Respiratory syncytial virus modifies microRNAs regulating host genes that affect virus replication
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Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) causes substantial morbidity and life-threatening lower respiratory tract disease in infants, young children and the elderly. Understanding the host response to RSV infection is critical for developing disease-intervention approaches. The role of microRNAs (miRNAs) in post-transcriptional regulation of host genes responding to RSV infection is not well understood. In this study, it was shown that RSV infection of a human alveolar epithelial cell line (A549) induced five miRNAs (let-7f, miR-24, miR-337-3p, miR-26b and miR-520a-5p) and repressed two miRNAs (miR-198 and miR-595), and showed that RSV G protein triggered let-7f expression. Luciferase–untranslated region reporters and miRNA mimics and inhibitors validated the predicted targets, which included cell-cycle genes (CCND1, Dyrk2 and Elf4), a chemokine gene (CCL7) and the suppressor of cytokine signalling 3 gene (SOCS3). Modulating let-7 family miRNA levels with miRNA mimics and inhibitors affected RSV replication, indicating that RSV modulates host miRNA expression to affect the outcome of the antiviral host response, and this was mediated in part through RSV G protein expression.

INTRODUCTION

Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is an important paediatric and geriatric challenge causing substantial hospitalizations, clinic visits and >14,000 deaths per annum (CDCP, 2008). RSV is a prototype of the genus Paramyxovirus with a 15 kb negative-sense ssRNA genome encoding 11 proteins (NS1, NS2, N, P, M, SH, G, F, M2-1, M2-2 and L). Despite 60 years of intense efforts towards an RSV vaccine, there is a lack of effective prophylactic and therapeutic intervention, mainly due to a poor understanding of the host–virus interface. Whilst recent antiviral efforts have begun to target host pathways to inhibit virus replication (Li et al., 2009; Meliopoulos et al., 2012; Panda et al., 2011) and RNA interference approaches using small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) to target RSV have shown success at reducing virus replication in a mouse model (Alvarez et al., 2009) and are currently in phase II clinical trials (Alvarez et al., 2009), mitigating the host immune response that results in bronchiolitis remains a challenge.

Among the 11 RSV proteins, the non-structural proteins (NS1/2) cooperatively inhibit activation and nuclear translocation of interferon (IFN) regulatory factor 3 (Bossert et al., 2003; Spann et al., 2005), and mediate inhibition of cytokine production by proteasome-mediated degradation of the signal transducer and activator of transcription factor 2 (Elliott et al., 2007; Lo et al., 2005), whilst the surface proteins F and G are involved in attachment and entry, along with nucleolin (Tayyari et al., 2011). The RSV G protein also interacts with Toll-like receptors (Kurt-Jones et al., 2000; Murawski et al., 2009; Oshansky et al., 2009a), and negatively affects type I IFN (Moore et al., 2008; Tripp et al., 1999) and cytokine and chemokine expression (Tripp et al., 2000a), in part by induction of suppressor of cytokine signalling (SOCS) proteins in normal human bronchial epithelial cells and mouse lung epithelial cells (Moore et al., 2008; Oshansky et al., 2009a). In addition, a highly conserved CX3C chemokine motif in the RSV G protein mimics fractalkine, modulating fractalkine-mediated immune responses (Tripp et al., 2001).

RSV infection in vitro and in vivo induces early, middle and late host genome-wide gene transcription (Janssen et al., 2007; Martinez et al., 2007); however, these increases are not directly reflected in the host proteome (Munday et al., 2010) and this is not completely understood. RSV infection causes G1/S arrest in A549 cells (Gibbs et al., 2009) and HEp-2 cells (Mohapatra et al., 2009), and a G2/M cell-cycle arrest in primary human bronchial epithelial cells via induction of transforming growth factor β/1 and a reduction in p53, both

Three supplementary tables are available with the online version of this paper.
**RESULTS**

**RSV infection regulates host miRNAs**

To evaluate RSV deregulation of host miRNA expression, A549 cells were infected with recombinant wild-type RSV (6340WT; m.o.i. of 1) or were mock treated in triplicate, and expression of all known mature miRNAs was determined at 24 h post-infection (p.i.) by microarray analysis. The miRNAs let-7, let-7a, let-7f and miR-337 were significantly $\left(P \leq 0.01\right)$ induced $\geq 1.5$-fold among replicates, whilst miR-224 showed consistent repression of at least 1.5-fold among replicates (Table S1, available in JGV Online). The miRNAs miR-24, miR-26b, miR-29a, miR-320a and miR-520a-5p (miR-520a) were also induced $\geq 1.5$-fold, whilst miR-198, miR-224 and miR-595 were repressed by at least 1.5-fold (Table S1). qPCR performed using miRNA-specific oligonucleotides validated approximate inductions of twofold for miRNAs let-7f and miR-337, 1.7-fold for miR-520a and miR-24 (Fig. 1) and fourfold for miR-26b (Fig. 1). Although multiple miRNAs were induced, we focused on let-7 miRNAs, as the induction of this family was consistent among replicates and let-7f showed the highest induction among various let-7 members.

**RSV G protein elicits miRNA expression**

RSV G protein expression has been shown to modify cytokine and chemokine expression and to induce SOCS1- and SOCS3-negative regulation of type I IFNs (Moore et al., 2008; Oshansky et al., 2009a, b; Tripp et al., 1999, 2000a, 2001). To determine whether RSV G protein affected expression of the validated miRNAs (Fig. 1), A549 cells were infected (m.o.i. of 1) with recombinant RSV (6340WT) or with a recombinant RSV mutant virus lacking the G gene (RSVΔG), and expression of let-7f, miR-337, miR-520a, miR-26b and miR-24 was determined at 24 h p.i. Both 6340WT and RSVΔG replicated to similar levels over the short period of infection; however, in the absence of the G protein gene (RSVΔG), expression of let-7f was significantly ($P < 0.001$) lower, whilst levels of miR-337 and miR-24 were significantly ($P < 0.05$) upregulated (Table 1 and Fig. 2a). Expression of miR-520a was decreased slightly in RSVΔG-infected cells, but this change was not statistically significant, and miR-26b expression remained unchanged compared with 6340WT infection. These results indicated that RSV G protein expression was associated with let-7f induction but repressed miR-24 and miR-337 expression. To confirm that RSV G protein induced let-7f (Fig. 2a), A549 cells were treated with purified RSV G protein (1.0 μg ml$^{-1}$) (Oshansky et al., 2009a), RSV F protein (1.0 μg ml$^{-1}$) or infected (m.o.i. of 1) with 6340WT or 6340ΔG virus.
let-7f regulates numerous host genes responding to RSV infection

As RSV infection modified let-7 miRNA expression (Fig. 1), and RSV G protein expression considerably affected let-7f (Fig. 2), we focused on the role of let-7f in the host response. To identify let-7f targets regulated by base pairing between the miRNA ‘seed’ region and the 3’UTR of the gene (Friedman et al., 2009), potential let-7f targets based on published genes linked to RSV infection and those predicted to be let-7f targets were mined using multiple computational algorithms (TargetScan, miRbase and PicTar). These potential targets were then mapped against a dataset of genes known to be deregulated at different times after RSV infection based on published microarray data (Fjaerli et al., 2007; Janssen et al., 2007; Martinez et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2011). From this analysis, 102 genes were identified as significant let-7f targets, 117 genes were found to be deregulated during RSV infection and 27 genes overlapped these two areas (Fig. 3a). The 27 genes were expressed at various time points (≤ 6 h, 6–12 h, and ≥ 12 h p.i.) after RSV infection of A549 cells, and were probably also regulated by other let-7 miRNAs, because of the 100% identity in the let-7 ‘seed’ sequences (Fig. 3b) (Fjaerli et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2008; Martinez et al., 2007). Regulation by let-7f of a subset of these genes was validated with let-7f inhibitors/mimics (Fig. 3c). Commercial let-7f and miR-24 inhibitor and mimics used in this study consistently prevented or increased the incorporation of the miRNA guide strand into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) complex via proprietary design (Fig. 3c) (Vermeulen et al., 2007). Different concentrations of let-7f inhibitors were tested, where 25 nM let-7f inhibitor reduced native let-7f levels by ≥ 85% in 24 h and were not cytotoxic (Alamar Blue reduction assay; AbD SeroTec).

Therefore, 25 nM was used in all transfection assays. It is important to note that, whilst the let-7f inhibitors were miRNA specific and were able to distinguish between different members of the let-7 family, let-7 mimics affected the native levels of all let-7 family members because the let-7 seed sites were identical across all let-7 miRNAs (Fig. 3b). As a result, let-7 mimics would increase and decrease Luc expression, respectively, relative to non-targeting controls, although not to the same extent. Fold changes in Luc expression were calculated using the formula described in Methods. Moreover, based on findings from a previous study in human cells (Johnson et al., 2007), the magnitude of differences in Luc expression would be expected to be modest compared with those of the controls.

The 3’UTRs for 12 (SOSCS3, CCND1, SMOX, HOXA1, TNFAIP3, ELF4, DYSK2, CCL7, PLAUR, VLDLR, GLRX3 and SERPING1) of the 27 genes representing early, middle and late times after RSV infection (Fjaerli et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2008; Martinez et al., 2007) were cloned into a
let-7f regulates its targets via the RISC pathway

Gene transcripts regulated by miRNAs are processed by RISC complexes, which contain the Ago2 protein as a conserved core component (Fabian et al., 2010). Precipitating RISC using an anti-Ago2 mAb has been shown to significantly enrich for miRNA-regulated transcripts (Dölken et al., 2010). To validate let-7f-regulated transcripts, RISC-associated mRNA and target transcripts were precipitated from 6340WT-infected (m.o.i. of 1) or mock-infected cells using a mAb against Ago2 (a component of the RISC) or anti-bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) control mAb. The CCND1 gene, which is induced early during RSV infection (Martinez et al., 2007), was enriched in anti-Ago2-precipitated RNA from RSV-infected cells but not in anti-BrdU-precipitated RNA from RSV-infected cells (Fig. 4a), or from similarly treated mock-infected Vero cell RNA precipitated with anti-Ago2 or anti-BrdU mAb (Fig. 4a). This showed that the CCND1 transcript was associated with the RISC in RSV-infected cells, presumably for miRNA-mediated translational repression. As the RSV G protein induced let-7f (Fig. 2b), and let-7f regulated CCND1 expression (Fig. 3e), it was expected that there would be differential enrichment of let-7f transcripts in RISC-associated RNA from 6340WT-infected cells compared with 6340ΔG-infected cells. qPCR analysis of RISC-associated mRNA precipitated from 6340WT-infected cells using anti-Ago2 mAb, but not using anti-BrdU mAb, showed an approximately threefold let-7f enrichment compared with RNA from 6340ΔG-infected cells (P=0.0005) (Fig. 4b). These results supported the findings showing RSV G protein induction of let-7f expression (Fig. 2b) and its involvement in regulating CCND1 expression via the RISC pathway (Figs 3e and 4a).

DISCUSSION

RSV replication is modulated by let-7f and miR-24

To determine whether let7-f affected RSV replication, A549 cells were transfected with let-7f miRNA inhibitor or mimic, or with controls, for 24 h and the cells were incubated for a further 48 h, assayed for cytotoxicity and subsequently infected with rgRSV expressing GFP at an m.o.i. of 0.5. Transfection with let-7f miRNA inhibitors, mimics or controls was not cytotoxic. rgRSV has been shown to replicate with a similar titre and time course as wild-type RSV in untreated A549 cells (Hallak et al., 2000). At an m.o.i. of 0.5, rgRSV-infected A549 cells showed a peak GFP fluorescence at day 3 p.i. and hence were processed at this time point for RSV plaque assays on Vero E6 cells using an anti-RSV F-based plaque assay. The RSV plaque assays showed that inhibitor transfections reduced rgRSV plaque numbers, comparable to the results for positive-control siRNA (Fig. 5). A qPCR for RSV M gene copy numbers as well as GFP measurements also showed similar trends (data not shown). These data showed that miRNA inhibition can modulate RSV replication. As we did not observe statistically significant differences in antiviral cytokine expression after inhibitor/mimic transfection (unpublished observations), the effect on virus replication was probably due to a global deregulation of host gene expression. This is supported by a previous study showing that let-7f mimic transfections affected global gene expression profiles, deregulating 629 genes across multiple cellular pathways (Johnson et al., 2007). We also analysed the RSV genome for potential seed sites for the above miRNAs using BLAST. The results (matrix=BLOSUM62, E value cut-off=10.0) were filtered to identify hits in the miRNA seed site (nt 2–8). let-7f and miR-24 did not show any significant homology in the seed site with any region in the RSV genome in both sense and anti-sense orientations, ruling out a direct inhibition of virus replication by these miRNAs. This supports our hypothesis that modulation of virus replication in inhibitor-transfected cells is probably effected by modulating cellular pathways. These data suggest that RSV-modulated miRNAs have a pro-viral role and that modulation of these miRNAs affects virus replication by affecting multiple cellular pathways.
vaccine development. As miRNA expression is regulated by multiple mechanisms such as Toll-like receptor recognition of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (Taganov et al., 2006), extra- or intracellular signalling (O’Connell et al., 2007; Taganov et al., 2006), processing of IFN-stimulated gene transcripts (Berezikov et al., 2007; Morlando et al., 2008), direct viral induction of miRNA promoters (Taganov et al., 2006) and as an off-target effect of viral
**Fig. 3.** Luciferase (Luc)–UTR assays used to validate predicted let-7f gene targets. RSV G protein induced let-7f and other miRNAs regulate multiple genes during RSV infection. (a) Venn diagram depicting the overlap between predicted let-7f gene targets and genes deregulated during RSV infection. Genes that were examined further are shown in bold. SOCS3, Suppressor of cytokine signalling 3; CCND1, cyclin D1; SMOX, spermine oxidase; HOXA1, homeobox A1 transcription factor; TNFAIP3, tumour necrosis factor α-induced protein 3; ELF4, E74-like factor 4; DYRK2, dual-specificity tyrosine phosphorylation regulated kinase 2; CCL7, chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 7; PLAUR, plasminogen activator, urokinase receptor; VLDLR, very low density lipoprotein receptor; GLRX3, glutaredoxin 3; SERPING, serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade G. The dashed line indicates the baseline value. (b) Sequence alignment of various gene 3′UTRs and let-7f and miR-24. Numbers correspond to nucleotides in the 3′UTR. (c) let-7f regulates multiple genes during RSV infection. Luc–3′UTRs of putative let-7f targets were co-transfected into A549 cells with pSEAP2-Control (transfection control) and inhibitors or mimics for let-7f and/or miR-24. Data represent the fold change ± SEM in Luc values (measured in relative light units [RLU]) from three independent experiments between inhibitor- and mimic-transfected cells relative to a non-target control (NTC) inhibitor or mimic. Statistical significance is indicated for all transfections represented in (e) and (f); ***P<0.001; **P<0.01; *P<0.05. (f) Cooperative activity of let-7f and miR-24 on DYRK2–Luc expression. A549 cells were transfected with DYRK2-pMLC plasmid and let-7f/miR-24 inhibitor/mimic alone or together with DYRK2-pMLC plasmid and equimolar concentrations of let-7f*+*miR-24 inhibitor/mimic together with pSEAP2-Control plasmid as a transfection control. Data represent the fold change in Luc expression ± SEM from two independent experiments with the dashed line indicating the baseline value.

**Fig. 4.** RISC complexes from RSV 6340WT-infected cells are enriched for CCND1 and let-7f transcripts. (a) RISC-associated RNA from mock-, 6340WT- and 6340ΔG-infected cells were assayed for CCND1 by PCR. CCND1 UTR amplicons (0.6 kb) were amplified as described in Methods in two independent experiments. 18S rRNA was used as a loading control. RAGO, Anti-Ago2-precipitated RNA from RSV-infected cells; RBU, anti-BrdU-precipitated RNA from RSV-infected cells; VAGO, mock-infected Vero cell RNA precipitated with anti-Ago2; VBU, mock-infected Vero cell RNA precipitated anti-BrdU. (b) Enrichment of let-7f in RISC immunoprecipitated RNA from 6340WT- and RSVΔG-infected cells was assayed by qPCR and normalized to that of mock-infected cells from two independent experiments. Results are shown as means ± SEM, and Student’s t-test was used to measure the statistical significance of the data: ***P<0.001. Inhibition of cellular processes, a central theme of this study was to determine the miRNAs that were deregulated following RSV infection in an established in vitro model of RSV infection, and to determine the effect of modulating these miRNAs on virus replication.

We identified a set of miRNAs that were deregulated (five induced and two repressed) during RSV infection of A549 cells where let-7f expression was induced most abundantly following RSV infection and was found to be regulated in part by RSV G protein. Treatment with purified RSV G protein enhanced let-7f expression and this was not observed following RSV F treatment. This is the first report of an RSV
gene product regulating the expression of a host miRNA. let-7f showed maximum expression among differentially expressed let-7 miRNAs in A549 cells (copies per cell: let-7a, ~200; let-7b and let-7c, ~100; let-7e, ~50; let-7f, ~750; let-7g, ~80; let-7i, ~25; Johnson et al., 2007), and our data are consistent with this study. The fold changes reported in this study are similar to a recently published study (Othumpangat et al., 2012) that showed miR-221 deregulation in normal tracheal epithelial cells following rGRSV infection. miR-221 was postulated to be a major regulator of NGF, and miR-221 upregulation reduced NGF expression and virus replication.

To compare our findings with the above study, we performed an extensive analysis of miRNAs targeting NGF using ten different algorithms (DIANAmt, miranda, miRDB, miRWalk, RNAhybrid, PICTAR4, PICTAR5, PITA, RNA22 and Targetscan) and failed to find any significant seed match between miR-221 and the NGF promoter and the 3’- or 5’UTR. Additionally, BLAST analysis of NGF mRNA (GenBank accession no. NM_002506.2) versus miR-221-5p (miRNA base accession no. MIMAT0004568) or miR-221-3p (miRNA base accession no. MIMAT000278) failed to show any hits between the miR-221 seed site and the NGF-coding region, suggesting that the reported miR-221 regulation of NGF is an off-target effect of miR-221 transfection. In contrast, NGF treatment has been shown to induce miR-221/miR-222 via the ERK1/2-mediated pathway in culture (Terasawa et al., 2009), and RSV infection induces NGF expression (Othumpangat et al., 2009). miR-221 is a known negative regulator of the tumour suppressor genes PTEN (Zhang et al., 2010b), Bim (Terasawa et al., 2009) and PUMA (Zhang et al., 2010a) and transcription factor Foxo3a (Hamada et al., 2012). Hence, treatment with pre-miR-221 could be hypothesized to reduce the activity of these tumour suppressors, enhance apoptosis and reduce viral titres. It is important to note that Othumpangat et al. (2012) also identified miR-574 (repressed ~0.5-fold relative to mock-infected cells) as a regulator of NGF, although its impact on virus replication was not studied. Differences between our findings and those above probably reflect the different cell types and viruses examined, as miRNA expression profiles vary considerably among cell types (Johnson et al., 2007; Landgraf et al., 2007).

let-7f gene targets were identified using a meta-analysis of computationally predicted let-7f targets and published microarray data on RSV-deregulated host genes. Of the genes predicted to be let-7f targets, 12 were tested using Luc–UTR assays and five genes (CCND1, SOCS3, ELF4, DYRK2 and CCL7) showed modest but statistically significant differences following let-7f inhibitor and mimic treatment relative to non-targeting controls. The findings also showed that let-7f and CCND1 transcripts co-localized in RISCs in RSV-infected but not in mock-infected cells using Ago2 immunoprecipitation, and were selectively enriched in 6340WT- versus RSVAG-infected A549 cells, further supporting observations on the role of the RSV G protein. As let-7 miRNAs have 100 % sequence identity in their seed site, the results suggested that the let-7f target genes identified may also be regulated by other let-7 miRNAs. Inhibition of let-7f alone or in combination with miRNA miR-24 led to a significant reduction in rGRSV viral titres as measured by plaque assays. Lack of any significant homology between these miRNAs and the RSV genome and the negligible effects of these miRNAs on cytokine expression (unpublished observations) suggest that the observed reduction in viral titres is probably due to gene target modulation by let-7f.

CCND1 and ELF4 are important in cell-cycle regulation, affecting the G1/S phase transition, whilst ELF4 and DYRK2 inhibit p53-mediated induction of apoptosis (Maddika & Chen, 2009; Taira et al., 2007; Taura et al., 2011). CCND1, as a complex with CDK4/6, promotes G1/S phase transition, whilst DYRK2 regulates apoptosis via p53 phosphorylation (Taira et al., 2007). ELF4 has been shown to be induced in RSV-infected cells at 12 h p.i., leading to expression of the E3 ubiquitin ligase Mdm2, which ubiquitinates p53 and targets it for proteasome-mediated degradation (Maddika & Chen, 2009). As previous studies have shown that RSV infection arrests cells in G1 (Johnson et al., 2007; Mohapatra et al., 2009), our findings of let-7 inhibition of CCND1, DYRK2 and ELF4 translation suggest that let-7-mediated gene regulation is one of the mechanisms employed by RSV (Groskreutz et al., 2007; Mohapatra et al., 2009).

The results of this study also showed that let-7f regulates CCL7/MCP3 and SOCS3, two genes involved in the antiviral cytokine response. We have previously shown that RSV G protein mediates inhibition of chemokine mRNA expression by bronchoalveolar leukocytes responding to RSV infection (Tripp et al., 2000a) and induces interleukin-8 (IL-8) (Tripp et al., 2000a), and in this study let-7f was shown to regulate ELF4, a known inducer of IL-8 (Hedvat et al., 2004). Thus, RSV G protein expression is linked to let-7f deregulation and downstream modulation of IL-8 expression, which has been associated with RSV disease pathogenesis (Johnson & Graham, 2004). The RSV G protein is a well-documented immunomodulatory glycoprotein that is produced as both a membrane-bound and a soluble form (Roberts et al., 1994) and is implicated in the induction of substance P, a neurokinin that mediates inflammation and enhanced pulmonary disease in RSV-infected BALB/c mice (Tripp et al., 2000b). Importantly, RSV G protein expression has been linked to Th2-type cytokine skewing in the immune response to RSV infection in mice (Becker, 2006; Tripp et al., 2000a, b; Varga et al., 2000) and to inhibition of early chemokine mRNA expression (Tripp et al., 2000a) via a highly conserved CX3C chemokine motif located in the central conserved cysteine-rich region (Harcourt et al., 2006; Li et al., 2006; Tripp et al., 2001). The previous findings that RSV G protein inhibits type I IFNs through induction of SOCS1 and SOCS3 expression (Moore et al., 2008; Oshansky et al., 2009a) are consistent with the findings in this study showing RSV G protein induction of let-7f and governance of the SOCS3 gene. It appears in this context that a consequence of RSV G protein expression is induction of
SOC3-negative regulation of type I IFNs, a process that would facilitate virus replication. However, this pro-viral function attributed to the RSV G protein appears to be balanced by the host response, where G protein also induces let-7f expression, which upregulated SOC3 expression.

An outcome of let-7f regulation of host genes seems to be delayed viral clearance. The data from Fig. 5 clearly showed that inhibition of let-7 and/or miR-24 affected virus replication significantly. These results suggest that host miRNAs may have a role in regulating virus replication similar to other RNA and DNA viruses (Jopling et al., 2006; Lagos et al. 2010; Roberts et al., 2011; Triboulet et al., 2007), either by affecting cellular pathways or by directly regulating viral transcription and/or translation. Although we analysed host mRNAs in this study, we did not analyse the effect of these miRNAs on viral gene transcription or translation, and this could be an additional mechanism employed by the virus to control viral gene expression, similar to related viruses such as influenza. These findings provide a better understanding of the mechanisms that contribute to the host response to infection and disease pathogenesis, and move the field closer to the development of safe and effective RSV disease-intervention strategies. Further studies are needed to elucidate the spectra of miRNA deregulation, the role of other viral proteins in miRNA deregulation and the global impact of these miRNAs on virus replication by other RNA viruses.

**METHODS**

**Cell culture and viruses.** Mycoplasma-free virus stocks of recombinant wild-type RSV strain A2 (6340WT) and RSV lacking only the G protein gene (RSVΔG; a kind gift of Dr Mark Peeples, Center for Vaccines & Immunity, The Research Institute at Nationwide Children’s Hospital, OH, USA) were expanded in Vero E6 cells (ATCC CCL-81) and maintained in Dulbecco’s modified essential medium (DMEM; Hyclone) supplemented with 5% heat-inactivated FBS (Hyclone), as described previously (Oshansky et al., 2009a). A549 cells (ATCC CCL-185) grown in DMEM supplemented with 5% serum as above were used for all infections. A549 cells were transfected for 18 h using Lipofectamine 2000 with 200 ng gene-specific luc–UTR reporter plasmid (pMLC-UTR), 20 ng transfection control plasmid pSEAP2-Control (Clontech) and 25/50 nM specific or non-targeting miRNA mimics/inhibitor (NTC) to C. elegans mir-67 (Thermo Fisher) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The sequences of the miRNA inhibitors and mimics are given in Fig. 3(c). Luc and SEAP expression for each transfection was measured at 24 and 48 h post-transfection using a Ready-to-Glow kit (Clontech) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Fold changes in Luc expression were calculated and compared for statistical significance using Student’s t-test from duplicate experiments.

**Computational analysis of miRNA targets.** Predicted host gene targets for the differentially expressed miRNAs were computationally mined from miRBase (Griffiths-Jones et al., 2008), TargetScan (Lewis et al., 2005) and PicTar (Krek et al., 2005). Consensus target genes predicted by the three algorithms were compared with host genes identified previously to be affected by RSV infection (Martinez et al., 2007) to narrow the number of genes that might be potential targets for RSV-deregulated miRNAs. The 3’UTR sequence of the genes of interest was amplified from A549 cDNA using oligonucleotides with a NotI site (GGCCGCG) in the forward primer and an XbaI/Spel site (TCTAGA/ACTAGT) in the reverse primer using LongAmp Tag (New England Biolabs) under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s and 30 cycles of 94 °C for 10 s, 55 °C for 30 s and 65 °C for 30 s, with a final extension at 65 °C for 10 min. Correct-size amplicons were cloned into a pMetLucControl (pMLC) plasmid (Clontech). The oligonucleotide sequences used for UTR cloning are shown in Table S3. Plasmids were verified by restriction digestion and sequencing.

**Luc–UTR reporter plasmid design and Luc reporter assays.** All transfections were carried out in triplicate in at least three independent experiments. A549 cells (2×10^4 per well) were transfected for 18 h using Lipofectamine 2000 with 200 ng gene-specific luc–UTR reporter plasmid (pMLC-UTR), 20 ng transfection control plasmid pSEAP2-Control (Clontech) and 25/50 nM specific or non-targeting miRNA mimics/inhibitor (NTC) to C. elegans mir-67 (Thermo Fisher) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The sequences of the miRNA inhibitors and mimics are given in Fig. 3(c). Luc and SEAP expression for each transfection was measured at 24 and 48 h post-transfection using a Ready-to-Glow kit (Clontech) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Fold changes in Luc expression were calculated using the formula: fold change in inhibitor or mimic=(Luc_{transfected}/SEAP_{transfected})/(Luc_{NTC}/SEAP_{NTC}).

The data presented are means±SEM from three independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined using Student’s t-test using GraphPad Prism version 5.0.

**RISC immunoprecipitation assays.** Cell lysates were prepared at 24 h.p.i. using cell lysis buffer [25 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.5), 0.5 % NP-40, 150 mM KCl, 1 mM NaF, 2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTT; all from Sigma] and a protease inhibitor tablet (Roche), and then used for RISC immunoprecipitation assays as described previously (Dolken et al., 2010). Briefly, protein G-Sepharose beads (50 µl; Thermo Scientific) were incubated with rat anti-Ago2 hybridoma (a kind gift from Drs Juergen Haas, University of Edinburgh Medical School, Edinburgh, UK, and Gunther Meister, Universitat Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany) or with BrdU mAb or FBS-free-dMEM (controls) overnight at 4 °C with mixing followed by two washes with cell lysis buffer to remove non-specifically bound material. Cell lysate from mock-treated or 6340WT-infected cells was added to the beads and incubated overnight at 4 °C to allow binding of the anti-Ago2–protein G complex with RISC complexes. The beads were washed five times in immunoprecipitation wash buffer [50 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.5), 300 mM NaCl, 0.01 % NP-40, 5 mM MgCl₂; all from Sigma], followed by one wash with ice-cold PBS to remove the detergent. RISC-associated RNA was extracted from the beads using Qiazol (Qiagen) and the RNA isolated following the miRNAeasy protocol described for qPCR.

**miRNA transfections and virus replication and plaque assays.** All transfections were carried out as described above. Transfected cells were infected with mycoplasma-free rRSV (m.o.i. of 0.5) for 2 h in serum-free DMEM, followed by a change to complete medium.
containing 5% FBS. Cell lysates were prepared at 3 days p.i., sonicated and centrifuged at 300 g at 4 °C for 5 min. Tenfold dilutions of supernatant were made in serum-free DMEM on ice and 200 μl per well was added to 24-well plates containing 2 × 10^4 Vero E6 cells per well in quadruplicate for 2 h followed by the addition of 1 ml 2% carboxymethylcellulose. Plates were incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ and 95% humidity, fixed with acetone: methanol (60:40) for 10 min at 4 °C and stained for RSV F protein using mAb 131-2A (produced in house). Plaques were detected with goat anti-mouse whole IgG coupled to alkaline phosphatase and developed using nitro blue tetrazolium (Thermo Fisher). Statistical analysis was carried out using a two-tailed Student’s t-test.
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