West Nile virus (WNV) is a positive-strand RNA virus in the family Flaviviridae. Prior to the 1990s, WNV infections were typically asymptomatic or associated with a self-limiting mild febrile illness known as West Nile fever; however, recent outbreaks of WNV infection in the Western hemisphere and Europe have been associated with an increased incidence of neuroinvasive disease, including meningitis, encephalitis and acute flaccid paralysis (http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dvbid/westnile/index.htm) (Papa et al., 2010, 2011).

One of the hallmarks of WNV neuroinvasion is the recruitment of inflammatory leukocytes into the central nervous system (CNS) (Omalu et al., 2003). Leukocyte infiltration is critical for clearance of WNV from the CNS and is a major determinant for survival. The process of leukocyte infiltration into infected tissues is a highly regulated, multistep process mediated by a family of chemoattractant cytokines known as chemokines (Carman, 2009; Middleton et al., 2002). Chemokines function by rapidly attracting a subset of leukocytes that express the appropriate cognate receptor. Therefore, chemokine expression patterns determine the type and abundance of cells attracted to the site of infection. Several studies have demonstrated that the chemokine receptors CCR2 and CCR5 are essential for leukocyte accumulation within the brains of WNV-infected animals and for survival (Glass et al., 2005; Lim et al., 2011). Although the cognate chemokines CCL2 and CCL5 have been detected in the brains of WNV-infected mice (Glass et al., 2005; Klein et al., 2005; Shirato et al., 2004), the specific cell types that express these chemokines have not been characterized. Here, we examined the CCL2 and CCL5 expression profiles in brain microvascular endothelial cells and astrocytes, which comprise the major components of the blood–brain barrier (BBB), in response to a non-pathogenic (WNV-MAD78) and a highly pathogenic (WNV-NY) strain of WNV. Higher levels of the chemokine CCL5 were detected in WNV-MAD78-infected brain endothelial monolayers compared with WNV-NY-infected cells. However, the opposite profile was observed in WNV-infected astrocytes, indicating that pathogenic and non-pathogenic strains of WNV provoke different CCL5 profiles at the BBB. Thus, cells comprising the BBB may contribute to a dynamic pro-inflammatory response within the CNS that evolves as WNV infection progresses.
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The neuroinflammatory response to West Nile virus (WNV) infection can be either protective or pathological depending on the context. Although several studies have examined chemokine profiles within brains of WNV-infected mice, little is known about how various cell types within the central nervous system (CNS) contribute to chemokine expression. Here, we assessed chemokine expression in brain microvascular endothelial cells and astrocytes, which comprise the major components of the blood–brain barrier (BBB), in response to a non-pathogenic (WNV-MAD78) and a highly pathogenic (WNV-NY) strain of WNV. Higher levels of the chemokine CCL5 were detected in WNV-MAD78-infected brain endothelial monolayers compared with WNV-NY-infected cells. However, the opposite profile was observed in WNV-infected astrocytes, indicating that pathogenic and non-pathogenic strains of WNV provoke different CCL5 profiles at the BBB. Thus, cells comprising the BBB may contribute to a dynamic pro-inflammatory response within the CNS that evolves as WNV infection progresses.
fixed with paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with Triton X-100 to allow the detection of cytokines within secretory vesicles and probed for CCL2. The intensity of CCL2 staining within individual z-sections was determined using the image processing program ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012). Unlike other stimuli (Chui & Dorovini-Zis, 2010), WNV infection did not induce CCL2 redistribution within the cells (data not shown) or increase the overall intensity

Fig. 1. WNV-induced chemokine expression in HBMECs. (a, b) Expression of CCL2 and CCL5 mRNA. CCL2 (a) and CCL5 (b) mRNA levels were determined by quantitative reverse transcription-PCR using primers specific for CCL2 (forward, 5'-TCTCTGCCGCCCTTCTGTG-3'; reverse, 5'-GCTTCTTGGGACACTTGCTGCTG-3') and CCL5 (forward, 5'-CTCTGTGACCAGAAGGAAGT-3'; reverse, 5'-GGTTGAGACGGCGGAAG-3'). Chemokine levels were normalized to glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene expression and the fold induction compared with mock-infected HBMECs at 1 h post-infection was determined. Values represent the mean ± SE from at least three separate experiments. (c, d) Secreted chemokine levels. Levels of CCL2 (c) and CCL5 (d) in culture supernatants were determined by ELISA (BD Biosciences and R&D Systems, respectively). Values represent the mean ± SE from at least three independent experiments. (e, f) Confocal analysis of chemokine expression. CCL2 (e) was detected with polyclonal antisera (Abcam) and DyLight 488-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Jackson Immunolabs). CCL5 (f) was detected with polyclonal antisera (R&D Systems) followed by a Alexa Fluor 633-conjugated anti-goat secondary antibody (Invitrogen). Images were captured on a Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope at x40 magnification. The ratio of the total mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of all z-sections of WNV-infected cells compared with mock-infected HBMECs is presented. Data represent the mean ± SE of at least two independent experiments. One-way ANOVA was performed to determine significance: *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.005.
of CCL2 staining compared with mock-infected cells (Fig. 1e). Thus, WNV infection does not alter the CCL2 expression pattern within HBMECs.

Unlike CCL2, CCL5 mRNA expression was upregulated ~6000-fold in both WNV-NY- and WNV-MAD78-infected HBMECs compared with mock-infected HBMECs (Fig. 1b, \( P<0.005 \)). However, the level of secreted and cell-associated CCL5 was significantly higher in WNV-MAD78-infected cultures compared with WNV-NY-infected cultures [Fig. 1d (3.5-fold, \( P<0.01 \)] and f (1.6-fold, \( P<0.05 \)], suggesting that CCL5 expression is regulated at both the transcriptional and translational level within these cells. Moreover, these findings suggest that pathogenic and non-pathogenic strains of WNV differentially induce CCL5 expression in HBMECs.

Astrocytes, the second major cellular component of the BBB, play a central role in mediating neuroinflammation in response to many viruses (Cheeran et al., 2005; Eugenin et al., 2011; Louboutin & Strayer, 2012; Pozner et al., 2008; van Marle et al., 2007). Therefore, we also examined CCL2 and CCL5 expression in primary human brain cortical astrocytes (HBCAs) (Cell Systems) during WNV infection (m.o.i. 0.01). As observed in HBMECs, CCL2 was expressed constitutively in HBCAs and WNV infection did not alter the level of secreted CCL2 (Fig. 2a). However, in contrast to HBMECs, HBCAs secreted significantly more CCL5 in response to WNV-NY infection compared with WNV-MAD78 infection (Fig. 2b, \( P<0.005 \)). Together, these findings suggest that the chemokine profiles induced by pathogenic and non-pathogenic strains of WNV vary as the infection progresses within the BBB from endothelial cells into astrocytes. The higher levels of CCL5 detected in WNV-NY-infected HBCA cultures were probably due to the enhanced ability of WNV-NY to spread from cell to cell within the HBCA monolayer compared with WNV-MAD78 (Hussmann et al., 2013). Indeed, when CCL5 levels were normalized to the number of infected cells as determined by flow cytometry, we observed that WNV-MAD78- and WNV-NY-infected HBCAs secreted similar levels per cell (~0.547 and ~0.146 pg per cell, respectively). Notably, the overall expression of CCL5 in HBCAs in response to WNV-NY and WNV-MAD78 infection (around ten- and twofold, respectively) was greater than that induced in HBMECs (compare Fig. 1d with Fig. 2b). This is despite the fact that HBCAs are less permissive for WNV replication than HBMECs (Hussmann et al., 2013).

The primary function of chemokines is to regulate leukocyte migration. Therefore, we assessed whether WNV-induced CCL5 expression enhanced the ability of THP-1 cells, a CCR5-expressing monocyte cell line (data not shown and Swan et al., 2006), to adhere to and traverse an intact HBMEC monolayer. THP-1 cells labelled with CellTracker Green CMFDA (2 \( \mu \)M; Invitrogen) and Swan et al. (2006) were incubated with TNF-\( \alpha \)-treated (100 ng), mock-infected or WNV-infected HBMECs for 30 min at 37 °C, 5 % CO2. The monolayers were washed vigorously to remove unbound cells prior to being fixed with paraformaldehyde and the attached THP-1 cells were visualized by microscopy. THP-1 adherence was similar in mock- and WNV-NY-infected cultures (Fig. 3a). However, monocyte attachment was increased twofold in WNV-MAD78-infected cultures, which is consistent with the observation that this virus induced higher levels of CCL5 expression in HBMECs compared with WNV-NY. As expected, high levels of THP-1 cells bound to control cells treated with TNF-\( \alpha \) (Liu & Dorovini-Zis, 2012). Together, these findings suggest that the chemokine response in HBMEC monolayers to non-pathogenic strains of WNV may be more efficient at attracting leukocytes compared with highly pathogenic strains of WNV.

In order for circulating leukocytes to facilitate viral clearance within the CNS, they must also traverse the endothelial layer of the BBB. In addition to attracting leukocytes to the site of infection, chemokines can also facilitate infiltration into the CNS by promoting the disruption of endothelial cell–cell junctions (Roberts et al., 2012). As WNV infection induced CCL5 expression in HBMECs, we assessed the integrity of the WNV-infected HBMEC monolayer by quantifying the level of FITC-labelled dextran translocation across a
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**Fig. 2.** CCL2 and CCL5 expression in WNV-infected HBCAs. The levels of secreted CCL2 (a) or CCL5 (b) were determined by ELISA (BD Biosciences and R&D Systems, respectively). Values represent the mean ± SE from at least three independent experiments. One-way ANOVA was performed to determine significance: **\( P<0.01 \), ***\( P<0.005 \).
Transwell support (Fig. 3b). FITC–dextran (100 μM, 4 kDa; Sigma) was added to the upper (luminal) chamber at the indicated times post-infection and the amount of FITC–dextran present in the lower (abluminal) chamber supernatants after a 1 h incubation at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ was determined using a FLUOstar Omega fluorescence microtitre plate reader (BMG Labtech). Approximately 70% of the FITC–dextran was detected in the lower chamber of mock-, WNV-NY- and WNV-MAD78-infected cultures, confirming that WNV infection of the brain endothelial cells is not sufficient to induce disruption of the monolayer (Verma et al., 2009).

In many cases, viral disruption of the BBB is the result of breakdown of the endothelial tight junctions by matrix metalloproteinases secreted from infected astrocytes (Roe et al., 2012; Spindler & Hsu, 2012; Verma et al., 2010). We therefore assessed the effect of WNV replication on the integrity of a simplified in vitro model of the BBB.
consisting of HBMECs grown on 8 μm Transwell inserts and HBCAs on the bottom well (Fig. 3c). HBMECs were infected with WNV at an m.o.i. of 0.1 and incubated for 72 h, which we have demonstrated previously was sufficient time for the infection to progress to the HBCA monolayer (Hussmann et al., 2013). The inclusion of astrocytes in the Transwell system did not enhance FITC–dextran translocation across the HBMEC monolayer, indicating that the monolayer remained intact (Fig. 3d). Thus, the initial infiltration of leukocytes into the CNS is probably due to the active recruitment by chemokines rather than passive migration resulting from the loss of integrity of the BBB. To determine whether chemokines expressed by brain endothelial cells and astrocytes in response to WNV infection facilitate leukocyte traversal of the endothelial monolayer, we assessed the effect of WNV replication on THP-1 transmigration across an HBMEC monolayer. Co-cultures of HBMECs and HBCAs were assembled in Transwells as described previously in Figure 3c and infected at an m.o.i. of 0.1 with either WNV-NY or WNV-MAD78. At 72 h post-inoculation, fluorescently labelled THP-1 monocytes were added to the luminal chamber and cultures were incubated for 6 h at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ to allow transmigration. Abluminal lysates were prepared by adding Triton X-100 (2%) to abluminal supernatants and total fluorescence determined using a FLUOstar Omega fluorescence microtitre plate reader (Fig. 3e). Whilst increased levels of fluorescence were detected in control cells treated with TNF-α (250 ng ml⁻¹), WNV infection did not significantly enhance THP-1 migration compared with mock treated co-cultures. This suggests that the chemokine profiles induced in response to WNV infection of HBMECs and HBCAs were not sufficient to mediate monocyte transmigration across the endothelial cell monolayer.

In conclusion, our data suggest that pathogenic and non-pathogenic strains of WNV differentially induce the pro-inflammatory chemokine CCL5 at the BBB, although neither virus substantially induced CCL2. During initial infection of brain endothelial cells, the enhanced chemokine expression in response to WNV-MAD78 may stimulate the rapid recruitment of circulating leukocytes to the BBB. However, the induction of CCL5 in response to WNV infection was not sufficient to promote leukocyte transmigration across the endothelial layer in an in vitro model of the BBB containing both endothelial cells and astrocytes. Other cell types within the CNS, such as neurons or activated microglia, may therefore be responsible for the establishment of the chemokine gradients that attract immune cells into the CNS during WNV infection. Likewise, WNV replication did not affect the integrity of the HBMEC monolayer, suggesting that additional host factors are required for the breakdown of the BBB that occurs in vivo late in infection (Roe et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2004, 2008). Nonetheless, chemokine expression by endothelial cells and astrocytes early during WNV infection may still play an important role in the neuroimmune response. Increased local expression of CCL5 may function to enhance recruitment and activation of resident microglial cells to the site of infection, thereby promoting the clearance of free virus as well as infected cells in the CNS through an inside-out mechanism or by mediating antigen presentation to infiltrating lymphocytes. There is also increasing evidence that chemokines are multifunctional proteins with activities beyond the recruitment and activation of immune cells. Indeed, CCL5 has been reported to have both neuroprotective (Bruno et al., 2000) and direct antiviral activities (Nakayama et al., 2006). Further analysis describing how early activation of the neuroimmune response may contribute to neuroprotection or pathogenicity is necessary to determine the function of CCL5 produced by the BBB during WNV infection.
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