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The phototrophic red sulfur bacteria presently are placed in a family named *Thiorhodaceae* Molisch. However, this name is illegitimate because it was not based on the name of a genus. Of the genera placed in this family, five have familial names based on them. All of these names were published by Bavendamm in the same paper and, on the basis of page priority, *Thiocapsaceae*, with type genus *Thiocapsa*, is the correct name of this family. Nevertheless, the genus *Chromatium* Perty, which is the type genus of *Chromatiaceae* Bavendamm, is the best known and most studied genus of the red sulfur bacteria. Consequently, the Judicial Commission of the International Committee on Systematic Bacteriology is asked to conserve the familial name *Chromatiaceae* Bavendamm over the earlier subjective synonyms *Thiocapsaceae* Bavendamm, *Lamprocystaceae* Bavendamm, *Thiopediaceae* Bavendamm, and *Amoebobacteriaceae* Bavendamm. The family *Chromatiaceae* Bavendamm is emended to contain the genera *Chromatium* Perty, *Thiosarcina* Winogradsky, *Thiocystis* Winogradsky, *Thiospirillum* Winogradsky, *Thiocapsa* Winogradsky, *Lamprocystis* Schroeter, *Amoebobacter* Winogradsky, *Thiodictyon* Winogradsky, *Thiopedia* Winogradsky, and *Ectothiorhodospira* Pelsh.

Van Niel (11) was the first to obtain pure cultures of phototrophic red (purple) bacteria. Up to this time, all classifications of these organisms were based on observations of crude material collected from natural habitats and occasionally maintained as crude cultures (e.g., Winogradsky columns) in the laboratory. Thus the creation of higher taxa for these organisms was rather arbitrary and merely a matter of preference. Under these circumstances, van Niel (11) chose *Thiorhodaceae* Molisch for the name of the family of the red sulfur bacteria and *Athiorhodaceae* Molisch for the name of the family of red nonsulfur bacteria. However, these names are illegitimate because they are not based on the names of genera (Bacteriological Code, Rule 3). A request (9) to conserve these names was rejected by the Judicial Commission (2).

The name *Rhodobacteriaceae* Migula (4) is likewise an illegitimate family name for the red sulfur bacteria because it also was not based on the name of a genus. Migula (4) named a number of subfamilies, as follows: *Thiocapsaceae* (sic), *Lamprocystaceae* (sic), *Thiopediaceae* (sic), *Amoebobacteriaceae* (sic), and *Chromatiaceae* (sic). Bavendamm (1) raised these subfamilies to the rank of families, added another family, the *Rhodocapsaceae*, and called the whole group *Rhodo-Thiobacteria* [Rote Schwefelbakterien (red sulfur bacteria)]. In a footnote (no. 4, page 115), however, he made the following important statement: "Die Abgrenzung der Familien, Gattungen und Arten ist bei der II Unterreihe teilweise noch unsicher als bei der ersten." [Translation: The demarcation of the families, genera, and species within the second subgroup (i.e., red sulfur bacteria) is in part even more uncertain than within the first subgroup (i.e., the colorless sulfur bacteria).]

Bavendamm’s classification and nomenclature have not been accepted by later taxonomists in this field. On the other hand, as mentioned previously, Molisch’s name *Thiorhodaceae* is still generally in use for the family of the phototrophic red sulfur bacteria.

On the basis of extensive studies on pure cultures of nearly all the named species of this group (see 8), there appears to be no reason for maintaining more than one of the six families introduced by Bavendamm (1) for this group.
Since the classical pure-culture studies by van Niel (11), *Chromatium* Perty 1852 has become the best known and physiologically and biochemically best studied genus of all of the genera of the phototrophic red sulfur bacteria. It contains nine recognized species, all of which are at present maintained in pure culture. All other type genera of the families named by Bavendamm (1) are not so well studied and contain fewer species. Bavendamm’s arrangement of families is quite arbitrary and uncertain by his own statement.

Although it is not required, it is most helpful for a type genus to be the most typical and best known genus of a family. Applying this reasoning, *Chromatiaceae* Bavendamm 1924 should be the familial name for the phototrophic red sulfur bacteria replacing the earlier but illegitimate names *Rhodobacteriaceae* Migula 1900 and *Thiorhodaceae* Molisch 1906. In order to establish the legitimacy of the name *Chromatiaceae*, it is necessary to conserve it against the other family names created by Bavendamm (1) in the same publication just a few pages earlier. Consequently, it is requested that the Judicial Commission issue an Opinion conserving the name *Chromatiaceae* Bavendamm for the family of phototrophic red sulfur bacteria with *Chromatium* Perty as the type genus. The family *Chromatiaceae* includes the genera *Chromatium* Perty 1852, *Thiosarcina* Winogradsky 1888, *Thiocystis* Winogradsky 1888, *Thiospirillum* Winogradsky 1888, *Thiocapsa* Winogradsky 1888, *Lamprocystis* Schroeter 1886, *Amoebobacter* Winogradsky 1888, *Thiodictyon* Winogradsky 1888, *Thiopedia* Winogradsky 1888, and *Ectothiorhodospira* Pelsh 1936.
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