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Introduction

Metschnikoff, in 1884, formulated the theory of phagocytosis and proposed that phagocytes were the first line of defence against invading and potentially pathogenic micro-organisms. It is now known that phagocytosis is one of the most important defence mechanisms against microbial infection in all phyla of the animal kingdom. The importance of phagocytosis with regard to Legionnaires’ disease is related to the ability of the causative organism to resist the bactericidal activity of vertebrate phagocytes and its tendency to survive at high concentrations in the food vacuoles of aquatic amoebae and, possibly, other protozoa and invertebrates. The latter property may result, via protozoal encystment and distribution, in the dispersal of what are, effectively, packets of legionellae to the environment, a phenomenon that may be of significance in the epidemiology of Legionnaires’ disease. In addition to Legionnaires’ disease, *Legionella pneumophila* has also been incriminated in an acute febrile non-pneumonic illness referred to as Pontiac fever. In such cases, it is possible that free-living amoebae reduce the number of viable bacterial cells below the level required to cause acute infection by inhalation. In this review we consider both phagocytosis of *Legionella* by phagocytes of the animal defence system and their ingestion by actively feeding protozoa.

Pathogenicity of *L. pneumophila* for different hosts

*L. pneumophila* is a facultative intracellular parasite that multiplies in human phagocytes and extracellularly on complex media. In lung specimens from patients with Legionnaires’ disease, clusters of bacteria have been demonstrated in alveolar macrophages. Similar findings have been reported in material from the lungs of guinea-pigs infected intraperitoneally with *L. pneumophila*. However, from these observations, it cannot be determined whether the bacteria multiplied intracellularly or were phagocytosed after extracellular multiplication. In studies of the interaction between *L. pneumophila* and normal cynomolgus monkey alveolar macrophages, the latter were found to contain 1–3 bacteria per cell after a 3-h period of interaction. After 24 h, many macrophages contained distended vacuoles filled with legionellae. Furthermore, the multiplication of the bacteria was so rapid and extensive that the entire cytoplasmic compartment of some cells became filled with vesicles containing bacteria. Ultimately the phagocytes were destroyed.

Pulmonary alveolar macrophages are the first line of defence against organisms invading the lungs. These are followed by polymorphonuclear neutrophil leucocytes (PMNL). A human macrophage-like cell line (U937), derived from a human histiocytic lymphoma cell line which supported the growth of *L. pneumophila*, has been described. These cells are phenotypically similar to macrophages, are actively phagocytic and have receptors for IgG and complement subcomponent C3. This cell line has been used for testing *L. pneumophila* strains containing a gene encoding for optimal intracellular infection that has been cloned and sequenced. In addition to the U937 cell line, investigators have employed a variety of host cells capable of supporting growth of *L. pneumophila*, including human monocytes, free-living protozoa, human and animal epithelial cell lines, guinea-pig lung tissue and chick-embryo yolk-sac membranes, and primary explanted macrophages from various origins. The use of these models to study the pathogenesis of Legionnaires’ disease has been of great value.

In guinea-pigs, depletion of circulating PMNL by a course of antipolymorph serum and subsequent infection with *L. pneumophila* had the following main effects: it lowered the dose of organisms necessary to establish infection; led to increased numbers of bacteria in the lungs; and
caused much higher mortality. However, it did not alter the nature or extent of the pulmonary lesions. These results suggest that pathogenic effects are probably caused directly by *L. pneumophila*, in particular by extracellular enzymes such as proteases.\textsuperscript{29,30} Cytopathic changes in tissue-culture monolayers of MRC-5, HeLa, HEP 2 and McCoy cells were demonstrated after inoculation with *L. pneumophila*.\textsuperscript{21}

### Phagocytosis of *L. pneumophila* by vertebrate phagocytes

Generally, phagocytosis of micro-organisms appears to follow a common pattern when examined by electronmicroscopy. In this process, phagocyte pseudopods or micropseudopods appear to move circumferentially and more or less symmetrically around the particle being engulfed until their tips meet, fuse and finally enclose the particle within a membrane-bound vacuole. In the case of particles coated with certain IgG or complement components, or both, including red blood cells and lymphocytes, the process occurs as a result of sequential interaction between ligands on the particle surface (Fc portion of IgG and complement component C3) and specific receptors for these ligands on the phagocytic membrane.\textsuperscript{31,32}

Phagocytosis of the Legionnaires' disease bacterium by PMNL occurs by a novel mechanism termed "coiling phagocytosis" in which engulfment of the organism takes place within a pseudopod coil.\textsuperscript{33} Human monocytes, alveolar macrophages and PMNL phagocyte live *L. pneumophila* by coiling phagocytosis and also phagocytose formalin-,
gluteraldehyde- or heat-killed *L. pneumophila* in the same manner. In contrast, either before or after such treatment, other organisms are phagocytosed by conventional phagocytosis. Monocytes internalise *L. pneumophila* by coiling phagocytosis in the presence or absence of normal human serum. However, treatment of *L. pneumophila* with anti-*L. pneumophila* antiserum of high titre or with both anti-*Legionella* antiserum of low titre and complement abolishes coiling phagocytosis; these bacteria are internalised by conventional phagocytosis in the presence or absence of complement. The phenomenon of coiling phagocytosis has been shown to be independent of bacterial virulence and it occurred whether or not the bacteria were heat-killed or whether they were pre-opsonised with specific antibody.\textsuperscript{34} The bacterium contains a surface component (F-1 fraction) of high mol. wt in which resides the serogroup-specific antigen of *L. pneumophila*. Antibody to this fraction is required for phagocytosis of the organism by mammalian phagocytes.\textsuperscript{35}

Live *L. pneumophila* induce the formation of a unique type of phagosome that is studded with host-cell ribosomes. Formation of this vacuole entails a complex sequence of cytoplasmic events that takes place during the first 4–8 h after engulfment and involves host-cell smooth vesicles, mitochondria and ribosomes.\textsuperscript{36} The phagosome does not fuse with the primary or secondary lysosomes of the host cell. This inhibition is partly overcome by coating bacteria with antibody or activating the monocytes.\textsuperscript{37} However, formalin-killed *L. pneumophila* enter a membrane-bound vacuole around which such cytoplasmic organelles do not occur.\textsuperscript{36} Furthermore, formalin-killed organisms are rapidly degraded within the phagocyte vacuole which does fuse with host-cell granules.

Since both live and formalin-killed *L. pneumophila* are internalised by coiling rather than conventional phagocytosis, the intracellular fate of the bacterium must be influenced by factors other than those that determine the mode of entry. Live organisms maintain a significantly higher pH in the phagocytic vacuoles than do formalin-killed organisms.\textsuperscript{38} Ingested intracellular pathogens, including *L. pneumophila* (an obligate, aerobic bacterium that is predominantly a pulmonary pathogen in man),\textsuperscript{39} can resist the antimicrobial systems of phagocytes. Such systems include the reactive oxygen metabolites generated by the phagocytosis-induced respiratory burst and granule constituents released into the phagosome during degranulation. Antimicrobial granule components include the iron-chelating protein lactoferrin, hydrogen peroxide and myeloperoxidase—which have multiple roles in bacterial killing—various acid hydrolases,\textsuperscript{40,41} and cationic proteins—which effect very specific lesions on the bacterial surface, resulting in a rapid loss of viability with little overall structural damage.\textsuperscript{42}

The mechanism by which *L. pneumophila* resists the antimicrobial activities of the host phagocytes is not known. One possibility is that a specific product of the organism may be involved in the process. *L. pneumophila* produces: a haemolysin;\textsuperscript{43} several proteases;\textsuperscript{44,45} other exoenzymes;\textsuperscript{46} an endotoxin;\textsuperscript{47} an acid phosphatase, which blocks superoxide-anion production by PMNL;\textsuperscript{48} and a (cyto)toxin.\textsuperscript{49}

Six discrete extracellular proteases were isolated from *L. pneumophila*.\textsuperscript{29} When administered into the lungs of guinea-pigs, one protease with in-vitro activity against collagen, casein and gelatin (tissue-destructive protease, TDP) elicited pulmonary
lesions which were pathogenically similar to those observed in clinical and experimental Legionnaires’ disease. Intranasal administration of as little as 20 μg of TDP was sufficient to cause death in experimental animals. Further evidence that L. pneumophila protease may play a major role in the pathogenesis of Legionnaires’ disease was the demonstration that substantially higher TDP levels occurred in vivo, possibly as a result of induction. In parallel studies, higher concentrations of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) were detected in supernates of lung-macerates than in culture supernates. The presence of non-cell-associated LPS in macerate-supernate fractions probably indicated the existence of large numbers of dead, lysed organisms. Whilst sonication experiments suggested that cell lysis released very little TDP, TDP produced by organisms in vivo might account for the higher levels detected in the lungs of infected animals. Another protease, referred to as major secretory protein, is a product of L. pneumophila that induces protective cell-mediated immunity in guinea-pigs. Characterisation of L. pneumophila extracellular protease was further confirmed and extended to show that this protein exhibited proteolytic, cytotoxic and haemolytic activities.

L. pneumophila toxin kills several types of tissue-culture cells and embryonated hen’s eggs. It is a peptide of c. 1.3 KDa that is methanol-soluble, heat-stable and sensitive to proteolytic enzymes. It has been observed that O₂ consumption and nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH)-turnover during phagocytosis were impaired in PMNL pre-incubated with L. pneumophila toxin at concentrations that did not affect viability or phagocytosis. In addition, resting and methylene blue-stimulated activities of the hexose-monophosphate shunt were found to be normal in toxin-treated PMNL, an observation suggesting that the toxin does not directly affect this pathway’s capacity to generate NADPH. Whether or not this toxin contributes to intracellular survival of L. pneumophila remains to be determined. Defective triggering of oxidative metabolism in PMNL by L. pneumophila toxin has been studied and it has been shown that the toxin selectively impairs activation of phagocyte superoxide-generating complex without affecting the functional integrity of components of the complex. A recent suggestion is that live, but not killed, L. pneumophila may contain another cell-bound toxin which, at high multiplicities of infection, is capable of causing extensive cytopathic effects on macrophages. Toxicity to macrophages is an important characteristic that may be used to distinguish between virulent and avirulent strains of L. pneumophila; phagocytosis appears to be necessary for cytotoxicity because incubation at 4°C or addition of cytochalasin-D, each of which inhibit engulfment of cells, inhibits toxic activity.

Comparison of virulent and avirulent L. pneumophila

Intracellular survival of the Legionnaires’ disease bacterium is dependent on the virulence of the organism. Virulent organisms multiplied very rapidly in lungs of guinea-pigs, reaching a concentration of 5 x 10¹¹ viable organisms/lung. Bacteria were present in the blood, liver, spleen and kidney. On the other hand, an avirulent strain was unable to replicate after inoculation into the lungs and was not found in any other organs. About 10 times more viable bacteria were recovered from lung macrophages than from lung PMNL when infected with virulent L. pneumophila; but similar numbers of bacteria were recovered from both cell types when avirulent strains were employed.

Agar-adapted L. pneumophila lose their virulence for chicken embryos and guinea-pigs and repeated passage of virulent L. pneumophila cultures on supplemented Mueller-Hinton (SMH) agar has been widely accepted as a method for obtaining avirulent derivative cultures. When these avirulent cultures are passaged through living hosts, including guinea-pigs, virulent cultures have been recovered. In most studies on virulence conversion of L. pneumophila, liquid batch cultures which may contain a mixture of virulent and avirulent populations have been used. However, Catrenich and Johnson selected isolated colonies derived from liquid batch cultures and showed that virulence conversion was a one-way phenomenon, i.e., from virulent to avirulent. The only occasion in which virulent L. pneumophila was recovered from an avirulent culture was when the avirulent culture was derived from a saline suspension of a virulent culture which had been passaged only five times on SMH; but when an avirulent culture was derived from a virulent culture passed 25 times on SMH agar, virulent cultures were not recovered even after successive passage through guinea-pigs. SMH agar appears to act as a selective medium for the growth of avirulent organisms. It is possible that virulent L. pneumophila cells are unable to grow on SMH agar but remain viable for several SMH-agar passages and can be recovered subsequently on charcoal yeast-extract agar. This would explain the observation by fluorescence microscopy of viable, but non-cultivable, L. pneumophila in environmental-water samples plated on SMH.
Virulent strains are phagocytosed and replicate within phagocytic cells; avirulent strains appear to resist phagocytosis and when they are phagocytosed, do not replicate within phagocytic cells. After phagocytosis, avirulent strains are more efficiently killed intracellularly than virulent strains. Both kinds of strains enter phagocytes by coating phagocytosis; thereafter, their intracellular destinies diverge. The virulent organisms form a distinctive ribosome-lined replicative phagosome and inhibit phagosome fusion; the avirulent strain neither forms a distinctive phagosome nor inhibits phagosome-lysosome fusion.33,66

When PMNL were challenged with avirulent strains of *L. pneumophila*, they produced more chemiluminescence and superoxide anion than those challenged by virulent strains.67 This phenomenon may be due either to active suppression of PMNL responses by virulent strains or to varying degrees of opsonisation. A cytotoxin of low mol. wt has been isolated68 from *L. pneumophila* that altered PMNL-oxidative metabolism; more recently, the blockage of superoxide-anion production in human PMNL by the activity of an acid phosphatase isolated from *M. micdadei* has been described.48 However, differences in the production of these substances by virulent and avirulent strains have not been reported. The extent of opsonisation may also be related to virulence. Avirulent strains generally bind more C3 than virulent strains, except that one virulent strain (Lou 1v) bound considerably more C3 than its avirulent variant (Lou 1). The reversed pattern of C3 binding by Lou 1 and Lou 1v variants (avirulent and virulent respectively) suggests that phagocytic-cell responses to *L. pneumophila* may be altered by other unidentified virulence-associated factors.67 The differences observed in chemiluminescence are not completely understood.

One possibility is that measurement of chemiluminescence in PMNL may involve the detection of other oxygen radicals, even though the lucigenin-assay system used67 is reported to be specific for detection of superoxide radicals.68

Virulent strains of *L. pneumophila* are cytotoxic for alveolar macrophages when added at a multiplicity of infection of 100 *L. pneumophila* per macrophage. Under the same conditions, avirulent strains exhibit little cytotoxic activity.59 Virulent, but not avirulent, strains were resistant to bacterialcidal activity when incubated in the presence of normal human serum (10% or 50%) and failed to bind complement components (C3 and C9). The mechanism of serum resistance of virulent strains of *L. pneumophila* is not known. In other organisms, complement activation and deposition are associated with LPS and outer-membrane proteins. Although studies of the composition of LPS from virulent strains of *L. pneumophila* have been published recently,69 little is known about differences in the composition and structure of LPS isolated from virulent and avirulent strains. It has been reported66 that a virulent strain of *L. pneumophila* and its avirulent variant had similar structural and secretory protein and LPS. However, more recently, Keevil et al. (personal communication) found a decrease in the LPS constituents and the virulence of *L. pneumophila* grown in continuous culture under nutrient-limited conditions.

Virulent strains of *L. pneumophila* grown in the human macrophage-like cell line12 induce a cytopathic effect in these cells. In contrast, avirulent strains neither multiply in this cell line nor produce a cytopathic effect. Therefore, this cell line was able to differentiate between virulent and avirulent strains of *L. pneumophila*. Furthermore, several other systems have been used to assay virulence of *Legionella*. These include guinea-pigs,70 amoebae,17 and virus-like plaque formation in mouse L929 cells.71 All these techniques are difficult to perform and cannot be used routinely in clinical laboratories. Recently, Halablab et al.72 reported a simple technique for differentiating virulent from avirulent *L. pneumophila* based on the ability of viable *L. pneumophila* to reduce nitroblue-tetrazolium to an insoluble formazan in the presence of either *Acanthamoeba polyphaga* or human PMNL. On microscopic examination, deposits of formazan around *Legionella* cells may be observed inside amoebae similar to those inside human neutrophils (Halablab, unpublished observations).

### *L. pneumophila* and protozoa

Among amoeboid protozoa, phagocytosis is not only the means of defence against foreign cells and particles73 but also the principal mode of ingesting food. Sponges, molluscs, annelids, arthropods, echinoderms and tunicates also use ingestion by phagocytic cells to dispose of unwanted cells and particles. Their phagocytes and other related cells can also combine to encapsulate and isolate invading particles or organisms that are too large to be engulfed by a single cell.73–76

Free-living amoebae of the genera *Naegleria* and *Acanthamoeba* are ubiquitous in soil and water. The commonest species, *A. polyphaga*, *A. castellani* and *N. gruberi*, have been isolated from humidifiers and may be present in such environments in large numbers. Amoebae and *L. pneumophila* were detected in 38–88% of ground, drinking or whirlpool
waters tested. Amoebae isolated from river water were found to contain legionellae. Rowbotham was the first to report phagocytosis of L. pneumophila by amoebae and suggested that a vacuole, or an amoeba, full of legionellae might be the infective particle for man rather than free legionellae themselves. However, when L. pneumophila was inoculated into axenic cultures of N. lovaniensis and A. royreba, 99.9% of the bacteria were destroyed within 24 h. After several weeks, however, some amoeba cultures became chronically infected and supported the intracellular growth of L. pneumophila. Amoebae exposed to L. pneumophila and containing adherent L. pneumophila, L. pneumophila antigens, or both, showed no increased pathogenic potential on intranasal inoculation of weaning mice. Similarly, L. pneumophila propagated in chronically infected amoeba cultures showed no increase in virulence on intraperitoneal inoculation of guinea-pigs in comparison with L. pneumophila grown in yeast-extract broth. Since the bacteria did not grow in the media designed for the amoebae, the amoebae provided either an intracellular niche or extracellular factors that supported the growth of L. pneumophila. However, viable counts of L. pneumophila declined rapidly either in amoeba culture medium alone or when bacteria and amoebae were separated by a microporous membrane. Therefore, direct amoeba-legionella contact is required for this growth. Furthermore, numerous bacteria, including some dividing forms, were observed within vacuoles in the cytoplasm. These results differ from those obtained with cyanobacteria plus Legionella cultures in which the latter requires extracellular growth factors from the former.

As mentioned above, Legionella cells phagocyted by mammalian phagocytic cells are enclosed in vacuoles which are enriched by one or more mitochondria apposed to the vacuolar membrane. Subsequently, ribosomes line the margin of the bacteria-filled vacuole. The same sequence of cytoplasmic events took place when L. pneumophila was phagocyted by N. fowleri. In amoebal saline alone, destruction of legionellae was apparent and the bacteria did not multiply. However, in amoeba-culture medium the number of bacteria increased, suggesting that amoebae require nutritionally replete conditions, and perhaps additional factors, to promote an increase in Legionella cell numbers.

An ecological model has been established that has led to the development of an enrichment method for Legionella. Cells of the ciliate protozoan, Tetrahymena pyriformis, were infected with 1–30 L. pneumophila cells in sterile tap water at 35°C. Seven days after inoculation, serpentine chains of c. 10⁵ L. pneumophila were observed throughout the cytoplasm of the protozoan. L. pneumophila did not multiply in sterile tap water alone, in suspensions of lysed T. pyriformis or in cell-free filtrates of a T. pyriformis culture. Evaluation of 17 strains of L. pneumophila for virulence by intraperitoneal injection of guinea-pigs and inoculation into cultures of T. pyriformis showed that, with respect to virulence, there are four categories of legionellae: (1) organisms that infect and kill guinea-pigs and multiply in T. pyriformis; (2) organisms that infect but do not kill guinea-pigs and multiply in T. pyriformis; (3) organisms that do not infect guinea-pigs but are lethal at high concentration and multiply in T. pyriformis; and (4) organisms that neither infect nor kill guinea-pigs and fail to multiply in T. pyriformis. The existence of category-2 strains suggests that intracellular multiplication is not the only factor responsible for the clinical manifestations of infection with Legionella species in guinea-pigs; toxic activity may also be involved. Any Legionella isolate which produces death or illness in the guinea-pig or multiplies intracellularly in T. pyriformis should be considered pathogenic for man.

Rowbotham developed an amoebal enrichment method for the isolation and amplification of viable legionellae from clinical materials. Studies on these L. pneumophila isolates led to the discovery that virulent legionellae are attracted to suitable host amoebae, possibly by chemotaxis, indicating that infection does not result from random collision.

The pathogenicity of some strains of amoebae belonging to the genera Naegleria and Acanthamoeba is directly related to temperature. The interaction between L. pneumophila and Acanthamoeba also seems to be temperature dependent.

When L. pneumophila was cultured with A. palestinensis at 35°C, the bacterial population that developed was significantly greater than that obtained at lower temperatures and some bacteria were seen to be expelled from phagosomes. Furthermore, in some amoebae, the cytoplasm was full of bacteria and in others the process of encystment commenced. At 20°C, A. palestinensis phagocyted and digested legionellae. Although the bacteria disappeared after the second day of co-culture, legionellae re-appeared in low numbers by the sixth day suggesting that even at this temperature some intra-amoebal multiplication occurred. Similar events were described by other workers who did not mention, however, experimental temperature. The formation of thick-walled amoebal structures (amoebal cysts) may enable intracellular packets of...
pneumophila are commonly isolated. In addition, infected amoebae piped-water supplies from which legionellae are resistance may be a factor in the dispersal of legionellae to domestic water supplies and may also digestive processes of the host cell. That kind of and virulent strains resist their antimicrobialularly (in amoebae) and in the latter case is a might provide a reservoir for survival of legionellae in the case of cyanobacteria) or intracell-
ularly (in amoebae) or intracellular events in amoebae and phagocytes, do any events analogous to those in the replicative phagosome occur in amoebae and is lysosome-phagosome fusion inhibited in amoebae as in mammalian cells? Do the virulence factors possessed by pathogenic strains of Legionella have any effect on the amoeba-legionella interaction? Do legionellae produce the toxins and proteases described above intra-amoebally and, if so, how do amoebae resist them? What essential growth factors are legionellae receiving from co-cultured organ-
isms and do they require similar factors within the legionella-phagocyte interaction?

We conclude by expressing the hope that future research may look more closely into some of these questions and that the answers will prove to be of value in the prevention and cure of Legionnaires’ disease.
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