Sequences of flavivirus-related RNA viruses persist in DNA form integrated in the genome of Aedes spp. mosquitoes
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INTRODUCTION

The capture of genomic information from non-retroviral RNA viruses by eukaryotic cells is a potential evolutionary mechanism that has not been comprehensively addressed to date. As originally suggested (Zhdanov, 1975), one theoretical process could allow such capture based on an intracellular reverse transcriptase activity capable of copying viral RNA into a DNA form prior to integration into the host genome. Zhdanov and collaborators reported the presence, in infected cells, of DNA forms of numerous RNA viruses such as Tick-borne encephalitis virus (family Flaviviridae; Drynov et al., 1981), Measles virus (family Paramyxoviridae; Zhdanov & Parfanovich, 1974), Sindbis virus (family Togaviridae; Zhdanov & Azadova, 1976) and Lymphocytic Choriomeningitis virus (LCMV, family Arenaviridae; Gaidamovich et al., 1978). These findings could not be confirmed by other groups except in one case: Klenerman et al. (1997) described the presence of LCMV sequences present as DNA in mice over 200 days after infection. In vitro studies demonstrated that DNA synthesis from viral RNA was mediated by endogenous reverse transcriptase activity, but the possibility of integration of the viral sequence into the cellular genome was not investigated. In addition to this particular case, limited observations in the scientific literature support the hypothesis that horizontal transfer of genetic information from RNA viruses to eukaryotes is not impossible; for example, Morvan et al. (1999) detected DNA sequences of the glycoprotein gene of Ebola virus (family Filoviridae, RNA genome) in the spleen of an infected rodent by using PCR amplification. The issue of whether this DNA fragment was integrated or extrachromosomal could not be resolved. Also, Malik et al. (2000) identified regions of high similarity to the G2 envelope protein of phleboviruses (i.e. RNA viruses belonging to the family Bunyaviridae) in the genome of Caenorhabditis elegans. These envelope-like regions were inserted into the sequences of two BEL-like LTR-retrotransposons of the Pao/Ninja lineage.

Flavivirus-related sequences have been discovered in the dsDNA genome of Aedes albopictus and Aedes aegypti mosquitoes, demonstrating for the first time an integration into a eukaryotic genome of a multigenic sequence from an RNA virus that replicates without a recognized DNA intermediate. In the Aedes albopictus C6/36 cell line, an open reading frame (ORF) of 1557 aa with protease/helicase and polyprotein processing domains characteristic of flaviviruses was identified. It is closely related to NS1–NS4A genes of the Cell Fusing Agent and Kamiti River virus and the corresponding mRNAs were detected. Integrated sequences homologous to the envelope, NS4B and polymerase genes of flaviviruses were identified. Overall, approximately two-thirds of a flavivirus-like genome were characterized. In the Aedes aegypti A20 cell line, a 492 aa ORF related to the polymerase of the Cell Fusing Agent and Kamiti River virus was identified. These flavivirus-related integrated DNA sequences were detected in laboratory-bred and wild Aedes albopictus and Aedes aegypti mosquitoes, demonstrating that their discovery is not an artefact resulting from the manipulation of mosquito cell lines, since they exist under natural conditions. This finding has major implications regarding evolution, as it represents an entirely different mechanism by which genetic diversity may be generated in eukaryotic cells distinct from accepted processes.

The sequences reported in this article have been deposited in the DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank databases under accession numbers AF411835, AY223844, AY223845, AY223846, AY223847 and AY223848.
We report here a novel dataset that definitively establishes the existence of genetic transfer from RNA viruses to eukaryotic cells. Our observations involve flavivirus-related RNA viruses. Flaviviruses are enveloped viruses, the genome of which is a ssRNA molecule of positive polarity encoding a polyprotein secondarily cleaved to form structural (capsid- and envelope-associated) and non-structural (NS1–NS5) proteins. The evolutionary lineage includes more than 30 arthropod-borne viruses pathogenic for humans (e.g. Yellow Fever, Dengue, West Nile, Tick-borne encephalitis virus) but also non-vectored viruses and viruses only isolated in mosquitoes, such as Cell Fusing Agent virus (CFAV) (Cammisa-Parks et al., 1992) and Kamiti River virus (KRV) (Sang et al., 2003; Crabtree et al., 2003). The presence of DNA sequences related to CFAV and KRV in the genome of Aedes mosquitoes is reported and evolutionary implications are discussed.

**METHODS**

*Cell culture.* C6/36 Aedes albopictus cells, A20 Aedes aegypti cells and *Aedes w-albus* cells were grown at 28°C in Leibowitz L15 medium supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum and 10% tryptose phosphate broth, in the presence of penicillin (100 U ml⁻¹) and streptomycin (100 μg ml⁻¹) (all reagents from Invitrogen).

*Preparation of clonal C6/36 cell lines.* Serial dilutions in culture medium of a suspension of C6/36 cells were prepared. One hundred microlitre aliquots of the dilution containing an average of 1 cell per ml were distributed in 96-well microplates and incubated at 28°C for 7 days. Wells containing a single colony were selected, cells were transferred to 11 cm² wells and grown until cellular confluence.

*Extraction of nucleic acids.* Cultured cells were centrifuged at 2500 g and the resultant pellet was washed twice with Hanks' balanced salts solution. Individual mosquitoes were crushed using a mixer mill MM300 (Qiagen) in 200 μl Hanks' solution. DNA and RNA were extracted using the proteinase K/phenol/chloroform method (Sambrook et al., 1989) and the RNA Now kit (Biogentex), respectively.

*PCR protocols.*

**Flavivirus-like NS3 sequence in the genome of C6/36 cells.** PCR was performed using DNA template that had been extracted as described above, under standard conditions and without a reverse transcription step using the X1 (5’-YIRTGGIYTTATGAGWWGG-G-3’)/X2 (5’-RTTGGICCCCATYTYTCIHDTCTCIG-3’) primer set and a recombinant Taq polymerase (Invitrogen). The X1/X2 primer set was designed to hybridize with NS3 nucleotide patterns conserved in most of the flavivirus sequences available to date.

**Flavivirus-like NS5 sequence in the genome of Aedes aegypti.** PCR was performed using DNA template that had been extracted as described above, under standard conditions and without a reverse transcription step using the PF1S (5’-TGYRTTBAYAAACATGATGG-G-3’)/PF2R (5’-GTGTCCCADCDGDGTRTCIG-3’) primer set and a recombinant Taq polymerase. The PF1S/PF2R primer set was designed to hybridize with NS5 nucleotide patterns conserved in most of the flavivirus sequences available to date.

**Specific detection of cell silent agent (CSA) inserts in the genome of individual Aedes albopictus mosquitoes and in C6/36 subclones.** Conducted under similar conditions with primer sets CSA_NS3_S1/R1 (NS3 region: CSA_NS3_S1, 5’-GATCATGTGGCG-CAGCTTTATGG-3’; CSA_NS3_R1, 5’-CCTTGTTTCCAGAAADDTGACC-3’) and CSA_seq#2_S1/R1 (NS5 C-terminal region: CSA_seq#2_S1, 5’-AATTACGAGAGGACTTGCC-3’; CSA_seq#2_R1, 5’-GTGGAGGTTCTTCCTCAAGA-3’).

**Detection of CSA2 inserts in the genome of individual Aedes aegypti mosquitoes.** Conducted under similar conditions using the primer set PF1S/PF2R.

**PCR of the cytochrome oxidase 1 (CO1) gene of Aedes mosquitoes.** DNA was extracted from cell lines or individual mosquitoes as described above and amplified under standard conditions using primers CO1-1S (5’-TACACAAGAAAAGWGGGAATGGGAAAGAA-3’) and CO1-1R (5’-GTAATTCTGAATAASTATGTTGC-3’).

**Digestion by nucleases.** DNA extracted from C6/36 cells was digested at 37°C for 2 h in the appropriate buffer using either 50 U bovine pancreas DNase I, 10–40 U of restriction enzymes (PvuII or AluI) or 10 μg bovine pancreas RNase A ml⁻¹ (all enzymes from Roche Molecular Biochemicals).

**Genome walking.** This was performed using the Universal GenomeWalker kit and the Advantage Genomic Polymerase mix (Clontech Laboratories).

**Sequencing.** Sequencing of both DNA strands of amplicons was performed using PCR primers (and additional primers deduced from the sequence for long fragments), dRhodamine DNA sequencing kit and an ABI Prism 377 sequence analyser (Perkin Elmer).

**RT-PCR identification of mRNAs.** RNA was extracted from C6/36 cells using the RNA Now kit (Biogentex) and incubated at 37°C for 2 h with 50 U bovine pancreas DNase I. mRNAs were reverse transcribed at 42°C for 90 min in the presence of 200 U MuMLV Superscript II RNase H⁻ reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) and random hexamers (Roche Molecular Biochemicals). PCR was performed using overlapping sets of primers spanning the ORF of CSA (primers available upon request to the corresponding author). Direct PCR using the same primers was used as a control to exclude the presence of contaminating DNA.

**Southern blot.** DNA was extracted from C6/36 and *Aedes w-albus* cells as described above, digested by either Xhol, NotI or Smal restriction enzymes and run on a 0.8% agarose gel (overnight, 40 V in TAE buffer). The gel was subsequently treated with 0-24 M HCl (10 min) and a denaturation buffer (0.5 M NaOH, 1–5 M NaCl, 2 × 15 min), Transfer on to a nylon membrane (Hybond-N; Amershams Biosciences) was performed overnight using the capillary transfer method and the denaturation buffer. After neutralization (0.5 M Tris/HCl, pH 7–2, 1–5 M NaCl, 5 min), DNA was fixed (80°C for 1 h, followed by UV cross-linking). A 32P-labelled probe was prepared by the nick-translation method using a 599 nt PCR product located at the NS1–NS2 junction of CSA and hybridized to the immobilized DNA [overnight, at 67°C in CHURCH buffer (7% SDS, 0-5 M NaHPO₄, pH 7–2)]. Washings and exposure to X-ray film were performed as described elsewhere (Sambrook et al., 1989).

**Sequence analysis.** The CFAV genomic sequence was obtained from GenBank (accession no. NC_001564) and the KRV sequence was provided by M. B. Crabtree (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Fort Collins, CO, USA). This sequence is now available in GenBank under AY149904. Other sequences of flaviviruses used for phylogenetic reconstruction are the same as reported previously (de Lamballerie et al., 2002).
Sequences reported here were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers, AF411835 (sequence #1), AY223844 (#2), AY223845 (#3), AY223846 (#4), AY223847 (#5) and AY223848 (#6).

**Alignments and phylogenetic reconstruction.** Alignments of nucleotide or amino acid sequences were generated with the help of the CLUSTAL W (v1.74) program (Thompson et al., 1994) and pairwise genetic distances were estimated with the program MEGA v2.0 (Kumar et al., 2001).

A phylogenetic tree was reconstructed using the alignment of the CSA ORF amino acid sequence with the corresponding sequences of representative member viruses of the flavivirus lineage, with the pairwise distance algorithm and the neighbour-joining method implemented in MEGA. The robustness of the resulting branching patterns was tested by bootstrap analysis with 1000 replications.

**BLAST.** The relatedness of newly characterized sequences to sequences deposited in databases was assessed by the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (Altschul et al., 1990) implemented via the National Center for Biotechnology Information website (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/) against the complete GenBank database. The BLASTN (nucleotide query–nucleotide database comparison), BLASTP (protein query–protein database comparison) and BLASTX (nucleotide query–protein database comparison) algorithms were used. Relatedness to KRV sequence was tested using a local database of flavivirus polyproteins and the BLAST program implemented in the DNATools (v5.2.014) software program.

**Sequence repeats.** These were sought with DNATools (v5.2.014).

**Hydropathy plots.** Profiles of the protein encoded by CSA ORF and the homologous region of KRV polyprotein were produced in Microsoft Excel using the amino acid hydropathy values determined by Kyte & Doolittle (1982), and a sliding window of 11 aa. Aligned sequences were exported with all alignment-generated gaps maintained (with a hydropathy value of zero). This permitted the comparison of hydropathy plots of sequences of unequal lengths.

**Evaluation of divergence dates for mosquitoes and viruses.** The putative age of origin of the Diptera (~225 to 280 millions of years ago (mya)) has been previously evaluated from fossils, biogeographical history or molecular clock work (Simmons & Weller, 2001; Gaunt & Miles, 2002). This corresponds to a ~60% nucleotide divergence for the CO1 gene sequences of the most divergent diptera (see Fig. 4). If we put forward the hypothesis that evolution of the CO1 gene within this order conforms approximately to a molecular clock, the observed ~9% divergence between the CO1 sequences of *Aedes albopictus* and *Aedes w-albus* (this study, accession no. AY223849) indicates a date of divergence ~34–42 mya.

There are no published data regarding the evolutionary rate of viruses related to CFAV. The most comprehensive study of the rate of evolution in dengue virus (an *Aedes*-borne flavivirus) published to date (Twiddy et al., 2003) indicates that the substitution rate in the envelope gene is 2.5 to 11.8 × 10−4 substitutions site−1 year−1. For other ssRNA viruses, the most commonly reported substitution rates are 10−3–10−4 substitutions site−1 year−1 (Meyerans & Vartanian, 1999) with extreme values at 10−6 substitutions site−1 year−1. Thus, if we consider the ~35% nucleotide substitutions between the CFAV, KRV and CSA sequences, the date of divergence between these viruses should be ~3500 years ago (ya) (substitution rate ~10−4 substitutions site−1 year−1), with possible extremes from 350 (~10−4 substitutions site−1 year−1) to 350,000 ya (~10−6 substitutions site−1 year−1).

**RESULTS**

**Detection of DNA sequences related to the NS3 of flaviviruses in C6/36 *Aedes albopictus* cells**

Using consensus degenerate PCR oligonucleotides designed to amplify the NS3 region of flaviviruses and a direct PCR protocol (without a reverse transcription step), we obtained repeatedly positive amplification results from DNA extracts of uninfected cultured C6/36 *Aedes albopictus* cells. Negative results were constantly obtained in similar conditions using various mammalian cells (Vero, MRC5, BGM or Hep2 cells). Sequencing revealed that the amplified sequence was homologous to the NS3 gene of CFAV and KRV. To ensure the cellular origin of this sequence, the same cell line was obtained from other research units and from the American Tissue Culture Collection. All samples, tested independently, contained the DNA sequence. When cellular extracts were treated with RNase, DNase or restriction enzymes with cleavage sites in the amplified sequence (*PvuI, AluI*), only treatment with RNases allowed the subsequent PCR amplification of the sequence in question. This demonstrated that the molecular template was a double-stranded DNA molecule.

**Extension of sequence by genome walking and comparison with flaviviral sequences**

The sequence initially characterized was extended using genome walking. Results are presented in Fig. 1. A 10623 nt sequence was obtained (*Aedes albopictus* sequence #1) that includes an ORF of 1557 aa homologous to a region of CFAV and KRV genomes (59 and 67% identity, respectively), starting in the N-terminal part of the NS1 gene and ending shortly after the NS4A–NS4B junction.

Phylogenetic reconstruction using the complete amino acid ORF sequence and homologous sequences from viruses belonging to the flavivirus lineage confirmed the evolutionary relationship of the newly described *Aedes albopictus* cell silent agent (CSA) with CFAV and KRV (Fig. 2). The region of the flavivirus polyprotein homologous to the CSA ORF includes several cleavage sites for the viral serine protease, a series of cysteine residues (in the NS1 gene) and enzymic motifs (in the NS3 gene) responsible for serine protease and helicase/NTPase activities, which are widely conserved among flaviviruses (de Lamballerie et al., 2002). These sites and motifs are present and conserved in the CSA sequence, and the hydropathy profile of the protein deduced by conceptual translation of the ORF sequence is remarkably similar to that of the homologous region of KRV polyprotein (Fig. 3).

**Detection of mRNAs**

The presence of functional motifs in sequence #1 suggested that the transcription of an mRNA extending over the complete ORF might lead to functional viral enzymes. C6/36 total RNAs were extracted and treated with DNase. mRNAs
Fig. 1. Sequences determined from Aedes cultured cells via genome walking. Comparison of five sequences from Aedes albopictus (upper portion of figure) and one from Aedes aegypti (lower portion of figure) with the complete coding region of the Kamiti River virus. Open bars have significant similarity with the KRV polyprotein. Filled bars have significant similarity with insect genes as detailed in the figure. Hatched areas correspond to regions with no significant similarity with any known sequence. Aedes albopictus sequence #1 includes a series of imperfect direct repeats (idr a1 and 2; idr b1 to 5) and the conserved motif Θ (cmΘ) shared with sequences #3, #4 and #5.

Fig. 2. Phylogenetic relationship between the Aedes albopictus Cell Silent Agent and representative members of the flavivirus lineage. The CSA ORF aa sequence was aligned with the corresponding sequences of viruses belonging to the flavivirus lineage, including tick- (TB), Aedes- (AB) and Culex-borne (CB) viruses, viruses with no known vector (NKV) and viruses isolated only from Aedes mosquitoes (AM). The pairwise distance algorithm and the neighbour-joining method were used for phylogenetic reconstruction. Bootstrap values are indicated within the AM group (for 1000 pseudo-replications).
Fig. 3. Comparison of CSA, KRV and CFAV polyproteins. (a) Hydropathy profiles of the protein encoded by the main ORF of CSA and the corresponding region of KRV polyprotein. Amino acid hydropathy values determined by Kyte & Doolittle (1982), and a sliding window of 11 aa were used. (b) Proposed cleavage sites in the CSA polyprotein. Possible cleavage sites were deduced via alignment of CSA with CFAV and KRV polyproteins and comparison with cleavage sites identified previously by Cammisa-Parks et al. (1992) and Crabtree et al. (2003). Residues in bold in the CSA column are conserved in all three polyproteins. Underlined residues are common to one other polyprotein. (c) Conserved enzymic motifs in the proteins encoded by the NS3 gene. Sequence alignments are provided that include flaviviruses, the flavivirus-related Tamana bat virus (TABV), CFAV, KRV and the newly characterized CSA. Dashes represent alignment gaps; residues in bold are conserved; arrows indicate putative substrate binding sites; shaded letters correspond to catalytic sites. First line: amino acid completely (capital) or nearly completely (lower-case letters) conserved among flaviviruses belonging to the tick-borne group, to the mosquito-borne group and to the group with no known vector (dots stand for non-conserved amino acid positions). Second line: TABV sequence (only positions corresponding to conserved residues in flaviviruses are indicated). Third, fourth and fifth lines: amino acid sequences of CFAV, KRV and CSA.
were captured and purified by poly T magnetic beads and tested by direct PCR or RT-PCR with overlapping sets of primers in the main ORF of CSA. Results were constantly negative using direct PCR and positive using RT-PCR. This indicated the presence of RNA transcripts, which were sequenced and found to be 100% identical to the CSA ORF sequence.

Identification of other sequences related to flaviviruses

Genome walking using primers located in motif $\Theta$ (a 117 nt sequence located in the 3’-region of sequence #1) led to the characterization of a new sequence of interest (sequence #3), which is not contiguous to sequence #1. Sequence #3 includes a 1037 nt sequence related to KRV NS4B/NS5 (70% aa identity, BLASTP E value = $5e^{-153}$). In order to identify additional flavivirus-related sequences, primers were designed from the NS5 gene of CFAV/KRV and tested on C6/36 DNA extracts. This led to the identification of an 852 nt sequence (sequence #2) similar to the C-terminal region of the KRV NS5 (70% nt identity using coding positions 1 + 2), which is not contiguous to sequence #3. Genome walking extension of sequence #2 showed that the NS5-like insert is contiguous to a 334 nt region homologous to the KRV envelope (57% aa identity, BLASTP E value = $4e^{-31}$).

Evidence for integration into the cell genome

Overall, approximately two-thirds of a flavivirus-like genome were characterized, comprising a patchwork of sequences of various sizes. In order to test fully the hypothesis of integration into the cellular genome, we investigated the nature of the flanking sequences. We compared the latter with those short partial sequences that are available for Aedes albopictus, plus other insect sequences from GenBank. We found strong evidence that CSA sequences are present in the Aedes albopictus genome, at three different positions. In sequence #1 (first integration site), characterization of the 3’-non-viral flanking sequence led to the identification of the first 213 aa of an ORF with significant similarity (BLASTP E value = $7e^{-42}$) to the agCP8252 protein of Anopheles gambiae. Both deduced proteins contain a plant homeo domain (PHD) finger motif that folds into an interleaved type of zinc finger chelating two zinc ions. They are significantly related (BLASTP E value $<4e^{-06}$) to the capsid proteins of LTR-retrotransposons of the Pao/Ninja lineage. In sequence #2 (second integration site), the 3’-non-viral flanking sequence contains a 266 nt sequence that is 98% identical to the Aedes albopictus microsatellite AaUM1.3 (BLASTN E value = $1e^{-131}$). Moreover, sequences #1 and #3 (third integration site) contain the conserved motif $\Theta$. This was also identified in cellular sequences #4 and #5, which contain ORFs with cognate specific coding sequences in insect genomes. In sequence #4, a 602 aa ORF is closely related (BLASTP E value $<1e^{-154}$) to the agCP7521 protein of Anopheles gambiae. It includes a zinc-binding motif that is the central catalytic domain of an integrase related to the retrotransposon Copia of Drosophila melanogaster$^2$ (BLASTP E value = $1e^{-93}$). In sequence #5, a 241 aa ORF is related to the agCP7781 protein of Anopheles gambiae (BLASTP E value = $5e^{-76}$) and the AAD53951 sulfate transporter of Drosophila melanogaster (BLASTP E value = $1e^{-35}$).

In addition to investigations based on sequence determination and analysis, direct evidence for integration of the CSA sequence into the Aedes albopictus genome was provided by Southern blotting. A probe located at the NS1–NS2 junction of CSA specifically hybridized to 25, 19 and 13 kb restriction fragments of DNA extracted from Aedes albopictus and digested with NotI, XbaI and Smal, respectively. Hybridization with DNA extracted from Aedes w-albus cells was not observed (Fig. 4).

Analysis of CSA inserts in subclones of C6/36 cells

Having demonstrated the presence of CSA in the genome of C6/36 cells, we investigated whether all individual cells within the cell line contained this integration. We produced 50 new clonal lines from C6/36 cells that were tested using specific primers designed from the NS3 and NS5 regions of CSA. All clones tested positive for both the NS3 and NS5 insertion sites.

Analysis of CSA inserts in Aedes albopictus mosquitoes

Wild Aedes albopictus mosquitoes from Thailand, Texas (USA), Cameroon and Madagascar and laboratory-bred mosquitoes from Japan, Thailand, Madagascar, Italy and France were tested for the presence of CSA sequences using primers specific for the NS3 and NS5 regions. Amplification and sequencing of the CO1 gene was used as a control for the quality of DNA and identification of the mosquito (Simmons & Weller, 2001). Among 130 mosquitoes assigned as valid samples, 97.7% tested positive for at least one integration site, including those from all geographical origins tested, all stages of development (eggs, larvae, nymphs and adults) and both males and females.

Analysis of other Aedes species

The presence of CSA sequences in other Aedes species was examined in the closest relatives of Aedes albopictus (Singh & Bhat, 1971) [species Aedes w-albus (Shouche & Patole, 2000) and Aedes aegypti] with CSA-specific NS3 and NS5 primers and NS3 and NS5 degenerate primers (X1/X2 and PF15/PF2R). In Aedes w-albus cell culture, all results were negative. However, when laboratory-bred Aedes aegypti mosquitoes were tested with flavivirus NS5 consensus primers, a ‘CSA’ sequence distinct from CSA but related to CFAV and KRV was identified in the ten individuals tested. It was also found in all five wild specimens from Senegal that were tested and in the A20 Aedes aegypti cell line. The sequence was extended by genome walking and consisted of a 1479 nt long flavivirus-like region (Aedes
aegypti sequence #1), encoding a 492 aa ORF (61% aa identity to KRV, BLASTP E value = 0.0) related to the NS5 of flaviviruses and flanked by non-viral sequences (Fig. 1). The 3′-flanking region is related to the agCP11637 protein of Anopheles gambiae (BLASTP E value = 2e-9) and transposon I of Drosophila melanogaster (BLASTX E value = 5e-5), indicating integration into the genome of Aedes aegypti.

DISCUSSION

The PCR identification of a DNA sequence related to the NS3 of flaviviruses in uninfected C6/36 Aedes albopictus cells was unexpected. New cells, new primers and independent investigators working in a different location confirmed this result and ruled out the possibility of PCR contamination. The probability of such contamination was, however, very low, as the identified sequence clearly originated from a new uncharacterized virus of the CFAV group, distinct from CFAV and KRV (which had never been manipulated in our unit). The precise nature of the nucleic acid that was amplified was investigated by using nuclease specific for RNA (bovine pancreas RNase A), DNA (bovine pancreas DNase) or dsDNA (restriction enzymes). As expected, the action of RNase on C6/36 nucleic acid extracts did not prevent subsequent PCR amplification, while treatments by both DNase and restriction enzymes provided negative PCR results. This indicated that the PCR template was a dsDNA molecule. We examined whether this DNA sequence belonged to a DNA virus silently infecting C6/36 cells or was present in the cells in an episomal or integrated form. In the absence of an available genomic library for Aedes albopictus, this was investigated by the genome-walking method and allowed us (i) to extend the viral sequence, (ii) to discover new viral sequences, which were not contiguous to that originally identified, and (iii) to characterize non-viral flanking sequences. The latter sequences clearly belonged to the cell genome and allowed us to demonstrate the integration of the viral sequences at three different insertion points within the genome of C6/36 cells.

The main insert includes an ORF that corresponds to approximately one-half of the flavivirus polyprotein. Its integration within the cellular genome was confirmed by Southern blotting. The encoded 1557 aa polyprotein is homologous to the NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3 and NS4A of flaviviruses. This region includes the well-characterized enzymic domains of the viral helicase and serine protease. These motifs are conserved and the expression of the polyprotein is likely to lead to functional enzymes. This is a different situation to that observed in sequence #2, in which the sequence homologous to the flavivirus polymerase is truncated and includes numerous stop codons, formally excluding the possibility that a functional enzyme is generated. The subsistence of the long NS1–NS4B ORF along the evolution of Aedes albopictus and the conservation of enzymic domains suggests that the corresponding proteins are expressed. This hypothesis is reinforced by the detection of the corresponding mRNAs in C6/36 cells. Although the presence of the corresponding proteins has not yet been fully investigated and is necessary for definitive confirmation, the presence of mRNAs constitutes strong evidence that the captured genes are likely to be used by host cells.

An important point was to determine what proportion of cells contained the viral inserts. A large number of subclones of the cell line were produced and all tested positive
for the presence of inserts. Because the C6/36 cell line is clonal (Igarashi, 1978), this implied the presence of insertions in the original parent cell (and therefore in the mosquito larvae used for establishing the cell line). This led us to test laboratory-bred and wild Aedes albopictus mosquitoes, for which positive results were obtained with mosquitoes from all geographical origins tested, all stages of development and both males and females. Only 2–3% tested negative for all integration sites; however, these results may represent technical artefacts because they were obtained from dried insects rather than fresh material. Nevertheless, the presence of integrated sequences in the majority of individuals is of great interest because it demonstrates that the integration of CSA sequences is not an artefact due to the manipulation of the C6/36 cell line and exists in wild mosquitoes. It is likely to be associated with vertical transmission of the sequence through generations of mosquitoes rather than current infection of Aedes albopictus mosquitoes by the viral form of CSA.

The discovery of the CSA2 sequences in the genome of Aedes aegypti mosquitoes provides the first example of flavivirus-like sequence detected in the dsDNA of mosquitoes. The NS5-like sequence characterized is different from that of Aedes albopictus and does not include the functional motifs of a polymerase. As observed in the case of Aedes albopictus, the analysis of non-viral flanking sequences provides strong evidence for integration in the cellular genome and the flavivirus-like sequence is present in laboratory-bred and wild mosquitoes. The implications of the presence of different flavivirus-related sequences in different mosquitoes are important from an evolutionary point of view and are discussed further below.

The presence of flaviviral-like sequences in mosquito genomes could be explained by one of two hypotheses: either (i) these sequences were integrated into the genomes of Aedes spp. mosquitoes following infection by the corresponding RNA viruses or (ii) flaviviruses originate from the genome of Aedes spp. The latter hypothesis is contradicted by several observations. Firstly, the organization of these genes as a unique ORF in the genomes of flaviviruses is not observed in insect genomes, where they constitute independent inserts. In addition, with the remarkable exception of Aedes albopictus sequence #1, these genes are truncated (Aedes albopictus sequences #2 and #3 and Aedes aegypti sequence #1) or contain multiple stop codons (Aedes albopictus sequence #2). Also, there is a complete absence of overlap between sequences of the different CSA inserts identified, which strongly suggests a single original integration, followed by several reshuffling events. Secondly, our current knowledge of flaviviruses and mosquito evolution suggests that the split between Aedes albopictus, Aedes aegypti and Aedes w-albus (~34–42 mya; Fig. 5) is significantly more ancient than the most recent common ancestor of CSA, CFAV and KRV (probably around ~3500 ya and certainly less than 350 000 ya). Therefore, a cellular origin of flaviviruses would require the conservation of an intact ORF within Aedes spp. genomes throughout several million years, with a sudden disappearance from the genomes of both Aedes albopictus and Aedes aegypti mosquitoes during the recent millennia. This is a very improbable

Fig. 5. Evolutionary relationship within the order Diptera based on nucleotide sequence analysis of the CO1 gene. Members of families Drosophilidae (Drosophila sp.), Chironomidae (Chironomus sp.), Culicidae (Anopheles sp.), Tephritidae (Bactrocera sp.) and of genera Culex (Culex sp.) and Aedes (Aedes sp.) were included. The genetic distances between Aedes w-albus and Aedes albopictus or Aedes aegypti are similar (~9%). The corresponding polytomy observed in the tree is probably a soft multifurcation due to the low resolution of branching. Dating of the common ancestor of the three species is 32–44 mya and that of the complete order is 226–280 mya (Simmons & Weller, 2001; Meyerhans & Vartanian, 1999).
evolutionary scenario. Thirdly, the polymerases of numerous viruses that specifically infect mammalian cells (pestiviruses, hepaciviruses and Tamana bat virus) are phylogenetically related to those of flaviviruses (de Lamballerie et al., 2002) and there is little chance that these viruses originated from the genome of mosquitoes in recent times; for example, it has been suggested that the common ancestor of hepaciviruses GB virus A and C existed 35 mya in primates (Charrel et al., 1999).

The first hypothesis is clearly the most plausible, namely that Aedes spp. mosquitoes have been infected by flavivirus-like viruses prior to integration events. In the case of CSA2, this scenario is supported by the fact that Aedes aegypti is a mosquito of African origin, and CFAV and KRV were isolated from African Aedes aegypti and Aedes macintoshi mosquitoes, respectively. However, in the case of CSA, there is a discrepancy between the geographical origin of the mosquitoes and the virus. To date, CFAV and KRV have only been isolated from African mosquitoes, while Aedes albopictus, the ‘Asian tiger mosquito’, originates from Asia. It was propagated worldwide in the last few decades but the integration event probably occurred in Asia prior to its dispersal, since all the Asian specimens that could be tested contained CSA sequences. No virus related to CFAV and KRV has been isolated in Asia to date, but this does not rule out the possibility that such viruses exist. In addition, the times to the most recent common ancestor of the Aedes spp. (Fig. 4) and that of CSA, CFAV and KRV differ by orders of magnitude ~35 mya and ~3500 ya, respectively). This indicates that Aedes albopictus and Aedes aegypti did not inherit the CSA and CSA2 sequences from a common ancestor. Therefore, at least two independent integration events occurred. This is important to consider, as it suggests that integration events are not exceptional and might be reproduced experimentally.

In summary, we have reported for the first time the presence of a multigenic sequence from a non-retroviral RNA virus in a eukaryotic cell, and have demonstrated that it takes the form of DNA integrated into the cellular genome itself. Our observations in mosquitoes shed new light upon previous studies, which have found DNA forms of RNA viruses in cells from species as wide-ranging as mammals and nematodes, suggesting that this phenomenon is in fact more common than previously thought. Previous findings described viruses with a ssRNA genome of negative polarity (Filoviridae) or with a segmented ssRNA genome of negative or ambisense polarity ( Arenaviridae, Bunyaviridae), while flavivirus genomes are ssRNAs of positive polarity. RNA viruses are characterized by their extreme genetic diversity and their propensity to evolve quickly, and thus may represent a source of evolution for eukaryotic cells, which are genetically much more stable. In the case of CSA, the integration into the majority of mosquitoes tested, the perfect conservation of enzymic motifs and the detection of mRNAs suggests that an advantage may be conferred upon Aedes albopictus from the expression of the viral proteins. This finding could have major implications in terms of evolutionary theory since it represents an entirely different method apart from the accepted processes for the generation of genetic diversity in eukaryotic cells. In addition, it will be of major importance to determine whether RNA viruses infecting humans are able to transmit genes to infected somatic cells and what consequences this could have. The mechanisms of reverse transcription and integration remain to be determined precisely. They will be discussed in another article in preparation, together with the report of experimental data demonstrating that generation of cDNAs can be observed following the infection of mosquito cells by present-time flavivirus-related viruses such as CFAV.
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