of three patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) on the same ward of a hospital. Analysis of the rRNA gene patterns revealed identical patterns for the isolates from five patients in the first outbreak, suggesting that these isolates were from the same source. However, ribotyping of the four isolates from the second outbreak showed three distinct ribotypes indicative of contact with unrelated sources. This study demonstrated that ribotyping is a useful, reliable and convenient typing scheme for epidemiological purposes.

Introduction

Campylobacter jejuni is the commonest bacterial cause of gastroenteritis in man in developed countries, including Australia. In England, the annual incidence of campylobacter enteritis is approximately 50/100,000 people.1 A similar incidence is observed in the USA.2 In Australia the annual incidence is not known. However, in a recent hospital-based study in Melbourne,3 we reported almost 100 cases of bacteriologically confirmed campylobacter diarrhoea/year during 1980–1984 with a prevalence rate almost equalling that of salmonella enteritis. Recent figures from annual reports (1985–1990) suggested that although the incidence of campylobacter enteritis remained static it was almost double that of salmonella enteritis and four times that of shigella infections. Unlike salmonella infection, community-wide outbreaks of campylobacter enteritis are uncommon in Australia. Nevertheless, epidemic outbreaks of campylobacter infections associated with food, especially raw milk,4–6 and to a lesser extent undercooked poultry,7 beef8,9 egg9 and untreated water10–11 have been reported elsewhere.

Typing methods such as biotyping,12 serotyping,13,14 plasmid typing,15 enzyme profile analysis,6,16 restriction digest analysis,7,17 phage typing4 and most recently, ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene pattern analysis17 have been used to differentiate strains of C. jejuni for epidemiological purposes. In this study a non-radioactive Southern blot hybridisation method of rRNA gene pattern analysis was used to investigate two recent outbreaks of campylobacter enteritis in Melbourne.

Materials and methods

Patients

The first outbreak involved seven army personnel from a suburban army barracks who had gastroenteritis between 30 April and 11 June 1989. All had profuse diarrhoea and acute abdominal pain. The source of infection was not clear, but all the patients were reported to have consumed pizza from the same restaurant. C. jejuni was isolated from stool specimens from all seven patients. Seven other residents from the same barracks presented with gastroenteritis during this period. Five of these patients were culture-negative for C. jejuni and other enteric pathogens including shigella, salmonellae and Clostridium difficile. Stool specimens were not collected from two of the patients. The association of these seven patients with the suspected food source (pizza) is not clear. No attempt was made to culture campylobacters from the putative food source, nor was follow up instituted for members of staff at the pizza restaurant. Isolates from five of the seven culture-positive patients were available for typing.

The second apparent outbreak consisted of three HIV-infected in-patients of the same ward at a Melbourne hospital. One patient (the index case, Case
A) had C. jejuni bacteraemia as well as gastroenteritis on 13 March 1991. C. jejuni was isolated from the other two cases (B and C) on 20 and 22 April respectively. Case A shared a room with Case B for 4 days (30 March–3 April). Case B was discharged on 3 April but was re-admitted on 18 April. During this second admission, he occupied a single room. He presented with acute abdominal pain that persisted for a week. Case C, who had been in a single room on the same ward from 27 Feb. to 21 March was transferred to a convalescent ward. He was re-admitted to the ward on 20 April, to a single room a few doors away from Case B. Copious diarrhoea was noted on 20 April. It is possible that Case B and Case C shared the same toilet facility. Four isolates, including the two from the blood and faecal specimens of Case A, were available for ribotyping.

**Isolation and identification of C. jejuni**

Stool specimens from the patients were cultured for enteric pathogens including Shigella spp., Salmonella spp. and C. difficile. Culture for Campylobacter spp. was on Skirrow’s Medium (Oxoid) incubated at 42°C in a micro-aerophilic atmosphere of CO₂, 10%, O₂, 6% and N₂, 84%. Colonies that showed typical morphology were identified as C. jejuni if they showed the following characteristics: gram-negative curved rods, motile, failure to grow in air at 37°C, catalase- and oxidase-positive, nitrate reduction, hydrolysis of sodium hippurate, susceptibility to nalidixic acid (30 µg disk) and resistance to cephalothin (30 µg disk). Isolates were stored at -70°C before typing.

**Extraction of chromosomal DNA**

Isolates of C. jejuni were removed from storage and grown on sheep blood 6% agar for 3 days at 37°C in micro-aerobic conditions. For each isolate, bacterial cells from three plates were suspended in 5 ml of Tris-EDTA (TE) buffer, pH 7.6. Extraction and purification of chromosomal DNA was performed as described previously. The concentration and purity of DNA samples were estimated by comparing the electrophoretic pattern with those displayed by a standard DNA preparation on agarose 0.7% gels.

**DNA probe synthesis**

Plasmid pKK 3535 was digested with restriction endonuclease PstI and labelled with digoxigenin with the non-radioactive DNA labelling and detection kit (Boehringer Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. This DNA probe derived from plasmid pB322 of Escherichia coli encodes 5S RNA, 16S RNA, 23S RNA and tRNA₆₀₅₂ genes.

**Southern blot hybridisation**

Samples of DNA (c. 2 µg) were digested with 30 units each of HindIII or HaeIII restriction endonuclease at 37°C for 5 h under the conditions specified by the manufacturers. Excess endonuclease was used to ensure that no partial digestion products remained.

DNA fragments were separated by electrophoresis in agarose 0.7% gels at 50 V for 17 h in a buffer containing 40 mM Tris-acetate and 2 mM EDTA. The DNA fragments were transferred to a nylon filter (Gene Screen Plus, Dupont, Boston, MA, USA) with a vacuum transfer apparatus (Hybaid) at 40 cm H₂O vacuum for 1 h with 0.5 M NaOH, 1.5 M NaCl solution. The nylon was removed and baked at 120°C for 30 min. After pre-hybridisation at 37°C for 2 h in hybridisation solution containing formamide 50%, sarkosyl 0.165%, 5 × SSC (1 × SSC is 0.15 M NaCl + 0.015 M sodium citrate), SDS 1% and herring sperm DNA 200 µg/ml, heat-denatured DNA probe in hybridisation solution was added and the filter was incubated at 42°C overnight. After hybridisation, the filter was washed twice for 5 minutes at room temperature with 2 × SSC-SDS 0% and twice for 30 min at 50°C with 0.1 × SSC-SDS 0.1%. The hybridisation reactions were visualised colorimetrically with the enzyme-linked immunoassay of the digoxigenin system which contains an alkaline phosphatase-conjugated antibody as described in the non-radioactive DNA labelling and detection kit (Boehringer Mannheim) and modified by using casein 1% in the blocking step and as a conjugate diluent.

**Results**

Chromosomal DNA from the 10 strains of C. jejuni (five from the first outbreak, four from the second outbreak and the type strain C. jejuni NCTC 11351) were digested with HaeIII or HindIII restriction endonucleases. The rRNA gene patterns (ribotyping patterns) obtained after Southern hybridisation and probing with labelled plasmid pKK 3535 are shown in the figure.

**Fig. 1.** rRNA gene restriction patterns for HindIII digests of campylobacter DNA from two outbreaks in Melbourne. Lanes 1–5, isolates from the first outbreak (patients nos. 4516, 4519, 4520, 4518 and 4517); 6, C. jejuni NCTC 11351; 7–10, strains from the second ‘outbreak’ (faecal and blood isolates from patient A, and faecal isolates from patients B and C respectively); 11, mol. wt marker.
characteristics, such as biotyping and lectin typing, are not very useful in epidemiological studies because they are not sufficiently discriminatory. The serotyping systems of Penner and Lior have been used by some workers, but the antisera required are not available commercially. Production of antisera by individual laboratories has several drawbacks—it is time-consuming, labour-intensive and requires the use of laboratory animals.

New typing schemes have been developed recently and used successfully to distinguish strains within bacterial species. Some of these methods are very time-consuming and require expensive laboratory equipment. For instance, enzyme profile analysis by multilocus enzyme electrophoresis takes 3 weeks or more to accomplish and requires special computer software and complicated statistical analysis. Plasmid-profile analysis can be applied only to Campylobacter strains that contain plasmids. Chromosomal DNA restriction endonuclease analysis is highly discriminatory but produces a large number of DNA bands (up to 1000 bands) rendering it impractical for typing large numbers of isolates in comparative studies. rRNA gene pattern analysis (ribotyping) generally produces between two and ten bands, and this is sufficiently discriminatory to distinguish between strains for epidemiological purposes, as has been shown in this study. The method is similar to many other molecular typing methods in complexity and cost. At present, ribotyping is relatively laborious and takes 5–6 days. It also requires specialised reagents and equipment which may be costly to set up, and hence is unsuitable for routine use in the clinical laboratory. However, it has an important place in a reference laboratory for epidemiological investigation. We found that this method, with a non-radioactive technique of Southern hybridisation, is a safe, useful and reliable typing scheme for investigating outbreaks of campylobacteriosis.

The most common outbreaks of campylobacter enteritis reported outwith Australia are generally associated with the consumption of raw or unpasteurised milk. Drinking-water supplies have also been implicated in a few outbreaks. In Australia, epidemic outbreaks of campylobacter enteritis are uncommon, probably because it is illegal to sell or supply unpasteurised milk. Consequently, there is limited consumption of raw milk confined to individuals and isolated communities. Sporadic cases of campylobacter infections associated with consumption of food such as take-away food involving undercooked chicken, beef, seafood or pizza are common and are regularly seen in hospitals. However, outbreaks of campylobacter enteritis associated with food such as reported in the first outbreak in this study are rare. The organism is present in domestic animals but the antisera required are not available commercially. Production of antisera by individual laboratories has several drawbacks—it is time-consuming, labour-intensive and requires the use of laboratory animals.

The Health Department of Victoria has recently

![Fig. 2. rRNA gene restriction patterns for HaeIII digests of campylobacter DNA from Melbourne outbreaks. Lane 1, mol. wt marker; 2–6, isolates from the first outbreak (patients nos. 4516, 4519, 4520, 4518 and 4517); 7, C. jejuni NCTC 11351; 8–11, strains from the second 'outbreak' (faecal and blood isolates from patient A, and faecal isolates from patients B and C respectively).](image)
introduced a new enteric disease notification system which includes campylobacter species. The ribotyping method described here provides a sensitive and reliable typing scheme, that we are currently studying to gain a better understanding of the epidemiological sig-

nificance of \textit{C. jejuni} in the human and animal population, particularly with regard to the surveillance and investigation of outbreaks of infection.
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