A comparison of immunoblot and DNA restriction patterns in characterising methicillin-resistant isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*
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**Summary.** The ability of EcoRI restriction enzyme fragmentation patterns and of immunoblotting to differentiate methicillin-resistant isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* were compared. All isolates examined were typable by both methods and the reproducibility of each was excellent. Immunoblotting differentiated eight types and DNA restriction patterns four. The former technique was of value in characterising methicillin-resistant isolates of *S. aureus* and controlling an outbreak due to them.

**Introduction**

In the UK, especially in the South East of England, there is an epidemic of infection caused by a methicillin-resistant strain of *Staphylococcus aureus* (EMRSA), the severity of which has led to the formulation of methods to control it (Report, 1986). Their implementation is expensive; therefore, it is important to identify isolates of EMRSA rapidly and accurately.

Identification of EMRSA is based on the strain’s antibiogram and phage-typing characteristics. The epidemic strain is always resistant to benzylpenicillin, methicillin, erythromycin and tetracycline and susceptible to vancomycin, and 70% of isolates are resistant to gentamicin, but resistance to fusidic acid or rifampicin is rare. Its phage-typing pattern varies although most isolates type weakly with phage 85 (Marples et al., 1986).

The lack of discrimination provided by antibiograms or phage typing encouraged Lee and Burnie (1988) to develop an immunoblot method to identify these isolates and distinguish them from other methicillin-resistant strains. Immunoblot fingerprinting is a phenotype-based system that depends on the patterns seen when staphylococcal antigens produced by lysostaphin degradation react, after electrophoresis, with hyperimmune rabbit antisera. This technique was subsequently evaluated by staff of the Division of Hospital Infection in the Central Public Health Laboratory, Colindale, where its discriminatory superiority over antibiograms and phage typing was confirmed (Gaston et al., 1988). Krikler et al. (1986) developed a parallel method for characterising methicillin-sensitive isolates; in their method culture supernates were tested against normal human serum. Stephenson et al. (1986) described strain characterisation based on autoradiographs; this identified an outbreak due to EMRSA.

Immunoblotting has two disadvantages: its reproducibility is linked to a standardised antibody probe (Burnie and Matthews, 1987) and it recognises phenotypic rather than genotypic characters. Restriction endonuclease digest pattern analysis has been developed to characterise isolates of many pathogens including *Candida albicans* (Scherer and Stevens, 1987), *Vibrio cholerae* (Feng-Yin et al., 1986), *Campylobacter pylori* (Langenberg et al., 1986), and coagulase-negative staphylococci (Burnie and Lee, 1988), and because the pattern of the fragments is governed by the DNA sequence of the host genome it is not affected by phenotypic variation.

This paper describes DNA restriction pattern analysis of type isolates earlier defined by immunoblotting (Lee and Burnie, 1988) and a comparison of both typing methods in characterising isolates from an outbreak caused by a non-epidemic methicillin-resistant strain of *Staphylococcus aureus* in an oncology unit.

**Material and methods**

**Isolates**

Twenty-six strains representing seven of the eight types defined by immunoblotting (Lee and Burnie, 1988) and 14 isolates from the outbreak were examined. Immunoblot types 1, 3 and 8 were each represented by a single isolate; immunoblot type 2 (EMRSA) by 13 isolates;
immunoblot type 4 by three isolates; immunoblot type 6 by five isolates; and immunoblot type 7 by two isolates. All isolates were coagulase positive by both slide and tube tests. They were stored on nutrient-agar slopes at room temperature. During the outbreak, growth from screening swabs was enriched by culture in broth containing colistin 10 mg/L and nalidixic acid 5 mg/L and subculture on to nutrient agar containing methicillin 4 mg/L.

**DNA restriction pattern analysis**

**Preparation of DNA.** The procedure was modified from that of Burnie and Lee (1988). Cocci grown overnight at 37°C in 5 ml of Tryptone Soya Broth (Oxoid) were collected by centrifugation and washed in 1 ml of a solution consisting of 10 mM Tris hydroxymethylaminomethane (pH 8) and 1 mM ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA, pH 8) (TE). Fresh TE, 0.5 ml, containing 100 µl of lysostaphin (Sigma) at a concentration of 1 mg/ml, was added to each cell pellet and the mixture was incubated at 37°C in a waterbath for 1 h. Subsequently, 0.5 ml of a solution containing 0.05 M EDTA (pH 8.5) and sodium dodecyl sulphate 3 mg/ml was added, followed by 30 µl of diethylypyrocarbonate (Sigma). This mixture was incubated in a waterbath at 68°C for 30 min before 50 µl of 5 M potassium acetate was added; the mixture was centrifuged and the supernate was pipetted into 1 ml of cold absolute ethanol. This was mixed, left at -20°C for 30 min, then clarified by centrifugation for 5 min. The supernate was discarded. The precipitate was washed with 1 ml of cold 70% ethanol, the ethanol was removed, and the precipitate was vacuum-dried for 10 min in a speed vacuum concentrator (Genetech Research Industries, Bishop Stortford). When dry, the precipitate was redissolved in 0.1 ml of TE, pH 8, to which was added 12 µl of a solution in TE of RNAase (ribonuclease-A from bovine pancreas, types 1-AS, Sigma) 10 mg/ml, which had been boiled for 10 min. The suspension was incubated at 37°C for 30 min. One tenth volume (10 µl) of 3M sodium acetate (pH 5.0) and 2 volumes (200 µl) of cold absolute ethanol were added and the mixture was kept at -20°C overnight. It was then centrifuged; the precipitate was washed with 70% cold ethanol, vacuum dried and resuspended in 90 µl of TE, pH 8, by incubating at 55°C for 30–60 mins. This was then stored at -20°C.

**Restriction endonuclease digestion of DNA.** EcoRI reaction buffer (a tenfold concentrate), 10 µl, and 40 units (4 µl) of EcoRI enzyme (NBL Enzymes Division, Cramlington, UK) were added to 90 µl of DNA suspended in TE. Endonuclease digestion was carried out to completion by incubation at 37°C for 2 h. Digestion was stopped by heating to 70°C for 10 min. A 30 µl sample of HindIII digested lambda DNA (150 µg/ml) was used as a DNA marker. As markers, 30 µl of Bromophenol blue buffer, consisting of 40 mg of agarose dissolved in 20 ml of 10 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 20 mM EDTA, glycerol 10% and bromophenol blue 0.01%, was added to the digested DNA samples.

**Gel electrophoresis and photography.** The DNA fragments were separated by constant voltage electrophoresis (40V) overnight in a horizontal gel containing agarose 0.8% with a Tris-borate EDTA buffer system. They were visualised under UV light and photographed with Polaroid film type 57.

**Immunoblotting**

**Preparation of lysostaphin degraded extract.** Staphylococci grown overnight in 2 ml of Tryptone Soya Broth at 37°C were collected by centrifugation at 3000 g for 10 min, resuspended in 100 µl of sterile distilled water mixed with 100 µl of a solution of lysostaphin (Sigma) at a concentration of 200 µg/ml, and incubated for 30 min on a shaker at 37°C. After centrifugation, 60 µl of the supernate was solubilised in 60 µl of a solution containing sodium dodecyl sulphate 2.6% and mercaptoethanol 1.3%. This was heated at 100°C for 5 min.

**Preparation of antisera.** Cells of outbreak isolate No. 1, grown overnight at 37°C on Blood Agar (Oxoid) were harvested, centrifuged at 3000 g for 10 min and washed three times in distilled water. The washed cells were frozen at -20°C in an Xpress (LK B, Bromma, Sweden). They were then crushed twice at 12 m Pascals. The extracts were thawed and centrifuged at 12 000 g for 10 min. This produced a supernate with a protein concentration of approximately 100 mg/ml. Two New Zealand White rabbits were each inoculated subcutaneously with 25 mg of the supernate mixed with 1 ml of sterile water and 1 ml of complete Freund's adjuvant. They were inoculated again after 14 days and bled after a further 14 days. The antisera were pooled for subsequent experiments.

**Gel electrophoresis.** The lysostaphin-degraded staphylococcal extract, 75 µl, prepared as above, was loaded into each well of a polyacrylamide 10% gel. Electrophoresis was performed for 4 h (in a discontinuous buffer system) until the tracking dye was within 1 cm of the bottom of the gel (Laemmli, 1970). Standards of myosin (mol. wt 200 000); β-galactosidase (mol. wt 115 250); phosphorylase B (mol. wt 92 500); bovine serum albumin (mol. wt 66 200); ovalbumin (mol. wt 45 000); carbonic anhydrase (mol. wt 31 000); soybean trypsin inhibitor (mol. wt 21 500) and lysozyme (mol. wt 14 400) were included.

**Immunoblot transfer and strain.** Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose paper (BioRad laboratories, Richmond, CA, USA) in 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine buffer, pH 8.3, containing methanol 20% at 25°C with a current of 0.5 A applied for 45 min in an LKB transblot cell. Protein sites were saturated by incubating in bovine serum albumin (Sigma) 3% in buffered saline (NaCl 0.9% and 10 mM Tris, pH 7.4) at 4°C overnight. The nitrocellulose membrane was then incubated at 25°C for 2 h with rabbit antiserum diluted 1 in 20 in bovine serum albumin 3% and Tween 20 0·05%. After washing five times in saline 0·9% and Tween 20 0·05%, the nitrocellulose paper was incubated for 1 h at 25°C with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma) diluted 1 in 1000 in bovine serum albumin 3%. After washing again in saline 0·9% and Tween 20 0·05%, the membrane was
incubated for 5–15 min at 25°C with 10 ml of buffer (100 mM Tris hydrochloride, pH 9.5, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂) containing a mixture of 660 μl of a solution of nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) consisting of NBT 50 mg/ml in B,N-dimethylformamide 70% and 330 μl of a solution of 5'bromo'4'chloro'3 indolylphosphate 50 mg/ml in 70% N,N-dimethylformamide. The reaction was stopped by washing in water.

Antibiotic susceptibility

Antibiotic sensitivity was tested by the method of Stokes and Waterworth (1972) on Isosensitest Agar (Oxoid, CM471) with disks containing 10 μg of each of methicillin, tetracycline, gentamicin, erythromycin, neomycin, fusidic acid and chloramphenicol, 2 μg of rifampicin and clindamycin and 1 μg of benzylpenicillin. All tests were incubated at 35°C except for that with methicillin which was incubated at 30°C.

Phage typing

This was performed by staff of the Division of Hospital Infection, Central Public Health Laboratory, Colindale by the standard method (Parker, 1972).

The outbreak

On 13 Aug. 1987, a patient (Case 1) with a diagnosis of mycosis fungoides was admitted from Italy to the open ward of the male Oncology Unit, St Bartholomew’s Hospital. On 14 Aug. a lesion on his neck was swabbed. On 18 Aug. his condition had so deteriorated that he was transferred to the Intensive Care Unit. By 20 Aug. a methicillin-resistant strain of S. aureus had been isolated from the neck swab. The same organism was subsequently isolated from his nose, axilla, mouth, hair, groin, perineum, and a scalp wound. The patient was transferred on 22 Aug. to a side room in the female Oncology Unit where he remained until his death on 30 Aug.

On 18 Aug. a second patient (Case 2), transferred from a hospital in Essex, was found to be colonised by a strain of methicillin-resistant S. aureus. On 20 Aug. a third patient (Case 3) transferred from Whipps Cross Hospital was also found to be colonised by a methicillin-resistant strain of S. aureus. On 21 Aug. skin lesions and the nose and perineum of all patients in the male oncology ward were swabbed. As a result, three further patients (Cases 4–6) were found to be carriers of a methicillin-resistant S. aureus. Thereafter all patients bathed daily with Betadine® and were treated with Naseptin® cream intranasally.

On 25 Aug. nasal and perineal swabs were taken from the staff on the Oncology Unit; three doctors (Cases 7, 8 and 9) colonised by methicillin-resistant S. aureus were identified as a result. On 1 Sep. they began treatment with intra-nasal mupirocin cream and daily Betadine washes. On 28 Aug. two further patients with leukaemia (Cases 10 and 11) were found to be carriers of methicillin-resistant S. aureus, the organisms being grown from throat swabs taken as part of an antibiotic trial. In Case 11 the site of an intravenous Hickman catheter subsequently became infected; the catheter was removed on 3 Sep.

On 1 Sep. swabs were taken from the nose and perineum of all patients and staff in both Oncology Wards. MRSA were not isolated from any of the patients but two nurses (Cases 12 and 13) from the female ward were found to be nasal carriers. Both had cared for the index case (Case 1). On 14 Sep. a further patient (Case 14) in the male Oncology Ward was found to be colonised. As a result, nasal and perineal swabs were again taken from staff and patients in this ward; MRSA were not isolated, nor were MRSA isolated subsequently.

Results

Comparison of DNA restriction patterns and immunoblot types

DNA restriction enzyme digests contained five bands of 8.8–9.4 kb, 5.3–6.2 kb, 4.2 kb, 4 kb and 3.4 kb (table I), the patterns of which were sufficiently reproducible to serve as a basis for characterising individual strains. Differences of a single restriction fragment have been accepted as characterising distinct strains (Burnie and Lee, 1988) compared with differences of at least three antigenic bands before strains can be distinguished by immunoblotting. The DNA restriction fragment patterns of single isolates of each immunoblot type are shown in fig. 1. All the isolates were typable by DNA analysis and their restriction patterns were reproducible, as judged by at least two separate examinations. However, strain discrimination was poorer than with immunoblotting in that immunoblot types 1, 2 and 3 were all of DNA type 1 (table II). Immunoblot type 2 was an EMRSA and immunoblot types 1 and 3 were minor variants of

Table I. Details of the DNA types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band (kb)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.8–9.4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>DB</td>
<td>DB</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3–6.2</td>
<td>TB</td>
<td>DB</td>
<td>TB</td>
<td>DB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+*</td>
<td>+*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>DB</td>
<td>DB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ = Band present; – = band absent; TB = triple band; DB = double band; +* = band consistently low.
Fig. 1. DNA restriction patterns. Tracks 1-3, DNA type 1; tracks 4 and 5, DNA type 2; track 6, DNA type 3; track 7, DNA type 4; track 8, mol. wt markers (21.0, 9.4, 6.6 and 4.4 kb).

Table II. Comparison of the immunoblot and DNA types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of isolates</th>
<th>Immunoblot type</th>
<th>DNA type</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rifampicin resistant variant of EMRSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EMRSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Indistinguishable from EMRSA except typed with phage 83A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>All from Pinderfields Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>All from Hull Royal Infirmary, St James’ Hospital or St Luke’s Hospital, Bradford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>All from Hull Royal Infirmary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Resistant to all antibiotics tested except vancomycin. From Egypt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DNA restriction pattern and immunoblot characterisation of outbreak strains

In all, 14 isolates of methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* were obtained from 118 screening specimens taken from 73 patients and 87 screening specimens taken from staff during the outbreak.

Details of the DNA restriction patterns, immunoblot types and phage types of these isolates are given in table III. Two types were distinguished by immunoblotting: isolates from cases 2 and 5 were typical EMRSA (illustrated in fig. 2 by tracks 1 and 4) whereas the second type was a new immunoblot type (type 9) isolated from cases 1, 3, 4 and 6-14. Six of these isolates are illustrated in fig. 2. The EMRSA isolates had bands of 160, 132, 116, 92, 75, 61, 54, 53, 52, 50 and 38 Kda. The immunoblot type-9 isolates had bands of 160, 145, 116, 92, 75, 55, 54, 50 and 38 Kda. The brightest band for this strain was at 54 Kda compared with 52 Kda for the epidemic methicillin-resistant strain.

Fig. 3 illustrates the DNA restriction patterns of four EMRSA strains and of the isolate from Case 1.
They are indistinguishable. However their phage types differed, in that the epidemic strain reacted with phage 85 whereas the new strain was always susceptible to phage 84 and occasionally susceptible to phage 75. The strains' antibiograms were similar: both were resistant to benzylpenicillin, erythromycin, clindamycin, tetracycline, gentamicin and flucloxacillin and sensitive to chloramphenicol, fucidin, rifampicin and vancomycin. There was a small difference in sensitivity testing: benzylpenicillin always produced a small (5 mm) zone of inhibition with the strain from Case 1 but never with the epidemic strain.

Thus, the isolate from Case 1 was identical with the EMRSA as judged by DNA restriction pattern analysis but was considerably different phenotypically as measured by immunoblot type, variation in benzylpenicillin sensitivity, and different susceptibility to phages.

**Discussion**

When DNA restriction pattern analysis was applied to methicillin-resistant isolates of *S. aureus*
previously characterised by immunoblot typing (Lee and Burnie, 1988), all isolates were typable by the former method, and the five-band fragment pattern, on which the analysis was based, was reproducible. Discrimination however was poorer, in that the eight original immunoblot types corresponded to four DNA types only.

DNA type 2 represented several small clusters of cases in Northern England, in which, although not large, several patients in each of the hospitals involved were infected. Immunoblot typing demonstrated two separate clusters in Hull Royal Infirmary, a finding confirmed by differences in the strains' phage types and antibiograms. DNA typing suggests that the strains causing these two clusters were phenotypic variants of a single strain because they were genotypically similar. Both outbreaks were self limiting; the precautions normally taken to limit the spread of EMRSA were not instigated.

DNA type 3 represented isolates from Pinderfields Hospital. Both their DNA restriction and immunoblot patterns were unique. These isolates are clearly a different strain of methicillin-resistant S. aureus than the EMRSA, so that it is not surprising that they are of lower virulence (Lacey et al., 1986). DNA type 4 was isolated from one patient only and was not typable by phages; it was sensitive to vancomycin only and had a unique immunoblot pattern.

Immunoblot typing, which is phenotype based, was more discriminatory than DNA (genotype-based) typing. This may be due partly to the use of a single restriction enzyme, i.e. ErcoR1, cutting DNA at a particular site throughout its length so that dissimilar pieces of DNA with approximately the same length will overlap on the gel. DNA fragments larger than c. 20 kb will not separate during electrophoresis, so greatly reducing the range of DNA fragments which can be identified. This problem can be overcome by using, sequentially, several different restriction enzymes. An alternative method is that of Jordens and Hall (1988) who digested DNA with the enzyme BglII.

The outbreak described, in which within 7 days the index case, seven other patients and three members of staff became infected, confirms the ease with which a strain of methicillin-resistant S. aureus can spread.

There has been some controversy over the virulence of non-epidemic methicillin-resistant isolates of S. aureus. Lacey and Chopra (1975) suggested that the genes required for multiple antibiotic resistance might limit the ability of a strain to spread. The non-epidemic outbreak strain described here spread quickly but paradoxically caused little sepsis: although five of the patients from whom it was recovered had neutropenia one only was infected (after Hickman line colonisation). In St Bartholomew's Hospital, strains of the typical EMRSA commonly cause infection leading to sepsicaemia, especially in patients with neutropenia. The new strain's failure to cause frequent infection suggests that it is of lower virulence, and justifies, with hindsight, the failure to close the ward during the outbreak.

These findings underline the need to distinguish between epidemic and non-epidemic methicillin-resistant strains of S. aureus. To this end, immunoblot typing allows greater discrimination than DNA restriction pattern analysis and is highly reproducible.
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