Non-radioactive dot-blot DNA reassociation for unequivocal yeast identification
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Unequivocal and rapid classification of yeast cultures cannot be accomplished exclusively on the basis of unstable phenotypic traits, but requires molecular tests relating to the whole genome (or the largest possible portion of it). DNA–DNA reassociation meets this requirement, although many procedures proposed for calculating overall sequence similarity are expensive and time-consuming, thus restricting the possibility of unequivocal classification to a few specialized laboratories. A novel method, based on non-radioactive dot-blot hybridization of whole genomic DNA, has shown high and reproducible proportionality between the detected signal and the amount of double-stranded DNA effectively present on the membrane. This procedure has been optimized to obtain, within two working days, DNA relatedness values between unknown cultures and the type strains of the species previously indicated by a few conventional tests. The effective ability of the method to discriminate strains belonging to different species has been tested within taxonomic models consisting of yeast type cultures already certified by spectrophotometric reassociation.
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INTRODUCTION

Conventional yeast taxonomy is based on the qualitative analysis of a series of morpho-physiological traits supposedly capable of enabling discrimination between species. These phenotypic data can be analysed with either a hierarchical approach (Kurtzman & Fell, 1998) or a numerical approach (Barnett et al., 1990; Lockhart, 1967); in both cases, however, an unequivocal species determination is rarely obtained. Nuclear DNA reassociation is widely considered to be the test of choice for unequivocal assignment of unknown strains to known species (Bak & Stenderup, 1969; Dutta et al., 1967; Guého et al., 1985; Kurtzman, 1990a, b, 1986; Meyer, 1970; Meyer & Phaff, 1972; Ouchi et al., 1970; Springer & Krajewski, 1989; Vaughan-Martini, 1991). This approach calls for a comparison between the nDNAs from both the unknown culture and the type strain of the species previously indicated by conventional classification. Several procedures have been proposed to measure the level of DNA renaturation (Hara et al., 1991), including the spectrophotometric evaluation of reannealing kinetics (Kurtzman et al., 1983; Lee et al., 1993; Martini & Phaff, 1973; van der Walt & Johansson, 1979; Vaughan-Martini, 1991) and radioactive hybridization on nitrocellulose filters (Meyer et al., 1975, 1977; Ouchi et al., 1970). The former method requires large amounts of DNA and a dedicated spectrophotometer equipped with an expensive thermostrigger and is also time-consuming (being barely capable of comparing more than two strains in one working day). The radioactive method is potentially hazardous, requires a scintillation counter and is unable to compare DNAs from more than one culture on the same membrane. To overcome the above limitations, a different reassociation method that is rapid and suitable for comparing large numbers of strains is necessary, especially for biodiversity, taxonomy, ecology and evolution studies (all of which require unequivocal specific assignment).

In this paper, a novel, non-radioactive DNA–DNA reassociation method for screening several samples simultaneously, and requiring only 100 ng DNA per compared yeast, is proposed.

METHODS

Cultures and growth. The yeast cultures reported in Table 1 and Table 2 were obtained from the Industrial Yeasts Collection (DBVPG), Perugia, Italy. Cells for DNA extraction were grown at 25 °C in shaken (150 r.p.m. min⁻¹)
DNA extraction and preliminary purification. Overnight YEPD cultures (14 ml, containing approx. $1 \times 10^9$ cells ml$^{-1}$) were transferred to 15 ml polypropylene capped tubes and centrifuged for 2 min at 2000 $g$. Cell pellets were washed with 10 ml distilled water, spun again and resuspended in 0-4 ml 120 mM Lysis buffer (0.5%, w/v, SDS; 0.5%, w/v, Sarkosyl in TE, pH 7.5) in the presence of 0.4 ml 0.5 mm diameter acid-washed glass beads and 0.4 ml of a solution containing 50% of Tris-equilibrated (pH 8.0) phenol and 50% chloroform. After 5 min at $-18^\circ C$, the suspension was bead-beaten (1500 r.p.m.) at room temperature for 3 min; this operation was repeated after a 2 min interval at $-18^\circ C$. The supernatant obtained after a 10 min centrifugation at 2000 $g$ (0–5–0-6 ml) was treated with 0-1 vols 3 M Na-acetate and 0-8 vols 2-propanol. DNA was spooled with a glass rod and then resuspended in 400 $\mu l$ distilled water.

RNA was removed by incubating the DNA solution for 2 h at $37^\circ C$ with 50 $\mu l$ of an RNase cocktail (3-3 $\mu g$ per $\mu l$ RNase A + 3-3 $\mu g$ per $\mu l$ RNase B + 3-3 $\mu g$ per $\mu l$ RNase T1; Sigma).

Hydroxyapatite (HTP) purification. Since the accuracy of spectrophotometric measurement of DNA concentration is greatly affected by the presence of contaminants such as proteins and RNA (Springer & Krajewski, 1989), a hydroxyapatite purification, allowing differential extraction of DNA and contamination with phosphate buffers of different concentrations (Purdy et al., 1996), is strongly recommended.

Hydroxyapatite HTP (100 mg; Bio-Rad) was suspended in 1 ml 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer (NPB) pH 6-7, heated for 10 min at $65^\circ C$ and centrifuged (17000 $g$) for 30 s at $4^\circ C$. After removal of the supernatant, the HTP pellet was resuspended with the DNA solution already equilibrated at $65^\circ C$, incubated for 15 min at $65^\circ C$ and then centrifuged (17000 $g$) for 30 s at $4^\circ C$. The HTP-bound DNA was then sequentially washed twice with 600 $\mu l$ 120 mM NPB (pH 6-7) and once with 600 $\mu l$ 180 mM NPB (pH 6-7). Finally, the HTP pellet was uniformly resuspended in 400 $\mu l$ 300 mM potassium/sodium phosphate buffer (NPPB; pH 7-2) incubated for 15 min at $65^\circ C$ and then centrifuged for 30 s at 17000 $g$.

Desalination was carried out with a 1 ml Sephadex G-50 (Sigma) spun column followed by ethanol-precipitation. DNA samples were read at 230, 260 and 280 nm with a Beckman DU-640 spectrophotometer to calculate the concentration and the level of purification. Finally, DNA samples were diluted in water to reach an optical density (at 260 nm) of 0.200+5% ($=10$ $\mu g$ ml$^{-1}$) and stored at $-18^\circ C$.

Dot-blot procedure. Nylon Hybond-N+ membranes (Amersham) were cut to the appropriate size (1 cm$^2$ sample), placed in a capillary blotter loaded with 100 ng (10 $\mu l$) DNA solution per sample and dried for 5 min at room temperature. DNA was fixed with a 3 min exposure to a UV transilluminator (302 nm) and denatured by soaking the membrane for 3 min in 0-4 M NaOH. After a brief wash in 2 x SSC and air-drying, the membrane can be either used immediately or stored indefinitely at room temperature.

For quantitative experiments, a control serial dilution of unlabelled probe DNA corresponding to 100, 80, 60, 40 and 20 ng was loaded on to the membrane to obtain an optical density versus signal calibration curve.

Probe DNA (100 ng) was denatured by boiling for 10 min, cooled for 3 min in ice and then labelled using the ECL-Direct (Amersham) non-radioactive procedure according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Prehybridization, hybridization and high-stringency washings (0.5% NaCl) were carried out at 42 $^\circ C$.

Denisitometric analysis. X-ray films (Kodak X-OMAT) were exposed for the time required to avoid film saturation. The approximate time of exposure typically ranges from 2 to 5 min according to the shelf-life of the kit.

The calibration curve was obtained by plotting, on the x-axis, the values of the probe serial dilution and, on the y-axis, the corresponding signals expressed as the grey level on an 8-bit system. The resulting regression equation was then used to calculate the reassociation level of each sample. Video-densitometric analysis was carried out with the free-domain
package NIH-IMAGE version 1.62b and densitometric data were processed with KALEIdograph (Synergy Software).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The validation of the present method as a taxonomic tool was carried out by means of a series of experiments considering aspects directly involving (1) the optimization of labelling and hybridization procedures and development of an automated system for intensity reading of dots; and (2) the control of its performances in taxonomic working models previously certified by spectrophotometric DNA reassociation.

Procedure optimization

The ability of the ECL-Direct hybridization system to detect different amounts of DNA was checked as follows: 0, 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 ng DNA were blotted on to the same nylon membrane and then hybridized with 100 ng of the same DNA labelled according to the ECL procedure described in the Methods. Results of this renaturation experiment (Fig. 1) show that there is a linear relationship between the DNA content and the signal generated, with a negligible level of error (0.0288%). The same experiment was carried out with DNA from several yeast species, always obtaining linear regressions of similar quality (Table 1).

The consistent linearity and repeatability of the above results suggested that the actual amount of double-stranded DNA on each dot can be measured by videodensitometry.

To develop an internal control, a serial dilution of the same DNA used as probe was spotted on to each membrane to produce a calibration curve and the corresponding regression equation (Fig. 1).

Accordingly, membranes were prepared by spotting 100 ng DNA from each unknown culture together with a series of dots located in the lower part of the filter and carrying 0, 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 ng of the same DNA used as the probe to produce the calibration curve. The rationale behind this loading arrangement is exemplified as follows: the intensity produced by the hybridization on the 80 ng dot indicates 80% relatedness between the DNA of the unknown culture and that of the type strain of the species used as a probe. The hybridization is carried out overnight with 100 ng labelled probe DNA, while spot intensities are determined video-densitometrically. These row data are introduced into the regression equation to obtain relatedness values (expressed as percentages).

Use in a certified taxonomic context

Selected samples of purified DNA (Table 2), in storage at −20 °C and already hybridized against the DNA of the type strain of Kluyveromyces lactis (DBVPG 6305T) in the course of previous molecular taxonomic revisions (Vaughan-Martini & Martini, 1987) carried out in this laboratory, were used to test the actual efficacy of the procedure.

The above hybridization tests were repeated using the proposed dot-blot procedure, with the DNA of the type strain of Saccharomyces cerevisiae (DBVPG 6173T) as a probe (Fig. 2). Reassociation values from both approaches were reported on a plot and a regression curve was then calculated (Fig. 3). The R² value (0.91) demonstrates a high and positive correlation between results obtained by the two radically different methods; the mean divergence was lower than the experimental error (approx. 10%) observed in 20 years of spectrophotometric reassociations carried out in this laboratory (unpublished data).

An additional control experiment was done using another certified model consisting of two species, Saccharomyces castellii and Saccharomyces dairenensis, formerly classified as a single species but separated when DNA reassociation was applied (Vaughan-Martini & Barcaccia, 1996; Vaughan-Martini & Martini, 1988). Accordingly, a simulation was carried out using a certified strain of S. castellii (DBVPG 6361) as the unknown culture yet to be identified. Its DNA was hybridized against DNA from the type strains of S. castellii (DBVPG 6298T) and S. dairenensis (DBVPG 6366T), respectively.

The results (Fig. 4) show that there is only very poor homology between members of the two species when DNA from S. castellii is used; conversely, the two S. castellii strains gave identical results, in full accordance with the spectrophotometric results. Duplications of the above experiments, even with different DNA preparations, exhibited non-significant (≤5%) differences.

One of the problems in microbial taxonomy is finding a “gold standard” method for assigning, with certainty, an unknown strain to a species. There is a large body of evidence (Fuson et al., 1980; Scheda & Yarrow, 1966, 1968) showing that some of the key characteristics used in conventional taxonomy may vary by a mere point mutation in their sequences. This can often produce an incorrect classification or merge species that are taxonomically distant. Moreover, conventional taxonomy takes into consideration a number of characteristics representing ≤3% of the whole informational capacity of the genome.

Using the same reasoning, most molecular taxonomic methods based on the migration ability of macromolecules cannot overcome the problems of considering an amount of information effectively representing the yeast genome, because normally only a few sequences are analysed with these techniques. For instance, a randomly amplified polymorphic DNA procedure with one primer producing 10 bands, of 2 kb average size, would give information on 20 kb, which represents less than 1.5% of the whole genome of S. cerevisiae (Clayton et al., 1997; Mortimer et al., 1992). Taken together, these considerations lead to the
Fig. 3. Regression analysis, showing the relationship between the reassociation similarities of strains from different species with *K. lactis* DBVPG 6305<sup>T</sup> (see Fig. 2) according to the data obtained with spectrophotometric (S) and dot-blot hybridization (H) procedures. An $R^2$ value of 0.91 indicates that the same reassociations carried out with two different methods yield strongly similar results.

The comparison of entire genomes automatically implies a lower resolution level for the analytical system; this cannot be accepted for typing at strain level, but does meet the requirements of classification at species level.

**Fig. 4.** Hybridization of *Saccharomyces castellii* strain DBVPG 6361 with the type strains of *S. castellii* (DBVPG 6298<sup>T</sup>) and of *S. dairenensis* (DBVPG 6366<sup>T</sup>) with both the spectrophotometric procedure and the dot-blot procedure.
According to the above considerations, the spectrophotometric reassociation technique has been considered as the ultimate taxonomic test in yeast systematics throughout the last two decades. However, it is a particularly time-consuming, expensive and cumbersome method. The method presented in this paper is mainly intended as a screening procedure when several strains have to be assigned to a yeast species. In our experience, intermediate relatedness values (between 70 and 30%) can often be due to technical problems (quality of DNA, precise quantification of target DNA, efficiency of labelling and detection kits) that can be addressed by improving the quality of the DNA and/or by testing the efficiency of the kits.

The impossibility of finding high levels of relatedness between an unknown strain and the type strain(s) of the species indicated by conventional taxonomy may also be ascribed to partial structural asymmetries of genomes (aneuploidy, different genome size, different amounts of strongly repeated sequences), which is something that can only be clarified by further molecular and taxonomic study.

Moreover, the blotting reassociation method can be used for better definition of the strains used as taxonomic models defining species and for producing a large number of cross results with a limited number of experiments. Finally, this procedure may be extended to produce more accurate analysis of the relationships between strains belonging to sibling or strongly related species.
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