Epstein–Barr virus nuclear antigen 1 interacts with regulator of chromosome condensation 1 dynamically throughout the cell cycle
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Abstract

The Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) nuclear antigen 1 (EBNA1) is a sequence-specific DNA-binding protein that plays an essential role in viral episome replication and segregation, by recruiting the cellular complex of DNA replication onto the origin (oriP) and by tethering the viral DNA onto the mitotic chromosomes. Whereas the mechanisms of viral DNA replication are well documented, those involved in tethering EBNA1 to the cellular chromatin are far from being understood. Here, we have identified regulator of chromosome condensation 1 (RCC1) as a novel cellular partner for EBNA1. RCC1 is the major nuclear guanine nucleotide exchange factor for the small GTPase Ran enzyme. RCC1, associated with chromatin, is involved in the formation of RanGTP gradients critical for nucleo-cytoplasmic transport, mitotic spindle formation and nuclear envelope reassembly following mitosis. Using several approaches, we have demonstrated a direct interaction between these two proteins and found that the EBNA1 domains responsible for EBNA1 tethering to the mitotic chromosomes are also involved in the interaction with RCC1. The use of an EBNA1 peptide array confirmed the interaction of RCC1 with these regions and also the importance of the N-terminal region of RCC1 in this interaction. Finally, using confocal microscopy and Förster resonance energy transfer analysis to follow the dynamics of interaction between the two proteins throughout the cell cycle, we have demonstrated that EBNA1 and RCC1 closely associate on the chromosomes during metaphase, suggesting an essential role for the interaction during this phase, perhaps in tethering EBNA1 to mitotic chromosomes.

INTRODUCTION

Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) is a ubiquitous herpesvirus associated with several human cancers [1]. Following primary infection, the virus persists in a life-long, latent state in memory B cells, with intermittent viral production occurring in the oropharynx. Ex vivo, EBV has the capacity to induce growth transformation of resting primary human B lymphocytes, leading to the establishment of lymphoblastoid cell lines. In such cell lines, only a small number of viral genes are expressed, which act in concert to induce and maintain continuous cell proliferation and survival [2].

In latently infected cells, the EBV genome persists as a multicopy, covalently closed, double-stranded, nuclear episome. When cells proliferate, these episomes replicate once per cell cycle during S phase, using the cellular DNA-replication machinery, and are subsequently equally segregated to the daughter cells such that a constant copy number of EBV genomes is maintained through cell divisions [3–5]. Both replication and segregation depend on the presence of two...
viral elements, the EBV cis-acting origin of plasmid replication (oriP) and the viral protein EBNA1 [6, 7]. oriP is composed of two functional elements: the dyad symmetry (DS) element and the family of repeats (FR) [8]. Both contain recognition sites for the EBNA1 protein. The DS element, which comprises four EBNA1-binding sites arranged in pairs, is required for DNA replication initiation [8–13]. The FR element consists of an array of 20 imperfect 30 bp repeats, each one containing an 18 bp EBNA1-binding site [8, 9]. FR functions by tethering the viral episomes to human metaphase chromosomes via EBNA1 [14–17], and this ensures the stable retention of oriP episomes within the cells [4, 18, 19]. FR is also required for EBNA1-dependent tethering of EBV genomes to specific perichromosomal regions of host chromosomes during interphase [20], which appears to be essential for efficient replication of the episome [21].

EBNA1 is a homodimeric DNA-binding protein that recognizes an 18 bp palindromic sequence via its C-terminal domain (residues 459–607 have been co-crystallized with DNA) [9, 22–27]. ChiPseq analyses have shown that, in addition to binding the DS and FR regions of oriP, EBNA1 binds multiple sites in the host genome [28, 29]. Independently of its C-terminal-specific DNA-binding domain, EBNA1 can associate with chromatin throughout the cell cycle via its N-terminal half. This N-terminal region carries two domains, called linking regions 1 (LR1: aa 40–89) and 2 (LR2: aa 325–379), which confer intramolecular ‘linking’ between EBNA1–DNA complexes as revealed by electrophoretic mobility shift assays [30]. Each of these domains consists of a region rich in arginine and glycine (RGG-rich region: GR1 and GR2, respectively) and a unique region (UR1 and UR2, respectively). The RGG-rich regions possess intrinsic AT-hook activity allowing binding to AT-rich DNA [14, 15]. These regions have been found to be important for EBNA1 replication and transcription activity [31] and to play an essential role in tethering EBNA1 to cellular DNA during interphase [32, 33]. EBNA1 attachment to metaphase chromosomes has been mapped to three independent chromosome-binding sites (CBSs) – CBS-1 (aa 72–84), CBS-2 (aa 328–365) and CBS-3 (aa 8–54) – that correlate well with the ability of EBNA1 to confer plasmid maintenance [17, 34, 35]. However, the mechanisms responsible for EBNA1 interaction with mitotic chromosomes are still unclear. It has been proposed that the AT-hook structures within the LR1/LR2 regions could be directly responsible for EBNA1 attachment to the chromosomes [15, 36]. Interestingly, HMGA1a, a cellular chromatin-binding protein that associates with chromatin through its AT-hook domains, or histone H1 can functionally replace the amino terminus of EBNA1 in both oriP plasmid replication and partitioning of the viral episome [14, 37, 38]. EBNA1 may also interact with chromatin through protein–protein interactions with one or several cellular partners. Human Epstein–Barr binding protein 2 (hEBP2) was the first of the sort identified [16, 39, 40]. hEBP2 binds to the LR2 region of EBNA1 [41], which also corresponds to the CBS-2 region. In a yeast model, hEBP2 was required (in the presence of EBNA1) for the maintenance of a plasmid carrying the EBV FR sequence [42, 43]. However, a recent study demonstrated that hEBP2 and EBNA1 do not interact during mitosis in living mitotic cells, suggesting that the involvement of hEBP2 might not be direct [44] or that it might have another role. More recently, high-mobility group box 2 (HMGB2), a well-known chromatin component, has been identified as a new partner for EBNA1 [44]. EBNA1 interacts with HMGB2 on chromatin during interphase and mitosis, and its depletion partially alters EBNA1 association with the chromosomes. However, HMGB2 depletion is not sufficient to alter EBV episome maintenance in Raji cells [44]. Taken together, these results suggest that several mechanisms cooperate to promote EBNA1 association with the chromosomes throughout mitosis and maintenance of the EBV genome within proliferating cells.

In order to identify novel proteins that could play a role in EBNA1 chromosomal binding, we performed a yeast two-hybrid screen. From this screen, we identified regulator of chromosome condensation 1 (RCC1), a major nuclear guanine nucleotide exchange factor for the small GTPase Ran enzyme. In its association with chromatin, RCC1 is involved in the formation of RanGTP gradients critical for nucleocytoplasmic transport [45], mitotic spindle formation and nuclear envelope reassembly after mitosis [46, 47]. RCC1 is a ubiquitous nuclear protein structured as a seven-bladed propeller with unstructured small N- and C-terminal tails [48]. RCC1 directly interacts with histones H2A/H2B [49] and its structure (bound to Ran and the nucleosomes) has been solved: one face of the protein binds to Ran [50] whereas binding to chromatin involves the N-terminal tail of the protein as well as loop region in the fourth blade of its β-propeller [51, 52]. RCC1 is modified in cells by removal of the initial N-terminal methionine and mono-, di- or tri-methylation of the new N-terminal residue (serine 2 in human). This modification is present throughout the cell cycle and is necessary for stable chromatin association and normal mitosis [53]. The association of RCC1 with chromatin in interphase nuclei and mitotic chromosomes is highly dynamic [54, 55] and regulated by its interaction with Ran [56, 57]. It is also regulated in a cell-cycle-dependent manner by various mechanisms including interaction with Ran-GTP-binding protein 1 (RanBP1) [58] and phosphoinositide 3-kinase beta [59]. A role of phosphorylation of serine 2 at the Nterminus of RCC1 has also been suggested but remains controversial [60–62].

Due to its ability to interact with chromatin, especially through mitosis during which the interaction is stabilized, RCC1 appears to be a good candidate to promote the association of EBNA1 with chromatin. We have now confirmed the interaction between EBNA1 and RCC1 using various in vitro and ex vivo assays. We have demonstrated that this interaction is direct and characterized the domains involved. Finally, we found that although the proteins colocalize throughout the cell cycle, they only closely interact during metaphase, strongly suggesting a role for RCC1 in
stabilizing the interaction between EBNA1 and the chromatin at this phase of the cell cycle.

RESULTS

Deletion of EBNA1 AT-hook motifs only partially modifies its localization to the metaphasic chromosomes

EBNA1 has been suggested to directly bind to AT-rich regions of the chromosomal DNA via AT-hook motifs located within the LR1/GR1 and LR2/GR2 regions [15]. In particular, fusion proteins between mCherry and various combinations of the EBNA1 regions containing AT-hook motifs efficiently associated with chromosomes [36]. However, the effect of specific deletion of these AT-hook motifs – in the context of the whole protein – on its association with cellular chromosomes has never been tested. We therefore generated derivatives of GFP-EBNA1 with either aa 40 to 53 (deleting most of GR1) or 326 to 358 (deleting two-thirds of GR2) or both regions deleted (Fig. 1a) and tested their capacity to bind mitotic chromosomes and activate transcription. Association with chromosomes was first analysed by confocal microscopy, following transfection of HeLa cells with expression plasmids for GFP-EBNA1 or the mutated derivatives. Deletion of the GR regions led to the appearance of a faint diffuse staining of the cell nuclei, which was more accentuated in the double mutant (Fig. 1b). However, even in the double mutant, a large proportion of the protein remained localized to the metaphasic chromosomes. This suggests that the AT-hook motifs are not the sole domains responsible for EBNA1 attachment to the chromosomes during mitosis. Second, we performed a fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) analysis during cell interphase to comparatively evaluate the mobility of each protein. The half-time of fluorescence recovery of the EBNA1 GR-deletion mutants, especially that of the double mutant, was strongly diminished as compared with GR-wild-type EBNA1, indicating a higher mobility of proteins lacking the AT-hook motifs (Fig. 1c). Finally, to complete the characterization of these mutants, we tested their transactivation ability since LR1 and LR2 regions were previously reported to be important for transcriptional activation [31]. The single GR deletion mutants appear to activate LUC expression from the pGL2-FR-TK-LUC reporter construct to similar levels as GR-wild-type (Fig. 1d). By contrast, the double mutant shows significant reduction in transcriptional activation through FR. Taken together, these results support a role for these two regions in transcriptional activation, but demonstrate that although these regions appear to play an important role in chromatin association during interphase, as could be deduced from the FRAP experiments, they are not absolutely essential for tethering EBNA1 to the mitotic chromosomes.

EBNA1 interacts directly with RCC1

Since the AT-hook motifs do not appear to be essential for EBNA1 association with mitotic chromatin, it is likely that one or more cellular partners are involved in mediating the linking of EBNA1 with the chromosomes. The two cellular proteins that have been previously found to play a role in this process – hEBP2 and HMGB2 – do not appear to be sufficient to account for all the properties of EBNA1 during EBV replication and segregation. In order to identify novel cellular partners of EBNA1, a yeast two-hybrid screen using EBNA1 as bait was performed. From this screen, regulator of chromosome condensation 1 (RCC1) (gene ID: 1104), a guanine-nucleotide releasing factor that promotes exchange of Ran-bound GDP with GTP, was identified. RCC1 plays a key role both in nucleo-cytoplasmic transport and in the regulation of onset of chromosome condensation in S phase [63].

The interaction between EBNA1 and RCC1 was first examined by co-immunoprecipitation from transfected HeLa cells (Fig. 2a). Myc-tagged RCC1 specifically co-immuno-precipitated with Flag-tagged EBNA1. Consistently, in a reverse experiment, Myc-tagged EBNA1 specifically co-immunoprecipitated with Flag-tagged RCC1. To assess if the interaction is direct, an in vitro glutathione S-transferase (GST)-pulldown assay was performed using both GST-RCC1 and 6×His-EBNA1 produced in bacteria and purified. 6×His-EBNA1 was incubated with similar amounts of GST or GST-RCC1 proteins bound to glutathione-Sepharose beads. EBNA1 was efficiently retained on GST-RCC1 beads but not on GST alone, which strongly suggests that a direct interaction occurs between EBNA1 and RCC1 (Fig. 2b).

EBNA1 binds RCC1 via domains previously reported to be essential for chromosome binding of the protein

EBNA1 interaction with mitotic chromosomes has been reported to be dependent on three regions: CBS-1 (aa 72–84), CBS-2 (aa 328–365) and CBS-3 (aa 8–54) (Fig. 3a) [17, 34, 35]. We thus investigated the involvement of these regions in the interaction with RCC1. For this, a series of GFP-tagged EBNA1 deletion mutants were expressed in HeLa cells and the lysates incubated with GST-RCC1-bound beads. Deletion mutants containing either CBS-1/CBS-3 (EBNA1 8–92), CBS-2 (EBNA1 323–410) or both (EBNA1 8–410) were all able to interact with RCC1, whereas EBNA1 377–641 with both regions deleted showed considerably reduced interaction (Fig. 3b). This preferential interaction of RCC1 with the CBS domains of EBNA1 supports a putative role for RCC1 in EBNA1’s targeting to metaphase chromosomes. Surprisingly, however, deletion of region 326 to 376 completely abrogated the interaction with RCC1 (Fig. 3c) even though the CBS-1/-3 domains still present in this mutant were sufficient for interaction with RCC1 in mutant EBNA1 8–92 (Fig. 3b). This suggests that EBNA1 Δ326–376 protein’s general topology may be altered such that the CBS-1/-3 domains are no longer accessible to interact with RCC1.

We also tested the capacity of the GR-deleted mutants used in Fig. 1 to interact with RCC1 (Fig. 4). Although deletion of each region individually did not prohibit interaction with RCC1, deletion of both motifs had a dramatic effect. This
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ously characterized EBNA1 chromosome-binding regions. However, it is to be noted that mutant Δ40–53/Δ326–358, with GR1 and most of GR2 deleted, was still – at least partially – associated with the mitotic chromosome, whereas no interaction with RCC1 could be detected in the GST-pull-down assay. Thus, although RCC1 is likely to contribute to EBNA1 association with metaphasic chromosomes, it is probably not the only factor involved.

**RCC1 N-terminal tail is essential for RCC1 interaction with EBNA1**

RCC1 is composed of small N-terminal and C-terminal unstructured tails surrounding a seven-bladed propeller structure [52] (Fig. 5a). Due to the highly structured central domain of the protein, introducing large mutations into this region would likely disorder the entire structure. Therefore, a single RCC1 mutant, RCC1 Δ1–20, with the N-terminal tail deleted, was generated. Further, the N-terminal tail was cloned in fusion with GST. GST-pulldown assays using transfected HeLa cell extracts were performed with these proteins (Fig. 5b). Interestingly, we found that EBNA1 interacted strongly with the N-terminal tail of RCC1 and very inefficiently with the rest of the protein. To further map the interaction region, smaller deletions within the N-terminal extremity of RCC1 were introduced. Deletion of aa 11–15 or 16–20 did not significantly modify the interaction with EBNA1, in contrast to deletions of aa 1–5 and 6–10, which both impaired the interaction. These results suggest that the first 10 amino acids of the RCC1 N-terminal tail are important for the interaction of RCC1 with EBNA1.
Peptide array analysis confirms the RCC1 interaction with the CBS-3/-1 and CBS-2 domains and also defines potential supplementary RCC1 interaction regions in the C-terminal moiety of the protein

With the aim to delimit the domains of EBNA1 involved in the interaction with RCC1 more precisely, a peptide array analysis was undertaken. A library of overlapping peptides (25-mers), each shifted by five amino acids across the entire sequence of EBNA1 (including the GAr), was immobilized onto membranes and probed with recombinant GST-RCC1 full length (FL) and mutants (Fig. 6). Probing the EBNA1 peptide array with FL GST-RCC1 revealed intermediate to strong binding to peptides covering regions that encompass both GR repeats of EBNA1 as well as CBS-1 (aa 72–84) and CBS-3 (aa 8–54), which is consistent with our GST-pulldown mapping. It is interesting to note that the strongest interacting regions overlap with the AT-hook domains whose deletion in mutant EBNA1 Δ40–53/Δ326–358 completely abrogates binding in our GST-pulldown assay (Fig. 4). Thus, there is good agreement between the GST-pulldown assay and the peptide array analysis. Moreover, the peptide analysis revealed the presence of unexpected binding regions in the central and C-terminal moiety of EBNA1: a first region between aa G371 and E435 lies between the known binding sites for CK2 and USP7 and is well conserved in EBV EBNA1 isolates [64]; a second region incorporates the C-terminal tail of the protein, rich in negatively charged residues. Reprobing the array with GST indicated that the binding observed for GST-RCC1 was specific to RCC1.

When a second array was probed with GST-RCC1 Δ1–20, binding was observed for largely the same set of peptides as for full-length RCC1, but much weaker, with the notable exception of the C-terminal tail peptides, which showed no binding. Therefore, consistent with our GST-pulldown mapping, RCC1, with its N-terminal 20 aa deleted, only weakly interacts with EBNA1. This suggests either that the N-terminal region of RCC1 is the primary mediator of binding or that it is required for correct folding of full-length RCC1 to enable binding to EBNA1, or possibly both.

To distinguish between these possibilities, the second array was stripped and re-probed with just the N-terminal region

Fig. 2. EBNA1 and RCC1 interact directly. (a) Expression plasmids for Flag-RCC1, Flag-EBNA1ΔGA, Myc-RCC1 and Myc-EBNA1ΔGA were transfected into HeLa cells as indicated. Cellular extracts were immunoprecipitated with anti-Flag affinity gel and the immunoprecipitated complexes were analysed by Western blotting using an anti-Flag polyclonal antibody or an anti-Myc antibody. Input corresponds to 8% of the cell extract used for immunoprecipitation. (b) 500 ng of purified 6×His-EBNA1ΔGA protein was incubated with similar amounts of purified GST or GST-RCC1 bound to glutathione sepharose beads. The EBNA1-bound proteins were analysed by Western blotting (WB) using an anti-His, MAb. Input corresponds to 100 ng of purified 6×His-EBNA1ΔGA.
of RCC1 fused to GST. GST-RCC1 1–20 showed intermediary binding to the C-terminal tail peptides and strong binding to peptides covering region R396 to E435. However, strong binding was not observed with peptides localized in the N-terminal half of EBNA1 although these were strongly bound by full-length RCC1. This suggests that residues 1–20 of RCC1 contribute to the conformation of RCC1, or otherwise promote the full interaction, but may not completely compose the binding site.

Regarding the strongest binding region identified for RCC1 1–20, analysis of the EBNA1 amino acid sequence reveals that the stretch of residues in common between EBNA1 peptides interacting strongly with GST-RCC1 1–20 lies between aa 411 and 420 (EADYFYHQE). This region contains four negatively charged residues. By contrast, the N-terminal region of RCC1 (MSPKRIAKRRSPADIPKS) contains six positively charged residues and one negatively charged residue. It is therefore possible that the interaction of RCC1 with these EBNA1 peptides is largely charge-based and possibly an artefact of the array approach, if these stretches are not normally accessible in the folded protein. To explore this possibility, a new array was generated with a series of mutated peptides spanning residues 401 to 430 and probed with GST-RCC1 1–20 (Fig. S1, available in the online Supplementary Material). This revealed that indeed charge is critical to the binding, such that replacement of the four charged residues (E411, D413, E416, E420) completely abrogated binding; however, F415 and Y414 were also found to be key for the interaction. This region was thus a candidate for being a core binding site between the N-terminal region of RCC1 and EBNA1. However, an EBNA1 mutant deleted for this region (EBNA1 Δ411–420) still interacted.
with RCC1 in a GST-pulldown assay (Fig. 4b, lane 5). Therefore, it appears that this region is not required for stabilizing the EBNA1–RCC1 interaction in vitro. However, it cannot be ruled out that it might play a role in the interaction in vivo, in a context where RCC1 is associated with chromatin.

**EBNA1 interacts with RCC1 localized to chromatin during mitosis**

In order to determine the subcellular localization of the two proteins in living cells, several fluorescent-tagged forms of EBNA1 and RCC1 were expressed in HeLa cells and observed by live cell imaging during interphase and mitosis. The EGFP-RCC1 and EBNA1-RFP proteins colocalize almost perfectly in living cells during interphase and throughout mitosis (Fig. 7): during interphase, the proteins colocalize throughout the nucleoplasm, with the exception of the nucleolus, from which RCC1 is completely excluded and where weak staining is observed for EBNA1. During prophase and metaphase, both EBNA1 and RCC1 appear to be associated with the mitotic chromosomes. Similar observations were made in cells coexpressing other pairs of EGFP- and RFP-tagged forms of the proteins (data not shown).

To confirm that EBNA1 interacts with RCC1 in living cells, we performed a Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) analysis. FRET is a non-radioactive energy transfer that can occur when a donor and a compatible acceptor fluorophore are located at less than 10 nm from each other. FRET efficiency relies on the relative position and distance of the donor and acceptor fluorophores, which can be affected by the position of the fluorophore in a fusion protein. Therefore, the following pairs of fusion proteins were tested: EGFP-RCC1/EBNA1-RFP and EGFP-EBNA1/RFP-RCC1. No significant FRET was observed with the EGFP-RCC1/EBNA1-RFP pair. However, the EGFP-EBNA1/RFP-RCC1 pair revealed clear FRET activity during both interphase and metaphase (Fig. 8). During interphase, it is interesting to note that although the proteins colocalized throughout the nucleoplasm (Fig. 7), they were only in close interaction at the periphery of the nucleus (Fig. 8). Only very weak interaction was observed during prophase. By contrast, a strong interaction was observed between the two proteins on metaphasic chromosomes. Taken together, these results suggest that the interaction between RCC1 and EBNA1 is highly dynamic throughout the cell cycle. The strong FRET signal observed specifically on metaphasic chromosomes.
supports a role for RCC1 in stabilizing the EBNA1 interaction with the chromosomes during mitosis.

DISCUSSION

The mechanisms by which EBNA1 tethers the EBV genome to mitotic chromosomes are far from understood. The AT-hook regions of the protein have been proposed to play a major role in EBNA1 chromosome-binding activity and episomal maintenance [14, 15]. The use of netropsin, a small molecule that binds to the minor groove of AT-rich DNA, leads to the loss of EBV genomes from cells, supporting the role of the AT-hooks in episomal maintenance [65]. However, we have found that deletion of the EBNA1 AT-hook regions does not abrogate EBNA1’s general targeting to metaphasic chromosomes. This result is consistent with a previous analysis revealing three independent CBS regions [35]. In effect, specific deletion of the AT-hook domains leaves CBS-1 (aa 72–84) intact. Therefore, these data reinforce the idea of alternative or complementary mechanisms of recruitment of EBNA1 to the metaphasic chromosomes, possibly via the interaction with cellular chromatin-binding factors.

Here, we have identified RCC1 as a novel mediator of EBNA1 interaction with metaphase chromosomes. We have characterized the interaction between EBNA1 and RCC1 by various methods. Importantly, by performing an in vitro assay using both proteins purified from bacteria, we have demonstrated that the two proteins can interact directly. Up to now, however, we have not been able to perform a successful co-immunoprecipitation with endogenous proteins. One explanation, other than a possible interference of antibodies with the interaction and the low level of expression of EBNA1, is the small amount of cells from the total population undergoing mitosis – the phase of the cell cycle in which our FRET experiments demonstrate a close interaction between the proteins.

Characterization of the interaction domains revealed that the RCC1 interaction domains of EBNA1 closely overlap with the CBS regions of the protein, known to be important for tethering EBNA1 to the chromosomes [35]. Accordingly, we have found that region 8–92, which includes both CBS-3 and CBS-1, and region 323–410, which includes CBS-2, can interact independently with RCC1. Surprisingly, however, deletion of CBS-2 in mutant Δ326–376, previously found to impair hEBP2 interaction as well as oriP plasmid maintenance and mitotic localization [16, 41], completely abolished EBNA1 interaction with RCC1. The latter result is not consistent with the finding that the CBS-3/-1 region (still present in this mutant) is sufficient alone to mediate the interaction with RCC1. This suggests that the conformation or accessibility of the CBS-3/-1 region is compromised in this mutant, affecting various functions of the protein without necessarily reflecting the direct involvement of the deleted region.

Since the EBNA1 mutant with the two AT-hook domains deleted appears to localize to chromatin both during interphase and through mitosis (which had not previously been
Fig. 6. Probing EBNA1 peptide arrays for RCC1 interaction sites. (a) Arrays of immobilized peptide spots of overlapping 25-mer peptides covering the entire sequence of EBNA1 (including the GA repeat) were probed with recombinant GST-RCC1 (i), GST-RCC1 Δ1–20 (ii), GST-RCC1 1–20 (iii), and GST (iv).

(b) Diagram of the EBNA1 protein showing the various domains and their interaction sites with RCC1. The interaction sites are indicated with ++: Strong binding, +: Intermediate binding, +/−: Weak binding.
tested), mechanisms other than the interaction of EBNA1 with AT-rich regions of DNA are likely to be required. However, deletion of the two AT-hook domains also affected the interaction with RCC1, suggesting that still other factors are involved. Another chromatin-binding protein, HMGB2, was previously identified as an EBNA1 interacting factor [44]. HMGB2 could thus be responsible for targeting EBNA1 to the chromatin in the absence of both direct interaction with DNA (via the AT-hooks) and interaction with RCC1. To corroborate such a hypothesis, it would be interesting to know more precisely where HMGB2 binds within EBNA1. Alternatively, another as yet unidentified partner could be involved in the process. These possibilities are not mutually exclusive; indeed, EBNA1 may employ multiple mechanisms to tether the viral genome to chromatin and to associate with the chromatin independently of the viral genome, through the different stages of the cell cycle and under various conditions.

Regarding the domains of RCC1 involved in the interaction with EBNA1, the N-terminal flexible region of RCC1 was identified as an essential domain. Interestingly, this N-terminal tail, and in particular the serine at position 2, is the site of post-transcriptional modifications (both α-N-methylation and phosphorylation) that are important for stable chromatin association and regulation of RCC1’s nuclear localization signal (NLS) interaction with importins α and β [53, 62]. Such modifications of RCC1 N-terminal tail within mammalian cells could modulate or even prevent the interaction between the two proteins. Conversely, since these modifications have been suggested to play an important role in the mobility of RCC1 during metaphase and in its stabilization on the chromatin [61, 62], EBNA1’s interaction with these regions could affect the dynamics of RCC1’s interaction with chromatin.

Use of EBNA1 peptide arrays permitted a more detailed mapping of the EBNA1 interaction regions with respect to full-length RCC1 as well as the N-terminal tail. Interaction of full-length RCC1 (and to a lesser extent the N-terminal tail of RCC1 alone) with the CBS-1/-3 and CBS-2 regions of EBNA1 was confirmed. In addition, two other regions of EBNA1 were identified that might be involved in the interaction: the C-terminal tail, and a negatively charged region located between the previously characterized CK2- and USP7-binding sites. Interestingly, these two regions (particularly the latter) are recognized by RCC1’s N-terminal tail. In particular, the N-terminal tail of RCC1 critical for the interaction specifically contacts a region of EBNA1 – DYFEYHQE – located between aa 413 and 420. When set in the context of an in silico structural model of full-length EBNA1 [64], this domain appears to be located in a region that resembles a small pocket. This could potentially accommodate the N-terminal region of RCC1, facilitating further interactions between the CBS domains of EBNA1 and the seven-propeller helix of RCC1, and hence stabilizing the interaction between the two proteins. However, deletion of this domain does not preclude binding of EBNA1 and RCC1 in the in vitro assays used here, and we cannot exclude that it may reflect artefactual binding to a site that is not normally accessible to RCC1.

With regard to the dynamics of interaction between the two proteins in live cells, the combination of colocalization experiments in live cells and FRET analysis reveals that the two proteins colocalize with the chromatin throughout the cell cycle. However, their proximity varies according to the location within the cell nucleus as well as the phase of the cell cycle: during interphase, although the two proteins appear to be colocalizing throughout the cell nucleus, FRET could only be observed at the periphery of the nucleus, suggesting that the close interaction between EBNA1 and RCC1 could be linked to the latter being in a different conformation when actively involved in nucleo-cytoplasmic transport. This result opens up the possibility that the
RCC1 might nevertheless occur optimum and a weak interaction between EBNA1 and permits association of EBNA1 with metaphase chromo-
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metaphase. Moreover, interaction between EBNA1 and the chromatin could be facilitated by a direct interaction through the AT-hook domains with nucleosomal DNA.

Finally, it is interesting to note that the orthologue of EBNA1 in Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus, LANA (latency-associated nuclear antigen), directly interacts with H2A–H2B dimers to enable its binding to chromosomes [67]. The resolution of the crystal structure of the nucleosome complexed with the first 23 amino acids of LANA revealed that the LANA peptide forms a hairpin that interacts with an acidic H2A–H2B dimer to enable its binding to chromosomes [68]. Interestingly, RCC1 targets the same region of the nucleosomal H2A–H2B dimer as LANA, and the two proteins have been shown to compete for nucleosome interaction [51]. Thus, whereas LANA directly contacts the H2A–H2B dimer to enable its binding to the chromosomes, EBNA1 may interact indirectly with the same H2A–H2B dimer through RCC1. Similar to EBNA1, LANA also interacts with several cellular proteins that appear to play a role in the tethering of LANA to chromosomes and/or episomal segregation [69, 70]. Thus, EBNA1 and LANA have evolved similar but not identical mechanisms to ensure anchorage of the viral epi-
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METHODS

Cell culture and transfections

HeLa and HEK293T cells were grown at 37 °C in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, 10% FCS. Plasmid transfection
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whose downregulation leads to premature chromosome

condensation or arrest in the G1 phase of the cell cycle [66].

The observation that deletion of the AT-hook domains still

permits association of EBNA1 with metaphase chromo-
somes, whilst it appears to abrogate interaction with RCC1

in vitro, does not refute the hypothesis. First, the assay con-
ditions used to detect the interaction in vitro may not be

optimum and a weak interaction between EBNA1 and RCC1 might nevertheless occur in vivo in the absence of the

AT-hook domains. Alternatively, in the absence of an inter-

action between RCC1 and EBNA1, alternative mechanisms
tethering EBNA1 to the chromatin may act. Of note, deple-
tion of HMGB2 was found to affect the stability but not to

prevent EBNA1 association with chromatin, nor did it impact viral genome maintenance, despite the observed interaction between EBNA1 and HMGB2 on chromatin

through mitosis [44]. It is thus likely that several mech-

anisms are involved in EBNA1 tethering to the chromatin,

orchestrated to play a role at different stages of the cell cycle to both bring EBNA1 to the chromatin and stabilize it once there. During interphase, the EBV genomes are distributed to perichromatic regions of the nucleus in a manner depend-

ent on the FR element and EBNA1 [20]. It has been sug-
gested that the AT-hook domains of EBNA1 could play an

important role in this tethering of the EBV genomes to the chromatin. This hypothesis is strengthened by the demon-
sstration that HMGG1aa, an AT-hook-binding protein, can functionally replace the N-terminal domain of EBNA1 [14, 38] and by the results of our FRAP analysis showing a higher mobility of EBNA1 with its AT-hook domains deleted; HMGB2 is associated with EBNA1 on the chromatin

during interphase and more so during mitosis [44];

RCC1 colocalizes with EBNA1 throughout the cell cycle, but the interaction appears to be specifically stabilized during

metaphase. Moreover, interaction between EBNA1 and the chromatin could be facilitated by a direct interaction through the AT-hook domains with nucleosomal DNA.

Finally, it is interesting to note that the orthologue of EBNA1 in Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus, LANA (latency-associated nuclear antigen), directly interacts with H2A–H2B dimers to enable its binding to chromosomes [67]. The resolution of the crystal structure of the nucleosome complexed with the first 23 amino acids of LANA revealed that the LANA peptide forms a hairpin that interacts with an acidic H2A–H2B dimer to enable its binding to chromosomes [68]. Interestingly, RCC1 targets the same region of the nucleosomal H2A–H2B dimer as LANA, and the two proteins have been shown to compete for nucleosome interaction [51]. Thus, whereas LANA directly contacts the H2A–H2B dimer to enable its binding to the chromosomes, EBNA1 may interact indirectly with the same H2A–H2B dimer through RCC1. Similar to EBNA1, LANA also interacts with several cellular proteins that appear to play a role in the tethering of LANA to chromosomes and/or episomal segregation [69, 70]. Thus, EBNA1 and LANA have evolved similar but not identical mechanisms to ensure anchorage of the viral epi-

somes onto the chromatin at different stages of the cell cycle, allowing efficient replication and segregation of the respective viral genomes.

Fig. 8. FRET analysis of EGFP-EBNA1 and RFP-RCC1 interaction at different stages of the cell cycle. HeLa cells coexpressing EGFP-

EBNA1 and RFP-RCC1 were analysed by Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) at different stages of the cell cycle as indicated. Analy-
ses were performed using ImageJ software ‘FRET Analyzer’. Imaged
cells were selected on the basis of both fusion proteins’ expression

levels being similar to that found in single-transfected cells used for

spectral leakage calculation. Results are presented as three images,

considering low, average or high spectral leakage level (noted as low,

medium or high cut-off). High cut-off images are the most representa-

tive of the FRET signals. The FRET signal is represented using a fire-

scale gradient: blue, no FRET signal; yellow, maximum FRET signal.
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sstration that HMGG1aa, an AT-hook-binding protein, can functionally replace the N-terminal domain of EBNA1 [14, 38] and by the results of our FRAP analysis showing a higher mobility of EBNA1 with its AT-hook domains deleted; HMGB2 is associated with EBNA1 on the chromatin

during interphase and more so during mitosis [44];

RCC1 colocalizes with EBNA1 throughout the cell cycle, but the interaction appears to be specifically stabilized during

metaphase. Moreover, interaction between EBNA1 and the chromatin could be facilitated by a direct interaction through the AT-hook domains with nucleosomal DNA.

Finally, it is interesting to note that the orthologue of EBNA1 in Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus, LANA (latency-associated nuclear antigen), directly interacts with H2A–H2B dimers to enable its binding to chromosomes [67]. The resolution of the crystal structure of the nucleosome complexed with the first 23 amino acids of LANA revealed that the LANA peptide forms a hairpin that interacts with an acidic H2A–H2B dimer to enable its binding to chromosomes [68]. Interestingly, RCC1 targets the same region of the nucleosomal H2A–H2B dimer as LANA, and the two proteins have been shown to compete for nucleosome interaction [51]. Thus, whereas LANA directly contacts the H2A–H2B dimer to enable its binding to the chromosomes, EBNA1 may interact indirectly with the same H2A–H2B dimer through RCC1. Similar to EBNA1, LANA also interacts with several cellular proteins that appear to play a role in the tethering of LANA to chromosomes and/or episomal segregation [69, 70]. Thus, EBNA1 and LANA have evolved similar but not identical mechanisms to ensure anchorage of the viral epi-

somes onto the chromatin at different stages of the cell cycle, allowing efficient replication and segregation of the respective viral genomes.

METHODS

Cell culture and transfections

HeLa and HEK293T cells were grown at 37 °C in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, 10% FCS. Plasmid transfection
was performed using the PEI transfection reagent (Polysciences).

Plasmids
pEGFP-N1-EBNA1ΔGA (aa 8–641) has been described previously [44]. Unless otherwise indicated, all EBNA1 plasmids used were derived from this plasmid and thus contain EBNA1 deleted for GAr as well as the first seven N-terminal amino acids. EBNA1 and RCC1 N-terminal tail deletion mutants were generated by site-directed mutagenesis (QuickChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit; Stratagene). For the two-hybrid screen, ORFs for EBNA1, EBNA1 8–410 and EBNA1-381Cter were PCR-amplified (KOD Hot Start DNA Polymerase; EMD Millipore) cloned first in pDONR207 then into pGBKTK7, using the Gateway recombinational cloning system (Invitrogen). EBNA1 and deletion mutants cloned into pDEST-Myc, pCI-3×Flag, pDEST15 or pDEST33 were also generated using the Gateway system. A codon-optimized version of EBNA1-ΔGA was cloned into pET22b (Merck Millipore) to generate pET22b-EBNA1. The ORF for full-length RCC1 (alpha isoform) was transferred from pDONR223-RCC1 (obtained from a human ORFeome library) into pDEST-Myc, pCI-3×Flag or pDEST15 (Invitrogen) using the Gateway system. pEGFP-C1-EBNA1 has been described previously [44]. pRFP and pEGFP fusion proteins were generated by cloning the relevant PCR-amplified ORFs in pRFP-N1, pRFP-C1, pEGFP-N1 or pEGFP-C1, using the In-Fusion HD cloning kit (Clontech). All oligonucleotides used are listed in Table S1.

Luciferase assays
Renilla or firefly luciferase activities were measured in a Veritas Luminometer (Turner Biosystems) using the Renilla or Firefly Luciferase Assay system (Promega Madison).

Yeast two-hybrid screens
The screens were performed as previously described [71] using pGBKTK7-EBNA1/-EBNA1-8–410 or -EBNA1-381Cter as bait vectors and a human lymphoblastoid cell line AD-cDNA library (Invitrogen). Positive clones were sequenced and identified by automatic BLAST [72].

Co-immunoprecipitation and Western blotting
Cells were lysed in 50 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.5, 150–300 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT and 0.5 % Nonidet P-40 plus protease inhibitors. For immunoprecipitation of transiently expressed Flag-tagged proteins, extracts were incubated with 20 µl of anti-Flag M2 affinity gel (Sigma) for 4 h at 4 °C. After washing, bound proteins were analysed by Western blotting and visualized using ECL (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The following antibodies were used: anti-Flag rabbit polyclonal antibody (Sigma), anti-His6, mouse monoclonal antibody (Roche Molecular Biochemicals) and anti-c-Myc (9E10) HRP-conjugated antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Anti-rabbit and anti-mouse (HRP)-conjugated antibodies (GE Healthcare) were used as secondary antibodies.

Production and purification of the 6×His-EBNA1 protein
6×His-EBNA1 was purified from Escherichia coli Rosetta (pLysS) strain transformed with pET22b-EBNA1. Cells were lysed in 50 mM NaH2PO4, 1 M NaCl, 10 mM imidazole pH 8, protease inhibitors and 1 mg ml−1 lysozyme. After sonication, the protein was purified by gravity-flow chromatography using Ni-NTA agarose beads. Beads were washed with lysis buffer plus 50 mM imidazole and the proteins eluted in lysis buffer containing 150 mM imidazole.

In vitro GST-pulldowns
Glutathione S-transferase (GST) and GST-fusion proteins were purified from Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) codon plus strain extracts, with Glutathione Sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare). Beads carrying the GST or the GST-fusion proteins were equilibrated in MTPBS (150 mM NaCl, 16 mM Na2HPO4, 4 mM NaH2PO4, 100 mM EDTA, 1 % Triton) and incubated with either purified 6×His-EBNA1 or transfected cell extracts for 4 h in MTPBS buffer. Beads were washed five times in MTPBS and bound proteins analysed by Western blotting.

EBNA1 peptide arrays
25-mer peptides comprising the entire sequence of EBNA1 (B95.8 strain), with five residue shifts (i.e. initiating at residues 1, 6, 11, 16, etc.), were synthesized by automatic SPOT synthesis [73] directly onto cellulose membranes using Fmoc (9-fluorenlymethoxycarbonyl) chemistry and Auto- spot Robot ASS222 peptide synthesizer (Intavis Bioanalytical Instruments). Arrays were bathed in ethanol and washed for 10 min in TBST (50 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05 % non-fat milk powder (NFM) for 2 h at room temperature and washed again with TBST. Arrays were probed with purified GST (as control) or GST-fusion proteins, at 2 to 5 µg ml−1 in TBST, 1 % NFM, shaking overnight at 4 °C. After washing in TBST, membranes were incubated with rabbit anti-GST-HRP and the array revealed using Enhanced Chemiluminescence (ECL-Pierce no. 32106; Pierce). To strip the array membranes for re-probing, they were covered in 60 mM Tris/HCl pH 6.8, 20 mM DTT, 70 mM SDS, at 70 °C for 30 min.

Confocal microscopy
HeLa cells were plated onto glass-bottomed dishes for confocal microscopy (Ibidi) and transfected with expression vectors coding for EBNA1 and RCC1 fused to either EGFP or RFP. Live cells were analysed with a Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope with ZEN software. GFP and RFP signals were acquired using respectively an argon laser at 488 nm and a Diode-Pumped Solid-State (DPSS) laser at 561 nm. Z-stack series were also acquired for mitotic cells: the most representative stacks are presented. All analyses were conducted with ImageJ Software.
Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) analysis

Cells used for FRAP acquisition were prepared as for classical microscopy and data collected using a confocal spinning disc microscope. The same parameters were used to acquire all images. Regions of interest were photobleached using a 494 nm laser during 510 ms at full power. Images were acquired with an electron-multiplying (EM) gain of 30, 200 ms exposure time and a 488 nm laser at 9.5% full power. Five images were acquired before bleaching then one image every 0.5 s for 5 s, one image per second for 1 min and one image every 5 s for 30 s. Analysis was performed using ImageJ and EasyFrp software.

Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) analysis

Cells used for FRET acquisition were prepared as for confocal microscopy and data collected with an LSM-710 confocal microscope. FRET analysis was performed using the FRET Analyzer plugin (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/jj/plugins/fret-analyzer/fret-analyzer.htm). Three tracks were used for the acquisition: EGFP (excitation: GFP, reception: GFP range), RFP (excitation: RFP, reception: RFP range) and FRET (excitation: GFP, reception: RFP range). Argon laser and diode-pumped solid-state laser (DPSS) were used at 2 and 7% power, respectively. Gain level was 540 for the GFP signal and 640 or 690 for the RFP signal. Spectral leakage was measured by acquisition of five images for each track with EGFP or RFP fusions expressed alone. Double-transfected cells were used for FRET acquisition data. In each case, EGFP, RFP and FRET fluorescence signals were acquired for each track.
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