Wild-type and innate immune-deficient mice are not susceptible to the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus
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The newly emerged Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) has infected 106 people in eight countries, of whom 49 have died, as of August 2013, with increasing numbers of cases added weekly (www.cdc.gov). MERS-CoV has spread person-to-person, with several clusters of infection in Saudi Arabia, the UK, Italy and France (Mailles et al., 2013; Memish et al., 2013), with the largest cluster of 23 infected people identified in a hospital in Al Hassa, Saudi Arabia (Memish et al., 2013). The symptoms of MERS-CoV infection are similar to those of the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), with fever, cough and pneumonia leading to respiratory failure. However, patients with MERS have also developed kidney failure, which was not reported for SARS. A recent study on a patient from the United Arab Emirates showed that MERS-CoV RNA could be detected, albeit at low levels, in urine and stool samples (Drosten et al., 2013), suggesting that MERS-CoV may not be restricted to the lung. Recent serology studies have also demonstrated that camels in Oman and Egypt are seropositive for MERS-CoV and have antibodies that can neutralize MERS-CoV infection in cell culture; however, MERS-CoV viral RNA has yet to be detected in these camels (Perera et al., 2013; Reusken et al., 2013).

MERS-CoV is hypothesized to be of bat origin due to its similarity to other bat CoVs, but the reservoir species is currently unknown. Cell-culture experiments suggest a broad host range for MERS-CoV, with infections seen in human, bat and monkey cells (Muller et al., 2012). The receptor for MERS-CoV was recently identified as dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4); antibodies to DPP4 efficiently block infection of permissive cell lines and transfection of a human DPP4 expression plasmid into non-permissive cells allows for MERS-CoV infection (Raj et al., 2013).

The study of SARS-CoV pathogenesis progressed rapidly due to the development of a mouse-adapted virus of SARS-CoV, called MA15, that produced lethal lung disease in BALB/c mice (Roberts et al., 2007) and weight loss and inflammation in 129S6/SvEv and C57BL/6 mice (Sheahan et al., 2008). Importantly, both WT and MA15 SARS-CoV produce enhanced disease in an innate immune-deficient mouse strain where STAT1 is deleted (129/STAT1−/−) (Frieman et al., 2010). An equally robust small-animal model is critical for the study of MERS-CoV pathogenesis and disease.

While MERS-CoV appears to replicate in various human and other mammalian cell types in vitro (Fuk-Woo Chan et al., 2013; Kindler et al., 2013; Muller et al., 2012; Zielecki et al., 2013), the only reported animal model for MERS-CoV is the rhesus macaque (Macaca mulatta), in which it replicates and causes pneumonia and pulmonary infiltration (Munster et al., 2013). Given the practical, ethical and financial issues associated with primate research, there is a need for a small-animal model of MERS-CoV. A recent study has shown that MERS-CoV does not replicate or cause disease in Syrian hamsters (de Wit et al., 2013). MERS-CoV infection of mice has not been reported; therefore, we tested MERS-CoV replication in three different mouse species.
(BALB/c, 129S6/SvEv and 129/STAT1−/−) with the goal of creating a small-animal model of disease to begin to understand the pathogenesis of MERS-CoV and/or act as a vehicle for mouse adaptation of MERS-CoV.

Eight-week-old BALB/c (Charles River, strain BALB/cAnNCrl), 129S6/SvEv (Taconic #129SVE) and 129/STAT1−/− (Taconic #2045-F) mice were infected with the MERS-CoV (strain hCoV-EMC/2012) obtained from Erasmus Medical College (van Boheemen et al., 2012). Prior to intranasal inoculation, mice were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection using a mix of xylazine (0.38 mg/mouse) and ketamine (1.3 mg/mouse), diluted in PBS to make a total volume of 50 μl per mouse. Once anesthetized, five mice per group were intranasally inoculated with PBS, 120 or 1200 TCID50 of MERS-CoV diluted into PBS for a total inoculum of 50 μl. During the experiment, mice were weighed prior to infection and every day of the experiment to assess MERS-CoV-induced weight loss. BALB/c mice were euthanized at days 2 and 4 post-infection (p.i.) and 129S6/SvEv and 129/STAT1−/− mice were euthanized on day 2 or 9 p.i. using isoflurane (Butler Animal Health Supply). Lungs and kidneys were harvested for further analysis of MERS-CoV replication and pathology.

A key characteristic of SARS-CoV infection of mice is the loss of weight during infection. Daily weight-loss curves for MERS-CoV infection in BALB/c, 129S6/SvEv and 129/STAT1−/− mice are shown in Fig. 1(a–c). There was no significant weight loss, nor significant difference from PBS-infected controls observed for WT BALB/c (Fig. 1a) or WT 129S6/SvEv (Fig. 1b) mice. Furthermore, the innate immune-deficient 129/STAT1−/− mice display no weight loss during infection with MERS-CoV (Fig. 1c).

We next tested MERS-CoV-infected mouse lungs for the presence of virus by TCID50 assay. Briefly, VeroE6 cells were seeded into 96-well plates (USA Scientific) at 1 × 104 cells per well and were cultured overnight. Cells were infected with a fivefold dilution series of virus-containing media in triplicate and cultured for a further 48 h. Plates were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 5 min at room temperature and then stained with 0.05% crystal violet in 20% methanol for 30 min at room temperature. Plates were then washed twice in water and cell death was assessed by the presence (live cells) or absence (dead cells) of crystal violet stain. Viral load was calculated based on the dilution at which the media killed 50% of the cells using the TCID50 formula (Reed & Muench, 1938).

Lungs from MERS-CoV-infected BALB/c, 129S6/SvEv and 129/STAT1−/− mice were assayed by TCID50 virus growth assay to assess whether virus was replicating and persisting during infection. We found that there was no detectable virus above the level of detection in the lungs of BALB/c mice at 2 and 4 days p.i. nor 129S6/SvEv and 129/STAT1−/− mice at 2 and 9 days p.i. (Fig. 1d, e).

We also tested for both genomic and actively transcribing MERS-CoV RNA in lungs during infection by real-time PCR with primers specific for genomic (Corman et al., 2012b) and replicating MERS-CoV RNA by homogenizing lung tissue in Trizol (Sigma Aldrich) for RNA extraction. For this purpose, we used two different primer sets for detection of MERS-CoV genomic RNA, corresponding to ORF1B and MERS-E (MERS-CoV-E forward: GCAACGCGGATTCAGCTCAGT; MERS-CoV-E reverse: GCCCTCTACA-CGGGACCCATA; MERS-CoV-ORF1B forward: TTCGATTTGTAGGGTGGTCTCAT; and MERS-CoV-ORF1B reverse: TCAACACGTGAAATCTTCTATT) (Corman et al., 2012a). We also assayed for actively transcribing MERS-CoV RNA by assaying with primers to the leader sequence that will only recognize MERS-CoV subgenomic mRNA due to the presence of the specific leader sequence found only in an actively transcribed MERS-CoV leader sequence containing mRNA (forward: CTATCTCATCCCCTCGTTCTC; reverse: GAGGGTGTTACTATCTTG). Mouse actin primers (forward: ATGGAGGGGATACAGGCC; reverse: TTCCTTTCAGC-TCTCCTTGT) were used as a normalization control.

RNA was extracted from lungs of WT BALB/c, 129S6/SvEv and 129/STAT1−/− mice at the time points noted above and converted into randomly primed cDNA using ReverTraid Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. When cDNA samples were probed for MERS-E (Fig. 1f, g) or MERS-ORF1B (Fig. 1h, i) transcripts, which probe for only viral genomic RNA levels, there were significantly higher levels compared to mock infected controls. This indicates that MERS-CoV genome is present in the lungs of infected mice early in infection. However, when the same samples were probed for MERS-CoV leader sequence containing mRNA, which assays for replicating virus, there were no statistically significant sustained levels of MERS-mRNA detected above background mRNA of PBS inoculated mice (Fig. 1j, k), suggesting that there is minimal active MERS-CoV transcription occurring in the lungs of WT or innate immune-deficient mice and it does not progress to a continuous production of infectious virus.

We analysed lung histology of each mouse strain to assess whether the mice displayed any histological signs of responding and, potentially, clearing of the infection. Paraformaldehyde-fixed lungs were embedded in paraffin and sectioned before staining with haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) (Fig. 2). Analysis of the lungs shows that in 129S6/SvEv and the innate immune-deficient 129/STAT1−/− mice there are only minor signs of pathological lesions or inflammatory response to the infection (Fig. 2b). Lungs of 129/STAT1−/− mice infected with the high dose of MERS-CoV displayed a few lesions of focal interstitial pneumonitis composed of neutrophils and macrophages at 9 days p.i., but not consistently in all mice. In BALB/c mice infected with the high dose of MERS-CoV, we noted perivascular cuffing with scattered neutrophils at 2 and 4 days p.i. with foci of pneumonia around proximal airways more predominant at 4 days p.i. (Fig. 2a). The histological features observed are consistent with immune response to antigen present in the inoculum; however, no
Fig. 1. MERS-CoV pathogenesis in mice. Weight-loss curves of BALB/c (a), 129SvEv (b) or 129/STAT1–/– mice (c) after either mock infection with PBS or MERS-CoV at two inoculum doses. (d, e) Lung homogenate from infected mice in (a–c) was assayed for the presence of virus at multiple time points after infection. Dotted line notes the level of detection in our TCID50 assays. RNA was extracted from mouse lungs during infection and assayed for amount of viral RNA present during infection by real-time PCR analysis of the envelope ORF (f, g) or 1B(h, i). RNA from BALB/c mice (f, h) and RNA from 129SvEv and 129/STAT1–/– mice (g, i) were assayed. Three mice at each time point and condition were used for each averaged value. Primers specific to the leader primer of MERS-CoV were used for real-time PCR analysis to identify subgenomic RNA as a reporter of viral replication (j, k). *P-value >0.5, *P-value <0.5.

cytotoxic effect nor signs of MERS-CoV infection (apoptotic cells, syncytia formation) were noted.

The lack of MERS-CoV replication in mice could be explained by a mouse host factor that inhibits MERS-CoV from replicating in cells. MERS-CoV spike may not be able to bind to mouse DPP4 and allow for infection or DPP4 protein could not be expressed in the lungs of mice. We assayed for the expression of DPP4 mRNA and protein in mice using antibodies specific for mouse DPP4 (Biolegend, Cat. 137801) and real-time PCR to assess the expression level of DPP4 in mouse lung. As a positive control, we labelled 4% paraformaldehyde-fixed and paraffin-embedded BALB/c mouse intestine with the anti-DPP4 antibody. In control sections with no primary antibody we see no labelling; however, in sections labelled with anti-DPP4 we see strong labelling in intestinal brush border cells (Fig. 3a). When we used the same antibody on BALB/c lungs, we find very little positive staining in Clara cells or type II alveolar cells, which is where DPP4 is expressed in human lung (Fig. 3b). Additionally, we assayed for DPP4 mRNA levels in the intestines and lungs of BALB/c mice (Fig. 3c). We
find low levels of DPP4 in lung and significantly more DPP4 in the intestines. While the differential levels are not to the extent seen by immunohistochemical (IHC) staining in Fig. 3a, we hypothesize that the stability of DPP4 mRNA may diminish the signal in both tissues compared to protein levels. This suggests that if there are no other mouse factors inhibiting MERS-CoV infection and replication, then the development of a transgenic mouse model expressing the human DPP4 receptor in the homologous cell type as that expressed in humans would be able to create a small-animal model for MERS-CoV.

In this study we aimed to identify whether MERS-CoV replicated in WT or innate immune-deficient mice. We were unable to detect any significant MERS-CoV replication in any of the mouse strains used. Using STAT1 deletion 129 mice demonstrates that the lack of replication and MERS-CoV in 129SvEv mice is not due to STAT1-dependent innate immune responses. The doses used for inoculations were lower than the maximum to assay for relevant levels of inoculation; however, they were still higher than a hypothetical physiological dose. Furthermore, while there was some evidence of a focal inflammatory response in mouse lungs, there was no recapitulation of the human disease in any of these mouse strains. Further studies are needed to identify whether transgenic mice expressing the human DPP4 protein in the correct cell type will allow the development of a small-animal model for this novel CoV.
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