Dynamin2 S-nitrosylation regulates adenovirus type 5 infection of epithelial cells
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Dynamin2 is a large GTPase that regulates vesicle trafficking, and the GTPase activity of dynamin2 is required for the multistep process of adenovirus infection. Activity of dynamin2 may be regulated by post-translational phosphorylation and S-nitrosylation modifications. In this study, we demonstrate a role for dynamin2 S-nitrosylation in adenovirus infection of epithelial cells. We show that adenovirus serotype 5 (Ad5) infection augments production of nitric oxide (NO) in epithelial cells and causes the S-nitrosylation of dynamin2, mainly on cysteine 86 (C86) and 607 (C607) residues. Forced overexpression of dynamin2 bearing C86A and/or C607A mutations decreases Ad5 infection. Diminishing NO synthesis by RNAi-induced knockdown of endogenous endothelial NO synthase (eNOS) expression attenuates virus infection of target cells. Ad5 infection promotes the kinetically dynamic S-nitrosylation of dynamin2 and eNOS: there is a rapid decrease in eNOS S-nitrosylation and a concomitant increase in the dynamin2 S-nitrosylation. These results support the hypothesis that dynamin2 S-nitrosylation following eNOS activation facilitates adenovirus infection of host epithelial cells.

INTRODUCTION

Adenoviruses are non-enveloped, icosahedral DNA viruses about 90 nm in diameter that spread widely in the human population. In fact, the majority of the human population will have experienced at least one adenoviral infection by the age of 10 years (Echavarria, 2008). Currently, there are 56 known immunologically distinct types of adenovirus that can infect humans (Kennedy & Parks, 2009). Depending on the organ in the body that is affected, the outcomes of adenoviral infections may be haemorrhagic cystitis, haemorrhagic colitis, pancreatitis, nephritis or encephalitis (Lynch et al., 2011).

The life cycle of adenovirus consists of early and late phases that correspond with viral DNA replication and assembly, respectively (McConnell & Imperiale, 2004). In the early phase, E1A is the first expressed adenovirus transcript and functions to transactivate other early viral transcription units, which include E1B, E2, E3 and E4 (Berk, 1986). The early transcription units exert additional functions during adenovirus replication. For example, E1B-encoded protein can inhibit infected cell apoptosis, products of E2 are essential to initiate viral genome replication, E3-encoded protein functions to destabilize host immune reaction, and the proteins of the E4 region are responsible for cell cycle control and transformation (Ben-Israel & Kleinberger, 2002; de Jong et al., 2003). In the late phase, the major late promoter facilitates transcription of five regions, L1–L5 that lead to the production of sufficient structural proteins to ensure viral assembly (McConnell & Imperiale, 2004; Vellinga et al., 2004; Vigne et al., 1999).

Entry involves two sets of interactions between the virus and the host cell. First, binding of virus fibre protein through the knob domains to particular host cell receptors initiates the infection. Two such receptors are currently known to function in adenovirus infection of human cells, namely coxsackievirus adenovirus receptor and group B adenovirus serotype-specific CD46 (Bergelson et al., 1997). Several studies have reported that major histocompatibility complex molecules and sialic acid residues may contribute to virus adherence. Second, a specialized motif in the virus penton base protein interacts with cellular αvβ3 and αvβ5 integrins (Wickham et al., 1993), resulting in endocytosis of the virus particle via clathrin-coated pits. Virus attachment to αvβ3 and αvβ5 integrins can activate phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) that phosphorylates PIP2 to generate PIP3, which, in turn, regulates vesicle trafficking (Nemerow & Stewart, 1999).

The most commonly used portal of adenovirus entry involves clathrin-dependent endocytosis, where dynamin...
Nitric oxide (NO) regulates Ad5–DsRed infection

Ad5–DsRed virus encodes a Discosoma sp. red fluorescent protein (DsRed) and is thus a practical tool for quantifying the number of infected cells by flow cytometry. Further, Ad5–DsRed lacks the E1 gene, rendering it capable of infecting but not replicating in the host cells. We used Ad5–DsRed to quantify the initial virus entry into host human bladder epithelial cancer (BEC) cells. Cells were pretreated with NO donor NONOate diethylenetriamine (DETA-NO), which elicited a dose-dependent increase in the virus infection (Fig. 1a). BEC cells endogenously express NOSs (Heeringa et al., 1998) and the treatment with NOS inhibitor L-NAME resulted in a significant reduction of virus infection (Fig. 1b). Admittedly, infectivity under basal conditions was modest; only about 5% of cells became infected. These results show that NO may regulate Ad5–DsRed infection of host human BEC cells.

Dynamin2 is S-nitrosylated on residues C86 and C607 in response to Ad5–DsRed infection

It is well established that Ad5 endocytosis is a clathrin-dependent event that involves dynamin GTPase activity (Gastaldelli et al., 2008; Greber et al., 1993; Wickham et al., 1993). Given that dynamin2 activity is controlled by post-translational modifications (Ahn et al., 1999, 2002; Kang-Decker et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2006, 2011) that play important roles in the enzyme’s subcellular localization and GTPase activity.

Among the adenovirus types, entry of species C adenovirus-2 (Ad2) and adenovirus-5 (Ad5) is best characterized. Ad2/Ad5 internalization is clathrin-dependent and involves dynamin (Gastaldelli et al., 2008; Meier & Greber, 2004; Wang et al., 1998; Wickham et al., 1993). In this study, we examined mechanisms involved in the dynamin2-dependent Ad5 infection of host epithelial cells with the emphasis on dynamin2 post-translational modification by S-nitrosylation. Our results suggest that dynamin2 S-nitrosylation plays an important role in Ad5 infection of epithelial cells and that Ad5 infection induces the dynamic, but reciprocal, endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) and dynamin2 S-nitrosylation. These findings provide a mechanistic understanding of adenovirus infection and present rationale to target dynamin2 S-nitrosylation to control this common viral infection.

**RESULTS**

**Nitric oxide (NO) regulates Ad5–DsRed infection**

Ad5–DsRed virus encodes a Discosoma sp. red fluorescent protein (DsRed) and is thus a practical tool for quantifying the number of infected cells by flow cytometry. Further, Ad5–DsRed lacks the E1 gene, rendering it capable of infecting but not replicating in the host cells. We used Ad5–DsRed to quantify the initial virus entry into host human bladder epithelial cancer (BEC) cells. Cells were pretreated with NO donor NONOate diethylenetriamine (DETA-NO), which elicited a dose-dependent increase in the virus infection (Fig. 1a). BEC cells endogenously express NOSs (Heeringa et al., 1998) and the treatment with NOS inhibitor L-NAME resulted in a significant reduction of virus infection (Fig. 1b). Admittedly, infectivity under basal conditions was modest; only about 5% of cells became infected. These results show that NO may regulate Ad5–DsRed infection of host human BEC cells.

**Dynamin2 is S-nitrosylated on residues C86 and C607 in response to Ad5–DsRed infection**

It is well established that Ad5 endocytosis is a clathrin-dependent event that involves dynamin GTPase activity (Gastaldelli et al., 2008; Greber et al., 1993; Wickham et al., 1993). Given that dynamin2 activity is controlled by post-translational modifications (Ahn et al., 1999, 2002; Kang-Decker et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2006, 2011) that play important roles in the enzyme’s subcellular localization and GTPase activity.

Among the adenovirus types, entry of species C adenovirus-2 (Ad2) and adenovirus-5 (Ad5) is best characterized. Ad2/Ad5 internalization is clathrin-dependent and involves dynamin (Gastaldelli et al., 2008; Meier & Greber, 2004; Wang et al., 1998; Wickham et al., 1993). In this study, we examined mechanisms involved in the dynamin2-dependent Ad5 infection of host epithelial cells with the emphasis on dynamin2 post-translational modification by S-nitrosylation. Our results suggest that dynamin2 S-nitrosylation plays an important role in Ad5 infection of epithelial cells and that Ad5 infection induces the dynamic, but reciprocal, endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) and dynamin2 S-nitrosylation. These findings provide a mechanistic understanding of adenovirus infection and present rationale to target dynamin2 S-nitrosylation to control this common viral infection.

**RESULTS**

**Nitric oxide (NO) regulates Ad5–DsRed infection**

Ad5–DsRed virus encodes a Discosoma sp. red fluorescent protein (DsRed) and is thus a practical tool for quantifying
S-nitrosylation signal (Fig. 2b), suggesting that Ad5–DsRed infection induces S-nitrosylation of dynamin2 principally on two critical cysteine residues, C86 and C607.

**S-nitrosylation of dynamin2 is vital for Ad5–DsRed infection**

To test if the S-nitrosylation of dynamin2 on C86 and C607 is regulatory for the adenovirus infection, BEC cells transfected with WT or mutant forms of dynamin2 (Fig. 3a) were infected with Ad5–DsRed and the efficiency of infection was measured by flow cytometry. Dynamin2 K44A mutant is a GTPase-deficient form that inhibits vesicle trafficking and was previously shown to significantly reduce adenovirus infection (Wang et al., 1998). Overexpression of WT dynamin2 increased, while dominant-negative dynamin2 K44A significantly reduced the adenovirus infection (Fig. 3b). Concordantly, forced overexpression of individual dynamin2 C86A, C607A or C86/607A caused a significant decrease in Ad5–DsRed infection, compared with empty vector-transfected BEC cells (Fig. 3b). These results suggest a correlation between dynamin2 S-nitrosylation and Ad5–DsRed infection.

**Ad5–DsRed infection of target cells increases NO concentration**

Diaminofluoresceins (DAFs) are fluorescence indicators that may be used to detect the release of NO from cells (Nakatsubo et al., 1998). We used DAFs to measure NO in cells following Ad5–DsRed infection. First, to validate specificity and sensitivity of DAFs to detect NO, cells were loaded with 4,5-diaminofluorescein diacetate (DAF-2DA) and treated with the endogenous NO donor S-nitrosogluthione (GSNO). Time lapse images of fluorescent DAF-2T evidenced increased NO signals following GSNO treatment (data not shown). Remarkably, Ad5–DsRed infection also promoted the time-dependent NO production, which was detected at 15 min, peaked at 30 min and significantly decreased at 60 min (Fig. 4a). The time frame of NO production parallels that of virus endocytosis (Sanlioglu et al., 2000), suggesting a relationship between NO production and Ad5 infection.

There are three isoforms of NOS, namely nNOS (NOS I), iNOS (NOS II) and eNOS (NOSIII). We focused our attention on eNOS based on the findings that in model mouse lungs, iNOS expression is significantly induced by adenovirus only days after infection (Zsengeller et al., 2001), nNOS expression is generally low in epithelial cells, and our previous studies linking dynamin2 S-nitrosylation to eNOS. The eNOS is phosphorylated at multiple serine and threonine residues that dictate its activation. Among the potential phosphorylation sites, S1177 is crucial for enzymic activity (Dudzinski et al., 2006). Phosphorylation of eNOS at S1177 significantly increased within 5 min of virus infection, and was detectable up to 60 min (Figs 4b and 7). These results provide evidence that Ad5–DsRed infection activated eNOS, thereby promoting NO production in vivo.
eNOS activation can directly mediate dynamin2 S-nitrosylation

Based on the observations that dynamin2 forms a complex with eNOS and that dynamin2 can be S-nitrosylated, we investigated if eNOS mediates dynamin2 S-nitrosylation. COS-7 cells do not express detectable eNOS (Erwin et al., 2005) and were used in the next set of experiments. WT eNOS and HA-tagged dynamin2 were co-transfected into COS-7 cells and both NO concentrations and dynamin2 S-nitrosylation were determined. Cells expressing eNOS, alone or together with dynamin2, exhibited significant increases in NO levels compared with control cells (Fig. 5a). Remarkably, dynamin2 was S-nitrosylated when co-expressed with eNOS (Fig. 5b). These results imply a direct relationship between eNOS activation and dynamin2 S-nitrosylation.

eNOS is key in Ad5 infection

Two opposing approaches were used to further study the role of eNOS in virus infection, by either increasing (Fig. 6a, upper panels) or decreasing (Fig. 6b, upper panels) the expression of eNOS in human BEC cells prior to virus infection. Forced overexpression of eNOS caused a modest but significant increase in Ad5–DsRed infection (Fig. 6a). Concordantly, knockdown of endogenous eNOS expression with small hairpin RNA caused a significant decrease in Ad5–DsRed infection (Fig. 6b). These results give support to the hypothesis that eNOS functions as a critical

---

**Fig. 3.** Requirement of dynamin2 S-nitrosylation for Ad5–DsRed entry into cells. BEC cells were transfected with cDNAs encoding HA-tagged dynamin2 [wild-type (WT), K44A, C86A, C607A and C86/607A] for 48 h, and then infected with Ad5–DsRed for 24 h. (a) Cells were harvested and subjected to immunoblot analysis with an anti-HA antibody. (b) Virus infection efficiency was analysed by flow cytometry, exactly as described for Fig. 1. Experiments were repeated three times and data are expressed as mean±SEM. *, P<0.05, **, P<0.01 versus empty vector (EV)-transfected cells.

**Fig. 4.** Effect of Ad5–DsRed on NOS activation. (a) Ad5–DsRed infection promotes NO production. BEC cells were infected with Ad5–DsRed for the indicated time and then loaded with DAF-2DA for 2 min. The representative images were acquired using a Leica DM 6000 microscope at the indicated time (in min). (b) eNOS is phosphorylated at S1177 upon Ad5–DsRed infection. BEC cells were mixed with Ad5–DsRed for the indicated time and cell lysates were analysed by immunoblotting with an antibody against phospho-S1177-eNOS. The nitrocellulose membrane was stripped and immunoblotted with an antibody against eNOS to establish equal protein loading.

**Fig. 5.** eNOS promotes dynamin2 S-nitrosylation. (a) COS-7 cells were transfected with cDNAs encoding HA-tagged dynamin2, WT bovine eNOS or both. After 24 h, the culture medium from the different transfected groups was mixed with an equal volume of ethanol for 20 min, followed by centrifugation. NO levels in the supernatant were quantified by a chemiluminescence detector after reaction with ozone using an NO analyser (Sievers). Experiments were repeated three times and data are expressed as mean±SEM. **, P<0.01 versus empty vector (EV) pcDNA3.1-transfected cells. (b) Transfected COS-7 cells were harvested and analysed for dynamin2 S-nitrosylation (SNO-Dyn2) using the biotin switch assay. The cell lysates were immunoblotted with antibodies against dynamin2 (Total-Dyn2), eNOS or GAPDH.
Regulator of Ad5–DsRed infection by way of dynamin2 S-nitrosylation.

Akt mediates eNOS activation upon Ad5–DsRed infection

Our results suggest that activated eNOS mediates the Ad5–DsRed entry into host cells by means of dynamin2 S-nitrosylation. The eNOS may be activated by multiple mechanisms, including phosphorylation. Among all the phosphorylation sites of eNOS, S1177 phosphorylation by the kinases Akt (protein kinase B), cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA), AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), protein kinase G (PKG) or calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaM kinase II) is indicative of activation (Chen et al., 1999; Dudzinski et al., 2006; Fulton et al., 2001; Michell et al., 2001). Previous studies have shown that adenovirus infection can induce Akt and PKA activation in different cell types (Rajala et al., 2005; Suomalainen et al., 2001). Ad5–DsRed infection induced the phosphorylation of both Akt and eNOS (Fig. 7, left panels). To directly implicate Akt in the Ad5–DsRed-mediated eNOS phosphorylation, cells were treated with the PI3K inhibitor LY294002 prior to Ad5–DsRed infection. LY294002 effectively blocked the Ad5–DsRed-induced Akt and eNOS phosphorylation (Fig. 7, right panels). Treatment of BEC cells with the PKA inhibitor H89 prior to Ad5–DsRed infection did not impact the eNOS phosphorylation (data not shown). These results suggest that activation of eNOS in response to Ad5–DsRed infection proceeds, at least in part, through Akt.

eNOS and dynamin2 are dynamically S-nitrosylated during Ad5–DsRed infection

eNOS undergoes receptor-mediated S-nitrosylation (Dudzinski et al., 2006) and we tested if it, like dynamin2, undergoes S-nitrosylation modification upon Ad5–DsRed infection. Cells expressing eNOS and dynamin2 were infected with Ad5–DsRed and analysed for protein S-nitrosylation. Results show that virus infection had an inverse effect on the S-nitrosylation signal of eNOS and dynamin2: we observed a time-dependent decrease in eNOS S-nitrosylation (Fig. 8a), but an increase in dynamin2 S-nitrosylation (Fig. 8b).

DISCUSSION

Adenoviruses are non-enveloped DNA viruses that cause a significant number of human respiratory and gastrointestinal diseases. Adenovirus infections are common worldwide and occur throughout the year. Structure, life cycle and cell tropism of adenoviruses have all been well studied, but mechanisms underlying the initial step of infection, or entry into target cells, remain incompletely understood. Here, we show that Ad5–DsRed infection of
BEC cells in culture activates eNOS leading to the S-nitrosylation of dynamin2 that may facilitate the adenovirus internalization, as measured by the increased DsRed signal in host BEC cells.

Previous work has shown that adenovirus internalization and infection of HeLa cells require dynamin2 (Wang et al., 1998). Our results are consistent with this finding: BEC cells overexpressing WT dynamin2 take up more adenovirus, whereas cells overexpressing dominant-negative dynamin2 are critical partners in the process of Ad5 infection. Ad5 infection promotes eNOS activation that, in turn, facilitates dynamin2 S-nitrosylation at conserved C86 and C607 residues. Just how Ad5 infection promotes eNOS activation remains an open question, although our results suggest involvement of PI3K and Akt. Interference of eNOS activation and dynamin2 S-nitrosylation may provide an opportunity to control adenovirus infection that is globally widespread.

Previous work has shown that adenovirus internalization and infection of HeLa cells require dynamin2 (Wang et al., 1998). Our results are consistent with this finding: BEC cells overexpressing WT dynamin2 take up more adenovirus, whereas cells overexpressing dominant-negative dynamin2 are critical partners in the process of Ad5 infection. Ad5 infection promotes eNOS activation that, in turn, facilitates dynamin2 S-nitrosylation at conserved C86 and C607 residues. Just how Ad5 infection promotes eNOS activation remains an open question, although our results suggest involvement of PI3K and Akt. Interference of eNOS activation and dynamin2 S-nitrosylation may provide an opportunity to control adenovirus infection that is globally widespread.

Previous work has shown that adenovirus internalization and infection of HeLa cells require dynamin2 (Wang et al., 1998). Our results are consistent with this finding: BEC cells overexpressing WT dynamin2 take up more adenovirus, whereas cells overexpressing dominant-negative dynamin2 are critical partners in the process of Ad5 infection. Ad5 infection promotes eNOS activation that, in turn, facilitates dynamin2 S-nitrosylation at conserved C86 and C607 residues. Just how Ad5 infection promotes eNOS activation remains an open question, although our results suggest involvement of PI3K and Akt. Interference of eNOS activation and dynamin2 S-nitrosylation may provide an opportunity to control adenovirus infection that is globally widespread.
METHODS

Reagents. Adeno–DsRed2 (Ad5–DsRed), a serotype 5 recombinant adenovirus with deletion of the E1/E3 genes and expression of Discosoma sp. red fluorescent protein, was obtained from Clontech. This virus is incapable of replicating after infection of host cells, and infected cells can be detected by flow cytometry. The BEC cell line 5637 was obtained from ATCC (HBT-9) and was cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS without antibiotics at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2. The African green monkey kidney fibroblast-like cell line COS-7 was also obtained from ATCC (CRL-1651) and was cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% FBS with penicillin–streptomycin (1%) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2. The endogenous NO donor GSNO was purchased from Cayman Chemical Company, and S-nitrosocysteine (CysNO) was freshly prepared by mixing λ-cysteine-HCl with sodium nitrite, as described previously (Wang et al., 2011). LY294002 was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. cDNAs encoding WT and K44A dynamin2 were created with a site-directed mutagenesis kit (QuikChange; Stratagene) and were verified by sequencing.

Measurement of viral infection. To analyse adenovirus infection, BEC cells were mixed with Ad5–DsRed or Ad5–DsRed 1 h, followed by washing with culture medium to remove free virus. BEC cells were cultured for 36 h post-infection, trypsinized and washed three times with PBS. Efficiency of virus infection was measured by flow cytometry (FACSContor; Becton Dickinson) and analysed with Cell Quest software.

Fluorescence imaging for real-time NO production. The membrane permeable fluorescent indicator DAF-2DA was used to measure intracellular NO concentrations (Kojima et al., 1998). Briefly, BEC cells either treated with NO donor (used as positive control) or infected with virus were washed twice with phenol red-free RPMI 1640 medium and incubated at 37°C for 10 min with DAF-2DA (1 μM) in phenol red-free RPMI 1640 medium. Dye-loaded cells were analysed using the Leica DM 6000 Imaging System to capture the fluorescence signal.

NO release. Prior to cell harvest, the culture medium of appropriately treated cells was collected and analysed for NO release. Briefly, cell culture medium (100 μL) was mixed with ethanol (to precipitate proteins) and refuxed in sodium iodide/glacial acetic acid for measurement of the basal NO. Net NO release was calculated by NO-specific chemiluminescence after subtracting basal release from non-transfected cells as described previously (Fulton et al., 1999).

Western blot analysis. Total and phosphorylated protein levels were detected by immunoblotting. Cells were harvested with lysis buffer (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 5 mM MgCl2, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF and protease inhibitor cocktail). Cleared cell lysates were subjected to protein quantification using the Bradford method. Equal amounts of protein in cell extracts were diluted with HEN buffer (250 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 5 mM EDTA and 0.1 mM neocuproine) to 1 mL. Detection of dynamin2 and eNOS S-nitrosylation was performed using the biotin switch method (Wang et al., 2006, 2011). Briefly, cells lysates were treated with the thiol-specific methylthiolating agent methyl methanethiosulfonate to block free thiols. Ascorbate was then used to reduce nitrosothiol to thiol that reacts with the thiol-specific reagent N-[6-(bisthiomino)hexyl]-3′-(2′-pyridyl)dithio) propionamide (biotin–HPDP). Proteins labelled with biotin were purified using immobilized streptavidin agarose beads (Sigma) and detected by immunoblotting.

Knockdown of eNOS. Adenovirus-encoding shRNA targeting human eNOS (gift from D. Fulton, Georgia Health Sciences University, Augusta, GA) was used to silence endogenous eNOS gene expression, as described previously (Wang et al., 2011). Briefly, cells were infected with shRNA adenovirus at an m.o.i. of 100. After 36 h, infected BEC cells were harvested and cell lysates were used to confirm the decreased expression of the eNOS proteins.

Statistical analysis. Experiments were repeated at least three times and data were expressed as mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was performed by one way ANOVA with Tukey post-test using Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software, Inc.).
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