A pUL25 dimer interfaces the pseudorabies virus capsid and tegument
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Abstract

Inside the virions of α-herpesviruses, tegument protein pUL25 anchors the tegument to capsid vertices through direct interactions with tegument proteins pUL17 and pUL36. In addition to promoting virion assembly, both pUL25 and pUL36 are critical for intracellular microtubule-dependent capsid transport. Despite these essential roles during infection, the stoichiometry and precise organization of pUL25 and pUL36 on the capsid surface remain controversial due to the insufficient resolution of existing reconstructions from cryo-electron microscopy (cryoEM). Here, we report a three-dimensional (3D) icosahedral reconstruction of pseudorabies virus (PRV), a varicellovirus of the α-herpesvirinae subfamily, obtained by electron-counting cryoEM at 4.9 Å resolution. Our reconstruction resolves a dimer of pUL25 forming a capsid-associated tegument complex with pUL36 and pUL17 through a coiled coil helix bundle, thus correcting previous misinterpretations. A comparison between reconstructions of PRV and the γ-herpesvirus Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) reinforces their similar architectures and establishes important subfamily differences in the capsid-tegument interface.

INTRODUCTION

The Herpesviridae family of viruses is classified into three subfamilies (α, β and γ) based on genome sequence, tissue tropism, replication cycle and pathogenicity. Human α-herpesviruses are neurotropic and include herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1), herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2), and varicella zoster virus (VZV). HSV-1 and HSV-2 are responsible for cold sores and genital herpes, respectively, whereas VZV causes chicken pox in children and shingles in adults [1]. Pseudorabies virus (PRV) is a veterinary α-herpesvirus that causes severe Aujeszky’s disease in pigs and serves as a model for mechanistic investigations into α-herpesvirus neurotropism due to its broad host range, ease of manipulation and neuroinvasive properties [2]. Like HSV and VZV, PRV spreads from epithelial cells to innervating sensory and autonomic neurons of the peripheral nervous system, where it establishes a persistent infection. In severe cases, HSV, VZV and PRV can spread to the central nervous system, resulting in devastating encephalitis [3–7]. Thanks to its capability of trans-synaptic spreading in the nervous system, PRV is widely used as a self-amplifying tracer for mapping neural circuits [8, 9].

Herpesviruses share a common architecture: a double-stranded DNA-containing nucleocapsid and a glycoprotein-studded lipid envelope that is separated by a poorly resolved proteinaceous tegument compartment [10, 11]. The tegument can be roughly divided into inner (capsid-proximal) and outer (envelope-proximal) layers [12–14]. Following fusion of the envelope with the host cell, the capsid and tegument are deposited into the cytosol. The outer tegument...
proteins dissociate from the capsid, exposing the capsid-associated inner tegument layer [5, 15, 16], which mediates dynein-dependent capsid transport along microtubules to the nucleus [17–24]. In α-herpesvirus virions, at least a portion of the inner tegument layer interacts with the nucleocapsid in an ordered manner and presents at the icosahedral vertices [25]. Although this capsid-associated density was first interpreted as a heterodimer composed of pUL17 and pUL25 [26, 27], the largest tegument proteins pUL36 (VP1/2) were shown to be a third component, consistent with observations that an isoform of pUL36 is associated with nuclear capsids and contributes to their nuclear egress [28, 29]. Similar capsid-associated density was also observed in capsids isolated from the nuclei of infected cells, where it was termed either the C-capsid-specific component (CCSC) [27, 30] or the capsid-vertex-specific component (CVSC) [26, 29], and in γ-herpesvirus, where it was termed the capsid-associated tegument component (CATC) [31]. (The CATC designation of this density is in line with the original gene annotations and functional analyses of pUL17, pUL25, and pUL36 as tegument proteins [32–35], and is chosen here to facilitate our comparison of tegument densities across subfamilies of herpesviruses.) By contrast, β-herpesviruses possess a capsid-associated tegument protein, pp150, that forms a proteinaceous net enclosing the entire capsid, presumably to buttress it against the internal pressure of their large genomes [36–40]. In both cases, CATCs are essential for virus propagation [32, 41, 42].

In an effort to better understand the capsid–tegument interface, several groups have used green fluorescence protein (GFP) as a fiducial mark to assign proteins to cryoEM densities. For example, an enhanced GFP-tagged pUL25 produced an added density on the CATC of HSV-1 and PRV [27, 43]. The position of the N-terminus of HSV-1 pUL25 was further delineated in cryoEM reconstructions by the addition of a tandem affinity purification tag [44]. Despite these important advances, the overall resolution obtained by cryoEM in these studies was insufficient to model the bulk of pUL25 accurately, let alone pUL17, within the CATC density [27]. A recently solved 6 Å reconstruction of the γ-herpesvirus KSHV allowed for the sufficient resolution of capsid structural densities, such that protein assignments could be made with high confidence [31]. This structure revealed the N-terminal segment of the KSHV pUL25 homologue, pORF19, suggesting that the assignment for pUL25 within the HSV-1 CATC may require revision [27, 43, 44], and that the level of conservation of these CATC elements between KSHV and HSV-1 should be further explored.

Another important insight into the α-herpesvirus capsid–tegument interface was provided by the recently solved 7 Å cryoEM reconstructions of HSV-1 and PRV, which clearly resolved two similarly sized globular densities in the CATCs of these viruses [45]. Consistent with KSHV, one of the globular densities was assigned to pUL25 [31]. The second density was interpreted to be a portion of pUL36; however, the lack of direct evidence clearly identifying the position of pUL36 leaves this possibility open to question. Therefore, to better understand the α-herpesvirus capsid–tegument interface it is critical that a higher resolution structure of the CATC and its associated globular densities be obtained.

Here we used a Titan Krios electron microscope equipped with a direct electron detector to image intact virions of PRV, and obtained a reconstruction at 4.9 Å resolution. Our reconstruction resolved the two globular structures of the CATC sufficiently for us to be able to assign them to belong to a pUL25 homodimer. This pUL25 homodimer organization corrects the previous interpretation of the two globular structures as a heterodimer of pUL25 and pUL36 [45]. In addition, the new reconstruction shows the detailed organization of pUL17 within the CATC and the contributions of the pUL36 tegument protein to the CATC. These refinements of the α-herpesvirus capsid–tegument interface are in general accord with the KSHV architecture, while establishing key differences in their detailed structures.

**RESULTS**

**Overall structural organization of the PRV reconstruction**

Purified PRV virions were imaged by cryoEM in the super-resolution direct electron counting mode (Fig. 1a). We obtained a three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction at a resolution of 4.9 Å by combining 13 537 virion particles and imposing an icosahedral symmetry upon them (Figs 1a, S1 and Movie S1, available in the online online Supplementary Material). The resulting PRV density map revealed the icosahedrally ordered components of the PRV virion, including the capsid (triangulation number T=16), with its characteristic structural components (12 pentons, 150 hexons and 320 triplices; yellow to cyan in Fig. 1b and Movie S1) and 12 sets of CATC (Fig. 1b). These architectural elements are the same as those described in earlier reports of PRV at lower resolution [26, 27, 43, 45]. In agreement with our estimated resolution, striking grooves of helices can be clearly identified when the capsid structure is viewed from beneath the hexon (Fig. 1c, d). In addition, the crystal structure of the HSV-1 major capsid protein (MCP) upper domain (PDB ID: 1NO7) [46] fits precisely into the new density map (Fig. 1e and Movie S2).

Five CATC densities form star-shaped assemblies (blue in Fig. 1b) that crown each of the 12 capsid vertices. At this improved resolution, these densities are remarkably similar to the CATC described in KSHV [31]. Although it is at a slightly lower resolution than the capsid, the ~6 Å resolution of the CATC structure in our 3D reconstruction (Fig S1) is sufficient for establishing rough molecular boundaries and for the identification of secondary structural elements such as α-helices (Fig. 1f, g). The mean density of the CATC is weaker than that of the surrounding capsid proteins. As such, the CATC can only be partially visualized when it is displayed at the same density threshold level (four times the standard deviation above the mean) as that used for
displaying the capsid. However, the CATC subunits can be distinguished when displayed at a lower threshold level (two times the standard deviation above the mean) (Figs 1b, f and S1).

The most prominent feature of the CATC is a coiled-coil formed by a bundle of five stem helices (Fig. 1g). Attached to the vertex-proximal end of the coiled-coil are the two intertwining globular densities (or heads) that were recently observed with HSV-1 [45], while attached to the other end is an inverted V-shaped density with its two sides bridging over the two triplexes (Ta and Tc) closest to the penton (Fig. 1f, g).

**In situ structure of a pUL25 dimer within the CATC**

The two intertwining head densities on the vertex-proximal end of the CATC coiled-coil are similar in size and shape, suggesting that they are likely a homodimer (Fig. 2a, b and Movie S1). Indeed, the crystal structure of the truncated HSV-1 pUL25 [amino acids (aa) 130–580] [47] (PDB ID: 2F5U), referred to as the pUL25 head domain, fits perfectly within each of the two intertwining globular densities of the PRV CATC (Fig. 2c and Movie S1). We term the pUL25 subunit closer to the fivefold capsid axis the ‘upper pUL25’ and the other subunit the ‘lower pUL25’ (Fig. 2a). Further confirmation of this assignment is provided by the
identification of two bulky aromatic side-chains (a histidine and a tyrosine in Fig. 2d) of α-helix 1 (H1, aa 475–497) of the upper pUL25 that were visible in our density map (Fig. 2c, d). These refined structures provided the direct evidence for our reassignment of this density from pUL36, as previously suggested [45], to a second copy of pUL25 [45]. The H1 α-helix, which inserts into an interaction pocket present in the lower pUL25 subunit, may facilitate pUL25 homodimerization (Fig. 2c). The interface between the two atomic models of HSV-1 pUL25 fitted into the intertwining globular densities have complementary charge properties, suggesting that pUL25 dimerization might be driven by electrostatic interactions (Fig. S2). As no regions of the two intertwining globular cryoEM densities were unaccounted for, we conclude that the pUL25 dimer is the only component of the CATC heads.

In addition to the head domain, pUL25 contains an N-terminal domain that mediates binding to the capsid surface [48], but was not included in the crystallography study [47]. The secondary structure prediction indicates that this segment contains an N-terminal extension (aa 1–40), a long α-helix (aa 41–109) and a loop (aa 110–124) connecting to the globular head domain (aa 125–598) (Fig. 2e). Among the five α-stem helices in the coiled-coil helix bundle, the two
longest are similar in shape and each is connected to a short tail (Fig. 2b). The lengths of the upper long-stem α-helix and its short tail match those predicted for the long α-helix and the N-terminal extension of pUL25, respectively (Fig. 2f, g). Therefore, we tentatively assigned the long stem α-helix on the top of the coiled-coil α-helix bundle, together with its short tail, to the N-terminal region of the upper pUL25 subunit, and the other long stem α-helix, together with its short tail, to the corresponding segment of the lower pUL25 subunit. While the stem α-helix of the lower pUL25 subunit was 20 Å longer than that of the upper pUL25 subunit, it was 15 Å closer to the corresponding globular head domain (Fig. 2f, g). Consequently, we speculate that part of the sequence between aa 110–124 contributes to the formation of the elongated stem α-helix of the lower pUL25 subunit. The N-terminal extensions of both the upper and lower pUL25 subunits that span the top of the V-shaped density drape over the two triplexes (Fig. 2a), which is consistent with a previous study that showed the pUL25 N-terminal domain extends to the triplex-binding region [43].

Structure of pUL17 and the assignment of the pUL36 stem α-helices

The V-shaped structure on the opposing end of the CATC coiled-coil helix bundle splay over the Ta and Tc capsid triplexes (Fig. 3a, b and Movie S3). The V-shaped density is formed by a monomer of pUL17 [45]. The mean density of the pUL17 structure was 92 % of that of the capsid and was much higher than that of the globular head densities, suggesting that pUL17 is more rigidly anchored on the capsid surface than pUL25.

The four α-helices predicted from the pUL17 sequence to be longer than 20 Å (H1–4) (Figs 3c and S3) were mapped onto the α-helices visualized in the CATC V-shaped density from the cryoEM reconstruction. Three long α-helices were observed in the V-shaped density, while the shortest of the five stem α-helices in the coiled-coil α-helix bundle was connected to the V-shaped density (Fig. 3d). This short α-helix may represent the fourth pUL17 helix. Among the three helices observed in the V-shaped density, the one located at the bottom of the Tc-binding domain contained a kink along its length. Since kinks in α-helices are typically created by proline residues, and only H2 contained a proline, we assigned H2 to the kinked α-helix in the Tc-binding domain (Fig. 3d). H1 was assigned to the α-helix connected to, and roughly parallel with, H2 in the V-shaped density, based on their proximity in space (Fig. 3e, f). We then assigned H4 (aa 199–215) to the stem α-helix, as, unlike H3, it was predicted to contain a coiled-coil by the COILS algorithm [49] (Fig. S4). Indeed, H4 is an amphipathic α-helix, with one side lined with hydrophobic side-chains that can interact with the other α-helices in the bundle (Fig. 3e). Thus, H4 was assigned to the shortest stem helix in the coiled-coil α-helix bundle, and by elimination, H3 was assigned to the remaining α-helix.

Among the five stem helices in the coiled-coil helix bundle, one is assigned to pUL17 and two are assigned to the pUL25 homodimer. The remaining two medium-length stem α-helices have a similar shape and length (Fig. 3f). We hypothesize that these α-helices belong to the C-terminal capsid-binding domain of pUL36, which interacts with pUL25 [50, 51] at a stoichiometry of approximately 1 : 1 [52].

Comparing the PRV and KSHV capsid–tegument interface

Similar to the PRV CATC, the KSHV CATC contains a V-shaped density, a coiled-coil α-helix bundle and a globular head. However, only one pORF19 head density was visible in the KSHV reconstruction, which is in contrast to the pUL25 homodimer observed in PRV [53]. In addition, the location of the stem α-helix of pORF19 corresponded to the stem α-helix of the upper pUL25 subunit in PRV. Nevertheless, a second stem α-helix with a similar length, shape and location to the stem α-helix of the lower pUL25 in PRV, was observed in the α-helix bundle of the KSHV CATC (Fig. S5). This suggests that, similar to PRV pUL25, two copies of pORF19 are present in each CATC of KSHV, although the head domain of one of the two is not visible in the cryoEM reconstruction. Our inability to visualize the second pORF19 globular head means that it is either more flexible than its pUL25 counterpart in PRV, or that it is proteolytically removed from the KSHV capsid during assembly. Thus, we assigned the previously identified pORF19 subunit [53] as ‘upper pORF19’ and the other one as the ‘lower pORF19’, with the head domain of the latter not being visible in the KSHV density map. The relative density ratio between the stem α-helices of the upper and lower pUL25 subunits and the PRV capsid was 69 and 74 %, respectively. Assuming that this ratio reflects the relative occupancy of the pUL25 binding sites on the capsid (110 per capsid, excluding the unique portal-containing vertex), we estimate that there are 85 copies of pUL25 per PRV and 48 copies of pORF19 subunits per KSHV. The relative density of the two PRV pUL25 heads is 63 %, which is lower than that for their corresponding stem α-helices and indicates that there is some flexibility in head positioning on the capsid surface. The densities of the PRV pUL25 heads is much higher than the 27 % relative density of the single visible KSHV pORF19 head. Therefore, in addition to having lower occupancy, the pORF19 head domain in KSHV is more flexible than the corresponding pair of head domains in PRV. Finally, the stem α-helix of the upper pORF19 subunit in KSHV is 15 Å shorter and rotated 20° relative to the stem α-helix of the upper pUL25 subunit in PRV (Fig. 4a).

Consistent with a previous report of the lower pUL25 subunit interacting with two major capsid protein (MCP) subunits of the penton [45], we found that the upper pUL25 subunit also interacted with two penton MCP subunits (Fig. 4b and Movie. S1). Unlike KSHV, the PRV penton MCP subunits lacked the small capsid protein (SCP; VP26) (Fig. 4c, e and Movie. S1). KSHV juxtaposes the SCP and upper pORF19 head to opposing sides of the penton MCP [53] (Fig. 4d, f). The KSHV configuration produces a 5 nm
displacement and an 80° rotation of the pORF19 head domain relative to the corresponding upper pUL25 head domain in PRV (Fig. 4a, e, f).

In addition to the two penton MCP contacts made by pUL25, pUL17 interacts with two adjacent MCP subunits in a peripentonal hexon (Fig. 5a, c and Movie S3). When rotated 60° around the channel axis of this hexon, one interaction site matches the other, indicating that the two interaction sites are located at equivalent positions on the two hexon MCP subunits (Fig. 5a, c and Movie S3). Each interaction site is on an extended hairpin structure (β-strand/loop/β-strand motif), as inferred from the corresponding location within the HSV-1 MCP upper domain structure (PDB ID: 1NO7) [46] and from the secondary structure prediction of the corresponding sequence of the MCP in PRV (Fig. 5c, e and Movie S3). Residues Gln545, Val546 and Arg547 of the MCP hairpin structure are closest to the pUL17 density at both binding sites, and thus may contribute to the interaction between MCP and pUL17. Unlike PRV pUL17, which binds to two copies of MCP in the hexon, KSHV pORF32 (a homologue of PRV pUL17) only interacts with one MCP hexon subunit (Fig. 5b, d). Moreover, pUL17 bends 40°C14 relative to pORF32 (Fig. 5f). KSHV pORF32 lacks the short stem helix of PRV pUL17 that is integrated into the coiled-coil helix bundle. Therefore, each coiled-coil α-helix bundle in the KSHV CATC only contains four α-helices instead of the five α-helices present in the PRV CATC.

**DISCUSSION**

Although CATC is not integral to the α-herpesvirus capsid structure, it is essential for stable genome encapsidation and the egress of capsids from the nucleus to the cytoplasm [28, 41, 54–57]. CATC also serves as the site of tegument attachment at the capsid vertices [50, 51, 58, 59]. Understanding how CATC performs and coordinates these critical functions requires a high-resolution map of its structure. In this report, we provide a 4.9 Å cryoEM reconstruction of the
PRV capsid from intact virions. The results provide the highest resolution yet obtained for a herpesvirus capsid, reveal a conserved architecture for CATC between PRV and KSHV, and rectify the precise contribution of the pUL36 tegument protein to the CATC structure.

Our results demonstrate that in the α-herpesvirus PRV, pUL17 and pUL25 interact with two hexon and two penton MCPs, respectively. Coupled with the homodimerization of pUL25, the surface area of interaction between the CATC and the capsid is more extensive than that observed in the γ-herpesvirus KSHV. In KSHV, the shorter stem α-helix of pORF19, combined with steric hindrance from penton-associated SCP, likely liberates the lower pORF19 head domain from the MCP (Fig. 6), thereby preventing its observation by cryoEM [31].

The importance of the pUL25–capsid interaction during herpesvirus infection is underscored by the fact that pUL25 is essential for the egress of newly assembled C-capsids from the nucleus [54]. In addition, pUL25 is critical for viral genome encapsidation and it has been proposed that pUL25 stabilizes the capsid against the internal pressures exerted by the packaged genome [41, 60]. Although C-capsids have been observed

---

**Fig. 4.** Comparison of CATC interactions with penton in PRV and KSHV. (a) When the fivefold axes of the PRV and KSHV maps are aligned, the N-terminal helix is both longer and 20° further bent for the PRV pUL25 (orange) subunit in PRV compared to its KSHV homologue, the pORF19 (semi-transparent grey). The density of the pUL25 globular domain rotated 80° further than that of the pORF19. (b) The upper pUL25 interacts with two MCP subunits on penton. The HSV-1 pUL25 and two atomic models of the MCP upper domain (PDB ID: 1NO7) [46] are coloured red and blue, respectively. The density of the pUL25 and MCP is displayed in transparent red and blue, respectively, in the left figure. (c, d) The slabs of density at the same positions of PRV (a) and KSHV (b). The atomic models (ribbons) of HSV-1 pUL25 and MCPud were fitted into the density maps. KSHV contains the smallest capsid protein SCP (green) at the corresponding location of the upper pUL25 subunit. (e, f) Side views of the upper pUL25 and pORF19 interacting with penton capsid proteins in PRV (e) and KSHV (f), respectively.

---

in the nucleus of cells infected by pUL25-null α-herpesviruses, they cannot be recovered following cell lysis [54, 60], suggesting that pUL25 is required for C-capsid stability. Furthermore, pUL25 mediates tegumentation through anchoring pUL36 to the capsid surface [32]. Notwithstanding these essential roles, a major roadblock to defining the molecular mechanisms underlying the multifunctionality of pUL25 has been the lack of an accurate understanding of the structure of pUL25 on the capsid surface.

Previously, the head domain of pUL25 was successfully crystallized and its structure determined by X-ray crystallography [47]. However, the absence of information regarding the location of pUL25 on the capsid surface, let alone its interactions with capsid proteins in situ, limited the utility of this structure for providing insights into how pUL25 contributes to the infectious cycle of the herpesviruses. The application of cryoEM enabled the visualization of the structure of pUL25 on the surface of PRV and HSV-1 capsids.

Fig. 5. Comparison of CATC interactions with hexon in PRV and KSHV. (a, b) Top view of a CATC and the CATC-interacting hexon in PRV (a) and KSHV (b). The hexon is shown in cyan, with light and heavy shades distinguishing the subunit boundaries. (c, d) Slice views of the boxed regions of (a, b) showing only two MCP subunits in CATC-interacting hexon in PRV (c) and KSHV (d). MCPud atomic models of HSV-1 are fitted in the map as ribbons. (e) The extended hairpin (β strand–loop–β strand motif) structure of the PRV MCP segment interacting with pUL17 as inferred from the fit HSV-1 MCPud model (left) and from the secondary structure prediction of the corresponding sequence of PRV MCP (right). (f) PRV pUL17 structure superimposed with its KSHV homologue (semi-transparent cyan), pORF32, revealing a 40° bend.
Both pUL25 and pUL17 are components of the previously described CATC, five copies of which radiate from each capsid vertex [26, 27]. Initial interpretations of cryoEM data erroneously placed pUL25 at the vertex-distal end of the CATC due to the intrinsic flexibility of pUL25, which reduced the resolution of the CATC complex to ~9 Å [43]. While recently obtained 7 Å structures have since correctly identified one of the two globular pUL25 densities in each CATC, the remaining globular density was misidentified as pUL36 [45]. This confusion likely resulted from the lower resolution obtained for this globular density in those reconstructions and the expectation that pUL36 would be present as an ordered density on the capsid surface [43, 45].

In this study, we used a direct electron detector in the super-resolution mode with electron counting and improved the resolution of PRV to 4.9 Å. This improvement in resolution resulted in the visualization of numerous helices in the CATC, which matched the helices in the HSV-1 pUL25 crystal structure (PDB ID: 1NO7) [46]. From this high-resolution fitting, the globular domain previously assigned to pUL36 was clearly a second globular domain of pUL25 (Fig. 2c). The coiled-coil-forming N-terminal helix was also clearly resolved (Fig. 4f). The integration of our cryoEM structures and results from secondary structural prediction further allowed us to assign pUL17 and pUL36 in the CATC, and revealed their interactions with one another and with the surrounding capsid proteins. We hypothesize that the penton-associated pUL25 dimer provides a structural support that stabilizes the capsid against internal pressures exerted by the packaged genome [61]. We further note that the localization of the pUL25 dimer to

**Fig. 6.** Schematic illustration of interactions of CATC in PRV (a) and KSHV (b). Two copies of pUL25 (pORF19) located on the capsid vertices in both PRV and KSHV. One of the pORF19 globular domains in KSHV that is not observed in the density map is shown in a fuzzy shape, and the other pORF19 binds one penton MCP. In PRV, the lack of SCP on the PRV penton and the longer N-terminal region of pUL25 seem to have enabled each pUL25 to bind two penton MCPs. The PRV pUL17 binds to two hexon MCP and it bends 40° compared to KSHV pORF32, which only binds to one hexon MCP.
capsid pentons makes it readily accessible to interact with the nuclear egress complex and the tegument.

Intriguingly, the structural organization of the pUL25 dimer is reminiscent of those of several members of the kinesin superfamily of microtubule motor proteins [62, 63]. Kinesins typically consist of a cargo-binding coiled-coil tail domain and a dimeric globular motor domain that uses the energy generated by ATP hydrolysis to walk along microtubules [64]. In the absence of clear sequence homology between kinesins and pUL25, the similarity in their structural organization may be superficial. Even so, pUL25 has been reported to associate with and potentially bundle microtubules when expressed in the absence of other viral proteins [65]. Since many kinesins and microtubule-associated proteins promote microtubule bundling [66–68], these results suggest that pUL25 might contribute directly to intracellular microtubule-dependent α-herpesvirus capsid transport. Future efforts designed to test the functional significance of the pUL25–microtubule association should help test this exciting hypothesis.

METHODS
Virus isolation
Porcine kidney epithelial cells (PK15) were grown in eight 850 cm² roller bottles and infected with wild-type PRV (Becker strain, PRV-GS999) at a multiplicity of infection of 5. Supernatants were collected without disturbing adherent cells at 24 h post infection. Cell debris was cleared by 10 min of centrifugation at 4800 g at 4 °C. Supernatants were underlaid with 3 ml of a 10% (w/v) Nycodenz solution in PBS in an SW28 centrifuge tube. Samples were centrifuged at 38500 g for 1 h at 4 °C. Viral pellets were resuspended in a total of 800 µl of PBS and shipped on wet ice for further processing. Virions were infectious and the titre of the concentrated stock was 6.0 × 10¹¹ p.f.u. ml⁻¹.

CryoEM sample preparation and data collection
For cryoEM, 2.5 µL of purified PRV virion was applied to a glow-discharged Quantifoil R2/1 grid (EMS, Hatfield, PA, USA). The grid was blotted with filter paper to remove excess sample and flash-frozen in liquid ethane with a Vitrobot Mark IV (FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA). The frozen hydrated grids were placed into an FEI Titan Krios electron microscope operated at 300 kV for automated image acquisition with the data acquisition software Leginon [69]. Micrographs were acquired with a Gatan K2 Summit direct electron detection camera (Roper Technologies, Inc., Sarasota, FL, USA) operated in the super-resolution electron counting mode at a nominal magnification of 18 000× and defocus values ranging from −1.0 to −3.0 µm. The dose rate on the camera was set to ~8 e⁻/pixel/s and the total exposure time was 10 s fractionated into 40 frames of images with 0.25 s exposure time for each frame. Frame images were 1.6x binned using the Fourier cropping method, yielding a pixel size of 1.29 Å. The binned frame images were then aligned and averaged for correction of beam-induced drift using the GPU-accelerated motion correction program [70]. The average images from all frames were used for defocus determination and particle picking, and those from frames 2–25 (corresponding to ~18 e⁻/Å² total dose on sample) were used for 3D reconstruction and refinement.

Image processing and data analysis
A total of 13 537 particles (1024x1024 pixels) were hand-picked from 1830 micrographs. The defocus values of these micrographs were determined by CTFFIND3 [71] and the selected particles were processed with the contrast transfer function by phase-flipping with the corresponding defocus and astigmatism values using Bsof [72]. The particles were initially processed using EMAN [73] for an initial 3D reconstruction and refinement enforced with an icosahedral symmetry. The preliminary 3D map was then low-pass filtered to 60 Å to serve as a starting model and the particles were scaled to a pixel size of 1.61 Å for 3D auto-refinement by RELION [74] using the icosahedral symmetry. The final resolution was estimated with two independently refined maps from the halves of data set with the gold-standard FSC at the 0.143 criterion using the relion_postprocess program in RELION [75]. The local resolution was estimated by ResMap [76]. The cryoEM map was sharpened by B-factor and low-pass filtered to the stated resolution using the relion_postprocess program.

In order to better identify α-helices in the tegument densities, the map was filtered to 5.5 Å resolution with the cosine edge filter using bfactor [77] (http://grigoriefflab.janelia.org/grigorieff/download_b.html) and then normalized by c2proc3d.py in EMAN2 [78]. The cryoEM densities of various of the structures were segmented by ‘volume tracer’ and ‘color zone’ using UCSF Chimera [79]. Finally, the atomic model or density map was fitted with a corresponding density map by the Fit in Map tool in Chimera.

To find the amino acids in PRV pUL25 that correspond to the HSV-1 pUL25 model, the online server SIM [80] was used for the protein sequence alignment between pUL25 in PRV and HSV. Secondary structure predictions for pUL25 used for the protein sequence alignment between pUL25 in PRV and HSV. Secondary structure predictions for pUL25 and pUL17 were performed with JPred [81].

The atomic model of the α-helix H1 was built using COOT [82] from the density map for the upper pUL25 promoter filtered to 4.8 Å using bfactor. The electrostatic surface of the pUL25 dimer was calculated with the adaptive Poisson–Boltzmann solver [83]. Coiled-coil prediction was performed using COILS [49].

To measure the relative density ratio of the CATC subunits, the densities of the upper and lower pUL25 stem helices and globular domains, pUL17 and the upper domain of the MCP were first segmented in the unsharpened EM map with a contour level equivalent to 0.3 Å²/Dalton. Then the mean density of the protein densities was quantified by calculating the averaged density in the segmented region and subtracting the background density (i.e. the averaged density value of the region outside the capsid). The mean protein densities of pUL25 or pUL17 divided by that of the
upper domain of the hexon MCP are defined as relative density. The copy number of the protein is the relative density ratio multiplied by 60, which is the number of asymmetric units of an icosahedral symmetry.
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