Single point mutation in tick-borne encephalitis virus prM protein induces a reduction of virus particle secretion
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Flaviviruses are assembled to bud into the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and are secreted through the vesicle transport pathway. Virus envelope proteins play important roles in this process. In this study, the effect of mutations in the envelope proteins of tick-borne encephalitis (TBE) virus on secretion of virus-like particles (VLPs), using a recombinant plasmid expression system was analysed. It was found that a single point mutation at position 63 in prM induces a reduction in secretion of VLPs. The mutation in prM did not affect the folding of the envelope proteins, and chaperone-like activity of prM was maintained. As observed by immunofluorescence microscopy, viral envelope proteins with the mutation in prM were scarce in the Golgi complex, and accumulated in the ER. Electron microscopic analysis of cells expressing the mutated prM revealed that many tubular structures were present in the lumen. The insertion of the prM mutation at aa 63 into the viral genome reduced the production of infectious virus particles. This data suggest that prM plays a crucial role in the virus budding process.

INTRODUCTION

Enveloped viruses acquire their lipid envelopes by budding through the plasma membrane or the membrane of an intracellular organelle, such as the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), the ER to Golgi intermediate compartment or the Golgi complex (Garoff et al., 1998). Flaviviruses are generally thought to bud into the ER of infected cells (Lindenbach & Rice, 2001). Virus particles have been detected by electron microscopy in the lumen of the rough ER, and in the lumen of either the smooth ER or the intermediate compartment (Ishak et al., 1988; Wang et al., 1997). However, the details of the mechanism of the budding process are still almost unknown.

It has been reported that the envelope proteins play an important role in the budding process of many viruses. Expression of the M and E envelope proteins of mouse hepatitis virus, without other viral proteins, led to the secretion of virus-like particles (VLPs), which were morphologically similar to native virions (de Haan et al., 1998; Vennema et al., 1996). The hepatitis B virus (HBV) surface proteins can be secreted as subviral particles, but their morphology is quite different from HBV virions (Patzer et al., 1986; Simon et al., 1988). In the case of flaviviruses, slowly sedimenting haemagglutinin (sHA), which lacks infectivity, is secreted from virus-infected cells (Gritsun et al., 1989; Heinz & Kunz, 1977). sHA has viral envelope proteins but lacks nucleocapsid protein and viral RNA, and its particulate structure is similar to the infectious virion, except for lower density and smaller size. Expression of the prM and E protein of several flaviviruses without other viral proteins results in the secretion of sHA particles (Allison et al., 1995b; Konishi et al., 1992; Mason et al., 1991).

The flavivirus envelope has two proteins: the major envelope glycoprotein E (molecular mass 52 kDa) and the small membrane protein M (molecular mass 7–8 kDa). Both proteins are synthesized as part of a polyprotein precursor and then co- and post-translationally cleaved into the individual proteins (Lindenbach & Rice, 2001). The M protein is cleaved first into an intermediate precursor called prM, before final processing.

E protein is a well-characterized viral protein in flavivirus. E protein mediates virus entry via receptor-mediated endocytosis and also carries the major antigenic epitopes leading to a protective immune response (Heinz & Mandl, 1993).
The X-ray crystallographic resolution of the structure of the E ectodomain of TBE virus revealed that E protein forms head-to-tail homodimers that lie parallel to the viral envelope (Rey et al., 1995). In low-pH condition, such as in endocytic vesicles, these homodimers dissociate and lead to the irreversible formation of homotrimers (Allison et al., 1995a; Stiasny et al., 2001, 2002).

M is synthesized as precursor protein, prM (molecular mass 25 kDa) in ER, carrying one N-linked oligosaccharide. One of the roles of prM protein reported previously is a chaperone-like activity for the folding and maturation of E (Konishi & Mason, 1993; Lorenz et al., 2002). Newly synthesized E and prM proteins associate to form heterodimers that are incorporated into immature virions (Wengler & Wengler, 1989). This heterodimerization leads to the final native conformation of E and protects E from inactivation by acidification in the transport vesicles (Heinz & Allison, 2000). Shortly before release from the cell, the immature particles are converted to the active form by cleavage of the pr-portion from prM by a cellular furin protease in trans-Golgi network and prM turns into M (Elshuber et al., 2003; Stadler et al., 1997).

Recent examination of the assembly and maturation of Kunjin virus revealed that the assembly of virions occurs within the lumen of the rough ER (Mackenzie & Westaway, 2001). Furthermore, the structure of immature flavivirus particles containing prM was analysed by cryoelectron microscopy (Zhang et al., 2003). Sixty trimeric spikes were organized icosahedrally on the surface of the particles, in contrast to the smooth surface of mature virions reported previously (Kuhn et al., 2002). In the spike structure, prM covers the fusion peptides of E in a manner similar to the organization of the glycoproteins in alphavirus spikes (Zhang et al., 2002). In this way, various approaches have revealed the morphological assembly and maturation processes of virus particles, but the molecular mechanism of virus budding and secretion remains obscure.

In this study, we constructed plasmids expressing mutant prM and E proteins of TBE virus, and tested the effect of these mutations on the production of VLPs when expressed in mammalian cells. This allowed the identification of a single point mutation in prM that induced a reduction of secretion of VLPs. The mutation in prM did not affect the oxidative folding of the viral envelope proteins nor the chaperone-like activity of prM. The envelope proteins not secreted from the cells due to the prM mutation accumulated in the cytoplasm, and the transport of viral envelope proteins to the Golgi complex was also inhibited. By electron microscopy, tubular structures were observed in the lumen of the ER. When the point mutation in prM was introduced into the TBE virus genome, it severely reduced the ability of the mutant viral RNA to produce infectious particles. This data points out the critical role of prM protein in the virus budding process.

**METHODS**

**Cells.** Baby hamster kidney (BHK)-21 cells were grown at 37°C in MEM supplemented with 8% FCS and 2 mM l-glutamine. 293T cells were cultured at 37°C in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium, containing 10% FCS, 2 mM l-glutamine and penicillin-streptomycin (50 U and 50 µg ml⁻¹, respectively).

**Antibodies.** For detection of TBE virus prM and E proteins, ELISA, immunoprecipitation and immunofluorescence experiments, mouse anti-E mAb 1H4 and 4H8, prepared in our laboratory, were used (Komoro et al., 2000). Rabbit polyclonal anti-prM and anti-E antibodies were prepared by immunization with recombinant prM and E proteins expressed in the pET153 system (Novagen). For the immunofluorescence colocalization studies, anti-calreticulin rabbit polyclonal antiserum (Affinity BioReagents) or anti-giantin rabbit polyclonal antiserum (Gownace Research Products) was applied. FITC conjugated anti-mouse IgG antibodies and Texas red conjugated anti-rabbit IgG antibodies (Jackson Immunoresearch) were used as secondary antibodies in the immunofluorescence assays.

**Plasmid construction.** The production of the recombinant plasmid pCAGprME expressing prM and full-length E, derived from the Oshima 5-10 strain of TBE virus (GenBank accession no. AB062063), was described previously (Yoshii et al., 2003). For the construction of the mutant plasmids, TBE viral RNA was extracted from virus-inoculated suckling mouse brain and RT-PCR was performed as described previously (Takashima et al., 1997). Amplification of mutated DNA coding prM and E gene was carried out twice by error-prone PCR using XholMEf and ClalrNS1 primers (Table 1), with AmpliTaq DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems), in the presence of a high concentration of Mg²⁺, at low annealing temperature. To generate the mutant expression plasmid, clone 55, the PCR products were then digested with Xhol and Clal, and cloned into the pcAGGS/MCSR plasmid (Niwa et al., 1991).

For the construction of pCAGprMEpr63S, DNA fragments with the site-directed mutation were amplified by PCR using pr63Sf and pr63Sr primers (Table 1). The PCR products were digested by Xhol and PshAI and inserted into the pCAGGprME wild-type plasmid, also treated with Xhol and PshAI. For the pr88E and E276V464P plasmids, DNA fragments amplified using appropriate primers (M160f and M4 for pr88S; 5s and ClalrNS1 for E276V464P, Table 1) were digested by

**Table 1. Primers used in this study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Primer sequence* (5’–3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XholMEf</td>
<td>aggctctegaatgtAGGTGTGCAAAGACG (Xhol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClalrNS1</td>
<td>ctcagcggcttaATAATTTTGCTACACTCGGATA-CCTCCC (Clal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pr63Sf</td>
<td>TAGACCCAGGGGAGGAACGGTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pr63Sr</td>
<td>GCGGTCTCTCCTCGTCTTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M160f</td>
<td>AGGGGGAGGAGACCGGTTGAC (AgeI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-4</td>
<td>CATTGAGGCTTCCCTCACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5s</td>
<td>CGAGGACCTGTCCTGGTAT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Viral and nonviral sequences are in uppercase and lower case, respectively. Restriction endonuclease sites are underlined and indicated in parentheses. Italics show the start and stop codons.†Mutations within the viral sequences are depicted in italicized lower case.
restriction enzymes (Agel and PsbAI for pr88E; BstZ17I for E276V/464P) and inserted into the pCAGprME wild-type plasmid, as described above.

For the construction of the TBE virus infectious cDNA clone containing the Pro→Ser mutation at position 63 in prM, the site-directed mutation was substituted into pGEMT-CprME using the pr63Sf and pr63Ssr primers described above. The reconstructed plasmid was then digested with SpeI and the fragment containing the mutation was replaced into Oshima IC-pt, as described previously (Hayasaka et al., 2004). The new cDNA construct was designated Oshima IC-pr63S.

**Transfection.** 293T cells, grown to 60–70% confluence in six-well culture plates, were transfected with 2 μg each plasmid complexed to TransIT-LT1 reagent (PanVera) in Opti-MEM (Invitrogen). At 24 h post-transfection (or as otherwise stated), the cells and supernatant were harvested and used for further experiments.

**ELISA.** Transfected cells were lysed with 1% Triton X-100 in 10 mM Tris-buffered saline (TBS) and the supernatants were treated with 1% Triton X-100. Triton X-100-solubilized samples were incubated on ice for 20 min and then centrifuged at 16,000 g for 10 min, then washed four times with 1% Triton X-100 in 10 mM TBS. Subsequently, the precipitated materials were solubilized by adding Laemmli buffer (Laemmli, 1970) and by heating to 95°C for 10 s and washed four times with 1% Triton X-100 in 10 mM TBS. Subsequently, the precipitated materials were solubilized by adding Laemmli buffer (Laemmli, 1970) and by heating to 95°C for 10 min, then washed four times with 1% Triton X-100 in 10 mM TBS. The supernatant, which excluded the nuclear fraction, was incubated on ice for 20 min and then centrifuged at 16,000 g for 20 min. The supernatant, which excluded the nuclear fraction, was precleared on Protein G-Sepharose beads (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) for 2 h at 4°C. Precladded lysates were combined with protein G-Sepharose beads with MAb 1H4 and precipitated by incubation for 2 h at 4°C. Immune complexes were pelleted at 10,000 g for 10 s and washed four times with 1% Triton X-100 in 10 mM TBS. Subsequently, the precipitated materials were solubilized by adding Laemmli buffer (Laemmli, 1970) and by heating to 95°C for 2 min and then analysed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

**Immunoprecipitation.** 293T cells were transfected with the wild-type or pr63S pCAGprME plasmid as described above. At 24 h post-transfection, the cells were lysed with Triton X-100 in 10 mM TBS, incubated on ice for 20 min and then centrifuged at 16,000 g for 20 min. The supernatant, which excluded the nuclear fraction, was precladded on Protein G-Sepharose beads (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) for 2 h at 4°C. Preclearred lysates were combined with protein G-Sepharose beads with MAb 1H4 and precipitated by incubation for 2 h at 4°C. Immune complexes were pelleted at 10,000 g for 10 s and washed four times with 1% Triton X-100 in 10 mM TBS. Subsequently, the precipitated materials were solubilized by adding Laemmli buffer (Laemmli, 1970) and by heating to 95°C for 2 min and then analysed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

**SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.** Transfected cells were lysed with Laemmli buffer under nonreducing or reducing (in the presence of 2-mercaptoethanol) conditions. Protein samples were electrophoresed through 8% and 15% polyacrylamide-SDS gels. The protein bands were transferred onto PVDF membranes, then incubated with 1% gelatin in 25 mM TBS containing 0-01% Tween 20 (TBST) for 30 min at room temperature. After washing with TBST, the membranes were reacted with polyclonal anti-E or anti-prM rabbit IgG for 1 h, followed by alkaline phosphatase conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Promega) for 30 min at room temperature. For the detection of glycosylation of envelope proteins, the membranes were treated with biotin conjugated cancanavalin A (Honen Corporation) and then with alkaline phosphatase conjugated streptavidin (Sigma). Protein bands were visualized using the AP detection reagent kit (Novagen).

**Immunofluorescence assay.** 293T cells grown on eight-well chamber slides (Nalge Nunc International) were transfected with the wild-type or pr63S pCAGprME plasmids. At 8 h post-transfection, cells were rinsed with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, then permeabilized with 0-2% Triton X-100 for 4 min at room temperature. After blocking with 2% BSA for 30 min, the cells were incubated at room temperature for 1 h with mouse mAb 1H4 and antibodies that recognize marker proteins of various cellular organelles, at dilutions between 1:100 and 1:1000 in antibody-dilution buffer (PBS containing 0-1% Triton X-100 and 2 mg BSA ml⁻¹). After extensive washing, the cells were incubated at room temperature for 1 h with fluorescence-label conjugated secondary antibodies, diluted 1:200. The cells were washed three times with PBS, followed by mounting of the coverslips on glass slides. Images were viewed and collected with an Olympus IX70 confocal microscope.

**Electron microscopy.** 293T cells were transfected with the wild-type or pr63S pCAGprME plasmids. At 24 h post-transfection, cells were harvested and centrifuged at 1,000 g for 5 min. The pellets were fixed with 3% (v/v) glutaraldehyde in 0-1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7-2) for 3 h and then rinsed three times with 0-1 M phosphate buffer. After post-fixation in a 1% (w/v) osmium tetroxide solution for 1·5 h, the pellets were dehydrated through a series of graded ethanol and embedded in Epon 812 via QY1 (Nishin EM). Ultrathin sections were cut, stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and examined under a JEM 1210 transmission electron microscope (JEOL) at an acceleration voltage of 80 kV.

**RNA transcription and transfection.** Oshima IC-pt or Oshima IC-pr63S were digested with SpeI and extracted using a QIAQuick gel extraction kit (Qiagen). Infectious RNA was transcribed in vitro using mMESSAGE mMACHINE SP6 kits (Ambion) in 20 μl reaction volumes, with an additional 1 μl GTP solution. After the transcription at 37°C for 2 h, template DNA was removed by DNase I digestion 37°C for 15 min. RNA was precipitated with lithium chloride, washed with 70% ethanol, resuspended in RNase-free water, and stored in aliquots at −80°C.

Approximately 5 × 10⁶ BHK cells in 0·5 ml cold PBS were electrophoresed with 10 μg RNA in 0·4 cm cuvettes using a GenePulser apparatus (Bio-Rad), pulsing twice at settings of 1·3 kV, 25 μF and maximum resistance. Transfected cells were equally divided into two T-25 flasks. After an overnight recovery, cell debris resulting from electroporation was washed away twice with PBS and fresh medium was added. At various times post-electroporation, aliquots of media were harvested as a source of recovered viruses. Infectious virus titre was assayed by the focus count assay, as described previously (Takashima et al., 1997).

**RESULTS**

### Mutant plasmid which induces a reduction of secretion of VLPs

We previously constructed plasmid pCAGprME, which expresses recombinant TBE virus prM/E proteins (Yoshii et al., 2003). In this system, VLPs are secreted from pCAGprME-transfected cells. In the current study, a mutant plasmid, which induced suppression of VLP secretion in spite of higher levels of intracellular viral proteins, was obtained by error-prone PCR. 293T cells were transfected with pCAGprME wild-type or with the mutant clone, designated clone 55. Although higher levels of E proteins were detected from cell lysates transfected with the mutant clone 55 by ELISA, E proteins in the culture media were drastically reduced (to approximately 1/50 to 1/100), as compared with the media of cells transfected with the wild-type plasmid.

Complete sequencing of the pCAGprME mutant clone 55 allowed identification of 5 nt changes that induce 4 aa changes (Fig. 1). The mutant prM protein had 2 aa changes at positions 63 and 88 in the pr region, which was eventually removed by an intracellular furin protease to produce the...
mature M protein (Stadler et al., 1997). The mutant E protein had two amino acid changes at positions 276 and 464. The Ile→Val substitution at position 276 is a conservative amino acid change and maps to the domain II of E proteins (Rey et al., 1995). The Leu→Pro substitution at position 464 maps to the first transmembrane region of E protein, which constitutes a membrane anchor (Allison et al., 1999; Mandl et al., 1989; Rice, 1996).

Identification of mutation involved in the reduction of secretion of VLPs

To determine which of the mutations was involved in the reduction of secretion of VLPs, three plasmids were constructed (Fig. 1): pCAGprME pr63S, containing a Pro→Ser mutation at position 63 in prM; pr88E, containing a Gly→Glu mutation at position 88 in prM; and E276V464P, containing Ile→Val and Leu→Pro mutations at positions 276 and 464 in E protein. We then transfected 293T cells with pCAGprME wild-type, mutant clone 55, pr63S, pr88E and E276V464P. At 24 h post-transfection, the culture media were harvested and E protein was detected by ELISA, as described above. Cells transfected with pr88E and E276V464P secreted the same level of E protein as wild-type transfected cells, but pr63S-transfected cells secreted very low levels of E protein, as did mutant-clone-55-transfected cells (Fig. 2). This indicated that the Pro→Ser mutation at position 63 in prM protein was involved in the reduction of VLP secretion.

To compare the kinetics of protein E secretion from cells transfected with pCAGprME wild-type and pr63S, supernatant and cell lysate samples were collected at 6, 9, 12, 16, 20, 24 and 28 h post-transfection. Levels of protein E in the intracellular and extracellular fractions were detected by ELISA. Regardless of prM mutation, almost equivalent level of protein E was detected by monoclonal antibodies that recognize conformational structures of protein E (Fig. 3a), indicating that prM mutation did not disturb protein E from reaching its final conformational structure. However, protein E secretion was drastically reduced by the prM mutation (Fig. 3b). This indicated that protein E, which was not effectively secreted from mutant-transfected cells, accumulated intracellularly.

Interaction between prM and E proteins

PrM and E proteins form heterodimers, which are involved in the folding and maturation of E protein (Konishi & Mason, 1993; Lorenz et al., 2002). To examine the mechanism of the reduction of secretion of VLPs induced by the Pro→Ser mutation at position 63 in prM protein, we first investigated the interaction between prM and E proteins. To investigate the heterodimer formation of prM and E protein, 293T cells transfected with the wild-type or the pr63S pCAGprME plasmid were lysed with Triton X-100, and the post-nuclear supernatants were immunoprecipitated with anti-E-specific mAb 1H4 (Komoro et al., 2000). Immunoprecipitates were then separated by SDS-PAGE and protein bands were detected by anti-E and anti-prM rabbit IgG, as described in Methods. As shown in Fig. 4(a), prM proteins were detected from immunoprecipitated samples from pr63S-transfected cells as well as from wild-type-transfected cells. The intensities for prM and E bands of pr63S-transfected cells were higher than those of wild-type-transfected cells, as observed in Fig. 3. These data indicated that heterodimerization between prM and E had occurred in spite of prM mutation. The glycosylation of prM and E proteins was examined by concanavalin A, which
binds to N-linked glycan. PrM and E proteins were glycosylated well in the immunoprecipitated sample of pr63S-transfected cells, indicating that the two proteins were functionally glycosylated. The effect of Pro→Ser mutation in prM proteins on oxidative folding were further analysed by SDS-PAGE under reducing and nonreducing conditions (Fig. 4b). For PrM, a clear difference in the electrophoretic mobility between nonreducing and reducing conditions was visible. This shift was identical for wild-type and mutant samples, indicating that disulfide bond formation occurred normally even in the presence of prM mutation. The corresponding shift in the E protein band was much smaller but was consistently observed regardless of prM mutation.

These data showed that the Pro→Ser mutation at position 63 in the prM protein did not affect the interaction and folding of prM and E proteins, regardless of the observed reduction in VLP secretion.

**Intracellular localization of recombinant TBE virus envelope proteins**

To determine the intracellular distribution of the viral envelope proteins, 293T cells were transfected with pCAGprME wild-type or pr63S plasmids. The cells were fixed, permeabilized and double stained for TBE virus envelope proteins and cellular marker antigens. Anti-calreticulin (Michalak et al., 1992) was used as a marker for ER (Fig. 5b and e), and anti-giantin (Linstedt & Hauri, 1993) was used as a marker for the Golgi complex (Fig. 5h and k). A mouse mAb anti-E (Fig. 5a, d, g and j) was used to stain viral envelope proteins. In wild-type-transfected cells, the distribution of viral envelope protein overlapped almost completely with the ER marker (Fig. 5c) and Golgi marker (Fig. 5i), indicating that viral envelope protein was transported into the Golgi complex. While distribution of viral envelope protein in the ER was observed (Fig. 5f), overlap of viral envelope proteins and Golgi marker was hardly seen in pr63S-transfected cells (Fig. 5l). These data suggest that the mutation at position 63 in prM proteins causes the accumulation of viral envelope proteins in the ER.

**Electron microscopy analysis of VLP assembly**

As shown in Fig. 6(a), many VLPs were observed in the lumen of the ER in wild-type-transfected cells. However, in pr63S-transfected cells, the spherical VLPs observed in wild-type-transfected cells were hardly seen in the ER lumen; instead, there were many long tubular structures in the ER lumen (Fig. 6b). The tubular particles were 0-1 to 2-0 μm in length and they were not observed in the Golgi complex (data not shown).

**Pro→Ser mutation in prM protein affects TBEV infectivity**

To investigate the effect of the prM mutation on viral infectivity or on viral budding, the Pro→Ser mutation at position 63 was inserted into an infectious cDNA clone of the TBE virus genome. A full-length TBE viral cDNA containing Pro→Ser mutation was constructed (Oshima IC-pr63S), and BHK cells were transfected with in vitro-transcribed TBE viral RNA. Virus production was analysed by focus count assay of the medium harvested from transfected cells at 24 and 48 h post-transfection. As shown in Table 2, the cells transfected with Oshima IC-pr63S secreted fewer infective virus particles than cells transfected with Oshima IC-pt. Total secreted E proteins were also reduced by Pro→Ser mutation and secreted E proteins to f.f.u. ratios were almost same regardless of prM mutation. There was no mutation in recovered virus except for Pro→Ser mutation in prM. These data confirm the
findings of the expression studies concerning the effects of the pr63S mutation on secretion of TBE virus VLPs.

**DISCUSSION**

In many enveloped viruses, the envelope proteins play important roles in the assembly and budding of virus particles (Garoff et al., 1998). In flaviviruses, it has been shown that virus envelope proteins prM and E are secreted in the form of VLPs when they are expressed recombinantly in mammalian cells without other viral proteins (Allison et al., 1995b; Konishi et al., 1992). Because VLPs have features that are structurally and functionally similar to virus envelope, they have been used as useful tools in the investigation of virus envelope function and the kinetics of viral envelope proteins (Allison et al., 2001; Corver et al., 2000; Lorenz et al., 2003; Op De Beeck et al., 2003). In this study, we constructed a mutant plasmid that reduced VLP secretion in cells expressing recombinant TBE virus prM and E proteins. By analysis of the mutant plasmids, the Pro→Ser mutation at position 63 of the prM protein was found to cause the reduced VLP secretion (Fig. 2). PrM has been reported to serve as a chaperone-like protein in the early steps of virus maturation (Konishi & Mason, 1993; Lorenz et al., 2002). After the cleavage of an N-terminal signal sequence of prM by a host cellular peptidase, prM forms heterodimers with E protein, and E protein attains its native conformation (Stocks & Lobigs, 1995). In addition, it has been thought that prM protects E protein from low-pH rearrangements during transport thorough the acidic compartments of the trans-Golgi network by keeping E protein in an inactive structure (Heinz & Allison, 2000). To date, the function of prM in E protein maturation has been studied, but other properties of prM are still unclear. Consequently, this mutation in prM, which reduces VLP secretion, brings a new aspect to the study of prM functions.

The interaction between prM and E proteins is important during the early events of virus particle maturation and secretion. It has been reported that E protein cannot attain full maturity when expressed alone, while prM protein is able to fold independently of other viral components (Lorenz et al., 2002). Furthermore, the secretion of E protein requires cosynthesis with prM, as demonstrated previously in many flaviviruses (Allison et al., 1995b; Konishi & Mason, 1993; Ocazionez Jimenez & Lopes da Fonseca, 2000). Heterodimerization of prM and E leads to the final native conformation of E protein, which is an early process in virus maturation. Therefore, we first examined whether the reduced VLP secretion induced by the position 63 mutation in prM was related to the alteration of interaction between the prM and E proteins. The results of immunoprecipitation

---

**Fig. 4.** Interaction between prM and E proteins expressed in transfected 293T cells. (a) Heterodimer formation of prM and E proteins in transfected cells. 293T cells were transfected with pCAGprME wild-type (wt), pr63S (63) or control plasmid pCAGGS (C). At 24 h post-transfection, post-nuclear supernatant was immunoprecipitated with mouse anti-E mAb 1H4, followed by analysis of the proteins by SDS-PAGE (7.5 and 12%, top and bottom, respectively), and transferred to PVDF membranes. Protein bands were detected using anti-E and anti-prM rabbit polyclonal antiserum. Concanavalin A was used for the detection of glycosylated proteins. Positions of the individual proteins are marked, and molecular size is indicated at the side, in kilodaltons. (b) Oxidative folding of prM and E in transfected cells. Post-nuclear supernatant of transfected cells was subjected to SDS-PAGE in nonreducing and reducing conditions and transferred to membrane. Protein bands were detected using anti-E and anti-prM rabbit polyclonal antiserum.
with anti-E monoclonal antibodies also indicate that heterodimerization between prM and E, as well as oxidative folding and glycosylation of viral envelope proteins, occurred normally in the presence of the position 63 mutation in prM (Fig. 4). Furthermore, total production level of protein E, which had conformational structures, was not affected by the prM mutation (Fig. 3a), indicating that the position-63 mutation in prM does not detrimentally affect the maturation of protein E. These data suggest that the reduction of VLP secretion induced by the prM mutation was due to a later step of virus particle budding and secretion, not to the prM and E interaction in the early events of virus budding.

It has been reported that flavivirus particles are assembled into the ER lumen (Mackenzie & Westaway, 2001). Thus, the mechanism of flavivirus secretion can be divided into two steps. Virus particle budding in ER membrane, followed by virus transport through the secretory pathway. To identify the influence of the prM position 63 mutation in virus secretion, the intracellular localization of the viral envelope proteins was examined. Envelope proteins expressed with the mutated prM were not transported to the Golgi complex, and accumulated in the ER (Fig. 5). Electron microscopic analysis revealed that many tubular structures, which differed from spherical VLPs in shape, were observed in the ER lumen of cells transfected with a plasmid, with the mutation in prM (Fig. 6). In the Lorenz et al. (2003) study, it was reported that similar tubular structures were occasionally seen in cells expressing TBE virus prM and E, and that these structures were not observed in the Golgi complex. The tubular structures observed in cells expressing mutated prM and E in our study may be comparable to those noted in the Lorenz study, and they may not undergo secretion due to their abnormal budding. Another possibility is that the tubular structures are membrane components that detach from the ER lumen due to the damage to membrane structures caused by accumulation of viral envelope proteins. In any case, the position 63 mutation in prM clearly affects the budding process of the virus particle.

In many enveloped viruses, the cytoplasmic domain of the envelope proteins has been assigned an important role in virus assembly. For vesicular stomatitis virus, the cytoplasmic domain is important for incorporation of the

---

**Fig. 5. Intracellular localization of expressed envelope proteins.** 293T cells transfected with pCAGprME wild-type (a, b, c, g, h and i) or pr63S (d, e, f, j, k and l) were fixed and subjected to dual staining with TBE virus-specific antibodies and antibodies against marker proteins for cellular organelles as primary antibodies. The samples were then reacted with secondary antibodies conjugated to FITC or Texas red. Shown are cells stained with a mouse anti-E mAb 1H4 (a, d, g and j), immunofluorescent staining of ER with rabbit polyclonal anti-calreticulin (b and e) and immunostaining of the Golgi with rabbit polyclonal anti-giantin antibodies (h and k). Colocalization of viral envelope protein with organelle markers is represented by the yellow regions within each cell in the merged images (c, f, i and l).
glycoprotein (Owens & Rose, 1993; Whitt et al., 1989). For alphaviruses, it has been shown that the cytoplasmic domain of the E2 glycoprotein has a critical role in virus budding (Kail et al., 1991; Owen & Kuhn, 1997; Zhao et al., 1994). Deletion of the cytoplasmic tails of influenza virus haemagglutinin and neuraminidase (NA) leads to irregularly shaped virions, and deletion of the NA cytoplasmic domain reduces the incorporation of NA into virions (Jin et al., 1997; Mitnaul et al., 1996). But unlike these viruses, flavivirus prM and E proteins have cytoplasmic loops consisting of only a few amino acid residues between their two transmembrane segments. Thus, it is

**Table 2. Virus titre in media of electroporated BHK-21 cells at 24 and 48 h post-electroporation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Template used for transcription</th>
<th>Virus titre (f.f.u. ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>f.f.u./extracellular E*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>48 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oshima IC-pt</td>
<td>2.3 x 10⁵</td>
<td>4.0 x 10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oshima IC-pr63S</td>
<td>1.4 x 10⁴</td>
<td>2.2 x 10⁵</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total extracellular E proteins were quantified by ELISA and E proteins to f.f.u. ratios were calculated by setting the ratio of 24 h at 1.0.*
thought that the luminal domains, or the two transmembrane domains of prM and E, play more critical roles in the assembly of these viruses.

In a recent study by Zhang et al. (2003), the structure of prM-containing immature particles of dengue and yellow fever virus was analysed by cryoelectron microscopy and image reconstruction techniques. The surface of the immature particles was characterized by the presence of 60 fairly prominent projections or spikes, which differed from the smooth surface of mature virus (Kuhn et al., 2002). In the spike structure, prM protein covered the fusion peptides of domain II of the E protein, similar to the case of alphaviruses, where the E2 glycoproteins protect the fusion peptides of the E1 glycoproteins within a trimeric spike (Zhang et al., 2002). Thus, it is suggested that the position 63 mutation in prM may induce conformational changes in the domain exposed on the outer side of the viral envelope, which is important for the virus budding process.

The cellular membranes involved in membrane transport normally form vesicles on the cytoplasmic side, such as clathrin coated vesicles, and COP I and COP II vesicles (Schekman & Orci, 1996). It is possible that prM-E heterodimers, alone or with cellular factors in the ER lumen, assemble laterally and induce the membrane curvature into an isometric lattice, like the assembly of coat proteins in membrane transport vesicles (Keen et al., 1979; Wieland & Harter, 1999). The abnormal budding induced by the prM mutation may be due to a dysfunction in this process, caused by structural changes in prM or by loss of interaction with a cellular component. Alternatively, the prM mutation may be related to the pinching off of particles from the ER membrane, as is the case for dynamin in clathrin-coated vesicles, and it might be possible that VLPs could not be pinched off properly due to the prM mutation (McNiven, 1998).

In summary, by analysis of a prM mutation that induces the reduction of VLP and virus particle secretion, we demonstrated a critical function for prM in the virus budding process. This mutation does not affect the heterodimerization between prM and E, and E proteins can reach the native conformation in spite of the prM mutation, suggesting the preservation of prM’s chaperone-like role. Envelope proteins that are not secreted due to the prM mutation accumulate in the ER, indicating the failure of virus particle budding. Molecular approaches focused on the ectodomain of prM protein should enable further investigation of the mechanisms during the virus budding process.
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