A standardized comparison of commercially available prion decontamination reagents using the Standard Steel-Binding Assay
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Prions are comprised principally of aggregates of a misfolded host protein and cause fatal transmissible neurodegenerative disorders of mammals, such as variant Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease in humans and bovine spongiform encephalopathy in cattle. Prions pose significant public health concerns through contamination of blood products and surgical instruments, and can resist conventional hospital sterilization methods. Prion infectivity binds avidly to surgical steel and can efficiently transfer infectivity to a suitable host, and much research has been performed to achieve effective prion decontamination of metal surfaces. Here, we exploit the highly sensitive Standard Steel-Binding Assay (SSBA) to perform a direct comparison of a variety of commercially available decontamination reagents marketed for the removal of prions, alongside conventional sterilization methods. We demonstrate that the efficacy of marketed prion decontamination reagents is highly variable and that the SSBA is able to rapidly evaluate current and future decontamination reagents.

INTRODUCTION

Transmissible spongiform encephalopathies or prion diseases are a closely related group of fatal neurodegenerative disorders that affect the central nervous system (CNS) of mammals. They include Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease (CJD), Gerstmann–Sträussler–Scheinker disease, fatal familial insomnia and kuru in humans, bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) in cattle and scrapie in sheep.

According to the protein-only hypothesis (Griffith, 1967) the infectious agent, or prion, is composed of aggregated forms of a non-native conformer of host-encoded cellular prion protein (PrPC), known as PrPSc (Prusiner, 1982; Collinge, 2001). PrPSc is deposited in brain and lymphoreticular tissue as stable aggregates. Prions can be generated sporadically, as a result of an as yet uncharacterized stochastic event causing PrPC to PrPSc conversion, or by dominant mutations in the gene encoding PrP (PRNP in humans), producing mutant PrPC that is hypothesized to more readily undergo spontaneous conversion to PrPSc. However, uniquely among neurodegenerative disorders, prion disease can also be caused through infection with exogenous prions; the latter inducing host-encoded PrPC to undergo conformational change, via seeding or template-directed refolding and hence replication and spread (reviewed by Collinge & Clarke, 2007).

Classical (sporadic) CJD is rare with the infectious material being largely confined to the tissues of the CNS (Wadsworth et al., 2001; Glatzel et al., 2003; Head et al., 2004). Hence, the risk of iatrogenic transmission from surgical instruments has previously been considered to be relatively low. However, recent epidemiological studies have suggested an association between surgical treatment and sporadic CJD (Collins et al., 1999; Mahillo-Fernandez et al., 2008; de Pedro-Cuesta et al., 2010). In contrast, in variant CJD (vCJD), PrPSc and infectivity have been shown to be present in a wide variety of tissues throughout the body in addition to the CNS, these include the lymphoreticular system (spleen, tonsils and lymph nodes), components of the eye and optic nerve, and in the gastrointestinal tract (Wadsworth et al., 2001; Bruce et al., 2001; Head et al., 2004; Joiner et al., 2005; Wadsworth et al., 2007; Notari et al., 2010). Recent cases of transfusion-related vCJD indicate that blood contains significant levels of infectivity and suggests transfusion may be an efficient route of vCJD transmission (Llewelyn et al., 2004; Peden et al., 2004; Wroe et al., 2006; HPA Press Office, 2009). Treatment with blood products is also a risk factor and a case of vCJD prion infection has recently been reported in a haemophiliac (Peden et al., 2010). With such widespread distribution of infectivity throughout the body many common surgical procedures, such as abdominal surgery, tonsillectomy, appendicectomy, gastrointestinal endoscopy and biopsy may also pose a risk for iatrogenic transmission (Collins et al., 1999; Hill et al., 1999; Wadsworth et al., 2001; Bruce et al., 2001; Bramble & Ironside, 2002; Joiner et al., 2002; Wadsworth et al., 2007).
Accurate risk assessment is hampered by a lack of accurate prevalence data for vCJD prion infection. A national prevalence study was carried out to estimate the number of people in the UK who are infected. Appendix material was tested for the deposition of disease-associated PrP by immunohistochemistry (Hilton et al., 2004). Three positive samples were identified in a sample set of 12,674 and the authors estimated (95% confidence interval) between 49 and 692 people per million of the population may be infected. An extensive study of discarded tonsil specimens in the UK, following on from an earlier pilot study (Frosh et al., 2004), although negative, has not been able to narrow these estimates by a major degree and further studies are in progress (Clewley et al., 2009).

Prion infectivity has been shown to bind avidly to steel surfaces and can effectively transmit disease to experimental animals following even brief exposure to prion-infected tissues (Zobeley et al., 1999; Flechsig et al., 2001). Accidental transmission to humans via a contaminated neurosurgical instrument has also been reported (Bernoulli et al., 1977; Gibbs et al., 1994). Collectively, all prion diseases raise the potential for surgical instruments to become contaminated with prions during surgical procedures (Davanipour et al., 1985; Collins et al., 1999; Minatogawa & Kumoi, 1999; Ward et al., 2002; Mahillo-Fernandez et al., 2008), including anaesthesia (Hernandez-Palazon et al., 1998) and dentistry (Smith et al., 2002; Walker et al., 2007).

It has long been established that prions are resistant to conventional autoclaving as well as other sterilization techniques such as exposure to both ionizing and UV radiation and formalin treatment, which have been used in hospital environments for non-autoclavable surgical instruments (Taylor, 1991; Rutala & Weber, 2001; Fichet et al., 2004). Effective destruction can be achieved by the use of corrosive agents such as 2 M NaOH or 20% (v/v) sodium hypochlorite (Prusiner et al., 1981; Taylor et al., 1999). More recently, use of enzyme-based decontamination methods have shown to be equally effective (Fichet et al., 2004; Jackson et al., 2005; Edgeworth et al., 2009) with the advantage of being applicable to delicate surgical instruments and medical devices that cannot be autoclaved, as well as being far less hazardous to operators.

An independent study examining the efficacy of routine decontamination of surgical instruments from hospitals highlighted the high levels of residual protein remaining on supposedly clean instruments (Murdoch et al., 2006). Several studies have investigated the efficacy of combining detergent preparations to aid general instrument cleaning with and without the addition of enzymes as decontamination reagents for prions from surgical steel wires (Yan et al., 2004; Fichet et al., 2004; Jackson et al., 2005; Peretz et al., 2006). This research led to the launch of products designed to reduce the risks of iatrogenic prion transmission.

Here, we report on a comparative analysis of some of these commercially available prion decontamination methods using the in vitro Standard Steel-Binding Assay (SSBA) (Edgeworth et al., 2009), which is an adaptation of the Scrapie Cell Assay (Klohn et al., 2003) and is capable of sensitively detecting metal-bound prion infectivity. The assay has a large dynamic range (of ~6 logs) and is approximately 100-fold more sensitive than conventional rodent bioassay. It is capable of detecting infectivity in brain homogenate diluted by up to 10^{16} fold (Edgeworth et al., 2009), thus allowing a direct comparison of the efficacy of these reagents in decontaminating prion-infected surgical steel surfaces.

## RESULTS

### Comparison of proteinase K (PK) digestion kinetics of vCJD and RML (Rocky Mountain Laboratory) prions

While validation of decontamination protocols using vCJD prions would be preferable in principle, significant transmission barriers preclude sensitive bioassay in rodents, including humanized transgenic mice (Hill et al., 1997; Asante et al., 2002). No cell line in which vCJD prions can be efficiently propagated and assayed has yet been reported. The N2aPK1 cell line used in the SSBA that forms the basis of this study is highly susceptible to infection with RML prions, one of the best characterized and most widely used rodent-adapted prion strains (Klohn et al., 2003). While RML prions seem highly suitable as a model strain on this basis, it is important when considering efficacy of decontamination reagents involving proteolysis to ensure that the disease-associated PrP is not unusually protease sensitive when compared to vCJD prions. We measured the kinetics of proteolysis of both RML and vCJD prion-infected brain homogenates by PK digestion (Fig. 1a). It was observed that disease-associated PrP from both RML and vCJD prion-infected brain homogenates were degraded at two distinct rates by PK, indicating the presence of at least two distinct subpopulations of protease-resistant material. The slowly degraded, most PK-resistant, fraction constituted more than 80% of the material in an RML prion-infected brain, whereas this fraction was the minority of material in human vCJD prion-infected brain, where it comprised less than 47% of the total. Additionally, the more resistant fraction associated with the RML strain type was degraded at a slower rate than the equivalent fraction associated with vCJD (0.0004 s^{-1} compared with 0.0011 s^{-1}), indicating a greater resistance to degradation by PK (Table 1). While these data do not directly determine the relative sensitivities of RML and vCJD prions to the formulations tested, it does provide reassurance that RML PrP^{Sc} is not unusually protease sensitive in comparison to that seen in vCJD. We therefore considered RML prions to be a suitable model strain to assess decontamination with proteolytic methods.
Direct comparison of commercially available decontamination reagents

These reagents were compared directly in the SSBA to quantify any remaining infectivity bound to the metal surface after treatment (Fig. 2). The most effective commercially available decontamination reagents of those tested were Rely\(^+\)On PI (Du Pont Corporation) and Prionzyme (Genencor). Wires which were initially exposed to a 10\(^2\) fold dilution of RML prion-infected brain that were subsequently treated with both reagents had their residual infectivity reduced to below the detection limit of 0.0029 tissue culture infectious units on wires (TCIU\(_w\)) (equivalent to 10\(^{-10}\) dilution of RML-infected brain). Both reagents decontaminate steel wires to a level beyond detection by the SSBA and therefore a reduction of prion infectivity of at least 8 logs is achieved. However, the decontaminating effect of Prionzyme (Genencor) is indistinguishable from that of the diluent in which Prionzyme (Genencor) is prepared (2 M NaOH solution; as per manufacturer’s instructions) as treatment with 2 M NaOH alone resulted in no detectable infectivity remaining on the steel surface (Table 2).

The next most efficient method tested was autoclaving at 134 °C for 18 min in steam permeable autoclave bags [as stated in the WHO guidelines for prevention of iatrogenic transmission of vCJD (WHO, 1999)], following which we detected 0.03 TCIU\(_w\) units bound to the steel wire implying 95 % of detectable surface-bound prion infectivity is destroyed (Table 2). Although effective in this instance, autoclaving has previously been shown to produce highly variable results depending upon the accessibility of surfaces to steam (Jackson et al., 2005). Previous studies have also demonstrated that such a titre of remaining infectivity is still sufficient to transmit prion disease to laboratory animals (Taylor et al., 1998; Jackson et al., 2005).

The least effective decontamination reagent of those tested was HAMO 100 PID (Steris). The HAMO 100 PID reagent was prepared at 0.8 % (v/v) and 1.6 % (v/v) (as recommended by the manufacturer) and the remaining infectivity on the steel surface determined as being equivalent to 13 detection by the SSBA and therefore a reduction of prion infectivity of at least 8 logs is achieved.

Table 1. Both RML- and vCJD-associated PrP displayed double exponential decays when incubated with a fixed concentration of PK

RML-infected brain contained the greater proportion of a highly resistant fraction at 82.7 % compared with 60 % in vCJD. In addition to being more abundant, the slowly degraded fraction associated with RML infection was more resistant to digestion than the equivalent fraction in vCJD brain, being degraded almost three times slower at 0.0004 s\(^{-1}\) compared with 0.0011 s\(^{-1}\) for vCJD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RML</th>
<th>vCJD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fast phase amplitude</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast phase rate (s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow phase amplitude</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>59.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow phase rate (s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. PK digestion kinetics of RML- (open circles) and vCJD-infected brain homogenates (closed circles). Following digestion with PK for varying times, samples of RML- or vCJD-infected brain homogenate were subjected to Western blotting and the levels of PrP immunoreactivity were quantified by densitometry. The values were then plotted as a percentage of the starting material with respect to time. Superimposed upon each dataset is a line representing a fit of the data to a double exponential decay.

Fig. 2. Comparison of commercial decontamination reagents by SSBA. Data are shown as the percentage of apparent TCIU\(_w\) units remaining on wire after treatment. The % infectivity remaining is calculated as a percentage of the titre of infectivity assayable on wires exposed to 10\(^{-2}\) dilution of RML prion-infected brain homogenate after immersion in PBS for 1 h at room temperature. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. The SEM for autoclaving is zero. Rely\(^+\)On PI, Prionzyme, 2 M NaOH (the carrier for Prionzyme) decontaminate steel wires to a level beyond detection by the SSBA and therefore a reduction of prion infectivity of at least 8 logs is achieved.
and 0.4%, respectively, of the maximum measurable bound infectivity, which equates to 0.3 and 0.07 TCID₅₀ units, respectively. Infected wires which were submerged into PBS for 1 h at room temperature as a control displayed no detectable reduction in the titre of prion infectivity bound (Table 2).

Although exposure of metal-bound prions to very high temperatures is capable of reducing the titre substantially, and treatment of such surfaces with 2 M NaOH or 20 % (v/v) sodium hypochlorite has been shown to eliminate detectable infectivity, these are not methods suitable for decontaminating delicate and valuable surgical or medical instruments that incorporate soft metals and plastics and decontaminating delicate and valuable surgical or medical instruments. 2 M NaOH is also a highly hazardous solution to facility operators in a central sterile services department.

**End-point titration of RML infectivity bound to steel surfaces in vivo**

To determine the sensitivity of the steel wire bioassay for RML prions in vivo in tga20 mice, and hence the efficacy of the decontamination procedures, an end-point titration was performed. This allowed us to estimate that the dilution of RML prion-infected brain leading to 1 LD₅₀ wire unit bound is ~10⁻⁵.5. Wires exposed to a dilution of 1 × 10⁻⁶ of RML prion-infected brain homogenate are therefore estimated to have the equivalent to 0.3 LD₅₀ wire units bound. Based on this calculation it can therefore be extrapolated that a wire exposed to 10⁻⁶ dilution of RML-infected brain can maximally harbour a load of 10⁻⁵.5 LD₅₀ intracerebral units per wire. These data are in close agreement with the findings of Lemmer et al. (2008), who titred the hamster-adapted scrapie strain Sc237 on steel wires implanted intracerebrally (i.c.) into hamsters. These combined data suggest that the limit of detection of prions bound to steel wires via intracerebral implantation in rodents is 0.3 LD₅₀ units per wire and is likely to be a function of the wire surface area.

**In vivo analysis of steel wire decontamination by Rely⁺ On PI**

We then proceeded to further investigate these reagents by using mouse bioassay of prion-infected wires subjected to decontamination. As we have previously studied the effect of autoclaving, have also demonstrated 2 M NaOH to be effective in mouse bioassay (Jackson et al., 2005), and since HAMO 100 did not sterilize the wires as assessed by SSBA, we did not consider it appropriate to study these methods further in laboratory animals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dilution of RML brain</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>RML prion titre by Tga20 mouse bioassay (i.c. LD₅₀ units ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>RML prion wire titre by i.c. implantation into Tga20 mice (i.c. LD₅₀ wire units)</th>
<th>TCID₅₀ (estimated by SSBA) normalized to 1000 cells (mean ± SEM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10⁻¹</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>10⁶.5</td>
<td>10⁵.5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻²</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>10⁷.5</td>
<td>10⁴.5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻³</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>10⁸.5</td>
<td>10³.5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻⁴</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>10⁹.5</td>
<td>10².5</td>
<td>&gt;3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻⁵</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>10¹⁰</td>
<td>10¹.5</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻⁶*</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>10¹⁰.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻⁷</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>10².5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻⁸†</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>10³.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻⁹</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>10⁴.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.0029 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻²</td>
<td>Rely⁺ On PI</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&lt;10⁰.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.0029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻²</td>
<td>HAMO 100 (0.8 % v/v)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.2963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻²</td>
<td>HAMO 100 (1.6 % v/v)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.0725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻²</td>
<td>Prionzyme</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&lt;0.0029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻²</td>
<td>2 M NaOH</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&lt;0.0029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻²</td>
<td>Autoclave at 134 °C</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.0325</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Limit of detection of RML prion infectivity in tga20 by wire i.c. inoculation.
†Limit of detection of RML prion infectivity in tga20 by conventional i.c. inoculation of brain homogenate.
5.5 logs, or to less than 0.5 LD<sub>50</sub> units, since if any infectivity remained it was below the detection limit of the bioassay (Table 3). These data support the findings of the SSBA and exclude the possibility that the decontaminating reagent merely altered the strain properties of RML prions, rendering them undetectable by the N2aPK1 cells (Klohn et al., 2003) used in the cell-based SSBA.

**DISCUSSION**

It is well documented that prions bind avidly to many surfaces, in particular stainless-steel (Zobeley et al., 1999; Flechsig et al., 2001). This fact, coupled to the unusually high resistance of prions to standard decontamination methods, the widespread tissue distribution of infectivity (Wadsworth et al., 2001; Bruce et al., 2001; Wadsworth et al., 2007) and uncertain population prevalence of vCJD prion infection, leaves ongoing public health concerns about iatrogenic transmission of vCJD prion infection in the hospital setting. Recent epidemiological evidence suggesting a proportion of apparently 'sporadic' or classical CJD may be linked to general surgery is exacerbating these concerns (Collins et al., 1999; Mahillo-Fernandez et al., 2008; de Pedro-Cuesta et al., 2010). In response to such concerns, much publically funded research was performed in the UK and elsewhere and a variety of companies have invested in the development of prion decontamination reagents. Here, we have exploited the highly sensitive SSBA (Edgeworth et al., 2009), developed for the quantitative analysis of prions bound to steel surfaces, to compare commercially available decontamination reagents directly.

By end-point titration of RML prion-infected brain homogenate on steel wires i.c. implanted into tga20 indicator mice, we have estimated the maximal loading capacity of a 5 mm (USP 4/0) steel wire as being 10<sup>5.5</sup> LD<sub>50</sub> units (Table 3), which limits the sensitivity of bioassay using rodents. Our findings are in close agreement with the results of Lemmer et al. (2008), who used a distinct prion strain, hamster scrapie-adapted Sc237, on i.c. implanted wires and also concluded the maximal loading capacity of wires i.c. implanted to be 10<sup>5.5</sup> LD<sub>50</sub> units. This therefore suggests the detection limit for prion infectivity presented on steel wires may be independent of prion strain to which the wires have been exposed.

The SSBA used here for the comparison of commercially available prion decontamination reagents is capable of detecting infectivity, resulting from exposure of steel wires to a sample containing 0.025 LD<sub>50</sub> units ml<sup>−1</sup> of RML compared with mouse bioassay where the limit of detection is ~2500 LD<sub>50</sub> units ml<sup>−1</sup> (Table 2). The SSBA allows assessment of decontamination over a ~8 log range.

The WHO recommended protocols for the control for iatrogenic transmission of prions that include: immersion in freshly prepared 1 M NaOH, or NaOCl, at a concentration exceeding 20 000 p.p.m. available chlorine, for 1 h at 20 °C, or porous load autoclaving at 134 °C for 18 min (WHO, 1999). However, autoclaving is not always an effective method for the decontamination of prion-infected surgical steel instruments (Taylor et al., 1998; Jackson et al., 2005) due to the variable ingress of superheated steam and the use of 2 M NaOH or NaOCl are not viable options for devices containing soft metals, rubbers and plastics, and are hazardous to staff. Here, we demonstrate that steel contaminated with RML prions can still harbour 5% of contaminating infectivity after autoclaving at 134 °C for 18 min. This level of bound infectivity is still sufficient to

### Table 3. Bioassay data from tga20 mice inoculated i.c. with 5 mm Steelex monofilament wires (USP4/0), exposed to a serial dilution of RML-infected brain homogenate

Animals inoculated with wires treated with Rely<sup>+</sup> On PI (as described in Methods) survived to the end point of the experiment (>250 days post-inoculation). Subsequent Western blot and immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis confirmed no signs of subclinical infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dilution of RML brain</th>
<th>Treatment of wires prior to inoculation</th>
<th>Animals affected&lt;sup&gt;±/total&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Mean incubation period (days ± SEM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>76 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;−2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>18/18</td>
<td>86 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;−3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>18/18</td>
<td>85 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;−4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>18/18</td>
<td>113 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;−5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>28/35</td>
<td>114 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;−6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4/18</td>
<td>157 ± 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;−7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0/19</td>
<td>&gt;250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;−8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>&gt;250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS control</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>&gt;250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;−1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Rely&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; On PI</td>
<td>0/19</td>
<td>&gt;250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>*</sup>All clinically affected animals were confirmed prion-infected by Western blot and IHC analysis for the presence of PrP<sup>Sc</sup>.

<sup>±</sup>Clinically unaffected animals showed no signs of subclinical infection by Western blot or IHC analysis.

<sup>‡</sup>Variation in experimental group sizes due to intermittent losses for health reasons other than prion infection.
transmit prion disease when implanted into the brains of indicator mice (Jackson et al., 2005) and may still pose a risk of iatrogenic transmission in a hospital setting.

The least effective reagent for prion decontamination tested in this study was the alkali/detergent reagent HAMO 100 PID. This commercial product when tested at both the recommended (0.8 % v/v) and twice the recommended concentration (1.6 % v/v) failed to thoroughly decontaminate the steel wire surfaces, leaving 13 and 0.4 % of maximally detectable infectivity on the wires.

The most effective of the prion decontamination reagents tested were the proteolytic reagents Rely+ On PI (DuPont Corporation) and Prionzyme (Genencor). Both reagents decontaminated the wires contaminated with RML prions to beyond the detection limit of the SSBA. The manufacturers of Prionzyme (Genencor) recommend preparation of the reagent in 2 M NaOH alkali carrier, which alone reduced the titre of infectivity bound to steel surfaces beyond the detection limit of the SSBA. This made it impossible to determine if the Prionzyme had an effect in addition to that of the 2 M NaOH in which it had been prepared (Table 2). The preparation of Prionzyme in 2 M NaOH also calls into question how practical its use would be.

A persistent problem in establishing the relative efficacy of methods for prion decontamination has been the absence of a sensitive and relevant assay that can be used as a standard for comparison. This study has evaluated several novel commercially available reagents as well as established methods of decontamination under comparable conditions in an extremely sensitive cell culture-based assay. Each of these decontamination reagents are claimed by their manufacturers to reduce prion infectivity by several logs beyond the detection method used. However, the validation of each of these reagents has been performed using different methodologies, making direct comparison of published results difficult. The different methods have encompassed various prion strains [all of which have different proteolytic and thermodynamic properties (Somerville et al., 2002)], assays with variable detection limits, and different starting materials used to provide the prion infectivity (macerates, homogenates or steel bound). While it has been suggested that the mouse-adapted BSE strain 301V may be a more suitable model to study vCJD prion inactivation (SEAC, 2006), passage of a prion strain in a new species may unpredictably affect its physico-chemical properties and indeed this has recently been shown to be the case with 301V, which differs markedly in its inactivation properties to cattle BSE (Giles et al., 2008).

In order to assess and validate enzymic approaches to prion decontamination the selection of a suitable prion strain is crucial. The use of RML-infected material as a model substrate offers the advantage of containing material with greater resistance to proteolysis than vCJD material, which is also present in greater proportion.

This study provides a standardized method by which to compare the efficacy of reagents and methods for the decontamination of surgical instruments and offers an assay with a high dynamic range and sensitivity, beyond that of conventional rodent bioassay.

**METHODS**

**Kinetic analysis of PK digestion of RML and vCJD brain homogenates.** RML and vCJD prion-infected brain homogenates (10 %, w/v) were clarified by centrifugation at 80 g for 1 min. Supernatants were removed and pre-warmed to 37 °C for 10 min. Aliquots (20 μl) were removed and mixed with an equal volume of 2 × SDS loading buffer [Tris-HCl, 20 % (v/v) glycerol pH 6.8 containing 4 % (w/v) SDS, 4 % (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol, 8 mM 4-(2-aminoethyl)-benzamide sulfonyl fluoride and 0.02 % (w/v) bromophenol blue] and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, time point 0. PK (BDH) was added to remaining homogenates to a final concentration of 50 μg ml⁻¹. Samples were incubated with agitation at 37 °C from which 20 μl aliquots were removed after 2, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 60, 80, 120, 160, 240 and 240 min and treated as before. Samples were analysed by Western blotting as described previously (Wadsworth et al., 2001) using primary antibody ICSM 35 at 0.2 μg ml⁻¹ in PBS/1 % Tween-20 (PBST). Total PK-resistant PrP was determined using densitometry.

**Culturing and storage of N2aPK1 cells.** The N2aPK1 cell-line that is highly susceptible to infection with the RML prion strain (Klohn et al., 2003) was used throughout the study. Cells were cultured in Opti-MEM-10 % FCS and 1 % penicillin/streptomycin (OCFS) (Invitrogen).

Individual vials containing 3 million cells of expanded subclones of the N2aPK1 cells were thawed from stocks kept in liquid nitrogen in OFCS and 6 % (v/v) DMSO and cultured for 10 days prior to incubation with RML-coated wires. Cells were cultured for no more than four passages prior to use in the SSBA (Edgeworth et al., 2009) as prolonged subculturing leads to reduced susceptibility to infection.

**Preparation of homogenates.** Non-infected mouse brain homogenates were prepared from Prnp<sup>100</sup> <i>Prnp</i> mice (Bueler et al., 1993), which had been back-crossed onto an FVB/NHsd background (Asante et al., 2009) at 20 % (w/v) in PBS by passing through 21G to 26.5 G needles successively. Homogenate was stored as 20 μl aliquots at −80 °C. RML-infected brain homogenate (designated inocula number I6200) was prepared and titred as described previously (Cronier et al., 2008). I6200 has a titre of 10<sup>5.3</sup> LD<sub>50</sub> units ml⁻¹ of 10 % (w/v) brain homogenate.

**Preparation of steel wires for cell-based assay.** Steelex monofilament wires, USP 4/0 were cut to 2.5 cm lengths for cell-culture or 5 mm lengths for bioassay. Batches of 100 wires were placed into 50 ml falcon tubes containing 20 ml 2 % (v/v) Triton X-100 in deionized water. Wires were washed on a rocking platform for 2 h at room temperature and then washed for 5 × 15 min in 50 ml deionized water. Wires were then sterilized in 70 % (v/v) ethanol in deionized water for 10 min and air-dried in a class 2 microbiological safety cabinet.

**Coating of steel wires and SSBA.** Wires were placed into 1 ml Eppendorf tubes containing 1 ml inocula I6200 (10<sup>5.3</sup> LD<sub>50</sub> units ml⁻¹ 10 % (w/v) brain homogenate) serially diluted into 10⁻⁴ <i>Prnp</i><sup>100</sup> brain homogenate prepared in Opti-MEM/10 % (v/v) FCS. Steelex monofilament wire segments (60 segments of 2.5 cm) were gently agitated for 30 s and then incubated with the required RML brain homogenate dilution for 2 h at room temperature. Wires were washed in PBS containing 1 % penicillin/streptomycin for 5 × 15 min on a rotary wheel, air-dried and placed at a maximum of 20 wires per well into separate wells of a six-well tissue culture plate (Corning) and covered with 300 000 N2aPK1 cells. SSBA was performed as described previously (Edgeworth et al., 2009).
Decontamination of wires. To create a reference curve, wires were exposed to a logarithmic dilution series (10^{-3}–10^{-9}) of I6200 in 10^{-4} FVB/N-Prnp^(-/-) brain homogenate for 2 h and assayed by the SSBA as described previously (Edgeworth et al., 2009). Batches of wires for decontamination were exposed to 1 ml 10^{-1} dilution of I6200 prepared as above. Wires were immersed into decontamination solutions, which were freshly prepared and used as directed by the manufacturer.

Prionzyme (Genencor) was prepared as 2 % (v/v) in 2 M NaOH (pH 12) and wires were incubated for 30 min at 60 °C.

HAMO 100 Prion Inactivating Detergent (HPID; Steris) was prepared at either 0.8 % (v/v) (recommended concentration by manufacturer) or 1.6 % (v/v) in deionized water and wires were incubated at 43 °C for 15 min.

Rely+ On PI (DuPont Corporation) was prepared as a 5× stock by mixing 4.05 g pack A + 2.5 g pack B + 0.4 g pack C in a total volume of 50 ml of 50 °C deionized water for 10 min. Stock was then diluted to 1× working solution in deionized water in which wires were incubated in decontamination solution for 10 min at 50 °C.

For comparison, infected wires were decontaminated by autoclaving at 134 °C for 18 min in steam permeable bags or submerged into 2 M NaOH for 30 min at 20 °C. As a negative control, infected wires were immersed into PBS for 1 h at room temperature.

Wires were then rinsed in PBS at room temperature and dried before exposure to N2aPK1 cells. Cells were harvested from wires after a 3-day exposure, seeded at 4000 cells per well and assayed by SSBA (Edgeworth et al., 2009), using 16 replica wells per sample.

Mouse bioassay of RML prion-infected wires. Steelex monofilament wire segments (60 segments of 5 mm) were prepared as described above for the SSBA. Wires were inserted i.c. into groups of tg20 mice (Fischer et al., 1996) as described previously (Fleischig et al., 2001).

Wires used for testing the decontamination reagent Rely+ On PI (DuPont Corporation), unlike those used for the dilution series, were not rinsed in PBS prior to exposure to the decontamination reagent so as to provide a more stringent test of decontamination. Wires were exposed to Rely+ On PI for 10 min at 50 °C according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, rinsed in PBS to remove residual decontamination reagent, air-dried, and then inserted i.c. into a group of 20 tg20 mice.

Estimation of LD_{50} units on wires. This was as described previously (Edgeworth et al., 2009); briefly, the mean number of tissue culture infectious units (TCIU) per well, m, is calculated using the Poisson distribution according to the equation:

\[ P(0) = e^{-m} \]

where \( P(0) \) is the number of non-infected wells/total number of wells.

The value of m as determined by the wire (w) assay under standard assay conditions (TCIU_w per well) can be translated into apparent LD_{50} units on wire (where one LD_{50} unit represents the dose that would be lethal to 50% of animals inoculated by the intracerebral route) by exposing wires to serial dilutions of a brain homogenate that has previously been titrated by intracerebral injection into wild-type mice, and plotting the resulting m values against the logarithm of the LD_{50} units on wire.
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