Phylogenetic study of *Staphylococcus* and *Macrococcus* species based on partial *hsp60* gene sequences
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A 600 bp partial *hsp60* gene sequence has been described previously as a novel genetic marker for species identification and phylogenetic studies within the genus *Staphylococcus*. In the present study, the 600 bp partial *hsp60* gene sequences of 40 validly described *Staphylococcus* species and subspecies and four *Macrococcus* species were PCR-amplified and sequenced. Phylogenetic analysis revealed excellent concordance between the unrooted dendrograms based on partial *hsp60* and 16S rRNA gene sequences. The genus *Macrococcus* is clearly separated from the genus *Staphylococcus*, but is closely related to the 'sciuri group', the only staphylococci that are cytochrome c oxidase-positive. The remaining *Staphylococcus* species clustered into five broad-based subdivisions, which corresponded to the 'aureus group', the 'epidermidis group', the 'haemolyticus group', the 'saprophyticus group' and the 'intermedius group'. These results agreed remarkably well with the current taxonomy of this diverse family, which is based on classical phenotypic and biochemical testing. Furthermore, pairwise sequence comparisons indicated that the *hsp60* gene is more divergent and more discriminatory than the 16S rRNA gene for species differentiation among strains of the genera *Staphylococcus* and *Macrococcus*. It is concluded that the *hsp60* gene may be an efficient alternative target for taxonomic and phylogenetic studies on members of these genera.

At the time of writing and according to the List of Bacterial Names with Standing in Nomenclature (Euzeby, 1997; http://www.bacterio.cict.fr/), the genus *Staphylococcus* consists of 36 species, most of which are coagulase-negative. Nine species of the genus also contain subdivisions with subspecies designation. Additionally, *Staphylococcus caseolyticus* has been assigned to a novel genus, *Macrococcus* (Kloos et al., 1998), which currently contains four species (*Macrococcus bovis*, *Macrococcus caseolyticus*, *Macrococcus carouselicus* and *Macrococcus equipericius*). Members of the genus *Macrococcus* are all coagulase-negative and catalase-positive, and can be distinguished phenotypically from most staphylococci on the basis of their cellular morphology (they are 2–5–4 times larger in diameter compared to *Staphylococcus aureus*) and their positive cytochrome c oxidase reaction. Although *S. aureus* is the most common and important coagulase-positive staphylococcal species causing human disease, other staphylococci, including *Staphylococcus intermedius*, *Staphylococcus delphini*, *Staphylococcus schleiferi* subsp. coagulans, *Staphylococcus lutrae* and some strains of *Staphylococcus hyicus*, are also coagulase-positive and have been implicated in some human infections (Kloos & Bannerman, 1995; Foster et al., 1997; Martin de Nicolas et al., 1995). In addition, whereas coagulase-negative staphylococci are generally considered to have a low virulence potential, some coagulase-negative staphylococci (e.g. *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Staphylococcus haemolyticus*, *Staphylococcus lugdenensis*, *S. schleiferi*, etc.) have assumed increasing medical importance in hospital-acquired infections (Hubner & Goldmann, 1999; Karchmer, 2000; Richards et al., 2000). Thus, there is a pressing need to accurately identify and speciate clinically important staphylococcal strains. However, despite the presence of phenotypic and genotypic differences among different *Staphylococcus* species, available methods for their identification in both clinical and reference laboratories are either cumbersome or lack both sensitivity and specificity (Birnbaum et al., 1994; Endl et al., 1984; Weinstein et al., 1998). Several molecular taxonomic methods, including DNA–DNA hybridization and 16S rRNA sequencing, as well as various PCR-based techniques, have been reported for the identification and
phylogenetic study of staphylococci (De Buyser et al., 1992; Freney et al., 1999). We have previously demonstrated the presence of hypervariable sequences within the ubiquitous and highly conserved gene encoding the bacterial 60 kDa heat-shock protein (Hsp60) (Goh et al., 1996; Kwok et al., 1999). In this communication, a 600 bp fragment of the hsp60 gene of 44 reference strains of different staphylococci and macrococci (including 34 different Staphylococcus species, nine with subspecies designation, and four Macrococcus species; Fig. 1) were PCR-amplified, and their phylogenetic relationships based on hsp60 sequences were analysed and compared with those based on 16S rRNA sequences. The two most recently described species (Staphylococcus condimenti and Staphylococcus fleurettii) were not included in this study. All strains were grown in brain–heart infusion (BHI) broth and were subcultured overnight on BHI agar plates to yield single colonies for genomic DNA extraction using the InstaGene purification kit (Bio-Rad).

PCR amplification was performed using a pair of hsp60 universal primers, H279 and H280, as described previously (Goh et al., 1996). These primers, with nucleotide sequences of 5'-GAAATTCAIIIIIGCGGIGA(TC)GGIAACIAIC-3' and 5'-CGCCGGAATCC(TG)I(TC)(TG)ITCICC(AG)AAIICCIGGIGC(TC)TT-3', respectively, amplify an anticipated 600 bp hsp60 DNA fragment. H279 had an EcoRI restriction site, while H280 had a BamHI restriction site. The amplicon was then digested with EcoRI and BamHI followed by cloning into pUC19 or it was directly cloned using a TA cloning vector, pCR2.1 (Invitrogen). Plasmid DNA was purified using the Wizard Plasmid Miniprep kit (Promega). For sequencing of the 600 bp region, the 600 bp hsp60 DNA fragment was PCR-amplified using modified H279 and H280 primers containing M13 primer-binding sites on the ends (Goh et al., 1997). The amplicon was then purified using the QiaQuick PCR purification kit or the QIAEX II gel extraction kit (Qiagen), prior to sequencing using an automated DNA sequencer (model 373A; Applied Biosystems).

Sequence analysis was performed with the entire 600 bp amplicon, omitting the primer sequences used to amplify the hsp60 genes. Pairwise- and multiple-sequence alignments were performed using the CLUSTAL W program, version 1.7 (Thompson et al., 1997). Phylogenetic analysis was performed using the PHYLIP package, version 3.57 (Felsenstein, 1995). The unrooted phylogenetic tree was constructed by the neighbour-joining method (Saitou & Nei, 1987), using the Jukes–Cantor one-parameter model to correct for multiple superimposed substitutions (Jukes & Cantor, 1969). The degree of data support for the tree topology was quantified by the bootstrap method, using 1000 iterations. For comparison purposes, the published 16S rRNA gene sequences of corresponding Staphylococcus and Macrococcus species were downloaded from the GenBank database, and a 16S rRNA-based phylogenetic tree was constructed using approximately the first 1300–1500 nt at the 5' end of each available sequence.
As expected, a 600 bp PCR product was amplified from all 44 *Staphylococcus* and *Macrococcus* reference species tested using the *hsp60* universal primers (data not shown). All PCR amplicons were sequenced and the resulting data were deposited in the GenBank database (accession nos in Fig. 1). DNA sequence alignments demonstrated the presence of highly conserved regions interspersed with variable segments that appeared to be randomly distributed within the 600 bp amplicons (data not shown). Pairwise sequence identity scores based on partial *hsp60* gene sequences among the four *Macrococcus* species tested ranged from 82 to 87 % (mean 83 %), while those among the 40 *Staphylococcus* species or subspecies examined ranged from 74 to 98 % (mean 82 %). In contrast, the partial *hsp60* sequence identity between unrelated Gram-positive (*Streptococcus* pyogenes and *Bacillus subtilis*) and Gram-negative (*Escherichia coli*, *Campylobacter jejuni*, *Vibrio cholerae* and *Aeromonas hydrophila*) bacteria ranged from 53 to 64 % (data not shown). Sequence identity scores for pairwise comparisons among the macrococi were consistently higher than those for each *Macrococcus* species paired with a *Staphylococcus* species (range 71–79 %; mean 74 %), thus supporting the recommendation by Kloos et al. (1998) to designate *Macrococcus* as a novel genus that was separate from *Staphylococcus*. Among the 34 distinct *Staphylococcus* species included in the study, the most similar pairs were between members of the ‘sciuri group’ (*Staphylococcus sciuri*, *Staphylococcus lentus*, *Staphylococcus pulvereri* and *Staphylococcus vitulinus*), with sequence identity scores of 88–98 % (mean 91 %) and the most similar pair being *S. pulvereri* and *S. vitulinus* (98 %). Among staphylococcal strains with different subspecies designations within the same species, pairwise sequence identity scores were all above 90 % (ranging from 91 %, between *Staphylococcus capitis* subsp. *capitis* and *S. capitis* subsp. *urealyticus*, 93 % between *Staphylococcus cohnii* subsp. *cohnii* and *S. cohnii* subsp. *urealyticus*, to 98 % between each pair of *S. aureus* subsp. *aureus* and *S. aureus* subsp. *aneraebovis*, *Staphylococcus hominis* subsp. *hominis* and *S. hominis* subsp. *novobiosepticus*, and *S. schleiferi* subsp. *schleiferi* and *S. schleiferi* subsp. *coagulans*). Compared to the *hsp60* gene sequence data, the corresponding 16S rRNA gene sequence identity scores for any given pair among the 44 *Staphylococcus* and *Macrococcus* strains were consistently higher (mean ± SEM and range among 903 pairwise comparisons were 96-34 ± 0.05 % and 93–100 %, respectively, for 16S rRNA gene sequences versus 80.45 ± 0.14 % and 74–98 %, respectively, for *hsp60* gene sequences; *P*<0.0001, paired *t* test, two-tailed). Thus, *hsp60* sequences are more discriminatory than 16S rRNA gene sequences for differentiating strains belonging to the genera *Micrococcus* and *Staphylococcus*.

The unrooted phylogenetic tree constructed from the partial *hsp60* gene sequences from the 40 *Staphylococcus* species and subspecies (representing all but two of the entire set of 36 validly described *Staphylococcus* species) and the four *Macrococcus* species examined here is shown in Fig. 1. Bootstrap values of greater than 50 % are shown at the nodal branches. The corresponding phylogenetic tree derived from the 16S rRNA gene sequences is shown in Fig. 2. The partial *hsp60* gene sequences clearly separated all of the *Macrococcus* species (cluster 1) from the *Staphylococcus* species, thus supporting the recommendation that they represent a distinct genus (Kloos et al., 1998). Among the macrococi, *M. equiperdicus* appeared to branch out very

---

**Fig. 2.** Phylogenetic tree (unrooted) based on 16S rDNA sequences of 39 validly described *Staphylococcus* species or subspecies and four *Macrococcus* species. The tree was constructed by the neighbour-joining method, using the PHYLIP program (version 3.57); bootstrap analysis was also performed (1000 iterations; bootstrap values of greater than 50 % are shown at the nodal branches). Strains used for the study and their GenBank accession numbers are shown. Coag. coagulase reaction; Oxidase, cytochrome c oxidase reaction; +, positive; −, negative; ±, variable.
early from other members of its genus, while *M. bovicus* and *M. carouselicus* were closely related and could be easily discriminated from *M. caseolyticus*. The phylogenetic relationships seen among these strains, all exclusively associated with animals, are consistent with their known phenotypic and genetic characteristics (de la Fuente et al., 1992; De Buyser et al., 1992). Such divergence is also consistent with data from scanning electron microscopy studies, which suggest that the cell surfaces of *M. bovicus* and *M. carouselicus* are irregular, whereas the cell surface of *M. caseolyticus* is smooth and that of *M. equipericicus* shows small piliform projections (Kloos et al., 1998).

Immediately adjacent to the macrococci, a tightly clustered group of *Staphylococcus* species belonging to the 'sciuri group' (*S. sciuri, S. lentus, S. pulvereri* and *S. vitulinus*) were seen (cluster 2, Fig. 1), all of which are also cytochrome c oxidase-positive. This suggests that the species that produce cytochrome c may be evolutionarily more closely related to macrococci than to staphylococci. To the best of our knowledge, such an association has not been reported previously. Also of note is the finding that *S. aureus* and its subspecies were grouped with *S. epidermidis* in the 16S rDNA-based tree (both within group c, nodal bootstrap value of 86%; Fig. 2), this relationship was not apparent in the hsp60 phylogenetick tree (located within groups a and b, respectively; Fig. 1). Other minor relationships were also observed: *Staphylococcus warneri* was paired with *Staphylococcus pasteuri* in the 16S rDNA tree (within group c, Fig. 2) but it was paired with *S. lugdunensis* in the hsp60 tree (within group a, Fig. 1).

hs60 genes, which encode highly conserved housekeeping proteins that assist in proper protein folding (also known as molecular chaperonins), are ubiquitous in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes (Craig et al., 1993; Ellis, 1999; Goh et al., 1996). In a previous study, we demonstrated with a limited number of *Staphylococcus* strains that the phylogenetic tree constructed from hsp60 gene sequences agreed better with DNA–DNA hybridization data than with 16S rRNA gene sequence data (Kwok et al., 1999). In the current study, these observations were further substantiated by expanding the number of reference strains tested to include all but two of the recent additions to the genus *Staphylococcus* (n=40) and four *Macrococcus* species. Furthermore, we demonstrated unambiguously that hsp60 gene sequences are more discriminatory than 16S rDNA sequences for species differentiation within these two genera. In addition to their usefulness for discriminating *Staphylococcus* and *Macrococcus* species, hsp60 sequences have also been shown to be an efficient molecular tool for the accurate identification of members of the genera *Streptococcus* (Goh et al., 1998) and *Enterococcus* (Goh et al., 2000), as well as *Enterobacteriaceae* (Wong & Chow, 2002), *Vibrionaceae* (Kwok et al., 2002) and *Mycobacterium* species (Ringuet et al., 1999; Steingrube et al., 1995). The International Committee on Systematic Bacteriology has recently proposed minimal standards for the taxonomic description of novel *Staphylococcus* species, which include both phenotypic and genotypic criteria (Freney et al., 1999). It has also been suggested that DNA sequencing of highly conserved housekeeping or other genes may supplant DNA–DNA reassociation or 16S rRNA gene sequence data for taxonomic analyses of ecologically distinct populations (Palys et al., 1997; Wong & Chow, 2002). Based on data obtained from the present study, we suggest that the hsp60

The remaining *Staphylococcus* species formed a broadly based cluster that contained five distinct subdivisions, which corresponded to the ‘aureus group’ (group a), the ‘epidermidis group’ (group b), the ‘haemolyticus group’ (group c), the ‘saprophyticus group’ (group d) and the ‘intermedius group’ (group e) (Fig. 1). These results agreed remarkably well with the current taxonomy of this diverse family, which is based on DNA–DNA hybridization data and classical phenotypic and biochemical testing (Kloos, 1997). Of interest is the observation that the ‘non-*S. aureus*’ coagulase-positive staphylococci, including *S. intermedius*, *S. delphini*, *S. lutrae*, *S. schleiferi* subsp. coagulans and some strains of *S. hyicus*, appeared to be closely related and were grouped together (group e, Fig. 1) (Foster et al., 1997; Kloos & Bannerman, 1995).

There was remarkable concordance between the phylogenetic trees constructed from the partial hsp60 and 16S rRNA gene sequences. Thus, both trees revealed the same three major clusters, with high bootstrap values of 81–100% (Figs 1 and 2). In both trees, the *Macrococcus* species occurred in a tight cluster that was clearly separated from the *Staphylococcus* species. Members of the ‘sciuri group’ formed the second major cluster in both trees. However, there were some differences as well as similarities in the hierarchy and clustering patterns among members within the third major cluster. For example, there was general agreement that *S. schleiferi*, *S. hyicus*, *Staphylococcus chromogenes*, *S. delphini*, *S. intermedius*, *S. lutrae* and *Staphylococcus felis* were related to each other in both trees (nodal bootstrap values of 77 and 85%, respectively). Similarly, *S. epidermidis* appeared to be related to *Staphylococcus saccharolyticus* and *S. capitis* in both trees, *S. hominis* was related to *S. haemolyticus*, and *Staphylococcus piscifermentans*, *Staphylococcus carnosus* and *Staphylococcus simulans* were related to each other. However, whereas *S. aureus* and its subspecies were grouped with *S. epidermidis* in the 16S rDNA-based tree (both within group c, nodal bootstrap value of 100%; Figs 1 and 2), their relationships seen among these strains, all exclusively associated with animals, are consistent with their known phenotypic and genetic characteristics (de la Fuente et al., 1992; De Buyser et al., 1992). Such divergence is also consistent with data from scanning electron microscopy studies, which suggest that the cell surfaces of *M. bovicus* and *M. carouselicus* are irregular, whereas the cell surface of *M. caseolyticus* is smooth and that of *M. equipericicus* shows small piliform projections (Kloos et al., 1998).

Immediately adjacent to the macrococci, a tightly clustered group of *Staphylococcus* species belonging to the ‘sciuri group’ (*S. sciuri, S. lentus, S. pulvereri* and *S. vitulinus*) were seen (cluster 2, Fig. 1), all of which are also cytochrome c oxidase-positive. This suggests that the species that produce cytochrome c may be evolutionarily more closely related to macrococci than to staphylococci. To the best of our knowledge, such an association has not been reported previously. Also of note is the finding that *S. aureus* and its subspecies were grouped with *S. epidermidis* in the 16S rDNA-based tree (both within group c, nodal bootstrap value of 86%; Fig. 2), this relationship was not apparent in the hsp60 phylogenetic tree (located within groups a and b, respectively; Fig. 1). Other minor relationships were also observed: *Staphylococcus warneri* was paired with *Staphylococcus pasteuri* in the 16S rDNA tree (within group c, Fig. 2) but it was paired with *S. lugdunensis* in the hsp60 tree (within group a, Fig. 1).

hs60 genes, which encode highly conserved housekeeping proteins that assist in proper protein folding (also known as molecular chaperonins), are ubiquitous in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes (Craig et al., 1993; Ellis, 1999; Goh et al., 1996). In a previous study, we demonstrated with a limited number of *Staphylococcus* strains that the phylogenetic tree constructed from hsp60 gene sequences agreed better with DNA–DNA hybridization data than with 16S rRNA gene sequence data (Kwok et al., 1999). In the current study, these observations were further substantiated by expanding the number of reference strains tested to include all but two of the recent additions to the genus *Staphylococcus* (n=40) and four *Macrococcus* species. Furthermore, we demonstrated unambiguously that hsp60 gene sequences are more discriminatory than 16S rDNA sequences for species differentiation within these two genera. In addition to their usefulness for discriminating *Staphylococcus* and *Macrococcus* species, hsp60 sequences have also been shown to be an efficient molecular tool for the accurate identification of members of the genera *Streptococcus* (Goh et al., 1998) and *Enterococcus* (Goh et al., 2000), as well as *Enterobacteriaceae* (Wong & Chow, 2002), *Vibrionaceae* (Kwok et al., 2002) and *Mycobacterium* species (Ringuet et al., 1999; Steingrube et al., 1995). The International Committee on Systematic Bacteriology has recently proposed minimal standards for the taxonomic description of novel *Staphylococcus* species, which include both phenotypic and genotypic criteria (Freney et al., 1999). It has also been suggested that DNA sequencing of highly conserved housekeeping or other genes may supplant DNA–DNA reassociation or 16S rRNA gene sequence data for taxonomic analyses of ecologically distinct populations (Palys et al., 1997; Wong & Chow, 2002). Based on data obtained from the present study, we suggest that the hsp60
gene may be a useful alternative to DNA–DNA hybridization or 16S rRNA sequencing for taxonomic classification and phylogenetic studies of members of the genera *Staphylococcus* and *Macrococcus*.
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