Variability in disease phenotypes within a single PRNP genotype suggests the existence of multiple natural sheep scrapie strains within Europe
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Variability of pathological phenotypes within classical sheep scrapie cases has been reported for some time, but in many instances it has been attributed to differences in the PRNP genotype of the host. To address this issue we have examined by immunohistochemistry (IHC) and Western blotting (WB) for the disease-associated form of the prion protein (PrPd) the brains of 23 sheep from five European countries, all of which were of the same ARQ/ARQ genotype. As a result of IHC examinations, sheep were distributed into five groups with different phenotypes and the groups were the same regardless of the scoring method used, ‘long’ or ‘short’ PrPd profiling. The groups made did not respond to the geographical origin of the cases and did not correlate with the vacuolar lesion profiles, which showed a high individual variability. Discriminatory IHC and WB methods coincided to detect a ‘CH1641-like’ case but otherwise correlated poorly in the classification of disease phenotypes. No other polymorphisms of the PRNP gene were found that could account for the pathological differences, except perhaps for a sheep from Spain with a mutation at codon 103 and a unique pathological phenotype. Preliminary evidence indicates that those different IHC phenotypes correlate with distinct biological properties on bioassay, suggesting that they are indicative of strain diversity. We therefore conclude that natural scrapie strains exist and that they can be revealed by detailed pathological examinations, which can be harmonized between laboratories to produce comparable results.

INTRODUCTION

Scrapie is the prototype of the transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs), a group of neurodegenerative disorders affecting animals and man, generally believed to be caused by infectious proteinaceous agents termed prions (Prusiner, 1982). To explain strain diversity of prions the prion-only hypothesis postulates that, in the absence of a nucleic acid, the conformation of the abnormally folded, infectious form of the prion protein (PrPsc) codes for strain-specific information (Wille et al., 2002). Following inoculation and serial passage of different sheep scrapie isolates, around 20 scrapie strains (Bruce, 2003) have been isolated and characterized by their relative incubation periods and pattern of brain vacuolation in different inbred lines of mice (Fraser, 1976), and more recently by the immunohistochemical (IHC) pattern of disease-associated PrP (PrPd) accumulation in the brain (Beck et al., 2010). However, the significance of mouse-adapted scrapie strains remains obscure, since the disease phenotype arising from the

Supplementary material is available with the online version of this paper.
bioassay is most likely to result from the interaction between the agent and the host, rather than to represent exclusively agent properties. It is therefore uncertain whether the different murine scrapie strains are representative of strain diversity in the natural host or result from mutation or adaptation of the scrapie agent upon serial mouse passage.

In the sheep host, phenotypic differences are also observed between different TSEs, so that classical scrapie can be discriminated from Nor98 – also called ‘atypical scrapie’ – by their pathological, IHC and biochemical features in the brain (Benestad et al., 2003, 2008). Experimental ovine BSE can also be differentiated from natural and experimental scrapie sources by their distinct IHC (Jeffrey et al., 2001a, 2003, 2006; González et al., 2005) and Western blotting (WB) characteristics (Stack et al., 2002; Thuring et al., 2004). Biochemical methods, however, do not provide a clear discrimination between scrapie isolates from different geographical origins or from sheep of different prion protein gene (PRNP) genotypes (Sweeney et al., 2000; Nonno et al., 2003). In contrast, differences in vacuolation profiles (Ligios et al., 2002; Begara-McGorum et al., 2002) and in patterns of PrPd accumulation in the brain (van Keulen et al., 1995; Ryder et al., 2001; González et al., 2002; Jeffrey et al., 2006) have been reported between individual cases of both natural and experimental sheep scrapie. For some authors, those differences principally reflect strain diversity (González et al., 2002, 2003, 2005; Jeffrey et al., 2006), at least when dealing with experimentally induced disease, while others, mostly working on natural disease, suggest that they are mainly related to host PRNP gene polymorphisms (Ligios et al., 2004; Spiropoulos et al., 2007).

In 2006, a working group was established under the auspices of the Network of Excellence Neuroprion with the aim of standardizing criteria and methods for the definition and characterization of sheep scrapie strains in the natural host. This paper reports the outcome of one particular study carried out on classical scrapie within this collaborative network, the specific objectives of which were: (i) to determine the presence or absence of phenotypic variability in natural sheep scrapie cases from a variety of European geographical sources and a single PRNP genotype, and (ii) to standardize IHC approaches and evaluate IHC and WB methods for characterizing different naturally occurring sheep scrapie phenotypes.

RESULTS

Phenotypic variability was detected by the ‘long’ PrPd profiling IHC method

The assessment of the ‘long’ PrPd profile in blind-coded slides resulted in the identification of five different IHC phenotypes, according to which the sheep were grouped as follows (Tables 1 and 2):

Group 1: 15 sheep, five each from Scotland, Germany and Italy. These cases were characterized by prominent accumulations of extracellular PrPd associated with glial cells in grey matter (stellate and subpial types) and white matter (perivascular, perivascular and subependymal types), mild intracellular (intraneuronal and intraglial) PrPd deposits, mild extracellular PrPd aggregates in the grey matter neuropil (diffuse particulate, linear, perineuronal and coalescing types), and absence of vascular plaques (Fig. 1a–c).

Group 2: three sheep from Spain and one from the Netherlands, which exhibited marked intraneuronal and intraglial PrPd deposits, prominent coalescing aggregates and moderate perineuronal deposits, very little of any other extracellular or membrane-bound PrPd types, and no vascular plaques (Fig. 1d–f).

Group 3: two sheep, one from Spain and one from the Netherlands, which showed conspicuous intraneuronal, intraglial, diffuse particulate and stellate PrPd, absence of vascular plaques, and mild or moderate extracellular accumulations of PrPd associated with astrocyte processes or in the neuropil (Fig. 1g–i).

The two animals in groups 4 (one sheep from Spain, O-758) and 5 (one sheep from the Netherlands, 559018) both showed vascular PrPd plaques, mild glia-associated extra-cellular deposits and mild or moderate extracellular deposits in the grey matter. However, those two sheep differed markedly in the amount of intracellular PrPd, which was much more prominent in the Spanish (Fig. 1j–l) than in the Dutch case (Fig. 1m–o).

The classification made by the UK laboratory, as described above, was completely coincident with that made by each participating laboratory. While the UK, Italian and German laboratories recorded a single phenotype amongst their sheep (which happened to be similar), the Spanish laboratory identified three different phenotypes (with the same sheep in each group as allocated in the blind trial), as did the Dutch laboratory amongst their three sheep.

Phenotypic variability was confirmed by the ‘short’ PrPd profiling method

The five groups established by the UK laboratory on the basis of the correlation and cluster analyses of the individual ‘short’ PrPd profiles (Table 3, Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. S1 and Supplementary Table S1, available in JGV Online) of 18 blind-coded sheep (all except the German sheep) coincided completely with those obtained by the ‘long’ PrPd profiling method. Thus, the five from Italy and the five from UK were grouped together (group 1), as they all showed little intracellular PrPd and abundant stellate and astrocyte-associated PrPd (subpial, subependymal, perivascular and perivascular; Fig. 2a). It has to be noted, however, that the five Suffolk sheep from UK were more similar amongst themselves ($r=0.94\pm0.01$, mean correlation coefficient $\pm$SEM) than to the sheep from Italy ($r=0.75\pm0.02$), which exhibited a higher intra-group variability ($r=0.80\pm0.04$). In any case, the PrPd profiles of those
sheep were different from those of other groups (Table 3 and Supplementary Fig. S1 and Supplementary Table S1). Thus, the four sheep of group 2 showed prominent intracellular PrP\textsuperscript{d} deposits and little extracellular accumulations, mostly of the subpial and particulate types (Fig. 2b). The two sheep of group 3 displayed not only those two extracellular types, but also stellate and perivascular PrP\textsuperscript{d}, while intracellular – particularly intraneuronal – aggregates were scarce (Fig. 2c). The single sheep classified in group 4 according to the ‘long’ PrP\textsuperscript{d} profile again stood on its own when its ‘short’ profile was defined; although somewhat similar to sheep of group 2 (high intracellular and particulate PrP\textsuperscript{d}, see correlation value in Table 3), it showed conspicuous ependymal PrP\textsuperscript{d} deposits and vascular plaques (Fig. 2d). Finally, the other single sheep (group 5) showed high stellate and subpial PrP\textsuperscript{d} aggregates and moderate amounts of other extracellular PrP\textsuperscript{d} types. Its ‘short’ PrP\textsuperscript{d} profile was therefore similar to that of groups 1 and 3 (Table 3), although it showed higher intraneuronal PrP\textsuperscript{d}

Table 1. Details of the sheep included in the study and some IHC, WB and PRNP genotyping results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sheep no.</th>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Flock</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>IHC</th>
<th>N/C</th>
<th>MW</th>
<th>Di</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Un</th>
<th>WB</th>
<th>PRNP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S68</td>
<td>Black-faced Merino</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>GE1</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S73</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S66</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S82</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S84</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6529</td>
<td>Sarda</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IT1</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>L141F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33546</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IT2</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64757</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IT3</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79348</td>
<td>Biellese</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IT4</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45231</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IT4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X422</td>
<td>Suffolk</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>UK1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1366N</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1354N</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1360N</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1358N</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-367</td>
<td>Rasa</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SP1</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-788</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>SP2</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-940</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>SP3</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-972</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>SP4</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>G3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-758</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>SP5</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>G4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>N103H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German scrapie WB control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German BSE WB control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>603397</td>
<td>Texel</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NL1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508192</td>
<td>Zwartbles</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NL2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>G3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>559018</td>
<td>Texel</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NL3</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>G5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutch scrapie WB control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutch BSE WB control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variability in PrPd profiles in the brain did not correlate with vacuolation and WB results

For the 18 sheep considered (excluding German sheep), overall neuropil vacuolation was most prominent in the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus (2.9 ± 0.2, mean ± SEM) followed by the thalamic nuclei (2.7 ± 0.3) and the nucleus of the spinal tract of the trigeminal nerve (2.6 ± 0.3), while the cerebral cortex (1.3 ± 0.3) and the posterior olivary nuclei (1.3 ± 0.2) were the least affected (see details in Supplementary Tables S2 and S3, available in JGV Online). There was, however, substantial individual variability in the vacuolar lesion profiles, so that out of a possible total of 153 analyses between pairs of sheep only one correlation exceeded 0.9 (two Italian sheep), seven ranked between 0.8 and 0.9 (four of them between Scottish Suffolk sheep), and five between 0.7 and 0.8 (all of them between sheep of the same countries). The best multiple correlations were obtained when sheep were grouped by the country of origin (Supplementary Tables S2 and S3), although the only correlation above 0.75 was between the five Suffolk sheep from the UK, all of which came from the same flock. When sheep were grouped according to their IHC profiles, as described above, the intra-group correlations for vacuolar profiles were very low (Supplementary Tables S2 and S3).

With the exception of one animal, the discriminatory WB analyses provided a scrapie signature for all sheep examined (Table 1), with P4/L42 or 12B2/L42 signal ratios between 0.9 and 6.3, more in line with those of the scrapie controls (1.1 and 1.3) than those of the BSE controls (0 and 0.2) from the German and Dutch laboratories, respectively. Similarly, the molecular masses of the unglycosylated band were all in the range of 18.7 to 19.5 kDa for the 19 samples examined by the German laboratory, and between 19.8 and 19.9 kDa for the three samples examined by the Dutch laboratory. Those values were closer to those of the respective scrapie controls than to the BSE controls, although in the case of the German controls the scrapie and BSE values were very similar (Table 1). As for the proportion of di-, mono- and unglycosylated bands, those 22 sheep could be classified into three groups according to the cluster analysis performed (Table 1 and Fig. 4; details and statistical analysis in Supplementary Methods and...
Fig. 1. Diversity of IHC phenotypes amongst the sheep examined by the ‘long’ PrP<sup>d</sup> profiling method. Group 1: abundant subpial, stellate, perivascular and perivacuolar PrP<sup>d</sup> types in cerebral cortex (a), conspicuous subependymal accumulations in corpus callosum (b), and stellate with little intraneuronal PrP<sup>d</sup> in the obex (c). Group 2: diffuse particulate and intraneuronal PrP<sup>d</sup> in cerebral cortex (d), perineuronal and coalescing aggregates in hypothalamus (e), prominent intraneuronal deposits in Purkinje cells (f). Group 3: abundant stellate, particulate and intracellular PrP<sup>d</sup> in cerebral cortex (g and h), and diffuse particulate and intraneuronal deposits in the obex (i). Group 4: moderate particulate and intraneuronal and intraglial PrP<sup>d</sup> in cerebral cortex (j and k), and prominent vascular plaques in thalamus (l). Group 5: mild perivascular and perivacuolar PrP<sup>d</sup> in cerebral cortex (m), stellate, subpial and vascular plaque in cerebellum (n), and diffuse particulate with little intraneuronal in the obex (o). IHC with R145 PrP antibody and haematoxylin counterstaining; magnifications: a, d, g, j, m (×10); c, e, i, k, o (×25); b, h (×50); f, l, n (×100).
Table 3. Summary of the correlation analysis of the ‘short’ PrP\text{\textsuperscript{d}} profile results

Groups 1–5: are as described in the text and in Table 2 (German sheep are not included in Group 1, see text). Correlation values (r coefficient) are expressed as mean ± SEM. Values in bold indicate the best correlations obtained. NA, Not applicable as those two groups were made up of a single sheep.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>Group 4</th>
<th>Group 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>−0.03 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td>−0.35 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.01</td>
<td>−0.14 ± 0.04</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.02</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Supplementary Table S4, available in JGV Online). Group A (low di- and high unglycosylated bands) contained three German, one Scottish and one Italian cases (IHC group 1), and two Spanish cases of IHC group 2. PrP\text{\textsuperscript{res}} from sheep of group B (a mixture of IHC groups 1, 3 and 4) was significantly less di- and monoglycosylated than PrP\text{\textsuperscript{res}} from group C, which showed significantly lower proportions of unglycosylated PrP\text{\textsuperscript{res}}. Group C included the three Dutch cases, which had been classified in different IHC groups, one sheep from Italy and the Dutch scrapie and German BSE controls. The Dutch BSE control showed the highest diglycosylated and lowest unglycosylated PrP\text{\textsuperscript{res}} of all samples analysed.

One of the 23 sheep examined (O-367 from Spain) showed a molecular mass of the unglycosylated band (19 kDa) and a glycoprofile (Fig. 4) that were similar to those of sheep in group C of the cluster analysis. This animal, however, accumulated PrP\text{\textsuperscript{res}} in the brain that did not react with antibody P4 in the WB analysis (Table 1). On extended IHC examinations (data not shown), the lack of P4 reactivity in the WB corresponded with an absence of intracellular PrP\text{\textsuperscript{d}} labelling in the brain not only with 12B2 PrP antibody, but also with 9A2 and 1DPrP4, which bind to amino acid sequences of ovine PrP separated only about seven amino acids C-terminally from the epitopes of P4 and 12B2. However, those intracellular deposits were prominent with PrP core specific antibody Bar224. In addition, intracellular PrP\text{\textsuperscript{d}} aggregates were detected with all antibodies in all lymphoid tissues available for examination. This sheep had a similar ‘short’ and ‘long’ PrP\text{\textsuperscript{d}} profiles as the other three sheep of group 2 (two from Spain and one from the Netherlands), which in turn did not show any differential antibody reactivity on WB or IHC.

**PRNP polymorphisms did not account for PrP\text{\textsuperscript{d}} profile variation**

Twenty-one of the 23 sheep examined did not show any polymorphisms within the whole ORF of PrP. One Italian sheep (6529) was an LF heterozygote at codon 141 (L, leucine; F, phenylalanine), but it had the same IHC characteristics as all other Italian, German and Scottish cases, and fell within WB group 2 according to the cluster analysis of glycoprofiles. Within the same WB group was also classified one sheep from Spain (O-758), which had a mutation at codon 103, resulting in an NH genotype (N, asparagine; H, histidine); interestingly, the IHC phenotype of this sheep was unique (group 4, see above).

**DISCUSSION**

Conjecture about the extent of scrapie strain diversity within sheep scrapie was raised when numerous murine strains were recognized following serial passage at limiting dilution in inbred mice of different PRNP genetic backgrounds (Fraser, 1976; Bruce, 2003). Historically, however, no effort has been made to characterize the nature of the disease in first mouse passages or in the sheep donors. In other words, the definition of prion strains has been largely based on a product resulting from the interaction between the agent and a host of a different species. Without knowing the precise effect of the species barrier and the ability of mice to respond distinctly to different sheep scrapie isolates, the validity of mouse bioassay in terms of strain interpretation is, at least, questionable.

More recently, pathological and biochemical characterization of the disease produced by different sheep scrapie isolates has been attempted. Differences in WB glycoprofiles, antibody reactivity and degree of resistance to proteinase K digestion have been reported to provide discrimination between classical scrapie, experimental ovine BSE, CH1641 and CH1641-like isolates (Stack et al., 2002; Baron & Biacabe, 2007; Langeveld & Jeffrey, unpublished observations), and Nor98/atypical scrapie (Buschmann et al., 2004; Benestad et al., 2008). In addition, differences in pathological phenotypes, both in terms of vacuolar and, particularly, PrP\text{\textsuperscript{d}} profiles in the brain, have been observed between cases of TSEs, either natural or experimentally induced, in the natural sheep host. These pathological differences apply not only to clearly distinct and perhaps unrelated conditions, that is, classical scrapie, ovine BSE, Nor98 and CH1641 infection, but also between cases of classical scrapie itself. Amongst these, some studies conclude that the main force driving the phenotypic variability is the PRNP genotype of the sheep (Spiropoulos et al., 2007). If that were the case, two possible interpretations could be made: (i) the classical scrapie strain is
unique or almost unique, and phenotypic variability is genotype-related, or (ii) different strains of classical scrapie target sheep of different genotypes. Resolving this dilemma, by focusing on scrapie infected sheep of a single PRNP genotype but broad geographical and breeding origin, was the main purpose of the study reported here.

Five different IHC phenotypes were observed amongst the 23 sheep studied by the ‘long’ PrP\(_d\) profiling protocol and there was a complete agreement on the groupings made by the five participating laboratories, each on their own sheep. Furthermore, the allocation of groups was the same when the ‘short’ PrP\(_d\) profiles performed by each laboratory were formally compared with those done by the UK laboratory, and did not correlate with the geographical origin of the animals. When ‘short’ PrP\(_d\) profiles performed by each laboratory were formally compared with those done by the UK laboratory, only minor deviations were found, which did not affect the classification of the sheep. The inter-laboratory differences

---

**Fig. 2.** ‘Short’ PrP\(_d\) profiles of 18 sheep from four different countries (sheep from Germany are excluded, see text). (a) Group 1: five sheep from Italy (blue lines) and five from UK (red lines). (b) Group 2: three sheep from Spain (brown lines) and one from the Netherlands (green line). (c) Group 3: one sheep from Spain and one from the Netherlands. (d) One sheep from Spain (O-758; group 4). (e) One sheep from the Netherlands (559018; group 5). (f) Average ‘short’ PrP\(_d\) profiles of the five groups. Groups 1–5 as defined in the text and in Table 2; for comparison, the ‘short’ profiles of BSE (dotted black line) and CH1641 (continuous black line) in ARQ/ARQ sheep are given [extracted from Siso et al. (2010)]. x-Axis shows the different PrP\(_d\) types considered: ITNR, intraneuronal; ITAS, intraastrocytic; ITMG, intramicroglial; STEL, stellate; SBPL, subpial; SBEP, subependymal; PRVS, perivascular; PVAC, perivacuolar; PART, particulate; LINR, linear; PNER, perineuronal; EPEN, ependymal; NVPL, non-vascular plaques; VSPL, vascular plaques. y-Axis indicates the percentage value for each PrP\(_d\) type.
may have been partially due to the use of different IHC protocols and antibodies, and to the fact that the tissue slides examined by the UK laboratory were semi-serial rather than identical from those examined by the respective laboratories. This is particularly relevant in the case of focal lesions, such as vascular plaques, which in the case of the single sheep of group 5 were present in the cerebral cortex slides examined by the Dutch laboratory but not in those looked at by the UK laboratory.

As reported elsewhere (Begara-McGorum et al., 2002), a high individual variability was observed in this European collaboration in terms of vacuolar lesion profiles. Any attempt to group the sheep examined according to this parameter resulted in low correlation values, and the best figures were obtained when grouping the animals by country of origin, which in itself may suggest some kind of ‘observer bias’. Also, the lack of correlation between histopathological and IHC results (see Supplementary
Tables S2 and S3) indicates a dissociation between vacuolation and PrP\textsubscript{d} accumulation, as already suggested (Jeffrey et al., 2010).

Observations on natural scrapie made by others have led to the conclusion that PrP polymorphisms at codons 136, 154 and 171 are the main driver of IHC phenotypical variability (Ligios et al., 2004; Spiropoulos et al., 2007). Our results clearly contradict that notion, at least for sheep of the wild-type genotype, as animals examined in this study were all ARQ homozygotes at the same three codons, respectively (A, alanine; R, arginine and Q, glutamine). Furthermore, no other polymorphisms were found in the ORF of the \textit{PRNP} gene with the exception of two sheep: one was an Italian sheep with IHC features undistinguishable from those of all other 14 sheep of group 1 and no biochemical peculiarities, and the other was a Spanish sheep, the only individual of group 4. This sheep showed a previously undescribed mutation at codon 103, which is homologous to human codon 100, and it is known that peptide 100–111 of human PrP\textsubscript{c} has specific properties on the ability of recruiting PrP\textsubscript{d} in buffer and plasma (Lau et al., 2007). Therefore, a polymorphism at sheep codon 103 that leads to a change from a polar amino acid with no charge (N) to another with positive charge (H) could play an important role in PrP\textsubscript{d} propagation. Whether this could account for the unique IHC phenotype of that sheep is at present unknown.

Pathological phenotype diversity, in the terms described above, was not mirrored by WB analyses. The molecular masses of the unglycosylated band were uninformative, and the three groups established on the basis of their glycoprofiles did not match the IHC classification, so that sheep with very similar PrP\textsubscript{d} profiles fell within different WB groups, and some sheep with very different PrP\textsubscript{d} profiles (e.g. the three Dutch cases) were classified in the same WB group. Only one (O-367) of the 23 sheep studied showed discordant properties, as it did not react to N-terminal antibodies, neither in WB (P4 antibody) nor for intracellular PrP\textsubscript{d} in IHC (12B2, 9A2 and IDPrP4 antibodies). Because of its glycoprofile pattern and the IHC characteristics both in brain and lymphoid tissues, this case resembles the so-called CH1641-like scrapie rather than ovine BSE, and should be further investigated by rodent bioassay. Whatever the strain affecting this sheep, its PrP\textsubscript{d} profile was grouped alongside with those of three other sheep (group 2), and provides an example of how IHC phenotypes of classical sheep scrapie need to be defined not just on PrP\textsubscript{d} profile criteria, but also on epitope mapping (Jeffrey et al., 2001a, 2006) results. The emergence of such CH1641-like scrapie cases in sheep of the ARQ/ARQ PrP genotype has been previously documented (Stack et al., 2006; Baron et al., 2008).

To summarize, phenotypic variability amongst classical scrapie cases exists irrespective of the \textit{PRNP} genotype, at least amongst ARQ/ARQ sheep. Whether that heterogeneity reflects actual field strain diversity or is due to other unknown or uncontrolled factors is uncertain. However, though far from complete, some additional bioassay data are available to this respect. Thus, Italian and Scottish Suffolk sheep scrapie isolates with identical PrP\textsubscript{d} phenotypes as those reported here (group 1), showed the same biological properties as they did not transmit to wild-type mice, and showed poor and good transmission to Tg338 mice and bank voles, respectively (Di Bari et al., 2008). Moreover, preliminary data on transmission to RIII, VM and Tg338 mice from the three Dutch sheep, which had different epidemiological origins and were classed in different IHC groups, strongly suggest that they have different biological properties (van Keulen and others, unpublished observations).
In conclusion, evidence from this study and on-going bioassays suggests that, for natural classical scrapie, immunohistochemical (PrP\text{\textit{d}} profiles) similarities and differences (i) are unrelated to PRNP genotype and geographical origin, (ii) cannot be picked up by or do not correlate with the results of standard biochemical tests (WB) or histopathological examinations (vacuolar profile), and (iii) coincide with biological properties, suggesting that they are related to strain diversity in the natural host. Moreover, interpretation of the different PrP\text{\textit{d}} types can be harmonized between laboratories to provide comparable profiles, and these should be used to characterize natural scrapie isolates to evaluate the results of rodent bioassays in the definition of sheep scrapie strains.

**METHODS**

**Selection of natural scrapie cases.** The study was carried out on 23 sheep whose details are summarized in Table 1. All of them, except for the sheep from Germany, showed clinical signs consistent with scrapie and all showed moderate to high levels of PrP\text{\textit{d}} in the brain. All sheep were of the ARQ/ARQ PRNP genotype and originated from 14 different flocks in five European countries, as follows:

**Germany.** Five female sheep from a black-faced Merino flock located in the North-east of the country. After confirmation of scrapie in 2004, all 530 animals in the flock were culled and examined for PrP\text{\textit{d}} detection, with 46 positive results amongst the ARQ/ARQ sheep. The five sheep with the highest magnitudes of PrP\text{\textit{d}} labelling were selected for this study, although they were not showing clinical signs; their ages ranged between 1 and 4 years.

**Italy.** Five female sheep from four different flocks widely spread throughout the Italian peninsula and Sardinia. The two sheep originating from the same flock (Biellese breed) were 5 years old, and the other three were of the Sarda breed and aged 4–5 years. The size of the flocks ranged from 560 to 1500 sheep, and the scrapie outbreaks were diagnosed between 2003 and 2007. The flocks were culled and examined, leading to detection of three to 111 further infected animals, most of them of the ARQ/ARQ genotype.

**UK.** Four female and one male Suffolk sheep aged between 2 and 3 years, all originating from a well studied (Jeffrey et al., 2001b), closed, experimental Scottish flock with an average size of 100 animals, in which scrapie was originally detected in 1990. From 2001 to 2006, ~70 scrapie cases were recorded, all in the ARQ/ARQ sheep aged between 2 and 3 years.

**Spain.** Four females aged 2–6 years and one 4-year-old male, all of the Rasa Aragonesa breed, from five different flocks located within a 100 km radius in North Eastern Spain. The size of the flocks ranged from 260 to 1040 sheep, and the scrapie outbreaks, almost exclusively affecting the ARQ/ARQ sheep, were diagnosed between 2003 and 2007. The flocks were subjected to monitoring with further identification of scrapie cases ranging from three to 65.

**The Netherlands.** Three female sheep between 1.5 and 4 years of age from three different flocks. One of them is a closed experimental flock with an average size of 60 animals; between 2004 and 2008, 38 scrapie cases were diagnosed in this flock, mostly in the VRQ/VRQ and the VRQ/ARQ animals (V, valine), and also in some of the ARQ/ARQ sheep. Another was a flock of 200 sheep, in which the index scrapie case was diagnosed in 1998 in an ARH/ARH (H, histidine) sheep; five further cases were detected the same year in sheep of the ARQ/ARH and ARQ/ARQ genotypes and the flock was depopulated. The third was a commercial flock of 150 sheep, in which, between 2000 and 2006, 31 cases of scrapie were diagnosed, all of them in the ARQ/ARQ sheep.

**Histopathology and IHC.** Sheep were euthanized by barbiturate overdose. Sagittally sliced semi-brains obtained at post-mortem were fixed in formaldehyde and processed for paraffin-wax embedding by standard procedures. Sections of frontal cortex, corpus striatum, diencephalon, midbrain, cerebellum and medulla at the obex, were cut at 4 μm and stained with haematoxylin and eosin to perform examinations for spongiform change at 10 defined neuroanatomic areas (see Supplementary Table S2). These were performed by each participating laboratory following the scoring system described by Ligios et al. (2002). Vacuolar lesion profiles from individual sheep were compared by Spearman non-parametric correlation analyses (InStat GraphPad Software) between all possible pairs of sheep in order to establish the best-fitting groups. The five German scrapie cases were not considered in this comparative analysis as, probably due to their preclinical status, vacuolar lesions were minimal.

Two different approaches were followed for the characterization and comparison of IHC phenotypes between the different sheep examined:

(i) ‘long’ PrP\text{\textit{d}} profile: unlabelled sections of the above-indicated six brain areas from the 18 sheep from the other four countries were submitted to the UK participating laboratory, where they were mixed with the five sheep from the Scottish flock and blind coded. The sections were processed following a previously described IHC protocol (González et al., 2002), using PrP\text{\textit{d}} rat mAb R145 (Jeffrey et al., 2006). The magnitude of accumulation of eight different morphological and cell-associated types of PrP\text{\textit{d}} was subjectively scored by two pathologists independently; the PrP\text{\textit{d}} types considered were: intraneuronal, intraglial (intraoctytic and intramicroglial combined), stellate, astrocyte-associated (subpial, subependymal, perivascular and perivacular combined), particulate, perineuronal, coalescing and vascular plaques. These types have been described in detail previously (González et al., 2002, 2005; Sisó et al., 2010).

Tissue sections from the same brain areas were also examined by the Dutch, German, Italian and Spanish laboratories, each of them on their own sheep and with their own protocols. Although a formal description of the IHC phenotypes observed was not requested (hence there was no formal comparison with those described by the UK participant), each laboratory was asked to classify their scrapie cases according to the phenotypic similarities and differences observed.

(ii) ‘short’ PrP\text{\textit{d}} profile: the ‘long’ PrP\text{\textit{d}} profiles require a significant investment of time to perform and potentially may incur greater inter-observer error. To circumvent this problem, tissue sections of the forebrain were examined in order to construct the ‘short’ PrP\text{\textit{d}} profile of each sheep. This approach has been described in detail elsewhere (Sisó et al., 2010), and had been previously shown at a training workshop attended by representatives of all participating institutes, held at the UK participating laboratory in November 2006. Briefly, it involves the scoring of 14 different morphological types of PrP\text{\textit{d}} in 11 defined areas of two forebrain sections (cerebral cortex and corpus striatum). The mean value for each PrP\text{\textit{d}} type is then calculated and converted into percentage values by reference to the total magnitude of PrP\text{\textit{d}}, in order to minimize the effect of variability in absolute magnitudes of PrP\text{\textit{d}} accumulation. The profile results from the graphical representation of those values.

Each participant was requested to produce their own ‘short’ PrP\text{\textit{d}} profile, except for the German laboratory, whose sheep were disregarded due to the low levels of PrP\text{\textit{d}} immunoreactivity in those forebrain areas, which is consistent with the fact that these were preclinical scrapie cases (Sisó et al., 2009); they showed, however,
enough PrP\textsuperscript{d} in mid- and hind-brain areas as to enable their classification by the ‘long’ profile. To provide an inter-laboratory comparison of the ‘short’ PrP\textsuperscript{d} profiles, blind-coded sections of 13 sheep (those from Italy, Spain and the Netherlands) were also examined by the VLA. To classify these 13 sheep and the five from UK into groups, the ‘short’ PrP\textsuperscript{d} profiles from individual blind-coded sheep were compared by Spearman non-parametric correlation analyses between all possible pairs of sheep. In addition, the ‘short’ PrP\textsuperscript{d} profiles were subjected to cluster analysis (Stata 10; StataCorp).

Western blotting (WB) and PRNP genotyping. Frozen brain samples of cerebellum from 20 of 23 sheep were sent to the German laboratory, where they were analysed by discriminatory WB procedures as described elsewhere (Gretschel et al., 2005); brainstem samples from the three sheep from the Netherlands were analysed at their laboratory of origin by a similar WB procedure (Langeveld et al., 2006). The parameters considered in the analysis of results were the molecular mass of the unglycosylated band of the protease-resistant core of PrP (PrP\textsuperscript{res}), the PrP\textsuperscript{res} glycoprofile and the N-terminal/C-terminal antibody reactivity ratios (details of the antibodies used, as well as of the statistical methods used to analyse the results are given as Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Table S4).

In order to ascertain the existence of polymorphisms at codons other than 136, 154 and 171 of PrP, blood or brain tissue samples from all the 23 sheep were taken for PCR amplification and sequencing of the whole ORF of the PRNP gene on an Applied Biosystems 3130 Genetic Analyzer with the BigDye terminator v3.1 cycle sequencing kit as per the manufacturer’s protocol. Except for the three sheep from the Netherlands and the five from the UK, which were genotyped by the Spanish laboratory, all analyses were done at each of the participating laboratories as described elsewhere (Acín et al., 2004; Acutis et al., 2004; Lübben et al., 2004).
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