**Pichia hawaiiensis** sp. nov., occurring in decaying bark of *Charpentiera* trees in the Hawaiian archipelago
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A description is given for *Pichia hawaiiensis* sp. nov., a nitrate-utilizing member of the genus *Pichia* E. C. Hansen emend. Kurtzman. Seven strains of the new species were isolated during the years 1972, 1973 and 1978 from rotting bark of the Hawaiian tree genera *Charpentiera*, *Pisonia* and *Cheirodendron*. *P. hawaiiensis* is heterothallic but appears to occur in nature mainly in the diploid state. Ascii are deliquescent and produce up to four hat-shaped spores per ascus. Phylogenetic analysis of the 600 nucleotide D1/D2 domain of the 26S rDNA showed that *P. hawaiiensis* is most closely related to *Pichia populi* and *Williopsis californica* (syn. *Hansenula californica*). The type strain of *P. hawaiiensis*, isolated on the island of Hawaii from the rotting bark of *Charpentiera* sp. containing insect larvae, is strain UCD-FST 72-181T ( = ATCC MYA-137T = CBS 8760T = NRRL Y-27270T).
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**INTRODUCTION**

During explorations (in 1972, 1973 and 1978) of the yeast biota associated with native Hawaiian plants, seven phenotypically similar strains of a species representative of the yeast genus *Pichia* E. C. Hansen emend. Kurtzman were recovered from rotting bark samples of several endemic tree species on the islands of Hawaii and Kauai in the Hawaiian archipelago. Attempts to identify these isolates by using standard yeast identification methods that depend on diagnostic responses to physiological tests (Kurtzman, 1984, 1998; Barnett et al., 1990) failed to give satisfactory matches with known species. Because of the common variability of certain physiological tests (Kurtzman & Fell, 1998) or tests controlled by single nuclear genes (such as hydrolyses), confirmation of the novelty of the Hawaiian isolates was sought from divergence in the variable D1/D2 domain of the large-subunit (26S) rDNA. Kurtzman & Robnett (1995, 1997, 1998) have demonstrated that the extent of divergence in this domain appears sufficient to resolve all species of ascomycetous yeasts that differ in terms of nucleotide substitutions by 1% or more. Comparison of the D1/D2 sequence from a representative strain of the Hawaiian isolates with a database of D1/D2 sequences from all currently utilized ascomycetous yeasts (Kurtzman & Robnett, 1997, 1998) revealed that these isolates represent a new species and also provided an estimate of phylogenetic placement.

We propose to name the new species *Pichia hawaiiensis* because of the geographic area of origin, which includes two of the Hawaiian islands, ranging from the northwest island of Kauai to the island of Hawaii at the eastern end of the archipelago.

**METHODS**

**Strain isolation.** Samples of moist, rotting tree bark were collected in sterile plastic containers from *Charpentiera* (Amaranthaceae) sp., *Cheirodendron* (Araliaceae) sp. and *Pisonia* sp. (Nyctaginaceae) in Volcanoes National Park on the island of Hawaii, and at Honopu on the island of Kauai. Samples were streaked on acidified (to pH 3–8 with 1 M HCl) yeast extract/malt extract agar (YM; Difco) and stored at approximately 25 °C. Pure cultures were obtained by restreaking on YM agar. Morphological and physiological characteristics of the isolates were determined using methods currently used in yeast taxonomy (Yarrow, 1998). Among the many strains isolated from the above sources during three separate collection trips, seven strains were represen-
Table 1. Strain numbers, host plants, origins and ploidy of *Pichia hawaiensis* isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain*</th>
<th>Host plant and location</th>
<th>Ploidy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UCD 72-181†</td>
<td>Rotting bark of <em>Charpentiera</em> sp., Island of Hawaii</td>
<td>Diploid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCD 72-170</td>
<td>Rotting bark of <em>Charpentiera</em> sp., Island of Hawaii</td>
<td>Diploid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCD 73-508.1</td>
<td>Rotting bark of <em>Charpentiera</em> sp., Island of Hawaii</td>
<td>Diploid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 78-341.1</td>
<td>Rotting bark of <em>Pisonia</em> sp., Island of Hawaii</td>
<td>Diploid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 78-345.1</td>
<td>Rotting bark of <em>Pisonia</em> sp., Island of Hawaii</td>
<td>Diploid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 78-346.1</td>
<td>Rotting bark of <em>Cheirodendron</em> sp., Island of Hawaii</td>
<td>Diploid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 78-689.1</td>
<td>Rotting bark of <em>Charpentiera</em> sp., Island of Kauai</td>
<td>Diploid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCD 72-181.1</td>
<td>Single-spore isolate from UCD 72-181†</td>
<td>Haploid h⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCD 72-181.2</td>
<td>Single-spore isolate from UCD 72-181†</td>
<td>Haploid h⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCD 72-181.3</td>
<td>Single-spore isolate from UCD 72-181†</td>
<td>Haploid h⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCD 72-181.4</td>
<td>Single-spore isolate from UCD 72-181†</td>
<td>Haploid h⁺</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* UCD (＝UCD-FST), Culture Collection of the Department of Food Science and Technology, University of California, Davis, CA, USA; S prefix, assigned by W. T. Starmer. The four single-ascoспорule isolates came from the same ascus.

tative of *P. hawaiensis* (Table 1). Single ascospores produced on dilute (1:4) V-8 agar were isolated from individual four- spored ascii with the aid of a micromanipulator (Fowell, 1969).

**DNA isolation, PCR, rDNA sequencing and sequence analysis.** Methods for nuclear DNA isolation, amplification of the 600-nucleotide 26S rDNA domain D1/D2 by PCR and sequencing with the ABI Tag DyeDeoxy Terminator Cycle sequencing kit and the ABI model 377 automated DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems) were as described previously (Kurtzman & Robnett, 1997).

Sequence data were visually aligned with the CLUSTAL X (Larkin et al., 2007; SemWare). Phylogenetic relationships were calculated with a Power Macintosh 8500/120 using the maximum-parsimony program of PAUP* 4.0 (Swofford, 1993; test version distributed by Sinauer Associates) with the heuristic search option and random addition of sequences. Relationships were further analysed using the neighbour-joining program of PAUP* 4.0 with the Jukes–Cantor distance measure.

*Schizosaccharomyces pombe* was designated outgroup in all analyses. Support for the nodes of the phylogenetic tree were estimated from bootstrap analysis (1000 replications). The GenBank accession numbers for reference species have been reported by Kurtzman & Robnett (1998).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Phylogenetic placement of *P. hawaiensis* was determined from analysis of 26S rDNA domain D1/D2 sequences (approx. 600 nucleotides) from all currently recognized ascomycetous yeast species, as reported in the study by Kurtzman & Robnett (1998). This analysis demonstrated that *P. hawaiensis* is a unique species that is closely related to *Pichia populi* (56 nucleotide differences) and *Williopsis californica* (63 nucleotide differences) as shown in Fig. 1. Weak basal branch support is evident from the domain D1/D2 analysis presented in Fig. 1, and complete 18S sequence analyses are often similarly weak (Kurtzman & Robnett, 1998). In view of the uncertainty concerning the phylogenetic circumscription of *Pichia, Williopsis* and related genera, the new ascospore species described here is placed in *Pichia* as currently defined from phenotypic characteristics (Kurtzman, 1998).

*P. hawaiensis* can be differentiated phenotypically from *P. populi* by its lack of growth on D-mannitol, D-glucitol and D-gluconate as sole carbon sources or on cadaverine as the sole source of nitrogen. It differs from *W. californica* by its lack of growth on D- mannitol, D-gluconate and citrate as sole carbon sources.

**Latin diagnosis of *Pichia hawaiensis* Phaff, Starmer & Kurtzman sp. nov.**

New *Pichia* from Hawaii

**Fig. 1.** Phylogenetic tree showing placement of *Pichia hawaiiensis* among near relatives as represented by one of six most parsimonious trees derived from maximum-parsimony analysis of 26S rDNA domain D1/D2. Branch lengths are proportional to nucleotide differences, as indicated by the bar. Numbers given at nodes are the percentage of frequencies with which a given branch appeared in 1000 bootstrap replicates. Frequencies under 50% are not given. Tree length, 838; consistency index, 0.521; retention index, 0.509; rescaled consistency index, 0.266; homoplasy index, 0.479; parsimony-informative characteristics, 185. *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* served as the outgroup species for the analysis.

**Description of *Pichia hawaiiensis* Phaff, Starmer & Kurtzman sp. nov.**

*Pichia hawaiiensis* (ha.wai.i.ensis ‘L. adj., referring to the geographic origin of the new species).

In YM (Difco) liquid medium after 5 d at 25 °C, the cells are ovoid, 2–4 × 5–6.5 µm, single, in pairs or in small clusters. After 1 week, a moderate amount of sediment and a thin ring develop; a pellicle is lacking. After 21 d at 25 °C, streak cultures on malt agar are cream to greyish in colour, butyrous to pasty, smooth, flat to slightly raised, glossy and have borders that are entire to finely crenate. On cornmeal agar after 10 d, pseudomycelium is rudimentary or absent. On dilute (1:4) filtered V-8-juice agar at pH 6.0, four hat-shaped spores are formed which are rapidly liberated from the asci upon maturity. The spores are small, measuring approximately 1–1.5 µm, and have a tendency to agglutinate in clumps (Fig. 2). The species is heterothallic. Mating-type segregation results in two h+ and two h− spores from a four-spored ascus (Table 1). When cultures of h+ and h− cells are mixed on V-8 sporulation medium, very few zygotes are seen after one or two days. Apparently, spores do not develop directly in zygotes, but instead they produce diploid buds which proliferate and produce spores after 6–10 d (Fig. 2a). Only glucose is fermented (latently and slowly). The following carbon sources are assimilated: glucose, cellobiose, D-xylose, L-rhamnose (latent, weak), ethanol, glycerol, salicin (weak), methyl β-D-glucoside, D,L-lactic acid and succinic acid. The following are not assimilated: D-galactose, L-sorbosine, maltose, sucrose, trehalose, lactose, melibiose, raffi-
nose, melezitose, inulin, soluble starch, l-arabinose, d-arabinose, d-ribose, erythritol, ribitol, galactitol, d-mannitol, d-glucitol, methyl-β-d-glucoside, glucono-δ-lactone, d-gluconate, 2-keto-gluconate, 5-keto-gluconate, citric acid, meso-inositol, methanol, d-glucosamine, N-acetyl-d-glucosamine and hexadecane. KNO$_3$, NaNO$_2$, ethylamine and l-lysine are utilized as sole sources of nitrogen but cadaverine is not utilized. Does not grow in vitamin-free medium. Does not grow in the presence of 100 µg cycloheximide ml$^{-1}$. Shows weak growth on YM agar containing 5% NaCl and no growth at 10% NaCl. Does not grow in the presence of 50% (w/w) glucose. Grows at 25°C; no growth at 30°C. Does not hydrolyse gelatin; casein is hydrolysed latently and weakly. Does not produce urease or lipolytic activity. The habitat is moist, rotting bark of Charpentiera sp. and several other native Hawaiian tree species (Table 1). The type strain, UCD-FST 72–181$^T$, has been deposited in the American Type Culture Collection as strain ATCC MYA-137$^T$, in the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (Delft, The Netherlands) as strain CBS 8760$^T$ and in the ARS Culture Collection (National Center for Agricultural Utilization Research, Peoria, IL, USA) as NRRL Y-27270$^T$. Strains of $P$. hawaiiensis isolated during three expeditions to Hawaii and their sources are given in Table 1. On the basis of the rearing records of Montgomery (1975), it is assumed that the vector of transmission of $P$. hawaiiensis is one or several picture-winged Drosophila species that use(s) the three host plants indicated in Table 1 as larval breeding sites or feeding sites on the Hawaiian islands.
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